

111<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
2<sup>D</sup> SESSION

# H. R. 6247

To optimize transportation through efficient operations and maintenance programs.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEPTEMBER 29, 2010

Mr. CARNAHAN (for himself and Mr. ROGERS of Michigan) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

---

## A BILL

To optimize transportation through efficient operations and maintenance programs.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       The Act may be cited as the “Smart Technologies  
5       for Communities Act”.

6       **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

7       The Congress finds the following:

8               (1) Congestion on our roadways is hampering  
9       American’s daily lives, slowing down commerce, pol-  
10       luting the environment we live in, and wasting fuel.

1 It is estimated that in our metropolitan commu-  
2 nities, more than 4,200,000,000 hours are wasted  
3 sitting in traffic, resulting in 2,800,000,000 gallons  
4 of wasted fuel and costing more than  
5 \$87,000,000,000 annually. With our growing popu-  
6 lation and demand for freight transportation ex-  
7 pected to double by 2035, failure to address traffic  
8 congestion adds to the cost of goods movement and  
9 threatens the Nation's economic competitiveness and  
10 quality of life.

11 (2) Even with a record decline in traffic fatali-  
12 ties in 2009, nearly 34,000 people were killed on  
13 United States roads, the equivalent of more than  
14 200 fully loaded 737 airliners. The economic cost  
15 alone of traffic fatalities and injuries has been esti-  
16 mated at \$230,000,000,000 each year.

17 (3) The transportation sector contributes nearly  
18 one third of the Nation's carbon dioxide emissions,  
19 while wasted fuel from idling vehicles and stop-and-  
20 go traffic puts family budgets in the red, drives up  
21 the cost of goods and services, and increases our Na-  
22 tion's dependence on foreign oil.

23 (4) The United States cannot continue to sim-  
24 ply build our way into a safer, cleaner, and more ef-  
25 ficient transportation system. We must make better

1 use of the tools that are available, including intel-  
2 ligent transportation systems (ITS), to actively man-  
3 age our transportation network to improve safety,  
4 efficiency, and multimodal connectivity.

5 (5) Technology solutions are available today to  
6 help cities and States reduce congestion and emis-  
7 sions, make our roads and transit systems safer, and  
8 provide the public with improved access to transpor-  
9 tation options and real-time information to make ef-  
10 ficient travel decisions.

11 (6) ITS technologies are cost effective and  
12 quick to deploy, with solutions like synchronized and  
13 adaptive traffic signals yielding a \$40 return in time  
14 and fuel savings for every \$1 invested while also re-  
15 ducing carbon dioxide emissions up to 22 percent  
16 and travel delays by 25 percent. The Government  
17 Accountability Office found the benefit-cost ratio of  
18 a nationwide real-time traffic information system to  
19 be 25 to 1, with a \$1,200,000,000 investment re-  
20 turning more than \$30,000,000,000 in safety, mobil-  
21 ity and environmental benefits. The overall benefit-  
22 cost ratio of ITS-enabled operational improvements  
23 is estimated at 9 to 1, a significant return on invest-  
24 ment when compared to the addition of new highway

1 capacity which has an estimated benefit-to-cost ratio  
2 of 2.7 to 1.

3 (7) An estimated 31 percent of traffic crashes  
4 could be prevented or have their impact reduced  
5 through the deployment of collision avoidance tech-  
6 nologies, according to the Insurance Institute for  
7 Highway Safety. Moreover, the Department of  
8 Transportation estimates that a comprehensive vehi-  
9 cle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure commu-  
10 nications network could prevent or reduce the im-  
11 pact of up to 82 percent of non-alcohol related traf-  
12 fic fatalities.

13 (8) Transitioning to a more efficient, perform-  
14 ance based transportation network requires ITS  
15 technologies to provide accurate, real-time traffic  
16 and multimodal transportation system information  
17 necessary for measuring performance, as well as for  
18 actively managing the transportation network to op-  
19 timize capacity and meet or exceed system perform-  
20 ance goals.

21 (9) Effective transportation financing mecha-  
22 nisms of today and tomorrow depend on ITS to be  
23 viable, including electronic toll collection, dynamic  
24 pricing, integrated payment systems for transit,

1 tolls, parking and other services, and potential fu-  
2 ture alternatives such as mileage-based user fees.

3 (10) Investing in ITS creates good jobs, with  
4 an average of 50 percent of ITS project spending  
5 going directly to wages and salaries as compared to  
6 20 percent for new highway construction. Research-  
7 ers from the London School of Economics and the  
8 Information Technology and Innovation Foundation  
9 (referred to in this section as “ITIF”) have found  
10 that investing in ITS creates a network effect  
11 throughout the economy and stimulates job creation  
12 across multiple sectors, including green jobs, high-  
13 tech, automotive, information technology, consumer  
14 electronics, and related industries. In addition, in-  
15 vesting in ITS provides a foundation for long-term  
16 benefits including government cost savings, econ-  
17 omy-wide productivity, and an improved quality of  
18 life.

19 (11) The lack of Federal investment in ITS has  
20 caused the Nation to fall behind other world innova-  
21 tion leaders. A 2010 ITIF report found that the  
22 United States is lagging behind Japan, South  
23 Korea, Singapore, and other leading Asian and Eu-  
24 ropean nations in the deployment of ITS tech-  
25 nologies. These countries have generated significant

1 benefits for their citizens, economy, and environment  
2 by investing heavily in ITS solutions. In order to  
3 strengthen the Nation’s economic competitiveness  
4 and quality of life, it is in the interest of the United  
5 States to encourage the accelerated development and  
6 deployment of intelligent transportation systems.

7 **SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.**

8 In this Act, the following definitions apply:

9 (1) **ELIGIBLE ENTITY.**—The term “eligible enti-  
10 ty” means State and local governments, including  
11 territories of the United States, tribal governments,  
12 transit agencies, port authorities, metropolitan plan-  
13 ning organizations, other political subdivisions of a  
14 State or local government, and multi-State or multi-  
15 jurisdictional groups applying through a single lead  
16 applicant.

17 (2) **ITS.**—The term “ITS” means intelligent  
18 transportation systems.

19 (3) **MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL GROUP.**—The term  
20 “multi-jurisdictional group” means a combination of  
21 State governments, locals governments, metropolitan  
22 planning agencies, transit agencies, or other political  
23 subdivisions of a State, that have signed a written  
24 agreement to implement the SMART Communities  
25 program across jurisdictional boundaries. Each

1 member of the group, including the lead applicant,  
2 must be an eligible entity to receive a grant under  
3 this Act.

4 (4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means  
5 the Secretary of Transportation.

6 **SEC. 4. SMART COMMUNITIES TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE.**

7 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—Not later than  
8 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
9 retary shall establish the Smart Communities Technology  
10 Initiative which provides grants to eligible entities to de-  
11 velop pilot programs to serve as model deployment sites  
12 for large scale installation and operation of ITS to im-  
13 prove safety, mobility, and the environment. The Secretary  
14 shall develop criteria for selection of an eligible entity to  
15 receive a grant, including how the deployment of tech-  
16 nology impacts the following:

17 (1) Ability to deliver environmental benefits and  
18 reduce energy consumption by alleviating congestion  
19 and streamlining traffic flow.

20 (2) Ability to measure and improve the oper-  
21 ational performance of its transportation network.

22 (3) Ability to reduce the number and severity of  
23 traffic collisions and increase driver, passenger, and  
24 pedestrian safety.

1           (4) Availability of user-friendly traffic, transit,  
2           parking, and other transportation-related informa-  
3           tion to improve mobility, reduce congestion, and pro-  
4           vide for more efficient and accessible transportation  
5           alternatives.

6           (5) Ability to provide lower-cost solutions for  
7           managing multimodal transportation systems and  
8           optimizing existing capacity.

9           (6) Deliver economic benefits by reducing  
10          delays, improving system performance, and providing  
11          for the efficient movement of goods and services.

12          (b) REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS.—Not later than 6  
13          months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
14          retary shall request applications in accordance with sec-  
15          tion 5 for participation in the Smart Communities Tech-  
16          nology Initiative.

17          **SEC. 5. GRANT PROGRAM.**

18          (a) GRANT APPLICATION.—To be considered for a  
19          grant under this Act, an eligible entity shall submit an  
20          application to the Secretary that includes the following:

21                  (1) DEPLOYMENT PLAN.—A plan to deploy and  
22                  provide for the long-term operation and maintenance  
23                  of intelligent transportation systems to improve safe-  
24                  ty, mobility, and the environment, such as—



1 (A) real-time integrated traffic, transit,  
2 parking, and multimodal transportation infor-  
3 mation;

4 (B) advanced traffic, freight, and incident  
5 management systems;

6 (C) collision avoidance systems;

7 (D) advanced technologies to improve tran-  
8 sit and commercial operations;

9 (E) operational improvements, such as  
10 synchronized, adaptive and/or transit pref-  
11 erential traffic signals; and

12 (F) other technologies, including ITS ap-  
13 plications necessary for multimodal systems in-  
14 tegration and for achieving performance goals.

15 (2) OBJECTIVES.—Quantifiable system per-  
16 formance improvements, including reducing traffic-  
17 related crashes, congestion, and emissions, opti-  
18 mizing multimodal system efficiency, and improving  
19 access to transportation choices.

20 (3) RESULTS.—Quantifiable safety, mobility,  
21 and environmental benefit projections including data  
22 driven estimates of how the project will improve the  
23 region's transportation system efficiency and reduce  
24 traffic congestion.

1           (4) PARTNERSHIPS.—A plan for partnering  
2 with the private sector, public agencies including  
3 multimodal and multijurisdictional entities, research  
4 institutions, stakeholder organizations representing  
5 the ITS industry, and other transportation stake-  
6 holders.

7           (5) LEVERAGING.—A plan to leverage and opti-  
8 mize existing local and regional ITS investments.

9           (6) INTEROPERABILITY.—A plan to ensure  
10 interoperability of deployed technologies with other  
11 tolling, traffic management, and intelligent transpor-  
12 tation systems.

13       (b) GRANT SELECTION.—

14           (1) GRANT AWARDS.—Not later than 1 year  
15 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
16 retary shall award a grant to no more than 6 eligible  
17 entities with funds available for up to 5 fiscal years.

18           (2) GEOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY.—In awarding a  
19 grant under this section, the Secretary shall ensure,  
20 to the extent practicable, that grant recipients rep-  
21 resent diverse geographic areas of the United States,  
22 including urban, suburban, and rural areas.

1 **SEC. 6. USES OF FUNDS.**

2 A grant recipient may use funds authorized in this  
3 Act to deploy, operate, and maintain ITS and ITS-enabled  
4 operational strategies, including—

5 (1) advanced traveler information systems;

6 (2) advanced transportation management sys-  
7 tems;

8 (3) advanced infrastructure maintenance and  
9 construction technology;

10 (4) advanced public transportation systems;

11 (5) transportation system performance data col-  
12 lection and analysis systems;

13 (6) advanced safety systems, including vehicle-  
14 to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure communica-  
15 tions and other collision avoidance technologies;

16 (7) electronic pricing and tolling systems; and

17 (8) advanced mobility and access technologies,  
18 such as dynamic ridesharing.

19 **SEC. 7. REPORTS.**

20 (a) REPORT TO SECRETARY.—Not later than 1 year  
21 after an eligible entity receives a grant award under this  
22 Act and each year thereafter, each grant recipient shall  
23 submit a report to the Secretary that describes—

24 (1) deployment and operational cost compared  
25 to the benefits and savings from the pilot program  
26 and compared to other alternative approaches; and

1           (2) how the project has met the original expecta-  
2           tion as projected in the deployment plan submitted  
3           with the application, including—

4                   (A) data on how the program has helped  
5                   reduce traffic crashes, congestion, emissions,  
6                   and other benefits of the deployed systems;

7                   (B) data on the effect of optimizing  
8                   multimodal system performance and improving  
9                   access to transportation alternatives;

10                  (C) the effectiveness of providing real-time  
11                  integrated traffic, transit, parking, and  
12                  multimodal transportation information to the  
13                  public to make informed travel decisions; and

14                  (D) lessons learned and recommendations  
15                  for future deployments strategies to optimize  
16                  transportation efficiency and multimodal system  
17                  performance.

18           (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years  
19           after grants have been allocated and each year thereafter,  
20           the Secretary shall submit a report to Congress that de-  
21           scribes the effectiveness of grant recipients in meeting  
22           their projected deployment plan, including data on how the  
23           program has—

24                   (1) reduced traffic-related fatalities and inju-  
25                   ries;

1           (2) reduced traffic congestion and improved  
2 travel time reliability;

3           (3) reduced transportation-related emissions;

4           (4) optimized multimodal system performance;

5           (5) improved access to transportation alter-  
6 natives;

7           (6) provided the public with access to real-time  
8 integrated traffic, transit, parking, and multimodal  
9 transportation information to make informed travel  
10 decisions;

11           (7) provided cost savings related to operational  
12 efficiencies; and

13           (8) provided other benefits to transportation  
14 users and the general public.

15       (c) **ADDITIONAL GRANTS.**—If the Secretary deter-  
16 mines from a grant recipient’s reports that the recipient  
17 is not carrying out the requirements of the grant, the Sec-  
18 retary may cease to provide any additional grant funds  
19 to the recipient. The Secretary shall have the authority  
20 to redistribute remaining funds to select additional eligible  
21 entities for pilot programs under this Act.

22 **SEC. 8. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

23       (a) **FUNDING.**—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be  
2           appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund to  
3           carry out this Act—

4                   (A) \$350,000,000 for fiscal year 2012;

5                   (B) \$225,000,000 for fiscal year 2013;

6                   (C) \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2014;

7                   (D) \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2015;

8                   and

9                   (E) \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2016.

10           (2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized  
11           under this subsection shall be available for obligation  
12           in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned  
13           under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, ex-  
14           cept that such funds shall not be transferable, the  
15           obligation limitations shall not apply to such funds,  
16           and shall remain available until expended.

17           (b) GRANT LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not  
18           award more than 25 percent of the amount appropriated  
19           under this Act to a single grant recipient.

20           (c) EXPENSES FOR GRANT RECIPIENTS.—A grant  
21           recipient under this Act may use not more than 5 percent  
22           of the grant award each fiscal year to carry out planning  
23           and reporting requirements.

24           (d) EXPENSES FOR SECRETARY.—Before awarding  
25           grant funds under this Act, the Secretary may set aside

- 1 \$1,000,000 each fiscal year for program reporting and ad-
- 2 ministrative costs.

○