

# Calendar No. 450

112TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# S. 1744

To provide funding for State courts to assess and improve the handling of proceedings relating to adult guardianship and conservatorship, to authorize the Attorney General to carry out a pilot program for the conduct of background checks on individuals to be appointed as guardians or conservators, and to promote the widespread adoption of information technology to better monitor, report, and audit conservatorships of protected persons.

---

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER 20, 2011

Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. NELSON of Florida, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. COONS) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

JULY 12, 2012

Reported by Mr. LEAHY, with an amendment and an amendment to the title

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

---

## A BILL

To provide funding for State courts to assess and improve the handling of proceedings relating to adult guardianship and conservatorship, to authorize the Attorney General to carry out a pilot program for the conduct of background checks on individuals to be appointed as guardians or conservators, and to promote the widespread adoption of information technology to better mon-

itor, report, and audit conservatorships of protected persons.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2   *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3   **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Guardian Account-  
5   ability and Senior Protection Act”.

6       **TITLE I—STATE COURT  
7                   IMPROVEMENT**

8   **SEC. 101. FUNDING FOR STATE COURTS TO ASSESS AND IM-**  
9                   **PROVE HANDLING OF PROCEEDINGS RELAT-**  
10                  **ING TO ADULT GUARDIANSHIP AND CON-**  
11                  **SERVATORSHIP.**

12       Part A of title IV of the Older Americans Act of 1964  
13   (~~42 U.S.C. 3032 et seq.~~) is amended—

14           (A) in section 411(a)—

15              (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
16              by inserting “(including the highest court of  
17              each State)” after “with States”;

18              (B) in paragraph (12), by striking “; and”  
19              and inserting a semicolon;

20              (C) by redesignating paragraph (13) as  
21              paragraph (14); and

22              (D) by inserting after paragraph (12) the  
23              following:

1           “(13) assessing the fairness, effectiveness, timeliness,  
2       and accessibility of adult guardianship and conservatorship proceedings, implementing changes  
3       deemed necessary as a result of the assessments,  
4       and collecting necessary data regarding those proceedings and the impact of the necessary changes;  
5       and”;

6           and  
7       “(2) by inserting at the end the following:

8           **SEC. 423. FUNDING FOR STATE COURTS TO ASSESS AND  
9           IMPROVE HANDLING OF PROCEEDINGS RE-  
10          LATING TO ADULT GUARDIANSHIP AND CON-  
11          SERVATORSHIP.**

12           “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Assistant Secretary, in consultation with the Attorney General, shall make grants, in accordance with this section, to the highest court of a State for the purpose of enabling such a court, in collaboration with the State unit on aging—

13           “(1) to conduct assessments, in accordance with such requirements as the Secretary shall publish, of the role, responsibilities, and effectiveness of State courts in carrying out State laws requiring proceedings (conducted by or under the supervision of the courts)—

1           “(A) that determine whether to impose a  
2       full, limited, or temporary adult guardianship  
3       or conservatorship;

4           “(B) that select a guardian of a person or  
5       conservator of an estate;

6           “(C) that review the continued need for a  
7       full, limited, or temporary guardianship or con-  
8       servatorship of an adult; and

9           “(D) that review the performance of a per-  
10      son appointed as guardian or conservator for an  
11      adult;

12          “(2) to implement changes deemed necessary as  
13      a result of the assessments; and

14          “(3) to collect data regarding those proceedings  
15      and the impact of the necessary changes.

16          “(b) APPLICATIONS.—In order to be eligible for a  
17      grant under this section, a highest State court shall sub-  
18      mit to the Assistant Secretary an application at such time,  
19      in such form, and including such information and assur-  
20      anees as the Assistant Secretary shall require.

21          “(e) ALLOTMENTS.—Each highest State court which  
22      has an application approved under subsection (b), and is  
23      conducting assessment activities in accordance with this  
24      section, may receive a grant from the Assistant Secretary,  
25      for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2015, from amounts

1 appropriated to carry out section 411, in an amount to  
2 be determined appropriate by the Assistant Secretary.

3       “(d) USE OF GRANT FUNDS.—Each highest State  
4 court which receives funds under this section may use such  
5 funds to pay—

6           “(1) any or all costs of activities under this sec-  
7 tion in fiscal year 2012; and

8           “(2) not more than 75 percent of the cost of ac-  
9 tivities under this section in each of fiscal years  
10 2013, 2014, and 2015.”.

