## H. R. 1368

To amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to require the establishment of teacher evaluation programs.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 5, 2011

Mrs. Davis of California (for herself and Mr. Polis) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce

## A BILL

To amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to require the establishment of teacher evaluation programs.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Securing Teacher Ef-
- 5 fectiveness, Leadership, Learning, And Results Act of
- 6 2011" or the "STELLAR Act".
- 7 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 8 Congress finds the following:

- 1 (1) Effective teachers and principals are the 2 backbone of our schools and the key to successful 3 students.
  - (2) Teachers and principals deserve our full support as they take on one of the most important and most challenging responsibilities—educating our children.
  - (3) High-quality evaluations that provide meaningful feedback are a crucial element to giving educators the support they need to successfully achieve at high levels.
  - (4) Teachers and principals also deserve access to professional development opportunities so they can continue to learn and grow as educators.
  - (5) Research shows that high-quality and effective teaching is the single most important school-based factor impacting student learning.
  - (6) In formal studies, including research highlighted in "The Widget Effect", nearly 75 percent of teachers reported that they have not received specific suggestions on how to improve classroom practices in annual evaluations.
  - (7) Across all local educational agencies, only
    43 percent of teachers, including novice teachers

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- who may benefit the most from feedback, report that current evaluations systems are helpful.
  - (8) Research also shows that school leadership quality is second only to teacher quality among school-related factors in its impact on student learning.
    - (9) Strong school leadership is a key determinant of whether schools can attract and retain effective teachers.
    - (10) Principals set the direction and the vision for a school, and through inspirational leadership, can create a positive learning environment.
    - (11) Constructive feedback specifying areas for improvement could be useful to both teachers and principals who are dedicated to growing professionally.
    - (12) The most effective way to turn around a struggling school is through talented teachers and an inspirational principal.
    - (13) Effective teachers and principals also deserve to be recognized for excellence and to receive commendations in areas of strong performance and improvement.

1	(14) Evaluations should give teachers and prin-
2	cipals the opportunity to foster mutually beneficial
3	professional relationships.
4	(15) Teachers and principals should provide
5	input and contribute directly to designing, imple-
6	menting, and improving evaluation systems in their
7	school districts.
8	(16) High-quality teacher and principal evalua-
9	tions have the potential to be a powerful tool and
10	should play a significant role in building a talented
11	force of educators.
12	(17) The goal of evaluation systems is to im-
13	prove individual, as well as whole school and district,
14	teaching and leadership practices to benefit stu-
15	dents.
16	SEC. 3. ROBUST TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATIONS.
17	(a) In General.—Section 1111(b) of the Elemen-
18	tary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.
19	6311(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:
20	"(11) Robust Teacher and Principal Eval-
21	UATIONS.—
22	"(A) In general.—Not later than 5 years
23	after the date of enactment of the STELLAR
24	Act, each State plan shall include the following:

1	"(i) The statewide definitions of
2	teacher and principal effectiveness that the
3	State has established and not less than 4
4	levels of performance ratings for teachers
5	and for principals, including an effective
6	rating and a highly effective rating, based
7	on such definitions.
8	"(ii) A demonstration that the State
9	has developed, after taking input from and
10	collaborating with, teachers and principals,
11	a model teacher and principal evaluation
12	program under which—
13	"(I) individuals in charge of ad-
14	ministering teacher and principal eval-
15	uations within each local educational
16	agency in the State are provided rig-
17	orous training on how to conduct the
18	teacher and principal evaluations, in-
19	cluding—
20	"(aa) how to provide specific
21	feedback about improving teach-
22	ing and principal practice based
23	on evaluation results;
24	"(bb) how to evaluate teach-
25	ers and principals using the per-

1	formance ratings described in
2	clause (i) and established under
3	subparagraphs (B)(iii) and
4	(C)(viii); and
5	"(ce) a measure of student
6	academic growth with respect to
7	the State's academic standards of
8	the school's students, including
9	students in each of the subgroups
10	described in paragraph
11	(2)(C)(v)(II), and training on
12	how to interpret such measure;
13	"(II) a teacher or principal who
14	is evaluated is provided, based on the
15	evaluation results, professional devel-
16	opment opportunities that meet the
17	specific needs identified for the teach-
18	er or principal, including mentorship
19	programs that use highly effective
20	teachers or principals as leaders or
21	coaches;
22	"(III) measures are taken to en-
23	sure that the results of personally
24	identifiable teacher and principal eval-

