

111TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 1534

To direct the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to jointly carry out a study on the use of thorium-liquid fueled nuclear reactors for naval power needs, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 16, 2009

Mr. SESTAK introduced the following bill; which was referred to the
Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To direct the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to jointly carry out a study on the use of thorium-liquid fueled nuclear reactors for naval power needs, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. STUDY ON THORIUM-LIQUID FUELED REAC-**
4 **TORS FOR NAVAL FORCES.**

5 (a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense
6 and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly
7 carry out a study on the use of thorium-liquid fueled nu-
8 clear reactors for naval power needs pursuant to section

1 1012, of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fis-
2 cal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 303).

3 (b) CONTENTS OF STUDY.—In carrying out the study
4 required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense
5 and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall, with
6 respect to naval power requirements for the Navy strike
7 and amphibious force—

8 (1) compare and contrast thorium-liquid fueled
9 reactor concept to the 2005 Quick Look, 2006 Navy
10 Alternative Propulsion Study, and the Navy CG(X)
11 Analysis of Alternatives study;

12 (2) identify the benefits to naval operations
13 which thorium-liquid fueled nuclear reactors or ura-
14 nium reactors would provide to major surface com-
15 batants compared to conventionally fueled ships, in-
16 cluding such benefits with respect to—

17 (A) fuel cycle, from mining to waste dis-
18 posal;

19 (B) security of fuel supply;

20 (C) power needs for advanced weapons and
21 sensors;

22 (D) safety of operation, waste handling
23 and disposal, and proliferation issues compared
24 to uranium reactors;

1 (E) no requirement to refuel and reduced
2 logistics;

3 (F) ship upgrades and retrofitting;

4 (G) reduced manning;

5 (H) global range at flank speed, greater
6 forward presence, and extended combat oper-
7 ations;

8 (I) power for advanced sensors and weap-
9 ons, including electromagnetic guns and lasers;

10 (J) survivability due to increased perform-
11 ance and reduced signatures;

12 (K) high power density propulsion;

13 (L) operational tempo;

14 (M) operational effectiveness; and

15 (N) estimated cost-effectiveness; and

16 (3) conduct a ROM cost-effectiveness compari-
17 son of nuclear reactors in use by the Navy as of the
18 date of the enactment of this Act, thorium-liquid
19 fueled reactors, and conventional fueled major sur-
20 face combatants, which shall include a comparison
21 of—

22 (A) security, safety, and infrastructure
23 costs of fuel supplies;

24 (B) nuclear proliferation issues;

25 (C) reactor safety;

1 (D) nuclear fuel safety, waste handling,
2 and storage;

3 (E) power requirements and distribution
4 for sensors, weapons, and propulsion; and

5 (F) capabilities to fully execute the Navy
6 Maritime Strategic Concept.

7 (c) REPORT.—Not later than February 1, 2011, the
8 Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint
9 Chiefs of Staff shall jointly submit to the congressional
10 defense committees a report on the results of the study
11 required under subsection (a).

○