## 11           **TITLE II—BACKGROUND 12            CHECKS**

### 13 SEC. 201. DEFINITIONS.

14       In this title:

15           (1) ADVERSE INFORMATION.—The term “ad-  
16 verse information” means—

17           (A) information from a criminal history  
18 background check that indicates that the indi-  
19 vidual has been convicted of a relevant crime;

20           (B) information that the individual has  
21 been judicially determined to have committed  
22 abuse, abandonment, neglect, or financial or  
23 sexual exploitation of a child, spouse, or other  
24 adult; and

1                   (1) information from an adult or child  
2                   abuse registry that indicates that the individual  
3                   is included in an adult or child abuse registry.

4                   (2) **CONSERVATOR.**—The term “conservator”  
5                   means an individual who is appointed by a court  
6                   under applicable State law to manage the estate of  
7                   a protected person. Such definition shall not apply  
8                   to—

9                   (A) a bank with trust powers, bank and  
10                  trust company, or trust company organized  
11                  under the laws of any State or of the United  
12                  States and which is regulated by the commis-  
13                  sioner of commerce or a Federal regulator; or

14                  (B) a credit union, savings and loan, or  
15                  other financial institution.

16                  (3) **GUARDIAN.**—The term “guardian” means  
17                  an individual who is appointed by a court to protect  
18                  an incapacitated individual’s personal or financial  
19                  welfare.

20                  (4) **PROTECTED PERSON.**—The term “protected  
21                  person” means an adult whom the court determines  
22                  in a guardian or conservatorship proceeding is un-  
23                  able to manage property and accounts.

24                  (5) **RELEVANT CRIME.**—The term “Relevant  
25                  crime” includes any felony or misdemeanor convic-

tion for abuse, neglect, fraud, misappropriation, misrepresentation, theft, conversion, or other financial crime, or such other types of offenses as a participating highest court of a State may specify for purposes of conducting the pilot program in such State.

**6 SEC. 202. PILOT PROGRAM FOR NATIONAL AND STATE  
7 BACKGROUND CHECKS OF GUARDIANS AND  
8 CONSERVATORS.**

9       (a) AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT PROGRAM.—The At-  
10 torney General, shall establish a pilot program to identify  
11 efficient, effective, and economical procedures for State  
12 courts to conduct background checks on prospective  
13 guardians and conservators.

14 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—

1                             (2) PROCEDURES.—The procedures established  
2       by a participating highest State court under para-  
3       graph (1) shall be designed to—

4                             (A) provide a prospective guardian or con-  
5       servator with notice that the appointing court is  
6       required to perform background checks with re-  
7       spect to prospective guardians or conservators;

8                             (B) require, as a condition of appointment,  
9       that the prospective guardian or conservator—

10                             (i) provide a statement signed by the  
11       prospective guardian or conservator au-  
12       thorizing the appointing court to request  
13       national and State criminal history back-  
14       ground checks;

15                             (ii) provide the appointing court with  
16       a set of the prospective guardian's or con-  
17       servator's fingerprints; and

18                             (iii) provide information as to whether  
19       the individual has been suspended or dis-  
20       barred from law, accounting, or other pro-  
21       fessional licensing for misconduct involving  
22       financial matters that affect the ability of  
23       the individual to provide the services of a  
24       guardian or conservator safely and com-  
25       petently;

1                         (C) permit the courts to check any available  
2                         State and national registries that would be  
3                         likely to contain adverse information concerning  
4                         a prospective guardian or conservator; and

5                         (D) permit the courts hearing guardian or  
6                         conservatorship matters to obtain State and na-  
7                         tional criminal history background checks on  
8                         the prospective guardian or conservator.

9                         (3) CONSIDERATION OF INFORMATION.—Prior  
10                         to appointing an individual with respect to whom ad-  
11                         verse information is available as a guardian or con-  
12                         servator under a program under this title, the ap-  
13                         pointing court shall consider the nature of the infor-  
14                         mation or offense involved, the date of any offense,  
15                         and evidence of the proposed guardian's or conserva-  
16                         tor's rehabilitation and determine whether the indi-  
17                         vidual's appointment is in the best interest of the  
18                         protected person.

