

111TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 3372

To establish Medicare performance-based quality measures, to establish an affirmative defense in medical malpractice actions based on compliance with best practices guidelines, and to provide grants to States for administrative health care tribunals.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 29, 2009

Mr. PRICE of Georgia introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To establish Medicare performance-based quality measures, to establish an affirmative defense in medical malpractice actions based on compliance with best practices guidelines, and to provide grants to States for administrative health care tribunals.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Health Care OverUse
5 Reform Today Act (HealthCOURT Act) of 2009”.

1 **SEC. 2. ESTABLISHMENT OF PERFORMANCE-BASED QUALITY MEASURES.**
2

3 Not later than January 1, 2010, the Secretary of
4 Health and Human Services shall submit to Congress a
5 proposal for a formalized process for the development of
6 performance-based quality measures that could be applied
7 to physicians' services under the Medicare program under
8 title XVIII of the Social Security Act. Such proposal shall
9 be in concert and agreement with the Physician Consor-
10 tium for Performance Improvement and shall only utilize
11 measures agreed upon by each physician specialty organi-
12 zation.

13 **SEC. 3. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE BASED ON COMPLIANCE**
14 **WITH BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES.**

15 (a) SELECTION AND ISSUANCE OF BEST PRACTICES
16 GUIDELINES.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and
18 Human Services (in this section referred to as the
19 “Secretary”) shall provide for the selection and
20 issuance of best practice guidelines (each in this sub-
21 section referred to as a “guideline”) in accordance
22 with paragraphs (2) and (3).

23 (2) DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.—Not later than
24 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act,
25 the Secretary shall enter into a contract with a
26 qualified physician consensus-building organization

1 (such as the Physician Consortium for Performance
2 Improvement), in concert and agreement with physi-
3 cian specialty organizations, to develop guidelines for
4 treatment of medical conditions for application
5 under subsection (b). Under the contract, the orga-
6 nization shall take into consideration any endorsed
7 performance-based quality measures described in
8 section 2. Under the contract and not later than 18
9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act,
10 the organization shall submit best practice guidelines
11 for issuance as guidelines under paragraph (3).

12 (3) ISSUANCE.—

13 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years
14 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
15 Secretary shall issue, by regulation, after notice
16 and opportunity for public comment, guidelines
17 that have been recommended under paragraph
18 (2) for application under subsection (b).

19 (B) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not
20 issue guidelines unless they have been approved
21 or endorsed by qualified physician consensus-
22 building organization involved and physician
23 specialty organizations.

24 (C) DISSEMINATION.—The Secretary shall
25 broadly disseminate the guidelines so issued.

1 (b) LIMITATION ON DAMAGES.—

2 (1) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES.—

3 In any health care lawsuit, no noneconomic damages
4 may awarded with respect to treatment that is with-
5 in a guideline issued under subsection (a).

6 (2) LIMITATION ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES.—In
7 any health care lawsuit, no punitive damages may be
8 awarded against a health care practitioner based on
9 a claim that such treatment caused the claimant
10 harm if—

11 (A) such treatment was subject to the
12 quality review by a qualified physician con-
13 sensus-building organization;

14 (B) such treatment was approved in a
15 guideline that underwent full review by such or-
16 ganization, public comment, approval by the
17 Secretary, and dissemination as described in
18 subparagraph (a); and

19 (C) such medical treatment is generally
20 recognized among qualified experts (including
21 medical providers and relevant physician spe-
22 cialty organizations) as safe, effective, and ap-
23 propriate.

24 (c) USE.—

1 (1) INTRODUCTION AS EVIDENCE.—Guidelines
2 under subsection (a) may not be introduced as evi-
3 dence of negligence or deviation in the standard of
4 care in any civil action unless they have previously
5 been introduced by the defendant.

6 (2) NO PRESUMPTION OF NEGLIGENCE.—There
7 would be no presumption of negligence if a partici-
8 pating physician does not adhere to such guidelines.

9 (d) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be
10 construed as preventing a State from—

11 (1) replacing their current medical malpractice
12 rules with rules that rely, as a defense, upon a
13 health care provider’s compliance with a guideline
14 issued under subsection (a); or

15 (2) applying additional guidelines or safe-har-
16 bors that are in addition to, but not in lieu of, the
17 guidelines issued under subsection (a).

18 **SEC. 4. STATE GRANTS TO CREATE ADMINISTRATIVE**
19 **HEALTH CARE TRIBUNALS.**

20 Part P of title III of the Public Health Service Act
21 (42 U.S.C. 280g et seq.) is amended by adding at the end
22 the following:

1 **“SEC. 399T. STATE GRANTS TO CREATE ADMINISTRATIVE**
2 **HEALTH CARE TRIBUNALS.**

3 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may award grants
4 to States for the development, implementation, and eval-
5 uation of administrative health care tribunals that comply
6 with this section, for the resolution of disputes concerning
7 injuries allegedly caused by health care providers.

8 “(b) CONDITIONS FOR DEMONSTRATION GRANTS.—
9 To be eligible to receive a grant under this section, a State
10 shall submit to the Secretary an application at such time,
11 in such manner, and containing such information as may
12 be required by the Secretary. A grant shall be awarded
13 under this section on such terms and conditions as the
14 Secretary determines appropriate.

15 “(c) REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL.—A State that
16 receives a grant under this section may not preclude any
17 party to a dispute before an administrative health care tri-
18 bunal operated under such grant from obtaining legal rep-
19 resentation during any review by the expert panel under
20 subsection (d), the administrative health care tribunal
21 under subsection (e), or a State court under subsection
22 (f).

23 “(d) EXPERT PANEL REVIEW AND EARLY OFFER
24 GUIDELINES.—

25 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Prior to the submission of
26 any dispute concerning injuries allegedly caused by

1 health care providers to an administrative health
2 care tribunal under this section, such allegations
3 shall first be reviewed by an expert panel.

4 “(2) COMPOSITION.—

5 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The members of each
6 expert panel under this subsection shall be ap-
7 pointed by the head of the State agency respon-
8 sible for health. Each expert panel shall be
9 composed of no fewer than 3 members and not
10 more than 7 members. At least one-half of such
11 members shall be medical experts (either physi-
12 cians or health care professionals).

13 “(B) LICENSURE AND EXPERTISE.—Each
14 physician or health care professional appointed
15 to an expert panel under subparagraph (A)
16 shall—

17 “(i) be appropriately credentialed or
18 licensed in 1 or more States to deliver
19 health care services; and

20 “(ii) typically treat the condition,
21 make the diagnosis, or provide the type of
22 treatment that is under review.

23 “(C) INDEPENDENCE.—

1 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause
2 (ii), each individual appointed to an expert
3 panel under this paragraph shall—

4 “(I) not have a material familial,
5 financial, or professional relationship
6 with a party involved in the dispute
7 reviewed by the panel; and

8 “(II) not otherwise have a con-
9 flict of interest with such a party.

10 “(ii) EXCEPTION.—Nothing in clause
11 (i) shall be construed to prohibit an indi-
12 vidual who has staff privileges at an insti-
13 tution where the treatment involved in the
14 dispute was provided from serving as a
15 member of an expert panel merely on the
16 basis of such affiliation, if the affiliation is
17 disclosed to the parties and neither party
18 objects.

19 “(D) PRACTICING HEALTH CARE PROFES-
20 SIONAL IN SAME FIELD.—

21 “(i) IN GENERAL.—In a dispute be-
22 fore an expert panel that involves treat-
23 ment, or the provision of items or serv-
24 ices—

1 “(I) by a physician, the medical
2 experts on the expert panel shall be
3 practicing physicians (allopathic or os-
4 teopathic) of the same or similar spe-
5 cialty as a physician who typically
6 treats the condition, makes the diag-
7 nosis, or provides the type of treat-
8 ment under review; or

9 “(II) by a health care profes-
10 sional other than a physician, at least
11 two medical experts on the expert
12 panel shall be practicing physicians
13 (allopathic or osteopathic) of the same
14 or similar specialty as the health care
15 professional who typically treats the
16 condition, makes the diagnosis, or
17 provides the type of treatment under
18 review, and, if determined appropriate
19 by the State agency, an additional
20 medical expert shall be a practicing
21 health care professional (other than
22 such a physician) of such a same or
23 similar specialty.

24 “(ii) PRACTICING DEFINED.—In this
25 paragraph, the term ‘practicing’ means,

1 with respect to an individual who is a phy-
2 sician or other health care professional,
3 that the individual provides health care
4 services to individual patients on average
5 at least 2 days a week.

6 “(E) PEDIATRIC EXPERTISE.—In the case
7 of dispute relating to a child, at least 1 medical
8 expert on the expert panel shall have expertise
9 described in subparagraph (D)(i) in pediatrics.

10 “(3) DETERMINATION.—After a review under
11 paragraph (1), an expert panel shall make a deter-
12 mination as to the liability of the parties involved
13 and compensation.

14 “(4) ACCEPTANCE.—If the parties to a dispute
15 before an expert panel under this subsection accept
16 the determination of the expert panel concerning li-
17 ability and compensation, such compensation shall
18 be paid to the claimant and the claimant shall agree
19 to forgo any further action against the health care
20 providers involved.

21 “(5) FAILURE TO ACCEPT.—If any party de-
22 cides not to accept the expert panel’s determination,
23 the matter shall be referred to an administrative
24 health care tribunal created pursuant to this section.

25 “(e) ADMINISTRATIVE HEALTH CARE TRIBUNALS.—

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon the failure of any
2 party to accept the determination of an expert panel
3 under subsection (d), the parties shall have the right
4 to request a hearing concerning the liability or com-
5 pensation involved by an administrative health care
6 tribunal established by the State involved.

7 “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In establishing an ad-
8 ministrative health care tribunal under this section,
9 a State shall—

10 “(A) ensure that such tribunals are pre-
11 sided over by special judges with health care ex-
12 pertise;

13 “(B) provide authority to such judges to
14 make binding rulings, rendered in written deci-
15 sions, on standards of care, causation, com-
16 pensation, and related issues with reliance on
17 independent expert witnesses commissioned by
18 the tribunal;

19 “(C) establish gross negligence as the legal
20 standard for the tribunal;

21 “(D) allow the admission into evidence of
22 the recommendation made by the expert panel
23 under subsection (d); and

24 “(E) provide for an appeals process to
25 allow for review of decisions by State courts.

1 “(f) REVIEW BY STATE COURT AFTER EXHAUSTION
2 OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES.—

3 “(1) RIGHT TO FILE.—If any party to a dispute
4 before a health care tribunal under subsection (e) is
5 not satisfied with the determinations of the tribunal,
6 the party shall have the right to file their claim in
7 a State court of competent jurisdiction.

8 “(2) FORFEIT OF AWARDS.—Any party filing
9 an action in a State court in accordance with para-
10 graph (1) shall forfeit any compensation award
11 made under subsection (e).

12 “(3) ADMISSIBILITY.—The determinations of
13 the expert panel and the administrative health care
14 tribunal pursuant to subsections (d) and (e) with re-
15 spect to a State court proceeding under paragraph
16 (1) shall be admissible into evidence in any such
17 State court proceeding.

18 “(g) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term ‘health
19 care provider’ has the meaning given such term for pur-
20 poses of part A of title VII.

21 “(h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
22 are authorized to be appropriated for any fiscal year such
23 sums as may be necessary for purposes of making grants
24 to States under this section.”.

1 **SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING HEALTH IN-**
2 **SURER LIABILITY.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that a health insurance
4 issuer should be liable for damages for harm caused when
5 it makes a decision as to what care is medically necessary
6 and appropriate.

○