

113TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2869

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 31, 2013

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan (for himself and Ms. MATSUI) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Medicare Patient Ac-
5 cess to Cancer Treatment Act of 2013”.

1 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

3 (1) The National Cancer Institute estimates
4 that approximately 13.7 million Americans with a
5 history of cancer were alive on January 1, 2012.

6 (2) About 8 million of the 13.7 million Ameri-
7 cans living with cancer are over age 65, and approxi-
8 mately half of cancer care spending is associated
9 with Medicare beneficiaries.

10 (3) National spending on cancer care in 2010
11 is estimated at \$125 billion.

12 (4) In 2011, the National Cancer Institute re-
13 leased projections of the cost of cancer care in the
14 United States, finding the total cost of cancer care
15 in 2020 is expected to be \$206 billion.

16 (5) In a 2010 study, Milliman reported that in
17 2007 a cancer patient receiving chemotherapy in-
18 curred average costs of approximately \$111,000,
19 three times the cost of a coronary artery disease pa-
20 tient, and six times the cost of a diabetes patient.

21 (6) Over the last several years, the United
22 States has been touted as world leader in providing
23 high-quality cancer care.

24 (7) United States cancer survival rates are
25 higher than the average in Europe and Canada for
26 13 of 16 types of cancer.

1 (8) Until recently, over 80 percent of United
2 States cancer patients received care in the commu-
3 nity setting.

4 (9) Over the past several years, the country has
5 experienced a significant shift of outpatient cancer
6 care delivery from the physician’s office to the hos-
7 pital outpatient department.

8 (10) Reports show that over the past six years,
9 43 community practices have started referring all of
10 their patients elsewhere for treatment, 288 oncology
11 office locations have closed, 131 practices have
12 merged or were acquired by a corporate entity other
13 than a hospital, and 469 oncology groups have en-
14 tered into an employment or professional services
15 agreement with a hospital.

16 (11) Over 1,000 clinics or practices have been
17 impacted over the last 3 years out of a population
18 of only 6,000 oncologists in community practice in
19 the United States.

20 (12) A 2013 study published by The Moran
21 Company (“Moran study”) found that, between
22 2005 and 2011, there was a 150 percent increase in
23 administered chemotherapy in the hospital out-
24 patient setting for Medicare fee-for-service bene-
25 ficiaries (increasing from 13.5 percent in 2005 to

1 33.0 percent in 2011) as compared to administration
2 in physician community cancer clinics.

3 (13) The Moran study found that, in 2005, al-
4 most 87 percent of Medicare patients were receiving
5 their care in the community setting, by 2011 only 67
6 percent were utilizing the community setting.

7 (14) The Moran study reports that Medicare
8 payments for chemotherapy administered in hospital
9 outpatient settings have more than tripled since
10 2005 (from \$90 million to \$300 million) while pay-
11 ments to physician community cancer clinics have
12 actually decreased by 14.5 percent.

13 (15) The Medicare physician fee schedule rate
14 in 2012 for CPT Code 96413 (Chemo, iv infusion,
15 1 hr), the most common drug administration code
16 billed by oncology practices, is \$139 but the pay-
17 ment rate for the same service under the Medicare
18 hospital outpatient prospective payment system
19 (HOPPS) fee schedule in 2012 is 50 percent higher
20 at \$208.

21 (16) Utilization-weighted Medicare payment for
22 infusion services is approximately 55 percent higher
23 at the hospital outpatient department than in a phy-
24 sician's office.

1 (17) Medicare proposed in 2012 to pay hospital
2 outpatient departments 25 percent more for radi-
3 ation therapy services than for the same services
4 performed in physicians' offices, including a 70 per-
5 cent differential for intensity modulated radiation
6 treatment (IMRT) and a 188 percent differential for
7 stereotactic body radiation therapy delivery (SBRT).