19                         (4) USE OF INFORMATION; IMMUNITY FROM LI-  
20                         ABILITY.—A participating highest State court shall  
21                         ensure that information obtained about the prospec-  
22                         tive guardian or conservator pursuant to a back-  
23                         ground check conducted under the State supreme  
24                         court pilot program is used only for the purpose of

1 determining the suitability of the prospective guardian  
2 or conservator for appointment.

3 (e) PARTICIPATING STATES.

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General shall  
5 enter into agreements with not more than 5 States  
6 to conduct the pilot program under this section in  
7 such States.

8 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—An agreement entered  
9 into under paragraph (1) shall require that a participating  
10 highest State court—

11 (A) be responsible for monitoring compliance  
12 with the requirements of the pilot program;

14 (B) have procedures by which a prospective  
15 guardian or conservator may appeal or dispute  
16 the accuracy of the information obtained in a  
17 background check, including consideration of  
18 the nature of the information or offense involved;  
19 the date of offense; and the evidence of  
20 the prospective guardian's or conservator's re-  
21 habilitation;

22 (C) establish procedures requiring the  
23 courts hearing guardian or conservatorship  
24 matters to request criminal history background  
25 checks of prospective guardians or conservators

1 and review the results of any State or national  
2 criminal history background check regarding a  
3 prospective guardian or conservator to deter-  
4 mine whether the prospective guardian or con-  
5 servator has any conviction for a relevant crime;

6 (D) keep data on the background checks  
7 performed to be readily accessible for the eval-  
8 uation; and

9 (E) agree to obtain from the State, non-  
10 Federal contributions, in cash or in-kind, to-  
11 ward the costs of carrying out the pilot pro-  
12 gram in an amount equal to not less than \$1  
13 for each \$4 of Federal funds provided to the  
14 court under this section.

15 (3) APPLICATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA.—

16 (A) APPLICATION.—The highest State  
17 court seeking to participate in the pilot pro-  
18 gram established under this section, shall sub-  
19 mit an application to the Attorney General con-  
20 taining such information and at such time as  
21 the Attorney General may specify.

22 (B) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

23 (i) IN GENERAL.—In selecting highest  
24 State court to participate in the pilot pro-

gram under this section, the Attorney General shall establish criteria to ensure—

(III) that at least one participating highest State court includes protected person abuse prevention training for prospective guardians or conservators as part of the pilot program conducted in that State.

1       (d) PAYMENTS.—Of the amounts made available  
2 under subsection (f) to conduct the pilot program under  
3 this section, the Attorney General shall—

4              (1) make payments to participating highest  
5 State courts for the costs of conducting the pilot  
6 program in such States which may include the ad-  
7 ministration of the pilot program, staffing, the cost  
8 of background checks, and other purposes as deter-  
9 mined by the Attorney General; and

10             (2) reserve up to 4 percent of such amounts to  
11 conduct the evaluation required under subsection  
12 (e).

13       (e) EVALUATION.—The Attorney General shall,  
14 through grant, contract, or interagency agreement, con-  
15 duct an evaluation of the pilot program conducted under  
16 this section. Such evaluation should—

17              (1) review the various procedures implemented  
18 by participating highest State courts for the conduct  
19 of background checks of prospective guardians or  
20 conservators and identify the most efficient, effec-  
21 tive, and economical procedures for conducting such  
22 background checks;

23              (2) assess the costs of conducting such back-  
24 ground checks (including start-up and administra-  
25 tive costs);

1                 (3) consider the benefits and disadvantages as-  
2                 sociated with requiring prospective guardians or con-  
3                 servators to pay the costs of conducting such back-  
4                 ground checks;

5                 (4) determine the extent to which conducting  
6                 such background checks leads to any unintended  
7                 consequences, including a reduction in the available  
8                 guardians or conservators or delay and backlogs in  
9                 processing appointments;

10                 (5) to the extent feasible, seek to determine the  
11                 efficacy of the pilot program in reducing abuse of  
12                 protected persons and their estate by guardians or  
13                 conservators; and

14                 (6) include other elements that would bear on  
15                 the usefulness and effectiveness of the program.

16                 (f) FUNDING.—There are authorized to be appro-  
17                 priated to the Attorney General to carry out the pilot pro-  
18                 gram under this section, such sums as may be necessary  
19                 for the period of fiscal years 2012 through 2015.