1	uations are not publicly disclosed, ex-
2	cept as required under subsection (h);
3	"(IV) regular monitoring and as-
4	sessment of the quality, reliability, va-
5	lidity, fairness, consistency, and objec-
6	tivity of the evaluation program and
7	the evaluators' judgments takes place
8	within and across local educational
9	agencies in the State;
10	"(V) each teacher's performance
11	is evaluated in accordance with sub-
12	paragraph (B);
13	"(VI) each principal's perform-
14	ance is evaluated in accordance with
15	subparagraph (C);
16	"(VII) on the basis of the evalua-
17	tion, each teacher or principal re-
18	ceives—
19	"(aa) a performance rating,
20	as described in clause (i), that is
21	based on multiple measures;
22	"(bb) in the case of a teach-
23	er, in addition to the measures
24	required under subparagraph
25	(B)—

1	"(AA) in a grade level
2	and subject area with a
3	statewide assessment, a
4	measure of student learning
5	gains that is comparable
6	across the State for all
7	teachers in grade levels and
8	subject areas with a state-
9	wide assessment; or
10	"(BB) in a grade level
11	and subject area without a
12	statewide assessment, a
13	measure of student learning
14	gains that is comparable
15	across the local educational
16	agency for all teachers in
17	grade levels and subject
18	areas without a statewide
19	assessment;
20	"(cc) ongoing formative
21	feedback and specific rec-
22	ommendations on areas for pro-
23	fessional improvement, which in-
24	cludes an identification of areas
25	in which the teacher or principal

1	can strengthen practices to im-
2	prove student learning;
3	"(dd) commendations for ex-
4	cellence in areas of strong per-
5	formance and in areas of signifi-
6	cant improvement; and
7	"(ee) in the case of a teach-
8	er or principal who is identified
9	as being in 1 of the lowest 2 per-
10	formance ratings described in
11	clause (i), a comprehensive reme-
12	diation plan within set time pa-
13	rameters not to exceed 1 year;
14	"(VIII) evaluation results are
15	clearly communicated to each teacher
16	and principal, and the steps, goals,
17	and requirements of a professional de-
18	velopment or remediation plan are
19	clearly communicated to the teacher
20	or principal;
21	"(IX) evaluation results are the
22	primary factor used in determining
23	layoffs during any reduction in force;
24	"(X) evaluation results are used
25	to ensure that low-income students

1	and minority students are not as-
2	signed at higher rates than other stu-
3	dents to classes in core academic sub-
4	jects taught by teachers who have re-
5	ceived one of the two lowest evalua-
6	tion rates in their most recent evalua-
7	tion;
8	"(XI) evaluation results are used
9	as the principal factor in informing all
10	key personnel and staffing decisions,
11	including decisions with respect to
12	tenure, promotion, and retention;
13	"(XII) any teacher or principal
14	who receives the lowest evaluation
15	performance rating for 2 consecutive
16	years is subject to dismissal;
17	"(XIII) any teacher or principal
18	who receives 1 of the lowest 2 per-
19	formance ratings and does not suc-
20	cessfully improve performance on an
21	evaluation after completing the com-
22	prehensive remediation plan as re-
23	quired under subclause (VII)(ee) is
24	prohibited from working in any ele-

1	mentary school or secondary school
2	served under this part; and
3	"(XIV) a system is implemented
4	under which every teacher and prin-
5	cipal is evaluated annually.
6	"(iii) A demonstration that each local
7	educational agency in the State has adopt-
8	ed a local educational agency-wide teacher
9	and principal evaluation program that—
10	"(I) was developed after seeking
11	input from and collaborating with
12	teachers and principals;
13	"(II) meets the standards for va-
14	lidity and reliability developed by the
15	State; and
16	"(III) meets the minimum re-
17	quirements set forth in clause (ii).
18	"(iv) A demonstration that each local
19	educational agency in the State is seeking
20	input from and collaborating with teachers
21	and principals to make improvements to
22	the evaluation program on an annual basis.
23	"(v) An assurance that the State will,
24	on a regular basis—

