### 112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# H. R. 1189

To amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to assist municipalities that would experience a significant hardship raising the revenue necessary to finance projects and activities for the construction of wastewater treatment works, and for other purposes.

# IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 17, 2011

Mr. Latta (for himself, Mr. McKinley, and Mr. Latourette) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

# A BILL

To amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to assist municipalities that would experience a significant hardship raising the revenue necessary to finance projects and activities for the construction of wastewater treatment works, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Clean Water Afford-
- 5 ability Act of 2011".

#### 1 SEC. 2. CAPITALIZATION GRANT AGREEMENTS.

- 2 Section 602(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Con-
- 3 trol Act (33 U.S.C. 1382(b)) is amended—
- 4 (1) by striking "and" at the end of paragraph
- 5 (9);
- 6 (2) by striking the period at the end of para-
- 7 graph (10) and inserting "; and"; and
- 8 (3) by adding at the end the following:
- 9 "(11) the State will use at least 15 percent of
- the amount of each capitalization grant received by
- the State under this title after September 30, 2012,
- to provide assistance to municipalities of fewer than
- 13 10,000 individuals that meet the affordability cri-
- teria established by the State under section
- 15 603(i)(2) for activities included on the State's pri-
- ority list established under section 603(g), to the ex-
- tent that there are sufficient applications for such
- 18 assistance.".
- 19 SEC. 3. WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REVOLVING LOAN
- FUNDS.
- 21 (a) Extended Repayment Period.—Section
- 22 603(d)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33
- 23 U.S.C. 1383(d)(1)) is amended—
- (1) in subparagraph (A) by striking "20 years"
- and inserting "the lesser of 30 years or the design

1	life of the project to be financed with the proceeds
2	of the loan"; and
3	(2) in subparagraph (B) by striking "not later
4	than 20 years after project completion" and insert-
5	ing "upon the expiration of the term of the loan".
6	(b) Additional Subsidization.—Section 603 of
7	such Act (33 U.S.C. 1383) is amended by adding at the
8	end the following:
9	"(i) Additional Subsidization.—
10	"(1) IN GENERAL.—In any case in which a
11	State provides assistance to a municipality or inter-
12	municipal, interstate, or State agency under sub-
13	section (d), the State may provide additional sub-
14	sidization, including forgiveness of principal and
15	negative interest loans to benefit a municipality
16	that—
17	"(A) meets the State's affordability criteria
18	established under paragraph (2); or
19	"(B) does not meet the State's afford-
20	ability criteria if the recipient—
21	"(i) seeks additional subsidization to
22	benefit individual ratepayers in the resi-
23	dential user rate class;
24	"(ii) demonstrates to the State that
25	such ratepayers will experience a signifi-

cant hardship from the increase in rates necessary to finance the project or activity for which assistance is sought; and

"(iii) ensures, as part of an assistance agreement between the State and the recipient, that the additional subsidization provided under this paragraph is directed through a user charge rate system (or other appropriate method) to such rate-payers.

# "(2) Affordability Criteria.—

"(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—On or before September 30, 2012, and after providing notice and an opportunity for public comment, a State shall establish affordability criteria to assist in identifying municipalities that would experience a significant hardship raising the revenue necessary to finance a project or activity eligible for assistance under section 603(c)(1) if additional subsidization is not provided. Such criteria shall be based on income data, population trends, and other data determined relevant by the State, including whether the project or activity is to be carried out in an economically distressed area, as described in section 301 of

- the Public Works and Economic Development

  Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3161).
  - "(B) Existing criteria.—If a State has previously established, after providing notice and an opportunity for public comment, affordability criteria that meet the requirements of subparagraph (A), the State may use the criteria for the purposes of this subsection. For purposes of this Act, any such criteria shall be treated as affordability criteria established under this paragraph.
    - "(C) Information to assist states.—

      The Administrator may publish information to assist States in establishing affordability criteria under subparagraph (A).
    - "(3) LIMITATION.—The total amount of additional subsidization provided under this subsection by a State may not exceed 30 percent of the total amount of capitalization grants received by the State under this title in fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2012.".