8 (18) One third of hospitals in the United States
9 purchase chemotherapy drugs through the section
10 340B program at a discount of up to 50 percent, re-
11 sulting in a net cost to such hospitals that typically
12 is at least 30 percent below reimbursement rate
13 (which is based on 106 percent of the average sales
14 price) for community oncologists for such drugs.

15 (19) Medicare reimburses 70 percent of hospital
16 bad debt (uncollectable coinsurance).

17 (20) According to an October 2011 Milliman
18 study, the cost of treating cancer patients is signifi-
19 cantly lower for both Medicare patients (10 percent
20 lower in copayment amounts, more than \$650 sav-
21 ings a year) and the Medicare program (14.2 per-
22 cent less, a savings of \$6,500 a year per patient)
23 when provided in community-based cancer settings
24 as compared to the same treatment in hospital out-
25 patient departments.

1 (21) The April 1, 2013, sequestration cuts to
2 Medicare allowed for a 28 percent cut to the services
3 reimbursement in Medicare part B drugs to commu-
4 nity oncologists.

5 (22) A recent Community Oncology Alliance
6 survey showed that 69 percent of practices surveyed
7 reported that patient treatment or operational
8 changes already have been made due to the seques-
9 ter cut to cancer drugs, with 49 percent of practices
10 forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere for treat-
11 ment, and 62 percent of practices reported that they
12 will be forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere
13 for treatment if the sequestration cuts stay in place
14 through July 31, 2013.

15 (23) The June 2013 report of the Medicare
16 Payment Advisory Commission highlighted the large
17 disparities in payment in outpatient settings and
18 noted that the payment variations across settings
19 should be addressed quickly due to the fact that cur-
20 rent disparities have created incentives for hospitals
21 to buy physician practices, driving up costs for the
22 Medicare program and for beneficiaries.

23 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
24 gress that, to ensure the future of community cancer care,
25 Medicare reimbursement should be equal for the same

1 service provided to a cancer patient regardless of whether
 2 the service is delivered in the hospital outpatient depart-
 3 ment or physician’s office.

4 **SEC. 3. EQUALIZING MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT IN HOS-**
 5 **PITAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENTS AND PHY-**
 6 **SICIANS’ OFFICES FOR CANCER CARE SERV-**
 7 **ICES.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1833(t) of the Social Se-
 9 curity Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(t)) is amended—

10 (1) in paragraph (2)—

11 (A) in subparagraph (G), by striking
 12 “and” at the end;

13 (B) in subparagraph (H), by striking the
 14 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

15 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (H)
 16 the following new subparagraph:

17 “(I) payment for covered OPD services
 18 that are cancer care services (as defined in sub-
 19 paragraph (B) of paragraph (18)) shall be
 20 made consistent with subparagraph (A) of such
 21 paragraph.”; and

22 (2) by adding at the end the following new
 23 paragraph:

24 “(18) SPECIAL PAYMENT RULE FOR CANCER
 25 CARE SERVICES.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of cancer
2 care services that are furnished on or after Jan-
3 uary 1, 2014, the payment amount for such
4 services under this subsection and under section
5 1848 shall be a budget neutral combination (as
6 determined by the Secretary) of—

7 “(i) the amount otherwise payable
8 under this subsection for such services;
9 and

10 “(ii) the amount otherwise payable
11 under section 1848 for such services.

12 “(B) CANCER CARE SERVICES DEFINED.—
13 For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘can-
14 cer care services’ means covered OPD services
15 or physicians’ services for which payment is
16 made under section 1848 that are furnished in
17 conjunction with the diagnosis or treatment of
18 cancer.”.

19 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1848(a) of
20 Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w–4(a)) is amended
21 by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

22 “(9) APPLICATION OF SPECIAL RULE FOR CAN-
23 CER CARE SERVICES.—In the case of physicians’
24 services that are cancer care services (as defined in
25 subparagraph (B) of section 1833(t)(18)) that are

1 furnished on or after January 1, 2014, the payment
2 amount for such services under this section shall be
3 the payment amount for such services determined
4 under subparagraph (A) of such section.”.

○