

Union Calendar No. 470

108TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 4588

[Report No. 108-758]

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects and activities under that Act, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 16, 2004

Mr. HINOJOSA (for himself, Mr. REYES, Mr. RODRIGUEZ, Mr. BONILLA, and Mr. ORTIZ) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Resources

OCTOBER 7, 2004

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italics]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on June 16, 2004]

A BILL

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects and activities under that Act, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 *This Act may be cited as the “Lower Rio Grande Val-*
 3 *ley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of*
 4 *2004”.*

5 **SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL PROJECTS AND**
 6 **ACTIVITIES UNDER THE LOWER RIO GRANDE**
 7 **WATER CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT**
 8 **PROGRAM.**

9 (a) *ADDITIONAL PROJECTS.*—Section 4(a) of the
 10 *Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation*
 11 *and Improvement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–576; 114*
 12 *Stat. 3067) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

13 “(20) *In Cameron County, Texas, Bayview Irri-*
 14 *gation District No. 11, water conservation and im-*
 15 *provement projects as identified in the March 3, 2004,*
 16 *engineering report by NRS Consulting Engineers at*
 17 *a cost of \$1,425,219.*

18 “(21) *In the Cameron County, Texas, the*
 19 *Brownsville Irrigation District, water conservation*
 20 *and improvement projects as identified in the Feb-*
 21 *ruary 11, 2004 engineering report by NRS Con-*
 22 *sulting Engineers at a cost of \$722,100.*

23 “(22) *In the Cameron County, Texas Harlingen*
 24 *Irrigation District No. 1, water conservation and im-*
 25 *provement projects as identified in the March, 2004,*

1 *engineering report by Axiom-Blair Engineering at a*
2 *cost of \$4,173,950.*

3 *“(23) In the Cameron County, Texas, Cameron*
4 *County Irrigation District No. 2, water conservation*
5 *and improvement projects as identified in the Feb-*
6 *ruary 11, 2004 engineering report by NRS Con-*
7 *sulting Engineers at a cost of \$8,269,576.*

8 *“(24) Braden, Inc. at a cost of \$5,607,300.*

9 *“(25) In the Cameron County, Texas, Adams*
10 *Gardens Irrigation District No. 19, water conserva-*
11 *tion and improvement projects as identified in the*
12 *March, 2004 engineering report by Axiom-Blair En-*
13 *gineering at a cost of \$2,500,000.*

14 *“(26) In the Hidalgo and Cameron Counties,*
15 *Texas, the Hidalgo and Cameron Counties Irrigation*
16 *District No. 9, water conservation and improvement*
17 *projects as identified by the February 11 engineering*
18 *report by NRS Consulting Engineers at a cost of*
19 *\$8,929,152.*

20 *“(27) In the Hidalgo and Willacy Counties,*
21 *Texas, Delta Lake Irrigation District, water conserva-*
22 *tion and improvement projects as identified in the*
23 *March, 2004 engineering report by Axiom-Blair En-*
24 *gineering at a cost of \$8,000,000.*

1 “(28) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
2 *County Irrigation District No. 2, a water conserva-*
3 *tion and improvement project identified in the engi-*
4 *neering reports attached to a letter dated February*
5 *11, 2004, from the district’s general manager, at a*
6 *cost of \$5,312,475.*

7 “(29) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
8 *County Irrigation District No. 1, water conservation*
9 *and improvement projects identified in an engineer-*
10 *ing report dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt,*
11 *Inc. at a cost of \$5,595,018.*

12 “(30) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
13 *County Irrigation District No. 6, water conservation*
14 *and improvement projects as identified in the March,*
15 *2004, engineering report by Axiom-Blair Engineering*
16 *at a cost of \$3,450,000.*

17 “(31) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas Santa Cruz*
18 *Irrigation District No. 15, water conservation and*
19 *improvement projects as identified in an engineering*
20 *report dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt at*
21 *a cost of \$4,609,000.*

22 “(32) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Engelman*
23 *Irrigation District, water conservation and improve-*
24 *ment projects as identified in an engineering report*

1 *dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt, Inc. at a*
2 *cost of \$2,251,480.*

3 *“(33) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Valley*
4 *Acres Water District, water conservation and im-*
5 *provement projects as identified in an engineering re-*
6 *port dated March, 2004 by Axiom-Blair Engineering*
7 *at a cost of \$500,000.*

8 *“(34) In the Hudspeth County, Texas, Hudspeth*
9 *County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1,*
10 *water conservation and improvement projects as iden-*
11 *tified in the March, 2004, engineering report by*
12 *Axiom-Blair Engineering at a cost of \$1,500,000.*

13 *“(35) In the El Paso County, Texas, El Paso*
14 *County Water Improvement District No. 1, water*
15 *conservation and improvement projects as identified*
16 *in the March, 2004, engineering report by Axiom-*
17 *Blair Engineering at a cost of \$10,500,000.*

18 *“(36) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Donna Irri-*
19 *gation District, water conservation and improvement*
20 *projects identified in an engineering report dated*
21 *March 22, 2004 by Melden and Hunt, Inc. at a cost*
22 *of \$2,500,000.*