20                 **TITLE III—E-FILE**

21                 **SEC. 301. DEFINITIONS.**

22                 In this title:

23                 (1) ADULT.—The term “adult” means an indi-  
24                 vidual who is 18 years of age or older.

1                   (2) CONSERVATOR.—The term “conservator”  
2 means an individual who is appointed by a court  
3 under applicable State law to manage the estate of  
4 a protected person.

5                   (3) EXPLOITATION.—The term “exploitation”  
6 means the fraudulent or otherwise illegal, unauthorized,  
7 or improper act or process of a conservator  
8 that uses the resources of a protected person for the  
9 conservator’s monetary or personal benefit, profit, or  
10 gain, or that results in depriving a protected person  
11 of rightful access to, or use of, benefits, resources,  
12 belongings, services or assets.

13                  (4) GUARDIAN.—The term “guardian” means  
14 an individual who is appointed by a court to protect  
15 an incapacitated individual’s personal or financial  
16 welfare.

17                  (5) HIGHEST STATE COURT.—The term “highest  
18 State court” means the highest appellate court  
19 within a State.

20                  (6) PROTECTED PERSON.—The term “protected  
21 person” means an adult whom the court determines  
22 in a guardian or conservatorship proceeding is un-  
23 able to manage property and accounts.

24 **SEC. 302. CONSERVATOR MONITORING GRANT PROGRAM.**

25                  (a) GRANTS.—

1                   (1) IN GENERAL.—The State Justice Institute,  
2 pursuant to the authority provided in the State Justice  
3 Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10701 et seq.),  
4 may award grants to eligible highest State courts to  
5 assist in improving conservator monitoring efforts  
6 through electronic filing.

7                   (2) DURATION.—Grants awarded under para-  
8 graph (1) shall be for a period of not to exceed 3  
9 years.

10                  (b) USE OF FUNDS.—

11                  (1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts received under a  
12 grant awarded under subsection (a) shall be used to  
13 implement and evaluate the impact of systems ena-  
14 bling the annual accountings and other required con-  
15 servatorship filings to be completed, filed, reviewed,  
16 and analyzed electronically in order to simplify the  
17 filing process for conservators and better enable the  
18 courts to identify discrepancies and detect fraud and  
19 exploitation of protected persons.

20                  (2) ALLOCATION.—A highest State court that  
21 receives a grant under this section shall prioritize  
22 the use of the grant funds so as to assist the great-  
23 est number of conservators and protect the greatest  
24 number of protected persons.

1       (e) APPLICATION.—A highest State court desiring a  
2 grant under subsection (a)(1) shall submit an application  
3 to the State Justice Institute at such time, in such man-  
4 ner, and accompanied by such information as the State  
5 Justice Institute may require.

6 **SEC. 303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

7       There are authorized to be appropriated to the State  
8 Justice Institute to carry out the activities under this title,  
9 such sums as may be necessary for the period of fiscal  
10 years 2012 through 2015.

11 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

12       *This Act may be cited as the “Guardian Account-  
13 ability and Senior Protection Act”.*

14 **SEC. 2. ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT OF RULES AND  
15                          PROCEDURES      RELATING      TO      ADULT  
16                          GUARDIANSHIPS AND CONSERVATORSHIPS.**

17       *Section 411 of the Older Americans Act of 1964 (42  
18 U.S.C. 3032) is amended—*

19               (1) *in subsection (a)—*

20               (A) *in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
21 by inserting “(including the highest court of each  
22 State)” after “with States”;*

23               (B) *in paragraph (12), by striking “; and”  
24 and inserting a semicolon;*

1                   (C) by redesignating paragraph (13) as  
2                   paragraph (14); and

3                   (D) by inserting after paragraph (12) the  
4                   following:

5                   “(13) in accordance with subsection (c), assessing  
6                   the fairness, effectiveness, timeliness, safety, integrity,  
7                   and accessibility of adult guardianship and conservatorship  
8                   proceedings, including the appointment and the monitoring of the performance of guardians  
9                   and conservators, and implementing changes deemed  
10                  necessary as a result of the assessments; and”; and

11                  (2) by inserting at the end the following:

12                  “(c)           ADULT           GUARDIANSHIPS           AND

13                  CONSERVATORSHIPS.—

14                  “(1) GRANTS.—

15                  “(A) IN GENERAL.—In awarding grants or  
16                  contracts under subsection (a)(13), the Assistant  
17                  Secretary shall obtain feedback from the State  
18                  Justice Institute in accordance with subparagraph  
19                  (B) and may consult with the Attorney  
20                  General, and such grants or contracts shall otherwise comply with this subsection.