### 22 SEC. 4. UPDATING OF GUIDANCE.

23 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following defi-24 nitions apply:

- 1 (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.
  - (2) Affordability.—The term "affordability" means, with respect to payment of a utility bill, a measure of whether an individual customer or household can pay the bill without undue hardship or unreasonable sacrifice in the essential lifestyle or spending patterns of the individual or household, as determined by the Administrator.
    - (3) FINANCIAL CAPABILITY.—The term "financial capability" means the financial capability of a community to make investments necessary to make water quality-related improvements, taking into consideration the criteria described in subsection (b)(2)(A).
    - (4) Guidance.—The term "guidance" means the guidance published by the Administrator entitled "Combined Sewer Overflows—Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" and dated February 1997, as applicable to combined sewer overflows and sanitary sewer overflows.
- 24 (b) Updating.—

1	(1) In general.—Not later than one year
2	after the date of enactment of this Act, the Adminis-
3	trator shall update the guidance to ensure that the
4	evaluations by the Administrator of financial capa-
5	bility assessment and schedule development meet the
6	criteria described in paragraph (2).
7	(2) Criteria.—The criteria described in this
8	paragraph are that, under the updated guidance—
9	(A) in assessing the financial capability of
10	a community—
11	(i) greater emphasis should be placed
12	on local economic conditions;
13	(ii) for regional systems, consideration
14	should be given to the economic conditions
15	of political jurisdictions and significant de-
16	mographic groups within each region;
17	(iii) prescriptive formulas for use in
18	calculating financial capability and thresh-
19	olds for expenditure should not be consid-
20	ered to be the only indicator of the finan-
21	cial capability of a community;
22	(iv) site-specific local conditions
23	should be taken into consideration in ana-
24	lyzing financial capability;

1	(v) a single measure of financial capa-
2	bility or affordability (such as median
3	household income) should be viewed in the
4	context of other economic measures, rather
5	than as a threshold to be achieved; and
6	(vi)(I) consideration should be given
7	to the economic outlook of a community,
8	including the potential impact of program
9	requirements over time, in the development
10	of implementation schedules; and
11	(II) the assessment should take into
12	consideration other essential community
13	investments relating to water quality im-
14	provements;
15	(B) with respect to the timing of imple-
16	mentation of water quality-related improve-
17	ments—
18	(i) environmental improvement imple-
19	mentation schedules should be structured
20	to mitigate the potential adverse impact on
21	distressed populations resulting from the
22	costs of the improvements;
23	(ii) implementation schedules should
24	reflect local community financial conditions
25	and economic impacts;

1	(iii) implementation schedules should
2	allow permittees up to 30 years to imple-
3	ment water quality-related improvements
4	in appropriate cases in which the cost of
5	implementing the improvements places a
6	high financial burden on the permittee;
7	and
8	(iv) existing implementation schedules
9	should be modified in appropriate cases
10	taking into consideration the criteria set
11	forth in this subparagraph;
12	(C) with respect to implementation—
13	(i) a determination of local financial
14	capability may be achieved through an
15	evaluation of an array of factors the rel-
16	ative importance of which may vary across
17	regions and localities; and
18	(ii) an appropriate methodology
19	should give consideration to such various
20	factors as are appropriate to recognize the
21	prevailing and projected economic concerns
22	in a community; and
23	(D) the residential indicator should be re-
24	vised to include—

1	(i) a consideration of costs imposed
2	upon ratepayers for essential utilities;
3	(ii) increased consideration and quan-
4	tification of local community-imposed costs
5	in regional systems;
6	(iii) a mechanism to assess impacts on
7	communities with disparate economic con-
8	ditions throughout the entire service area
9	of a utility;
10	(iv) a consideration of the industrial
11	and population trends of a community;
12	(v) recognition that—
13	(I) the median household income
14	of a service area reflects a numerical
15	median rather than the distribution of
16	incomes within the service area; and
17	(II) more representative methods
18	of determining affordability, such as
19	shelter costs, essential utility pay-
20	ments, State affordability criteria, and
21	State and local tax efforts, should be
22	considered;
23	(vi) a consideration of low-income
24	ratepayer percentages; and

1 (vii) impacts relating to program de-2 livery, such as water quality infrastructure 3 market saturation and program manage-4 ment.

- (3) IMPLEMENTATION.—The updated guidance should indicate that, in a case in which a previously approved long-term control plan or associated enforceable agreement does not prohibit modification of the plan or terms of the agreement (including financial capability considerations), and all parties are in agreement that a change is needed or that the plan or agreement does not prohibit reopening to address changes in the economic or financial status of the community since the effective date of the plan or agreement, reconsideration and modification of financial capability determinations and implementation schedules based on the criteria described in paragraph (2) is appropriate.
- 19 (c) Publication and Submission.—Upon comple-20 tion of the updating of guidance under subsection (b), the 21 Administrator shall publish in the Federal Register and 22 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public 23 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
- 2 the updated guidance.

 $\bigcirc$