23 *“(37) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
24 *County Irrigation District No. 16, water conservation*
25 *and improvement projects identified in an engineer-*

1 *ing report dated March 22, 2004 by Melden and*
 2 *Hunt, Inc. at a cost of \$2,800,000.*

3 *“(38) The United Irrigation District of Hidalgo*
 4 *County water conservation and improvement projects*
 5 *as identified in a March 2004 engineering report by*
 6 *Sigler Winston, Greenwood and Associates at a cost*
 7 *of \$6,067,021.”.*

8 *(b) INCLUSION OF ACTIVITIES TO CONSERVE WATER*
 9 *OR IMPROVE SUPPLY; TRANSFERS AMONG PROJECTS.—*
 10 *Section 4 of such Act (Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067)*
 11 *is further amended by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-*
 12 *section (e), and by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-*
 13 *lowing:*

14 *“(c) INCLUSION OF ACTIVITIES TO CONSERVE WATER*
 15 *OR IMPROVE SUPPLY.—In addition to the activities identi-*
 16 *fied in the engineering reports referred to in subsection (a),*
 17 *each project that the Secretary conducts or participates in*
 18 *under subsection (a) may include any of the following:*

19 *“(1) The replacement of irrigation canals and*
 20 *lateral canals with buried pipelines.*

21 *“(2) The impervious lining of irrigation canals*
 22 *and lateral canals.*

23 *“(3) Installation of water level, flow measure-*
 24 *ment, pump control, and telemetry systems.*

1 “(4) *The renovation and replacement of pump-*
2 *ing plants.*

3 “(5) *Other activities that will result in the con-*
4 *servation of water or an improved supply of water.*

5 “(d) *TRANSFERS AMONG PROJECTS.—Of amounts*
6 *made available for a project referred to in any of para-*
7 *graphs (20) through (38) of subsection (a), the Secretary*
8 *may transfer and use for another such project up to 10 per-*
9 *cent.”.*

10 **SEC. 3. REAUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR**
11 **LOWER RIO GRANDE CONSTRUCTION.**

12 *Section 4(e) of the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water*
13 *Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000*
14 *(Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067), as redesignated by*
15 *section 2(b) of this Act, is further amended by inserting*
16 *before the period the following: “for projects referred to in*
17 *paragraphs (1) through (19) of subsection (a), and*
18 *\$42,356,145 (2004 dollars) for projects referred to in para-*
19 *graphs (20) through (38) of subsection (a)”.*

Union Calendar No. 470

108TH CONGRESS
2^D Session

H. R. 4588

[Report No. 108-758]

A BILL

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects and activities under that Act, and for other purposes.

OCTOBER 7, 2004

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

1 **SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 *This Act may be cited as the “Lower Rio Grande Val-*
 3 *ley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of*
 4 *2004”.*

5 **SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL PROJECTS AND**
 6 **ACTIVITIES UNDER THE LOWER RIO GRANDE**
 7 **WATER CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT**
 8 **PROGRAM.**

9 (a) *ADDITIONAL PROJECTS.*—Section 4(a) of the
 10 *Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation*
 11 *and Improvement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–576; 114*
 12 *Stat. 3067) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

13 “(20) *In Cameron County, Texas, Bayview Irri-*
 14 *gation District No. 11, water conservation and im-*
 15 *provement projects as identified in the March 3, 2004,*
 16 *engineering report by NRS Consulting Engineers at*
 17 *a cost of \$1,425,219.*

18 “(21) *In the Cameron County, Texas, the*
 19 *Brownsville Irrigation District, water conservation*
 20 *and improvement projects as identified in the Feb-*
 21 *ruary 11, 2004 engineering report by NRS Con-*
 22 *sulting Engineers at a cost of \$722,100.*

23 “(22) *In the Cameron County, Texas Harlingen*
 24 *Irrigation District No. 1, water conservation and im-*
 25 *provement projects as identified in the March, 2004,*

1 *engineering report by Axiom-Blair Engineering at a*
2 *cost of \$4,173,950.*

3 “(23) *In the Cameron County, Texas, Cameron*
4 *County Irrigation District No. 2, water conservation*
5 *and improvement projects as identified in the Feb-*
6 *ruary 11, 2004 engineering report by NRS Con-*
7 *sulting Engineers at a cost of \$8,269,576.*

8 “(24) *Braden, Inc. at a cost of \$5,607,300.*

9 “(25) *In the Cameron County, Texas, Adams*
10 *Gardens Irrigation District No. 19, water conserva-*
11 *tion and improvement projects as identified in the*
12 *March, 2004 engineering report by Axiom-Blair En-*
13 *gineering at a cost of \$2,500,000.*

14 “(26) *In the Hidalgo and Cameron Counties,*
15 *Texas, the Hidalgo and Cameron Counties Irrigation*
16 *District No. 9, water conservation and improvement*
17 *projects as identified by the February 11 engineering*
18 *report by NRS Consulting Engineers at a cost of*
19 *\$8,929,152.*

