# 106TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S. CON. RES. 55

Establishing objectives for the next round of multilateral trade negotiations.

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 8, 1999

Mr. BAUCUS submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Finance

# **CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

Establishing objectives for the next round of multilateral trade negotiations.

- Whereas obtaining open, equitable, and reciprocal market access will benefit both the United States and its trading partners;
- Whereas eliminating or reducing trade barriers and trade distorting practices will enhance export opportunities for American industry, agricultural products, and services;
- Whereas strengthening international disciplines on restrictive or trade-distorting import and export practices will improve the global commercial environment;
- Whereas preserving existing rules that prohibit unfair trade practices is a necessary adjunct to promoting commerce;

- Whereas expanding trade will foster economic growth required for full employment in the United States and the global economy;
- Whereas growth in international trade has immediate and significant consequences for sound natural resource use and environmental protection, and for the practice of sustainable development;
- Whereas the World Trade Organization is the single most important mechanism by which global commerce is regulated; and
- Whereas the United States will host the World Trade Organization Ministerial Meeting in Seattle in November 1999: Now, therefore, be it
- 1 Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives
- 2 concurring),

# **3** SECTION 1. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

4 It is the sense of Congress that the executive branch 5 of the Government should pursue the objectives described 6 in this concurrent resolution in any negotiations under-7 taken with respect to the next round of multilateral trade 8 negotiations at the World Trade Organization Ministerial 9 Meeting in Seattle, Washington.

#### 10 SEC. 2. AGRICULTURE.

11 The negotiating objectives of the United States with12 respect to agriculture should be the following:

13 (1) To eliminate all current and prohibit all fu-14 ture price subsidies and export taxes.

1	(2) To negotiate stronger disciplines on state-
2	owned trading enterprises, including cross-subsidiza-
3	tion, reserved market share, and price undercutting.
4	(3) With respect to tariffs, to pursue zero-for-
5	zero or harmonization agreements for products
6	where current tariff levels are so disparate that pro-
7	portional reductions would yield an unbalanced re-
8	sult.
9	(4) To target peak tariffs for reduction on a
10	specific timetable.
11	(5) To eliminate all tariffs that are less than 5
12	percent.
13	(6) To negotiate an agreement that binds all
14	tariffs at zero wherever possible.
15	(7) To phase out all tariff rate quotas.
16	(8) To eliminate all market-distorting domestic
17	subsidies.
18	(9) To eliminate technology-based discrimina-
19	tion of agricultural commodities.
20	(10) To negotiate agriculture and nonagri-
21	culture issues as a single undertaking, with full im-
22	plementation of any early agreement contingent on
23	an acceptable final package.
24	(11) To reach agreements to eliminate unilat-
25	eral agricultural sanctions as a tool of foreign policy.

# 1 SEC. 3. SERVICES.

2	The negotiating objectives of the United States with
3	respect to services should be the following:
4	(1) To achieve binding commitments on market
5	access and national treatment.
6	(2) To achieve broad participation from all
7	World Trade Organization members in the negotia-
8	tion of any agreement.
9	(3) To proceed on a "negative list" basis so
10	that all services will be covered unless specifically
11	listed.
12	(4) To prevent discrimination based on the
13	mode of delivery, including electronic delivery.
14	(5) To negotiate disciplines on transparency
15	and responsiveness of domestic regulations of serv-
16	ices.
17	SEC. 4. INDUSTRIAL MARKET ACCESS.
18	The negotiating objectives of the United States with
19	respect to industrial market access should be the fol-
20	lowing:
21	(1) To pursue zero-for-zero or harmonization
22	agreements for products where current tariff levels
23	are so disparate that proportional reductions would
24	yield an unbalanced result.
25	(2) To target peak tariffs for reduction on a
26	specific timetable.

4

1	(3) To eliminate all tariffs that are less than 5
2	percent.
3	(4) To negotiate agreements that bind tariffs at
4	zero wherever possible.
5	(5) To achieve broad participation in all harmo-
6	nization efforts.
7	(6) To expand the Information Technology
8	Agreement product coverage and participation.
9	(7) To make duty-free treatment of electronic
10	transmissions permanent.
11	(8) To negotiate short timetables for acceler-
12	ated tariff elimination in sectors identified in prior
13	international trade meetings, particularly in environ-
14	mental goods.
15	SEC. 5. OTHER TRADE-RELATED ISSUES.
16	The negotiating objectives of the United States with
17	respect to other trade-related issues should be the fol-
18	lowing:
19	(1) To achieve broad participation in Mutual
20	Recognition Agreements (MRA's) on product stand-
21	ards, conformity assessment, and certification proce-
22	dures.
23	(2) To expand the scope of the Government
24	Procurement Agreement and make it part of the
25	World Trade Organization undertaking.

1	(3) To strengthen protection of intellectual
2	property, including patents, trademarks, trade se-
3	crets, and industrial layout.
4	(4) To complete the harmonization of rules of
5	origin.
6	(5) To strengthen prohibitions against manda-
7	tory technology transfer under the Trade-Related In-
8	vestment Measures Agreement.
9	(6) To broaden agreements on customs-related
10	issues to facilitate the rapid movement of goods.
11	(7) To make permanent and binding the mora-
12	torium on tariffs on electronic transmissions.
13	(8) To establish a consensus that electronic
14	commerce is neither exclusively a good nor exclu-
15	sively a service, and develop rules for transparency,
16	notification, and review of domestic regulations.
17	(9) To reach a global agreement on liberal
18	treatment of digital products in a technologically
19	neutral manner.
20	(10) To negotiate an agreement for determining
21	when multilateral environmental agreements are con-
22	sistent with the principles of the World Trade Orga-
23	nization.

1	(11) To undertake early review of potential en-
2	vironmental impacts of all global agreements with a
3	view toward mitigating any adverse effects.
4	(12) To reach agreement that goods and serv-
5	ices produced by forced, prison, or child labor are
6	not protected by international trade rules.
7	(13) To establish a mechanism for joint re-
8	search and between the World Trade Organization
9	and the International Labor Organization (ILO).
10	(14) To institute explicit procedures for inclu-
11	sion of core labor standards in the country reports
12	of the World Trade Organization Trade Policy Re-
13	view Mechanism.
13 14	view Mechanism. SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL
14	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL
14 15	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES.
14 15 16	<b>SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL</b> <b>ISSUES.</b> The negotiating objectives of the United States with
14 15 16 17	<b>SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL</b> <b>ISSUES.</b> The negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to World Trade Organization institutional issues
14 15 16 17 18	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. The negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to World Trade Organization institutional issues should be the following:
14 15 16 17 18 19	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. The negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to World Trade Organization institutional issues should be the following: (1) To reach agreement not to implement any
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. The negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to World Trade Organization institutional issues should be the following: (1) To reach agreement not to implement any new trade restrictive measures during the 3-year ne-
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	SEC. 6. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. The negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to World Trade Organization institutional issues should be the following: (1) To reach agreement not to implement any new trade restrictive measures during the 3-year ne- gotiating period beginning with the Seattle Ministe-

7

1	(3) To shorten the timeframes of dispute reso-
2	lution.
3	(4) To increase transparency, citizen access,

and responsiveness to submissions from nongovern-mental organizations.

6 (5) To strengthen disciplines governing the cov7 erage and implementation of free trade agreements.
8 (6) To reach an agreement to cooperate with
9 the International Monetary Fund, the International
10 Bank for Reconstruction and Development, United
11 Nations organizations, and international economic
12 institutions in trade-related policy matters.

## 13 SEC. 7. ISSUES NOT OPEN TO NEGOTIATION.

14 In all negotiations, the United States Trade Rep-15 resentative should ensure that the negotiations do not 16 weaken existing agreements or create opportunities for the 17 imposition of new barriers in the following areas:

- 18 (1) Dumping and antidumping.
- 19 (2) Competition policy.
- 20 (3) Investment.
- 21 (4) Textiles and apparel.

# 22 SEC. 8. TRANSMITTAL OF RESOLUTION.

23 The Secretary of the Senate shall transmit a copy24 of this concurrent resolution to the President.