21                  “(B) STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE.—The Assistant  
22                  Secretary shall submit to the State Justice Institute recommendations for the awarding

1           *of grants or contracts under subsection (a)(13).*  
2           *The Institute shall have 60 days in which to submit to the Assistant Secretary the response of the*  
3           *Institute to such recommendations. The Assistant Secretary shall consider such response prior to awarding such grants or contracts.*

7           “*(2) GRANTEES AND ACTIVITIES.—Grants may be awarded under subsection (a)(13) to the highest court of each State for the purpose of enabling such court, in collaboration with the State unit on aging and State adult protective service agency—*

12           “*(A) to conduct assessments of the practices and procedures used to—*

14           “*(i) determine whether to impose a full, limited, or temporary adult guardianship or conservatorship;*

17           “*(ii) select a guardian of a person or conservator of an estate;*

19           “*(iii) review the continued need for a full, limited, or temporary guardianship or conservatorship of an adult; and*

22           “*(iv) review the performance of guardians or conservators;*

24           “*(B) to implement changes deemed necessary as a result of the assessments; and*

1           “(C) to collect data regarding those practices and procedures and the impact of the necessary changes.

4           “(3) *ALLOTMENTS*.—The amount of a grant under subsection (a)(13) shall be determined by the Assistant Secretary, in consultation with the State Justice Institute and the Attorney General (if the Assistance Secretary determines appropriate).

9           “(4) *BACKGROUND CHECKS*.—The Assistant Secretary shall set aside 25 percent of amounts made available for grants under this subsection in each fiscal year to enable courts to implement or improve systems to conduct background checks on prospective guardians and conservators. Such systems shall comply with the following requirements:

16           “(A) At minimum, the background checks shall include national and State criminal background checks, a search of child abuse and adult abuse registries, and a search as to whether the individual has been suspended or disbarred from law, accounting, or other professional licensing for misconduct.

23           “(B) The court shall consider all of the information obtained from the background check to determine whether such an individual is suffi-

1           *sufficiently trustworthy to be a guardian or conservator and that the appointment of such individual is in the best interest of the protected person.*

5           “(C) *The information obtained from the background check shall only be used for the purpose of determining the suitability of the prospective guardian or conservator for appointment.*

10          “(5) *ELECTRONIC FILING.—Funds received pursuant to subsection (a)(13) may be used to implement systems enabling the annual accountings and other required conservatorship and guardianship filings to be completed, filed, and reviewed electronically in order to simplify the filing process for conservators and guardians, and better enable the courts to identify discrepancies and detect fraud and the exploitation of protected persons.*

19          “(6) *EVALUATION AND REPORT.—The Assistant Secretary, in consultation with the Attorney General and the State Justice Institute, shall conduct an evaluation of the improvements made by courts to which this subsection applies, and prepare and submit a report concerning such evaluation to Congress within 18 months of the date on which the first grant is*

1       *awarded under subsection (a)(13), and a second re-*  
2       *port 18 months later, and shall use and distribute the*  
3       *reports and evaluations as the Assistant Secretary, in*  
4       *consultation with the Attorney General and the State*  
5       *Justice Institute, determines appropriate in order to*  
6       *improve guardianships and conservatorships nation-*  
7       *wide.”.*

Amend the title so as to read: “A bill to enable State courts to assess and improve the rules and procedures relating to adult guardianships and conservatorships.”.



**Calendar No. 450**

112<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

**S. 1744**

**A BILL**

To provide funding for State courts to assess and improve the handling of proceedings relating to adult guardianship and conservatorship, to authorize the Attorney General to carry out a pilot program for the conduct of background checks on individuals to be appointed as guardians or conservators, and to promote the widespread adoption of information technology to better monitor, report, and audit conservatorships of protected persons.

---

---

JULY 12, 2012

Reported with an amendment and an amendment to the title