111TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2580

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for the establishment of shared decision making standards and requirements and to establish a pilot program for the implementation of shared decision making under the Medicare Program.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 21, 2009

Mr. Blumenauer introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for the establishment of shared decision making standards and requirements and to establish a pilot program for the implementation of shared decision making under the Medicare Program.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Empowering Medicare
- 5 Patient Choices Act".

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 2 Congress makes the following findings:
- 1) The Dartmouth Atlas Project's work documenting regional variations in medical care has found both underuse, or the failure to deliver needed evidence-based care, and overuse, or the delivery of unnecessary supply-sensitive care.
 - (2) The Dartmouth Atlas Project has also found that many clinical decisions physicians make for elective medical treatments are driven by local medical opinion, rather than sound science or the preferences of well-informed patients. For example, the Dartmouth Atlas Project found that, among the 306 Hospital Referral Regions in the United States during the period of 2002 through 2003, the incidence of surgery for back pain-related conditions and joint replacement for chronic arthritis of the hip and knee varied 5.9-, 5.6-, and 4.8-fold, respectively, from the lowest to the highest region.
 - (3) Discretionary surgery for the following common conditions accounts for 40 percent of Medicare spending for inpatient surgery: early stage cancer of the prostate; early stage cancer of the breast; osteoarthritis of the knee; osteoarthritis of the hip; osteoarthritis of the spine; chest pain due to coronary artery disease; stroke threat from carotid artery dis-

- ease, ischemia due to peripheral artery disease; gall
 stones; and enlarged prostate.
 - (4) Decisions that involve values trade-offs between the benefits and harms of 2 or more clinically appropriate alternatives should depend on the individual patient's informed choice. In everyday practice, however, patients typically delegate decision making to their physicians who may not have good information on the patient's true preferences.
 - (5) The current standard of medical care in the United States fails to adequately ensure that patients are informed about their treatment options and the risks and benefits of those options. This leads to patients getting medical treatments they may not have wanted had they been fully informed of their treatment options and integrated into the decision making process.
 - (6) Patient decision aids are tools designed to help people participate in decision making about health care options. Patient decision aids provide information on treatment options and help patients clarify and communicate the personal value they associate with different features of treatment options. Patient decision aids do not advise people to choose one treatment option over another, nor are they

1	meant to replace practitioner consultation. Instead
2	they prepare patients to make informed, value-based
3	decisions with their physician.
4	(7) The Lewin Group estimated that the change
5	in spending resulting from the use of patient deci-
6	sion aids for each of 11 conditions using per-proce-
7	dure costs estimated for the Medicare population
8	studied, assuming full implementation of such pa-
9	tient decision aids in 2010, would save as much as
10	\$4,000,000,000.
11	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
12	In this Act:
13	(1) Eligible provider.—
14	(A) In general.—The term "eligible pro-
15	vider" means the following:
16	(i) A primary care practice.
17	(ii) A specialty practice.
18	(iii) A multispecialty group practice.
19	(iv) A hospital.
20	(v) A rural health clinic.
21	(vi) A Federally qualified health cen-
22	ter (as defined in section 1861(aa)(4) of
23	the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C
24	1395x(aa)(4)).
25	(vii) An integrated delivery system.

(viii)	A	State	cooperative.
(1

- (B) Inclusion of Medicare Advantage Plans.—Such term includes a Medicare Advantage plan offered by a Medicare Advantage organization under part C of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w–21 et seq.).
 - (2) Patient decision aid" means an educational tool (such as the Internet, a video, or a pamphlet) that helps patients (or, if appropriate, the family caregiver of the patient) understand and communicate their beliefs and preferences related to their treatment options, and to decide with their health care provider what treatments are best for them based on their treatment options, scientific evidence, circumstances, beliefs, and preferences.
 - (3) Preference sensitive care" means medical care for which the clinical evidence does not clearly support one treatment option such that the appropriate course of treatment depends on the values of the patient or the preferences of the patient regarding the benefits, harms, and scientific evidence for each treatment option. The use of such care should de-

- pend on informed patient choice among clinically appropriate treatment options. Such term includes medical care for the conditions identified in section 5(g).

 (4) Secretary.—The term "Secretary" means
 - (4) Secretary.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.
 - (5) Shared decision making" means a collaborative process between patient and clinician that engages the patient in decision making, provides patients with information about trade-offs among treatment options, and facilitates the incorporation of patient preferences and values into the medical plan.
 - (6) STATE COOPERATIVE.—The term "State cooperative" means an entity that includes the State government and at least one other health care provider which is set up for the purpose of testing shared decision making and patient decision aids.

19 SEC. 4. ESTABLISHMENT OF INDEPENDENT STANDARDS

- FOR PATIENT DECISION AIDS.
- 21 (a) CONTRACT WITH ENTITY TO ESTABLISH STAND-
- 22 ARDS AND CERTIFY PATIENT DECISION AIDS.—
- 23 (1) Contract.—
- 24 (A) In General.—For purposes of sup-25 porting consensus-based standards for patient

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1	decision aids and a certification process for pa-
2	tient decision aids for use in the Medicare pro-
3	gram and by other interested parties, the Sec-
4	retary shall identify and have in effect a con-
5	tract with an entity that meets the require-
6	ments described in paragraph (4). Such con-
7	tract shall provide that the entity perform the
8	duties described in paragraph (2).
9	(B) Timing for first contract.—As
10	soon as practicable after the date of the enact-
11	ment of this Act, the Secretary shall enter into
12	the first contract under subparagraph (A).
13	(C) Period of Contract.—A contract
14	under subparagraph (A) shall be for a period of
15	18 months (except such contract may be re-
16	newed after a subsequent bidding process).
17	(D) Competitive procedures.—Com-
18	petitive procedures (as defined in section 4(5)
19	of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act
20	(41 U.S.C. 403(5))) shall be used to enter into
21	a contract under subparagraph (A).
22	(2) Duties.—The following duties are de-
23	scribed in this paragraph:
24	(A) OPERATE AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT

PROCESS.—The entity shall conduct its business

1	in an open and transparent manner and provide
2	the opportunity for public comment on the ac-
3	tivities described in subparagraphs (B) and (C).
4	(B) ESTABLISH STANDARDS FOR PATIENT
5	DECISION AIDS.—
6	(i) IN GENERAL.—The entity shall
7	synthesize evidence and convene a broad
8	range of experts and key stakeholders to
9	establish consensus-based standards, such
10	as those developed by the International Pa-
11	tient Decision Aid Standard Collaboration,
12	to determine which patient decision aids
13	are high quality patient decision aids.
14	(ii) Draft of proposed stand-
15	ARDS.—The entity shall make a draft of
16	proposed standards available to the public.
17	(iii) 60-day comment period.—Be-
18	ginning on the date the entity makes a
19	draft of the proposed standards available
20	under clause (ii), the entity shall provide a
21	60-day period for public comment on such
22	draft.
23	(iv) Final standards.—
24	(I) IN GENERAL.—The standards
25	established by the entity under this

1	subparagraph shall be adopted by the
2	board of the entity.
3	(II) Public availability.—The
4	entity shall make such standards
5	available to the public.
6	(C) CERTIFY PATIENT DECISION AIDS.—
7	The entity shall review patient decision aids
8	and certify whether patient decision aids meet
9	the standards established under subparagraph
10	(B) and offer a balanced presentation of treat-
11	ment options from both the clinical and patient
12	experience perspectives. In conducting such re-
13	view and certification, the entity shall give pri-
14	ority to the review and certification of patient
15	decision aids for conditions identified in section
16	5(g).
17	(3) Report to the expert panel.—The en-
18	tity shall submit to the expert panel established
19	under subsection (b) a report on the standards es-
20	tablished for patient decision aids under paragraph
21	(2)(B) and patient decision aids that are certified as
22	meeting such standards under paragraph (2)(C).
23	(4) REQUIREMENTS DESCRIBED.—The fol-
24	lowing requirements are described in this paragraph:

1	(A) Private nonprofit.—The entity is a
2	private nonprofit organization governed by a
3	board.
4	(B) Experience.—The entity shall be
5	able to demonstrate experience with—
6	(i) consumer engagement;
7	(ii) standard setting;
8	(iii) health literacy;
9	(iv) health care quality and safety
10	issues;
11	(v) certification processes;
12	(vi) measure development; and
13	(vii) evaluating health care quality.
14	(C) Membership fees.—If the entity re-
15	quires a membership fee for participation in the
16	functions of the entity, such fees shall be rea-
17	sonable and adjusted based on the capacity of
18	the potential member to pay the fee. In no case
19	shall membership fees pose a barrier to the par-
20	ticipation of individuals or groups with low or
21	nominal resources to participate in the func-
22	tions of the entity.
23	(b) Expert Panel.—
24	(1) Establishment.—Not later than 120 days
25	after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-

retary shall establish an expert panel to make recommendations to the Secretary regarding which patient decision aids should be implemented, appropriate training for health care providers on patient decision aids and shared decision making, and appropriate quality measures for use in the pilot program under section 5 and under section 1899 of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6.

- (2) Duties.—The expert panel shall carry out the following duties:
 - (A) Approve patient decision aids, from among those patient decision aids certified under paragraph (2)(C) of subsection (a) by the entity with a contract under such subsection, for use in the pilot program under section 5 (including to the extent practicable, patient decision aids for the medical care of the conditions described in section 5(g) and under section 1899 of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6.
 - (B) Review current training curricula for health care providers on patient decision aids and shared decision making and recommend a training process for eligible providers participating in the pilot program under section 5 on

1	the use of such approved patient decision aids
2	and shared decision making.
3	(C) Review existing quality measures re-
4	garding patient knowledge, value concordance
5	and health outcomes that have been endorsed
6	through a consensus-based process and rec-
7	ommend appropriate quality measures for selec-
8	tion under section $5(h)(1)$.
9	(3) APPOINTMENT.—The expert panel shall be
10	composed of 13 members appointed by the Secretary
11	from among leading experts in shared decision mak-
12	ing of whom—
13	(A) 2 shall be researchers;
14	(B) 2 shall be primary care physicians;
15	(C) 2 shall be from surgical specialties;
16	(D) 2 shall be patient or consumer commu-
17	nity advocates;
18	(E) 2 shall be nonphysician health care
19	providers (such as nurses, nurse practitioners
20	and physician assistants);
21	(F) 1 shall be from an integrated multispe-
22	cialty group practice;
23	(G) 1 shall be from the National Cancer
24	Institute; and

1	(H) 1 shall be from the Centers for Dis-
2	ease Control and Prevention.
3	(4) Report.—Not later than 2 years after such
4	date of enactment and each year thereafter until the
5	date of the termination of the expert panel under
6	paragraph (5), the expert panel shall submit to the
7	Secretary a report on the patient decision aids ap-
8	proved under paragraph (2)(A), the training process
9	recommended under paragraph (2)(B), the quality
10	measures recommended under paragraph (2)(C),
11	and recommendations on other conditions or medical
12	care the Secretary may want to include in the pilot
13	program under section 5.
14	(5) TERMINATION.—The expert panel shall ter-
15	minate on such date as the Secretary determines ap-
16	propriate.
17	(c) Quality Measure Development.—
18	(1) In general.—Section 1890(b)(1)(A) of the
19	Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395aaa(b)(1)(A)) is
20	amended—
21	(A) in clause (ii), by striking "and" at the
22	end; and
23	(B) by adding at the end the following new
24	clause:

1	"(iv) that address conditions described
2	in section 5(g) of the Empowering Medi-
3	care Patient Choices Act and regional
4	practice variations under this title; and".
5	(2) Conforming Amendment.—Section
6	1890(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.
7	1395aaa(d)) is amended—
8	(A) by inserting "(other than subsection
9	(b)(1)(A)(iv))" after "this section"; and
10	(B) by adding at the end the following new
11	sentence: "For provisions relating to funding
12	for the duties described in subsection
13	(b)(1)(A)(iv), see section $5(l)$ of the Empow-
14	ering Medicare Patient Choices Act.".
15	SEC. 5. ESTABLISHMENT OF SHARED DECISION MAKING
16	PILOT PROGRAM UNDER THE MEDICARE
17	PROGRAM.
18	(a) In General.—Not later than 12 months after
19	the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
20	tablish a pilot program to provide for the phased-in devel-
21	opment, implementation, and evaluation of shared decision
22	making under the Medicare program using patient deci-
23	sion aids to meet the objective of improving the under-
	sion aids to meet the objective of improving the under-
24	standing by Medicare beneficiaries of their medical treat-

ficiaries who do not participate in a shared decision mak-2 ing process using patient decision aids. 3 (b) Initial Implementation (Phase I).— 4 (1) IN GENERAL.—During the initial implemen-5 tation of the pilot program under this section (re-6 ferred to in this section as "Phase I" of the pilot 7 program), the Secretary shall enroll in the pilot pro-8 gram not more than 15 eligible providers who have 9 experience in implementing, and have invested in the 10 necessary infrastructure to implement, shared deci-11 sion making using patient decision aids for a period 12 of 3 years. 13 (2) APPLICATION.—An eligible provider seeking 14 to participate in the pilot program during phase I 15 shall submit to the Secretary an application at such 16 time and containing such information as the Sec-17 retary may require. 18 (3) Preference.—In enrolling eligible pro-19 viders in the pilot program during phase I, the Sec-20 retary shall give preference to eligible providers 21 that— 22 (A) have documented experience in using 23 patient decision aids for the conditions identi-24 fied in subsection (g) and in using shared deci-

sion making;

- 1 (B) have the necessary information tech2 nology infrastructure to collect the information
 3 required by the Secretary for reporting pur4 poses;
 5 (C) are trained in how to use patient deci-
 - (C) are trained in how to use patient decision aids and shared decision making; and
 - (D) would be eligible to receive financial assistance as a Shared Decision Making Resource Center under subsection (c).
- 10 (c) Shared Decision Making Resource Cen-11 ters.—
 - (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide financial assistance for the establishment and support of Shared Decision Making Resource Centers (referred to in this section as "centers") to provide technical assistance to eligible providers and to develop and disseminate best practices and other information to support and accelerate adoption, implementation, and effective use of patient decision aids and shared decision making by eligible providers under the Medicare program.
 - (2) Affiliation.—Centers shall be affiliated with a United States-based organization or group that applies for and is awarded financial assistance under this subsection. The Secretary shall provide fi-

1	nancial assistance to centers under this subsection
2	on the basis of merit.
3	(3) Objectives.—The objective of a center is
4	to enhance and promote the adoption of patient deci-
5	sion aids and shared decision making through—
6	(A) providing assistance to eligible pro-
7	viders with the implementation and effective use
8	of, and training on, patient decision aids;
9	(B) the dissemination of best practices and
10	research on the implementation and effective
11	use of patient decision aids; and
12	(C) providing assistance to eligible pro-
13	viders applying to participate or participating in
14	phase II of the pilot program under this section
15	or under section 1899 of the Social Security
16	Act, as added by section 6.
17	(4) REGIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Each center shall
18	aim to provide assistance and education to all eligi-
19	ble providers in a region, including direct assistance
20	to the following eligible providers:
21	(A) Public or not-for-profit hospitals or
22	critical access hospitals (as defined in section
23	1861(mm)(1) of the Social Security Act (42
24	U.S.C. $1395x(mm)(1)$.

1	(B) Federally qualified health centers (as
2	defined in section 1861(aa)(4) of the Social Se-
3	curity Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(aa)(4)).
4	(C) Entities that are located in a rural
5	area or in an area that serves uninsured, under-
6	insured, and medically underserved individuals
7	(regardless of whether such area is urban or
8	rural).
9	(D) Individual or small group practices (or
10	a consortium thereof) that are primarily fo-
11	cused on primary care.
12	(5) Financial assistance.—
13	(A) In General.—The Secretary may
14	provide financial assistance for a period of 8
15	years to any regional center established or sup-
16	ported under this subsection.
17	(B) Cost-sharing requirement.—
18	(i) In general.—Except as provided
19	in clause (ii), the Secretary shall not pro-
20	vide as financial assistance under this sub-
21	section more than 50 percent of the capital
22	and annual operating and maintenance
23	funds required to establish and support

such a center.

1	(ii) Waiver of cost-sharing re-
2	QUIREMENT.—The Secretary may waive
3	the limitation under clause (i) if the Sec-
4	retary determines that, as a result of na-
5	tional economic conditions, such limitation
6	would be detrimental to the pilot program
7	under this section. If the Secretary waives
8	such limitation under the preceding sen-
9	tence, the Secretary shall submit to Con-
10	gress a report containing the Secretary's
11	justification for such waiver.
12	(6) Notice of Program description and
13	AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The Secretary shall pub-
14	lish in the Federal Register, not later than 12
15	months after the date of the enactment of this Act,
16	a draft description of a program for establishing and
17	supporting regional centers under this subsection.
18	Such draft description shall include the following:
19	(A) A detailed explanation of the program
20	and the program goals.
21	(B) Procedures to be followed by appli-
22	cants for financial assistance.
23	(C) Criteria for determining which appli-
24	cants are qualified to receive financial assist-

ance.

1	(D) Maximum support levels expected to
2	be available to centers under the program.
3	(7) APPLICATION REVIEW.—The Secretary shall
4	review each application for financial assistance
5	under this subsection based on merit. In making ϵ
6	decision whether to approve such application and
7	provide financial assistance, the Secretary shall con-
8	sider at a minimum the merits of the application, in-
9	cluding those portions of the application regarding—
10	(A) the ability of the applicant to provide
11	assistance to particular categories of eligible
12	providers with respect to the implementation
13	and effective use of, and training on, patient
14	decision aids;
15	(B) the geographical diversity and extent
16	of the service area of the applicant; and
17	(C) the percentage of funding for the cen-
18	ter that would be provided as financial assist-
19	ance under this subsection and the amount of
20	any funding or in-kind commitment from
21	sources of funding in addition to the financial
22	assistance provided under this subsection.
23	(8) BIENNIAL EVALUATION.—Each center
24	which receives financial assistance under this sub-

section shall be evaluated biennially by an evaluation

panel appointed by the Secretary. Each such evaluation panel shall be composed of private experts, none of whom shall be connected with the center involved, and officials of the Federal Government. Each evaluation panel shall measure the performance of the center involved against the objectives specified in paragraph (3). The Secretary shall not continue to provide financial assistance to a center under this subsection unless the most recent evaluation under this paragraph with respect to the center is overall positive.

(d) Expanded Implementation (Phase II).—

- (1) In General.—Subject to paragraph (2), during the 3-year period beginning after the completion of phase I of the pilot program (referred to in this section as "phase II" of the pilot program), the Secretary shall enroll additional eligible providers to implement shared decision making using patient decision aids under the pilot program under this section. The Secretary may allow eligible providers to enroll in the pilot program on a regular basis during phase II.
- (2) CONTINGENCY.—The Secretary shall not implement phase II of the pilot program if the Secretary finds, not later than 90 days after the date

1	of submittal of the interim report under subsection
2	(i)(2)(A), that the continued implementation of
3	shared decision making is not in the best interest of
4	Medicare beneficiaries.
5	(3) Preference.—In enrolling eligible pro-
6	viders in the pilot program during phase II, the Sec-
7	retary shall include, to the extent practicable, eligible
8	providers that—
9	(A) have or can acquire the infrastructure
10	necessary to implement shared decision making
11	supported by patient decision aids approved by
12	the expert panel established under section 4(b)
13	in a timely manner; or
14	(B) have training in the use of patient de-
15	cision aids or will participate in training for
16	health care professionals who will be involved in
17	such use (as specified by the Secretary).
18	(e) Guidance.—The Secretary may, in consultation
19	with the expert panel established under section 4(b), issue
20	guidance to eligible providers participating in the pilot
21	program under this section on the use of patient decision
22	aids approved by the expert panel.
23	(f) Requirements.—
24	(1) Implementation of approved patient

DECISION AIDS.—

- (A) In General.—During phase II of the pilot program under this section, an eligible provider participating in the pilot program shall incorporate 1 or more patient decision aids approved by the expert panel established under section 4(b) in furnishing items and services to Medicare beneficiaries with respect to 1 or more of the conditions identified in subsection (g), together with ongoing support involved in furnishing such items and services.
 - (B) Defined clinical process.—During each phase of the pilot program under this section, the eligible provider shall establish and implement a defined clinical process under which, in the case of a Medicare beneficiary with 1 or more of such conditions, the eligible provider offers the Medicare beneficiary shared decision making (supported by such a patient decision aid) and collects information on the quality of patient decision making with respect to the Medicare beneficiary.

(2) Follow-up counseling visit.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—During each phase of the pilot program under this section, an eligible provider participating in the pilot program

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

under this section shall routinely schedule Medicare beneficiaries for a counseling visit after the viewing of such a patient decision aid to answer any questions the beneficiary may have with respect to the medical care of the condition involved and to assist the beneficiary in thinking through how their preferences and concerns relate to their medical care.

- (B) PAYMENT FOR FOLLOW-UP COUN-SELING VISIT.—The Secretary shall establish procedures for making payments for such counseling visits provided to Medicare beneficiaries during each phase of the pilot program under this section. Such procedures shall provide for the establishment—
 - (i) of a code (or codes) to represent such services; and
 - (ii) of a single payment amount for such service that includes the professional time of the health care provider and a portion of the reasonable costs of the infrastructure of the eligible provider.
- (C) LIMITATION.—In the case of an eligible provider that is a Medicare Advantage plan,

such eligible provider may not receive payment for such services.

(3) WAIVER OF COINSURANCE.—The Secretary shall establish procedures under which an eligible provider participating in the pilot program under this section may, in the case of a low-income Medicare beneficiary (as determined by the Secretary), waive any coinsurance or copayment that would otherwise apply for the follow-up counseling visit provided to such Medicare beneficiary under paragraph (2).

(4) Costs of implementation.—

- (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), during each phase of the pilot program, an eligible provider participating in the pilot program shall be responsible for the costs of selecting, purchasing, and incorporating such patient decision aids into the group practice, reporting data on quality measures selected under subsection (h)(1), and recording outcomes under the pilot program.
- (B) FINANCIAL SUPPORT.—During each such phase, the Secretary may, in addition to payments for counseling visits under paragraph (2), provide financial support to an eligible pro-

1 vider participating in the pilot program to ac-2 quire the infrastructure necessary to participate 3 in the pilot program, including the development 4 of clinical pathways to assure that Medicare beneficiaries have access to high-quality shared 6 decision making, the reporting of data on qual-7 ity measures selected under subsection (h)(1), 8 and the recording of outcomes under the pilot 9 program after phase I of the pilot program (as 10 determined appropriate by the Secretary). 11 (g) Preference Sensitive Care Described.—

- 12 The patient decision aids approved under section 13 4(b)(2)(A) shall, to the extent practicable, include patient
- 14 decision aids for medical care of the following conditions:
- 15 (1) Arthritis of the hip and knee.
- 16 (2) Chronic back pain.
- 17 (3) Chest pain (stable angina).
- (4) Enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyper-trophy, or BPH).
- 20 (5) Early-stage prostate cancer.
- 21 (6) Early-stage breast cancer.
- 22 (7) End-of-life care.
- 23 (8) Peripheral vascular disease.
- 24 (9) Gall stones.

- 1 (10) Threat of stroke from carotid artery dis-2 ease.
- (11) Any other condition the Secretary identifies as appropriate.

(h) QUALITY MEASURES.—

(1) Selection.—

- (A) In General.—During each phase of the pilot program, the Secretary shall measure the quality and implementation of shared decision making. For purposes of making such measurements, the Secretary shall select, from among those quality measures recommended by the expert panel under section 4(b)(2)(C), consensus-based quality measures that assess Medicare beneficiaries' knowledge of the options for medical treatment relevant to their medical condition, as well as the benefits and drawbacks of those medical treatment options, and the Medicare beneficiaries' goals and concerns regarding their medical care.
- (B) RISK ADJUSTMENT.—In order to ensure accurate measurement across quality measures and eligible providers, the Secretary may risk adjust the quality measures selected under this paragraph to control for external

- factors, such as cognitive impairment, dementia, and literacy.
 - (2) Reporting data on measures.—During each such phase, an eligible provider participating in the pilot program shall report to the Secretary data on quality measures selected under paragraph (1) in accordance with procedures established by the Secretary.
 - (3) FEEDBACK ON MEASURES.—During each such phase, the Secretary shall provide confidential reports to eligible providers participating in the pilot program on the performance of the eligible provider on quality measures selected by the Secretary under paragraph (1), the aggregate performance of all eligible providers participating in the pilot program, and any improvements in such performance.

(i) EVALUATIONS AND REPORTS.—

(1) INDEPENDENT EVALUATION.—The Secretary shall enter into a contract with an entity that has knowledge of shared decision making programs and demonstrated experience in the evaluation of such programs for the conduct of an independent evaluation of each phase of the pilot program under this section.

1	(2) Reports by entity conducting inde-
2	PENDENT EVALUATION.—
3	(A) Interim report.—Not later than 2
4	years after the implementation of phase I of the
5	pilot program, the entity with a contract under
6	paragraph (1) shall submit to the Secretary a
7	report on the initial results of the independent
8	evaluation conducted under such paragraph.
9	(B) FINAL REPORT.—Not later then 4
10	years after the implementation of phase II of
11	the pilot program, such entity shall submit to
12	the Secretary a report on the final results of
13	such independent evaluation.
14	(C) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—Each report
15	submitted under this paragraph shall—
16	(i) include an assessment of—
17	(I) quality measures selected
18	under subsection (h)(1);
19	(II) Medicare beneficiary and
20	health care provider satisfaction under
21	the applicable phase of the pilot pro-
22	gram;
23	(III) utilization of medical serv-
24	ices for Medicare beneficiaries with 1
25	or more of the conditions described in

1	subsection (g) and other Medicare
2	beneficiaries as determined appro-
3	priate by the Secretary;
4	(IV) appropriate utilization of
5	shared decision making by eligible
6	providers under the applicable phase
7	of the pilot program;
8	(V) savings to the Medicare pro-
9	gram under title XVIII of the Social
10	Security Act; and
11	(VI) the costs to eligible pro-
12	viders participating in the pilot pro-
13	gram of selecting, purchasing, and in-
14	corporating approved patient decision
15	aids and meeting reporting require-
16	ments under the applicable phase of
17	the pilot program; and
18	(ii) identify the characteristics of indi-
19	vidual eligible providers that are most ef-
20	fective in implementing shared decision
21	making under the applicable phase of the
22	pilot program.
23	(3) Report by the secretary.—Not later
24	than 12 months after the completion of phase II of
25	the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to

1	Congress a report on the pilot program that in-
2	cludes—
3	(A) the results of the independent evalua-
4	tion conducted under paragraph (2);
5	(B) an evaluation of the impact of the pilot
6	program under this section, including the im-
7	pact—
8	(i) of the use of patient decision aids
9	approved by the expert panel established
10	under section 4(b) for the medical care of
11	the conditions described in subsection (g);
12	(ii) on expenditures for such condi-
13	tions under the Medicare program, includ-
14	ing a comparison of such expenditures for
15	such conditions where such patient deci-
16	sion aids were used to such expenditures
17	for such conditions where such patient de-
18	cision aids were not used; and
19	(iii) on Medicare beneficiaries, includ-
20	ing the understanding by beneficiaries of
21	the options for medical care presented,
22	concordance between beneficiary values
23	and the medical care received, the mode of
24	approved patient decision aid used (such as
25	Internet, videos, and pamphlets), the tim-

ing of the delivery of such approved patient
decision aid (such as the date of the initial
diagnosis), and beneficiary and health care
provider satisfaction with the shared decision making process;

- (C) an evaluation of which eligible providers are most effective at implementing patient decision aids and assisting Medicare beneficiaries in making informed decisions on medical care; and
- (D) recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(j) Savings.—

(1) In GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), not later than 2 years after the implementation of phase I of the pilot program, and annually thereafter for the duration of phase I and the first 2 years of phase II, the Secretary shall determine if there were any savings to the Medicare program as a result of such implementation during the preceding year (or years, if applicable). In the case where the Secretary determines there were such savings, the Secretary shall use such savings as follows:

- (A) Fifty percent of such savings shall be used to provide bonus payments to eligible pro-viders participating in the pilot program who achieve high quality shared decision making (as measured by the level of participation of Medi-care beneficiaries in the shared decision making process and high scores by the eligible provider on quality measures selected under subsection (h)(1).
 - (B) Twenty-five percent of such savings shall be placed in a Shared Decision Making Trust Fund established by the Secretary, which shall be used to expand participation in the pilot program to providers of services and suppliers in additional settings (as determined appropriate by the Secretary) by—
 - (i) providing financial assistance under subsection (c); and
 - (ii) providing for the development of quality measures not already selected under subsection (h)(1) to assess the impact of shared decision making on the quality of patient care or the improvement of such quality measures already selected.

- 1 (C) Twenty-five percent of such savings 2 shall be retained by the Medicare program.
- 3 (2) Retention of savings by the medicare
- 4 PROGRAM.—In the case where the Secretary deter-
- 5 mines there are savings to the Medicare program as
- 6 a result of the implementation of the pilot program
- during a year (beginning with the third year of
- 8 phase II), 100 percent of such savings shall be re-
- 9 tained by the Medicare program.
- 10 (k) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive such provi-
- 11 sions of titles XI and XVIII of the Social Security Act
- 12 as may be necessary to carry out the pilot program under
- 13 this section.
- (l) Funding.—For purposes of carrying out section
- 15 4(a), implementing the pilot program under this section
- 16 (including costs incurred in conducting the evaluation
- 17 under subsection (i)), and carrying out section
- 18 1890(b)(1)(A)(iv) of the Social Security Act, as added by
- 19 section 4(c), the Secretary shall provide for the transfer
- 20 from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund estab-
- 21 lished under section 1817 of the Social Security Act (42
- 22 U.S.C. 1395i) to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
- 23 Services Program Management Account of \$300,000,000
- 24 for the period of fiscal years 2010 through 2017.

1	SEC. 6. ESTABLISHMENT OF SHARED DECISION MAKING
2	STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS IN MEDI-
3	CARE.
4	Title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.
5	1395 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
6	lowing new section:
7	"ESTABLISHMENT OF SHARED DECISION MAKING
8	STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS
9	"Sec. 1899. (a) In General.—Based on the find-
10	ings of phases I and II of the pilot program under section
11	5 of the Empowering Medicare Patient Choices Act the
12	Secretary shall promulgate regulations that—
13	"(1) specify for which preference sensitive con-
14	ditions beneficiaries should, subject to the suc-
15	ceeding provisions of this section, participate in
16	shared decision making;
17	"(2) require providers of services and suppliers
18	to make sure that beneficiaries receive patient deci-
19	sion aids as appropriate; and
20	"(3) specify a process for beneficiaries to elect
21	not to use such patient decision aids.
22	"(b) Penalty for Not Using Shared Decision
23	Making.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this
24	title, the Secretary shall promulgate such regulations and
25	issue such guidance as may be necessary to reduce by 20
26	nercent the amount of payment under this title that would

- 1 otherwise apply to an item or service specified by the Sec-
- 2 retary if the patient does not receive a patient decision
- 3 aid prior to such item or service being furnished (except
- 4 in the case where the beneficiary has elected not to use
- 5 such patient decision aid under the process specified under
- 6 subsection (a)(3).
- 7 "(c) Secretarial Authority To Waive Applica-
- 8 TION OF THIS SECTION.—The Secretary may waive the
- 9 application of this section to an item or service under this
- 10 title if the Secretary determines either of the following:
- 11 "(1) Medical societies and others have estab-
- 12 lished evidence-based transparent standards incor-
- porating patient decision aids and shared decision
- making into the standard of patient care for pref-
- erence sensitive conditions.
- 16 "(2) Shared decision making is not in the best
- interest of beneficiaries.".

 \bigcirc