1	"(I) review the teacher and prin-
2	cipal evaluation systems used by the
3	local educational agencies in the
4	State, including—
5	"(aa) comparing the teacher
6	and principal evaluation results,
7	for each agency and each agen-
8	cy's schools, against the student
9	academic achievement and stu-
10	dent growth in the agency and
11	each agency's schools;
12	"(bb) assessing the extent to
13	which each local educational
14	agency's existing system dem-
15	onstrates meaningful differentia-
16	tion among teacher performance
17	levels and among principal per-
18	formance levels; and
19	"(ce) comparing implemen-
20	tation and results across the
21	evaluation systems of local edu-
22	cational agencies in the State to
23	ensure—
24	"(AA) comparability
25	across the State in imple-

1	mentation of such systems;
2	and
3	"(BB) that such sys-
4	tems meet the State's cri-
5	teria or definitions for each
6	of the terms described in
7	clause (i); and
8	"(II) provide technical assistance
9	to improve an agency's teacher and
10	principal evaluation system so that
11	the system provides meaningful dif-
12	ferentiation and is aligned with stu-
13	dent academic achievement and stu-
14	dent growth results in the agency and
15	in each of the agency's schools.
16	"(vi) An assurance that beginning 3
17	years after the date of enactment of the
18	STELLAR Act, the State educational
19	agency will submit to the Secretary an an-
20	nual report on implementation of the
21	State's evaluation programs.
22	"(vii) An assurance that the State will
23	publish a report each year showing the av-
24	erage estimate of teacher impact on stu-

1	dent growth for each of the performance
2	categories.
3	"(viii) An assurance that the State is
4	seeking input from teachers and principals
5	on the effectiveness of methods measuring
6	student growth and how to improve such
7	methods.
8	"(ix) An assurance that processes and
9	procedures are established to ensure fair-
10	ness for nonprobationary teachers and
11	principals facing loss of employment due to
12	an ineffective rating in an evaluation pro-
13	gram.
14	"(B) Requirements for teacher eval-
15	UATIONS.—The evaluation of a teacher's per-
16	formance shall comply with the following min-
17	imum requirements:
18	"(i) Student academic growth.—
19	A predominant factor of the evaluation is
20	based on student academic growth with re-
21	spect to the State's academic standards, as
22	measured by—
23	"(I) student learning gains on
24	the State's academic assessments es-
25	tablished under paragraph (3) or, for

1	grades and subjects not covered by
2	the State's academic assessments, an-
3	other valid and reliable assessment of
4	student academic achievement, as long
5	as the assessment is used consistently
6	by the local educational agency in
7	which the teacher is employed for the
8	grade or class for which the assess-
9	ment is administered; and
10	"(II) if available, value-added
11	measures that track individual stu-
12	dent academic growth while under the
13	instruction of the teacher.
14	"(ii) Observations of Teacher
15	PERFORMANCE.—A portion of the evalua-
16	tion is based on observations of the teach-
17	er's performance in the classroom by more
18	than 1 trained and objective observer—
19	"(I) that take place on several
20	occasions during the school year the
21	teacher is being evaluated; and
22	"(II) under which—
23	"(aa) a teacher is evaluated
24	against a rigorous rubric that de-
25	fines multiple performance cat-

1	egories in alignment with the
2	State's professional standards for
3	teachers; and
4	"(bb) observation ratings
5	meaningfully differentiate among
6	teachers' performance and bear a
7	relationship to evidence of stu-
8	dent academic growth with re-
9	spect to the State's academic
10	standards.
11	"(iii) Meaningful differentia-
12	TION.—The evaluation provides perform-
13	ance ratings that meaningfully differen-
14	tiate among teacher performance using the
15	performance ratings and levels described in
16	subparagraph (A)(i).
17	"(iv) Comparability of student
18	GAINS.—The evaluation provides a meas-
19	ure of student learning gains that is com-
20	parable across the State for all teachers in
21	grade levels and subject areas with a state-
22	wide assessment.
23	"(v) Comparability of results.—
24	The evaluation provides results that are
25	comparable, at a minimum, across all

