

114TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 3518

To amend title 28, United States Code, to prohibit the use of amounts from the Asset Forfeiture Fund for the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEPTEMBER 16, 2015

Mr. TED LIEU of California (for himself and Mr. AMASH) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To amend title 28, United States Code, to prohibit the use of amounts from the Asset Forfeiture Fund for the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Stop Civil Asset For-

5 feiture Funding for Marijuana Suppression Act of 2015”.

1 **SEC. 2. RESTRICTION ON USE OF THE ASSET FORFEITURE**
2 **FUND FOR THE DOMESTIC CANNABIS SUP-**
3 **PRESSION/ERADICATION PROGRAM.**

4 Section 524 of title 28, United States Code, is
5 amended by adding at the end the following:

6 “(e) Notwithstanding subsection (c) of this section,
7 beginning in fiscal year 2015, and for each fiscal year
8 thereafter, no amounts in the Fund may be used for the
9 Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of
10 the Drug Enforcement Administration, or any substan-
11 tially similar program.”.

12 **SEC. 3. NO AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER PROPERTY FOR PUR-**
13 **POSES OF THE DOMESTIC CANNABIS SUP-**
14 **PRESSION/ERADICATION PROGRAM.**

15 Notwithstanding section 981(e) of title 18, United
16 States Code, no property may be transferred to a Federal
17 agency or a State and local agency, if that property is
18 used for any purpose pertaining to the Domestic Cannabis
19 Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforce-
20 ment Administration, or any substantially similar pro-
21 gram.

22 **SEC. 4. SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

23 It is the sense of Congress that the Drug Enforce-
24 ment Administration (DEA) expends a large amount of
25 resources on enforcing prohibitions on marijuana. The
26 DEA administrator’s comments about the relative harm

1 of marijuana support Congress's belief that the DEA's
2 marijuana prohibition policy is an inappropriate use of re-
3 sources.