20 “(27) *In the Hidalgo and Willacy Counties,*
21 *Texas, Delta Lake Irrigation District, water conserva-*
22 *tion and improvement projects as identified in the*
23 *March, 2004 engineering report by Axiom-Blair En-*
24 *gineering at a cost of \$8,000,000.*

1 “(28) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
2 *County Irrigation District No. 2, a water conserva-*
3 *tion and improvement project identified in the engi-*
4 *neering reports attached to a letter dated February*
5 *11, 2004, from the district’s general manager, at a*
6 *cost of \$5,312,475.*

7 “(29) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
8 *County Irrigation District No. 1, water conservation*
9 *and improvement projects identified in an engineer-*
10 *ing report dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt,*
11 *Inc. at a cost of \$5,595,018.*

12 “(30) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
13 *County Irrigation District No. 6, water conservation*
14 *and improvement projects as identified in the March,*
15 *2004, engineering report by Axiom-Blair Engineering*
16 *at a cost of \$3,450,000.*

17 “(31) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas Santa Cruz*
18 *Irrigation District No. 15, water conservation and*
19 *improvement projects as identified in an engineering*
20 *report dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt at*
21 *a cost of \$4,609,000.*

22 “(32) *In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Engelman*
23 *Irrigation District, water conservation and improve-*
24 *ment projects as identified in an engineering report*

1 *dated March 5, 2004 by Melden and Hunt, Inc. at a*
2 *cost of \$2,251,480.*

3 *“(33) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Valley*
4 *Acres Water District, water conservation and im-*
5 *provement projects as identified in an engineering re-*
6 *port dated March, 2004 by Axiom-Blair Engineering*
7 *at a cost of \$500,000.*

8 *“(34) In the Hudspeth County, Texas, Hudspeth*
9 *County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1,*
10 *water conservation and improvement projects as iden-*
11 *tified in the March, 2004, engineering report by*
12 *Axiom-Blair Engineering at a cost of \$1,500,000.*

13 *“(35) In the El Paso County, Texas, El Paso*
14 *County Water Improvement District No. 1, water*
15 *conservation and improvement projects as identified*
16 *in the March, 2004, engineering report by Axiom-*
17 *Blair Engineering at a cost of \$10,500,000.*

18 *“(36) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Donna Irri-*
19 *gation District, water conservation and improvement*
20 *projects identified in an engineering report dated*
21 *March 22, 2004 by Melden and Hunt, Inc. at a cost*
22 *of \$2,500,000.*

23 *“(37) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Hidalgo*
24 *County Irrigation District No. 16, water conservation*
25 *and improvement projects identified in an engineer-*

1 *ing report dated March 22, 2004 by Melden and*
 2 *Hunt, Inc. at a cost of \$2,800,000.*

3 *“(38) The United Irrigation District of Hidalgo*
 4 *County water conservation and improvement projects*
 5 *as identified in a March 2004 engineering report by*
 6 *Sigler Winston, Greenwood and Associates at a cost*
 7 *of \$6,067,021.”.*

8 *(b) INCLUSION OF ACTIVITIES TO CONSERVE WATER*
 9 *OR IMPROVE SUPPLY; TRANSFERS AMONG PROJECTS.—*
 10 *Section 4 of such Act (Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067)*
 11 *is further amended by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-*
 12 *section (e), and by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-*
 13 *lowing:*

14 *“(c) INCLUSION OF ACTIVITIES TO CONSERVE WATER*
 15 *OR IMPROVE SUPPLY.—In addition to the activities identi-*
 16 *fied in the engineering reports referred to in subsection (a),*
 17 *each project that the Secretary conducts or participates in*
 18 *under subsection (a) may include any of the following:*

19 *“(1) The replacement of irrigation canals and*
 20 *lateral canals with buried pipelines.*

21 *“(2) The impervious lining of irrigation canals*
 22 *and lateral canals.*

23 *“(3) Installation of water level, flow measure-*
 24 *ment, pump control, and telemetry systems.*

1 “(4) *The renovation and replacement of pump-*
2 *ing plants.*

3 “(5) *Other activities that will result in the con-*
4 *servation of water or an improved supply of water.*

5 “(d) *TRANSFERS AMONG PROJECTS.—Of amounts*
6 *made available for a project referred to in any of para-*
7 *graphs (20) through (38) of subsection (a), the Secretary*
8 *may transfer and use for another such project up to 10 per-*
9 *cent.”.*

10 **SEC. 3. REAUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR**
11 **LOWER RIO GRANDE CONSTRUCTION.**

12 *Section 4(e) of the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water*
13 *Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000*
14 *(Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067), as redesignated by*
15 *section 2(b) of this Act, is further amended by inserting*
16 *before the period the following: “for projects referred to in*
17 *paragraphs (1) through (19) of subsection (a), and*
18 *\$42,356,145 (2004 dollars) for projects referred to in para-*
19 *graphs (20) through (38) of subsection (a)”.*

Union Calendar No. 470

108TH CONGRESS
2^D Session

H. R. 4588

[Report No. 108-758]

A BILL

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects and activities under that Act, and for other purposes.

OCTOBER 7, 2004

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed