112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1322

To permit commercial vehicles at weights up to 129,000 pounds to use certain highways on the Interstate System in the State of Idaho, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

June 30, 2011

Mr. Crapo (for himself, Mr. Risch, Mr. Hatch, and Mr. Lee) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Environment and Public Works

A BILL

- To permit commercial vehicles at weights up to 129,000 pounds to use certain highways on the Interstate System in the State of Idaho, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Idaho Efficient Vehicle
 - 5 Demonstration Act of 2011".
 - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 7 Congress finds that—
- 8 (1) certain States, including Montana, Nevada,
- 9 Utah, and Wyoming, have been grandfathered the

- right to operate 129,000-pound or greater vehicle combinations on 9 axles or more on Federal-aid highways;
 - (2) laws governing Federal highway funding effectively impose a limit of 105,500 pounds on the weight of vehicles permitted to use the Interstate System within the State of Idaho;
 - (3) the State of Idaho is surrounded by the States specified in paragraph (1), which allow higher weight limits on the Interstate System, and that puts the State of Idaho at a disadvantage in moving freight within Idaho and into those surrounding States;
 - (4) in 2003, the legislature of the State of Idaho adopted House Bill 395, which established a 10-year pilot project that allows vehicle combinations up to 129,000 pounds to be operated on 10 axles on specific routes in Idaho, but does not address the Interstate System;
 - (5) in enacting the pilot project, the legislature required the Idaho Transportation Department to report to the legislature on the effect of the project;
 - (6) the Idaho Transportation Department is required to submit reports every 3 years during the 10-year life of the pilot project that describe the re-

1	sults of monitoring and evaluation of all important
2	impacts, including impacts to safety, bridges, and
3	pavement, on all pilot project routes;
4	(7) the pilot project terminates on July 1,
5	2013, unless the project is otherwise extended or
6	sooner repealed by the legislature;
7	(8) the administration of the pilot project, cou-
8	pled with the fact that vehicle combinations cannot
9	operate at 129,000 pounds on the Interstate System,
10	has forced those heavier vehicle combinations to di-
11	vert onto small State and local roads on which high-
12	er vehicle weight limits are allowed under State law;
13	(9) the diversion of those vehicles onto those
14	roads increases fuel costs because of increased idling
15	time and total travel time along those roads;
16	(10) the cost of transportation fuel has in-
17	creased more than 80 percent between calendar
18	years 2007 and 2008; and
19	(11) permitting commercial vehicles to travel on
20	a select few Interstate System highways within the
21	State at increased weight limits would provide—
22	(A) significant savings in the transpor-

tation of goods throughout the State; and

23

tunity
es on
HIGH-
high-
ted as
e date
he re-
Inited
t sec-
neans
epart-
Inter-
rm in
oject''
1

(4) PILOT PROJECT.—The term "pilot project" means the 10-year pilot project of the State, established in 2003 under House Bill 395 of the State, that permits vehicle combinations weighing up to 129,000 pounds to be operated on specific routes in the State.

1	(5) Secretary.—The term "Secretary" means
2	the Secretary of Transportation.
3	(6) STATE.—The term "State" means the State
4	of Idaho.
5	SEC. 4. WAIVER OF HIGHWAY FUNDING REDUCTION RELAT-
6	ING TO WEIGHT OF VEHICLES USING INTER-
7	STATE SYSTEM HIGHWAYS.
8	(a) Prohibition Relating to Certain Vehi-
9	CLES.—Notwithstanding section 127(a) of title 23, United
10	States Code, the total amount of funds apportioned to the
11	State under section 104(b)(1) of such title for any period
12	may not be reduced under such section 127(a) on the basis
13	that the State permits a vehicle described in subsection
14	(b) to use a covered Interstate System highway in the
15	State in accordance with this section.
16	(b) Vehicles Combinations.—A vehicle referred to
17	in subsection (a) is a vehicle that—
18	(1) has a weight in excess of 105,500 pounds
19	but not more than 129,000 pounds;
20	(2) consists of a power unit hauling 2 or more
21	trailers or semitrailers;
22	(3) does not exceed any vehicle weight limita-
23	tion that is applicable under State law to the oper-
24	ation of the vehicle on highways in the State that
25	are not part of the Interstate System, as those laws

1	are in effect on the date of enactment of this Act;
2	and
3	(4) is limited to travel only on—
4	(A) the portion of Interstate Route 15 ex-
5	tending from the Montana border to the Utah
6	border;
7	(B) the portion of Interstate Route 86 ex-
8	tending from the junction of Interstate Route
9	15 to the junction with Interstate Route 84;
10	and
11	(C) the portion of Interstate Route 84 ex-
12	tending from the Utah border to the Oregon
13	border.
14	(c) Termination of Authority.—
15	(1) In General.—Subject to paragraph (2),
16	this section and the authority provided under this
17	section shall terminate on July 1, 2013.
18	(2) Exceptions.—This section and the author-
19	ity provided under this section shall terminate on—
20	(A) a date that is later than the date spec-
21	ified in paragraph (1), if the project is extended
22	to that later date by the State; or
23	(B) any date that is before, on, or after
24	the date specified in paragraph (1), if the Sec-
25	retary—

1	(i) determines that—
2	(I) operation of vehicles described
3	in subsection (b) on covered Interstate
4	System highways has adversely af-
5	fected safety on the overall highway
6	system; or
7	(II) the Director has failed to
8	collect the data described in section
9	5(2); and
10	(ii) publishes the determination, to-
11	gether with the date of termination of this
12	section, in the Federal Register.
13	(d) Consultation Regarding Termination for
14	SAFETY.—In making a determination under subsection
15	(c)(2)(B)(i), the Secretary shall consult with the Director.
16	SEC. 5. RESPONSIBILITIES OF STATE.
17	For the purpose of section 4, the State shall be con-
18	sidered to meet the conditions under this section if the
19	Director—
20	(1) submits to the Secretary a plan for use in
21	meeting the conditions described in paragraph (2);
22	and
23	(2) collects data on the net effects that the op-
24	eration of vehicles described in section 4(b) on cov-
25	ered Interstate System highways in the State have

- 1 on the safety of the overall highway system, in ac-
- 2 cordance with the terms of the pilot project.

 \bigcirc