1	teachers within a grade level or subject
2	area in the local educational agency in
3	which the teacher is employed.
4	"(C) REQUIREMENTS FOR PRINCIPAL
5	EVALUATIONS.—The evaluation of the perform-
6	ance of a principal of a school shall comply with
7	the following minimum requirements:
8	"(i) Student academic growth.—
9	A predominant factor of the evaluation is
10	based on student academic growth attain-
11	ment with respect to the State's academic
12	standards of the school's students, includ-
13	ing students in each of the subgroups de-
14	scribed in paragraph (2)(C)(v)(II).
15	"(ii) Graduating rates.—For a
16	principal of a secondary school, a portion
17	of the evaluation is based on improvements
18	in the school's graduation rate, when appli-
19	cable, or in the case of a secondary school
20	with a graduation rate of more than 90
21	percent, the success of the principal in
22	maintaining such graduation rate.
23	"(iii) Support of effective teach-
24	ERS.—A portion of the evaluation is based

1	on the recruitment, development, evalua-
2	tion, and retention of effective teachers.
3	"(iv) Leadership abilities.—A por-
4	tion of the evaluation is based on the lead-
5	ership abilities of the principal, as meas-
6	ured by observations of the principal and
7	other relevant data evaluated against a rig-
8	orous rubric that defines multiple perform-
9	ance categories in alignment with the
10	State's professional standards for prin-
11	cipals.
12	"(v) Content of observation rat-
13	INGS.—The observations described in
14	clause (iv) provide observation ratings
15	that—
16	"(I) meaningfully differentiate
17	among principals' performance; and
18	"(II) bear a strong relationship
19	to evidence of student academic
20	growth with respect to the State's
21	academic standards.
22	"(vi) Description of Leadership
23	ABILITIES.—The leadership abilities re-
24	ferred to in clause (iv) include the ability
25	of the principal to—

1 "(I) create a shared and coheren
2 schoolwide direction and policy fo
achieving high levels of student aca
4 demic growth and closing achievemen
5 gaps among students;
6 "(II) identify and implement th
7 activities and rigorous curriculum nec
8 essary for achieving high levels of stu
9 dent academic growth;
0 "(III) create opportunities fo
1 the community and families of stu
dents to engage positively with school
3 administrators and staff;
4 "(IV) demonstrate knowledge o
5 youth development appropriate to th
6 age level served by the school;
7 "(V) support positive learning
8 environments for students;
9 "(VI) cultivate a positive and col
laborative work environment fo
school faculty and staff;
"(VII) collect, analyze, and uti
lize data and other tangible evidence
of student learning and evidence of
classroom practice to guide decision

1	and actions for continuous improve-
2	ment and to ensure performance ac-
3	countability;
4	"(VIII) effectively oversee and
5	manage a teacher evaluation program
6	that provides individualized feedback;
7	"(IX) have strong organizational
8	management of a school, including
9	sound budget and personnel practices;
10	"(X) understand the need for a
11	supportive learning and social environ-
12	ment and provide access to specialized
13	instructional support from school
14	counselors, psychologists, and social
15	workers in providing critical services
16	to students; and
17	"(XI) successfully complete a
18	State-approved principal licensure
19	program that builds on the skills,
20	knowledge, and attitudes to effectively
21	lead and inspire teachers and students
22	and manage school operations.
23	"(vii) Meaningful differentia-
24	TION.—The evaluation provides perform-
25	ance ratings that meaningfully differen-

1	tiate among principal performance using
2	the performance ratings and levels de-
3	scribed in subparagraph (A)(i).
4	"(viii) Comparability of Re-
5	SULTS.—The evaluation provides results
6	that are comparable across all principals
7	within the local educational agency in
8	which the principal is employed.".
9	(b) Additional State Plan Requirements.—
10	Section 1111(b)(8)(C) of the Elementary and Secondary
11	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(8)(C)) is
12	amended by inserting "or teachers who received a per-
13	formance rating under the evaluation system described in
14	paragraph (11) that is below the effective level" after
15	"teachers".
16	SEC. 4. PUBLIC REPORTING.
17	Section 1111(h) of the Elementary and Secondary
18	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(h)) is amended—
19	(1) in paragraph (1)(C)—
20	(A) in clause (vii), by striking "and" after
21	the semicolon;
22	(B) in clause (viii), by striking the period
23	at the end and inserting "; and"; and
24	(C) by adding at the end the following:

1	"(ix) for each performance rating es-
2	tablished under clause (i), the number and
3	percentage of teachers, and the number
4	and percentage of principals, who received
5	such performance rating, for—
6	"(I) the State overall;
7	"(II) the highest poverty and
8	lowest poverty local educational agen-
9	cies; and
10	"(III) the highest minority and
11	lowest minority local educational
12	agencies.";
13	(2) in paragraph (2)(B)—
14	(A) in clause (i)—
15	(i) in subclause (I), by striking "and"
16	after the semicolon; and
17	(ii) by adding at the end the fol-
18	lowing:
19	"(III) for each performance rat-
20	ing established under clause (i), the
21	number and percentage of teachers,
22	and the number and percentage of
23	principals, who received such perform-
24	ance rating, for—

1	"(aa) the local educational
2	agency overall;
3	"(bb) the highest poverty
4	and lowest poverty schools; and
5	"(ce) the highest minority
6	and lowest minority schools
7	and"; and
8	(B) in clause (ii)—
9	(i) in subclause (I), by striking "and"
10	after the semicolon;
11	(ii) in subclause (II), by striking the
12	period at the end and inserting "; and";
13	and
14	(iii) by adding at the end the fol-
15	lowing:
16	"(III) for each performance rat-
17	ing established under clause (i), the
18	number and percentage of teachers at
19	the school that received such perform-
20	ance rating.";
21	(3) in paragraph (4)—
22	(A) in subparagraph (F), by striking
23	"and" after the semicolon;

1	(B) in subparagraph (G), by striking the
2	period at the end and inserting a semicolon;
3	and
4	(C) by adding at the end the following:
5	"(H) the information required to be re-
6	ported under paragraphs (1)(C)(ix) and
7	(2)(B)(i)(III); and
8	"(I) the overall student attendance rates,
9	including truancy, graduation, and dropout
10	rates, disaggregated by each school and each in-
11	dividual teacher and individual principal at each
12	school under the jurisdiction of—
13	"(i) the State educational agency;
14	"(ii) the highest poverty and lowest
15	poverty local educational agencies;
16	"(iii) the highest minority and lowest
17	minority local educational agencies; and
18	"(iv) each local education agency, in-
19	cluding the highest and lowest poverty
20	schools and the highest minority and low-
21	est minority schools under the jurisdiction
22	of the agency."; and
23	(4) by adding at the end the following:
24	"(7) Definitions.—For purposes of this sub-
25	section:

- "(A) Highest minority minority.—The term highest minority when used in relation to a school or local educational agency means a school or local educational agency that is in the highest quartile of schools or local educational agencies statewide in terms of the percentage of minority students served.
  - "(B) Highest poverty.—The term 'highest poverty' when used in relation to a school or local educational agency means a school or local educational agency that is in the highest quartile of schools or local educational agencies statewide in terms of the percentage of students who are certified as eligible for free or reduced price lunch under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.).
  - "(C) LOWEST MINORITY.—The term 'lowest minority' when used in relation to a school or local educational agency means a school or local educational agency that is in the lowest quartile of schools or local educational agencies statewide in terms of the percentage of minority students served.

"(D) Lowest Poverty.—The term 'lowest poverty' when used in relation to a school or local educational agency means a school or local educational agency that is in the lowest quartile of schools or local educational agencies statewide in terms of the percentage of students who are certified as eligible for free or reduced price lunch under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.).

"(E) STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH.—The term 'student academic growth' means the change in a student's achievement between 2 or more points in time, as measured through an approach that is statistically rigorous and appropriate for the knowledge and skills being measured.".

## 18 SEC. 5. RECOGNITION OF LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES.

The Secretary of Education shall, based on the infor-20 mation received from each local educational agency report

- 21 card under section 1111(h)(2)(B)(i)(III) of the Elemen-
- 22 tary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.
- 23 6311(h)(2)(B)(i)(III))—
- 24 (1) recognize and provide commendations to 25 each local educational agency that implements or

- has implemented innovative, high-quality, and effective teacher or principal evaluation programs that lead to professional development and improved student performance; and
- 5 (2) establish a clearinghouse in the Department 6 of Education to share the best practices of such pro-7 grams with educators.

 $\bigcirc$