## 108TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

## S. RES. 290

To authorize testimony, document production, and legal representation in State of Idaho v. Joseph Daniel Hooper

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

January 23, 2004

Mr. Frist (for himself and Mr. Daschle) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to

## **RESOLUTION**

To authorize testimony, document production, and legal representation in State of Idaho v. Joseph Daniel Hooper

Whereas, in the case of State of Idaho v. Joseph Daniel Hooper, C. No. CRM-03-019550, pending in the District Court of the first Judicial District of the Senate of Idaho, in and for the County of Kootenai, testimony has been requested from Michelle A. Panos, an employee in the Coeur d'Alene office of Senator Larry E. Craig;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§ 288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate; and

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

- 1 Resolved, That Michelle A. Panos, or any other cur-
- 2 rent or former employee of Senator Craig's, is authorized
- 3 to testify and produce documents in the case of State of
- 4 Idaho v. Joseph Daniel Hooper, except concerning matters
- 5 for which a privilege should be asserted.
- 6 Sec. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to
- 7 represent Michelle A. Panos and any other current or
- 8 former employee of Senator Craig's in connection with the
- 9 testimony and document production authorized in section
- 10 one of this resolution.

 $\bigcirc$