

Union Calendar No. 576

114TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION**H. R. 2817****[Report No. 114–740]**

To amend title 54, United States Code, to extend the authorization of appropriations for the Historic Preservation Fund.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 17, 2015

Mr. TURNER (for himself, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mrs. LUMMIS, Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. GIBSON, Mr. CARTWRIGHT, Mr. KATKO, Ms. TSONGAS, Mr. ABRAHAM, Mr. FITZPATRICK, and Mr. CLYBURN) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

Additional sponsors: Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania, Mr. FORTENBERRY, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. WELCH, Mr. SIMPSON, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana, Mr. QUIGLEY, Ms. GRANGER, Mr. POCAN, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. COOK, Mr. ZINKE, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. WITTMAN, Mr. WESTERMAN, Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. COSTA, Mr. NEWHOUSE, Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. CURBELO of Florida, Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. ASHFORD, Mr. HILL, Mr. RANGEL, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. RENACCI, Mr. RICHMOND, Mr. BOUSTANY, Mr. HECK of Washington, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. TONKO, Ms. EDWARDS, Mr. DELANEY, Mr. POE of Texas, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. WALZ, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. STIVERS, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. TED LIEU of California, Mr. HECK of Nevada, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. DONOVAN, Mr. SCHIFF, Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico, Mr. CARNEY, Mr. BEN RAY LUJÁN of New Mexico, and Mr. MCKINLEY

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in *italic*]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on June 17, 2015]

A BILL

To amend title 54, United States Code, to extend the authorization of appropriations for the Historic Preservation Fund.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
 2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 *This Act may be cited as the “National Historic Pres-*
 5 *ervation Amendments Act”.*

6 **SEC. 2. REAUTHORIZATION OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVA-**
 7 **TION FUND.**

8 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 303102 of title 54, United*
 9 *States Code, is amended by striking “2015” and inserting*
 10 *“2023”.*

11 *(b) FEDERAL NOMINATIONS.—Section 302104 of such*
 12 *title is amended—*

13 *(1) in subsections (a) and (b) by striking “sub-*
 14 *section (c)” and inserting “subsection (d)”;*

15 *(2) by inserting after subsection (b), the fol-*
 16 *lowing new subsection:*

17 *“(c) NOMINATION BY FEDERAL AGENCY.—Subject to*
 18 *the requirements of section 302107 of this title, the regula-*
 19 *tions promulgated under section 302103 of this title, and*
 20 *appeal under subsection (d) of this section, the Secretary*
 21 *may accept a nomination directly by a Federal agency for*
 22 *inclusion of property on the National Register only if—*

23 *“(1) completed nominations are sent to the State*
 24 *Historic Preservation Officer for review and comment*
 25 *regarding the adequacy of the nomination, the signifi-*

1 *cance of the property and its eligibility for the Na-*
2 *tional Register;*

3 *“(2) within 45 days of receiving the completed*
4 *nomination, the State Historic Preservation Officer*
5 *has made a recommendation regarding the nomina-*
6 *tion to the Federal Preservation Officer, except that*
7 *failure to meet this deadline shall constitute a rec-*
8 *ommendation to not support the nomination;*

9 *“(3) the chief elected officials of the county (or*
10 *equivalent governmental unit) and municipal polit-*
11 *ical jurisdiction in which the property is located are*
12 *notified and given 45 days in which to comment;*

13 *“(4) the Federal Preservation Officer forwards it*
14 *to the Keeper of the National Register of Historic*
15 *Places after determining that all procedural require-*
16 *ments have been met, including those in paragraphs*
17 *(1) through (3) above; the nomination is adequately*
18 *documented; the nomination is technically and profes-*
19 *sionally correct and sufficient; and may include an*
20 *opinion as to whether the property meets the National*
21 *Register criteria for evaluation;*

22 *“(5) notice is provided in the Federal Register*
23 *that the nominated property is being considered for*
24 *listing on the National Register that includes any*
25 *comments and the recommendation of the State His-*

1 *toric Preservation Officer and a declaration whether*
 2 *the State Historic Preservation Officer has responded*
 3 *within the 45 day-period of review provided in para-*
 4 *graph (2); and*

5 *“(6) the Secretary addresses in the Federal Reg-*
 6 *ister any comments from the State Historic Preserva-*
 7 *tion Officer that do not support the nomination of the*
 8 *property on the National Register before the property*
 9 *is included in the National Register.”; and*

10 *(3) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection*
 11 *(d).*

12 *(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

13 *(1) Section 303102 of such title is amended by*
 14 *striking “**Contents**” in the heading thereof and in-*
 15 *serting “**Funding**”.*

16 *(2) The table of sections for chapter 3031 of such*
 17 *title is amended by striking the item relating to sec-*
 18 *tion 303102 and inserting the following new item:*

“303102. Funding.”.

Union Calendar No. 576

114TH CONGRESS
2^D Session

H. R. 2817

[Report No. 114-740]

A BILL

To amend title 54, United States Code, to extend the authorization of appropriations for the Historic Preservation Fund.

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed