### 110TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

# H. R. 5626

To reassert the constitutional role of Congress in making long-term security commitments, to defer significant long-term security commitments to Iraq to the next Administration and Congress, and to maintain international legal authority and immunity for United States Armed Forces in Iraq by promoting the extension of the United Nations mandate.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 13, 2008

Mr. Delahunt (for himself and Ms. Delauro) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

# A BILL

To reassert the constitutional role of Congress in making long-term security commitments, to defer significant long-term security commitments to Iraq to the next Administration and Congress, and to maintain international legal authority and immunity for United States Armed Forces in Iraq by promoting the extension of the United Nations mandate.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

### 1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- The Act may be cited as the "Protect Our Troops and Our Constitution Act of 2008".
- 4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 5 Congress finds the following:
- 6 (1) On November 26, 2007, by signing the Dec-7 laration of Principles for a Long-Term Relationship 8 of Cooperation and Friendship Between the Republic 9 of Iraq and the United States of America (hereinafter in this Act referred to as the "Declaration of 10 11 Principles"), the President of the United States 12 pledged to negotiate by July 2008 an agreement to 13 replace the United Nations mandate that currently 14 provides international legal authority as well as im-15 munity from Iraqi prosecution for United States 16 Armed Forces and other Coalition forces operating 17 in Iraq.
  - (2) In the Declaration of Principles, the President pledged that this agreement would include the following security commitments by the United States:
- 22 (A) "Supporting the Republic of Iraq in 23 defending its democratic system against inter-24 nal and external threats.".
- 25 (B) "Providing security assurances and 26 commitments to the Republic of Iraq to deter

18

19

20

- foreign aggression against Iraq that violates its sovereignty and integrity of its territories, waters, or airspace.".
  - (C) "Supporting the Republic of Iraq in its efforts to combat all terrorist groups, at the forefront of which is Al-Qaeda, Saddamists, and all other outlaw groups regardless of affiliation, and destroy their logistical networks and their sources of finance, and defeat and uproot them from Iraq.".
  - (3) However, in testimony before the United States Senate on February 6, 2008, Secretary of Defense Robert Gates effectively renounced this pledge by the President when he said, "[T]he status of forces agreement that is being discussed will not contain a commitment to defend Iraq, and neither will any strategic framework agreement. My understanding is—and it's, frankly, a clearer point than I made earlier—and we certainly do not consider the declaration of principles a security commitment to the Iraqis.".
  - (4) On November 26, 2007, the President's Deputy National Security Advisor for Iraq and Afghanistan, General Douglas Lute, stated in a press briefing that permanent United States military bases

- in Iraq "will certainly be a key item for negotiation" next year". On January 28, 2008, when signing into law the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, which includes a ban on permanent United States military bases in Iraq, President George W. Bush indicated in a signing statement accompanying the bill that he would not be bound by a provision of that law that prohibits the United States from establishing permanent military bases in Iraq.
  - (5) However, in testimony before the Senate on February 6, 2008, Secretary of Defense Gates stated, "The fact is, in every meeting that I've taken part in, it has been affirmed from the president on down that we do not want permanent bases in Iraq.".
  - (6) General Lute stated in a press briefing on November 26, 2007, in response to a question as to whether the Administration would seek congressional input into the agreement, "We don't anticipate now that these negotiations will lead to the status of a formal treaty which would then bring us to formal negotiations or formal inputs from the Congress.". However, the Department of State has failed to consult with congressional leaders on the Declaration of

- Principles as required by the Department of State's Circular 175 procedure, which implements United States law regarding the conduct of negotiations for international agreements.
  - (7) However, in testimony before the Senate on February 6, 2008, Secretary of Defense Gates stated, "My view is that there ought to be a great deal of openness and transparency to the Congress as we negotiate this status of forces agreement so that you can satisfy yourselves that those kinds of commitments are not being made, and that there are no surprises in this.".
  - (8) According to the Congressional Research Service, while the primary purpose of a status of forces agreement between the United States and another country or organization is typically to provide United States Armed Forces with immunity from local prosecution, and no existing status of forces agreement authorizes offensive combat operations by United States Armed Forces (absent reference to a treaty, law, or United Nations Security Council resolution), Secretary of Defense Gates and Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice have written in an opinion piece published February 13, 2008, in the Washington Post that it is the intention of the Adminis-

- tration to have the status of forces agreement with Iraq include the "authority to fight" for United States Armed Forces engaged in combat operations.
  - (9) The inconsistencies between the various statements and pledges described in paragraphs (1) through (8) raise significant questions about the Administration's objectives in seeking new agreements with Iraq.
    - (10)(A) Since August 6, 2004, United States Armed Forces and other Coalition forces in Iraq have had international legal authority to operate in Iraq under the United Nations mandate for the Multinational Force–Iraq, most recently renewed in December 2007 until December 31, 2008, and have had immunity from local prosecution under an Iraqi law known as CPA Order 17, which is dependent on that mandate.
    - (B) The Iraqi Ambassador to the United States, Samir Sumaidaie, said on February 5, 2008, "If we cannot have an agreement by that time [December 31, 2008,] we would have no choice but to go back to the Security Council. Basically, we need to have some legal cover for foreign forces.".

### 1 SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.

- 2 No funds appropriated or otherwise made available
- 3 to any department or agency of the United States may
- 4 be used—
- 5 (1) to establish or maintain any permanent or
- 6 long-term United States military base or facility in
- 7 Iraq; or
- 8 (2) to implement any agreement that is con-
- 9 sistent with the security commitments of the United
- 10 States to Iraq under the Declaration of Principles,
- including the security commitments described in
- subparagraphs (A) through (C) of section 1(2) of
- this Act, or any agreement that provides "authority
- to fight" for United States Armed Forces engaged
- in combat operations, other than for self-defense
- purposes, unless the agreement is in the form of a
- treaty with respect to which the Senate has given its
- advice and consent to ratification under Article II of
- the Constitution of the United States or the agree-
- 20 ment is approved by an Act of Congress enacted
- after the date of the enactment of this Act.

### 22 SEC. 4. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

- 23 It is the sense of Congress that—
- 24 (1) long-term relations between the United
- 25 States and Iraq should be determined by the United

- 1 States Administration taking office on January 20, 2 2009;
  - (2) in determining its policy toward Iraq, the United States Administration referred to in paragraph (1) should consult fully with the United States Congress, the Government of Iraq, Coalition partners, and Iraq's neighbors; and
    - (3) to maintain current international legal authority as well as immunity from Iraqi prosecution for United States Armed Forces and other Coalition forces operating in Iraq while the United States Administration referred to in paragraph (1) determines United States policy toward Iraq, the current United States Administration should encourage the Government of Iraq to request the renewal of the United Nations mandate for Iraq beyond December 31, 2008.

 $\bigcirc$