Calendar No. 125

106TH CONGRESS S. 1138

A BILI

To regulate interstate commerce by making provision for dealing with losses arising from Year 2000 Problem-related failures that may disrupt communications, intermodal transportation, and other matters affecting interstate commerce.

May 27, 1999

Read the second time and placed on the calendar

Calendar No. 125

106TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1138

To regulate interstate commerce by making provision for dealing with losses arising from Year 2000 Problem-related failures that may disrupt communications, intermodal transportation, and other matters affecting interstate commerce.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

May 26, 1999

Mr. McCain (for himself, Mr. Dodd, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Hatch, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Gorton, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Lott, Mr. Abraham, Mr. Frist, Mr. Burns, Mr. Santorum, Mr. Smith of Oregon, and Mr. Lieberman) introduced the following bill; which was read the first time

May 27, 1999

Read the second time and placed on the calendar

A BILL

To regulate interstate commerce by making provision for dealing with losses arising from Year 2000 Problemrelated failures that may disrupt communications, intermodal transportation, and other matters affecting interstate commerce.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF SECTIONS.

- 2 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
- 3 "Y2K Act".
- 4 (b) Table of Sections.—The table of sections for
- 5 this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title; table of sections.
 - Sec. 2. Findings and purposes.
 - Sec. 3. Definitions.
 - Sec. 4. Application of Act.
 - Sec. 5. Punitive damages limitations.
 - Sec. 6. Proportionate liability.
 - Sec. 7. Pre-litigation notice.
 - Sec. 8. Pleading requirements.
 - Sec. 9. Duty to mitigate.
 - Sec. 10. Application of existing impossibility or commercial impracticability doctrines.
 - Sec. 11. Damages limitation by contract.
 - Sec. 12. Damages in tort claims.
 - Sec. 13. State of mind; bystander liability; control.
 - Sec. 14. Appointment of special masters or magistrate judges for Y2K actions.
 - Sec. 15. Y2K actions as class actions.

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that:
- 8 (1)(A) Many information technology systems,
- 9 devices and programs are not capable of recognizing
- certain dates in 1999 and after December 31, 1999,
- and will read dates in the year 2000 and thereafter
- as if those dates represent the year 1900 or there-
- after or will fail to process dates after December 31,
- 14 1999.
- (B) If not corrected, the problem described in
- subparagraph (A) and resulting failures could inca-
- pacitate systems that are essential to the functioning
- of markets, commerce, consumer products, utilities,

- 1 Government, and safety and defense systems, in the 2 United States and throughout the world.
 - (2) It is in the national interest that producers and users of technology products concentrate their attention and resources in the time remaining before January 1, 2000, on assessing, fixing, testing, and developing contingency plans to address any and all outstanding year 2000 computer date-change problems, so as to minimize possible disruptions associated with computer failures.
 - (3)(A) Because year 2000 computer datechange problems may affect virtually all businesses and others users of technology products to some degree, there is a substantial likelihood that actual or potential year 2000 failures will prompt a significant volume of litigation, much of it insubstantial
 - (B) The litigation described in subparagraph
 (A) would have a range of undesirable effects, including the following:
 - (i) It would threaten to waste technical and financial resources that are better devoted to curing year 2000 computer date-change problems and ensuring that systems remain or become operational.

- 1 (ii) It could threaten the network of valued 2 and trusted business and customer relationships 3 that are important to the effective functioning 4 of the national economy.
 - (iii) It would strain the Nation's legal system, causing particular problems for the small businesses and individuals who already find that system inaccessible because of its complexity and expense.
 - (iv) The delays, expense, uncertainties, loss of control, adverse publicity, and animosities that frequently accompany litigation of business disputes could exacerbate the difficulties associated with the date change and work against the successful resolution of those difficulties.
 - (4) It is appropriate for the Congress to enact legislation to assure that Y2K problems do not unnecessarily disrupt interstate commerce or create unnecessary caseloads in Federal courts and to provide initiatives to help businesses prepare and be in a position to withstand the potentially devastating economic impact of Y2K.
 - (5) Resorting to the legal system for resolution of Y2K problems is not feasible for many businesses and individuals who already find the legal system in-

- accessible, particularly small businesses and individuals who already find the legal system inaccessible,
 because of its complexity and expense.
 - (6) The delays, expense, uncertainties, loss of control, adverse publicity, and animosities that frequently accompany litigation of business disputes can only exacerbate the difficulties associated with the Y2K date change, and work against the successful resolution of those difficulties.
 - (7) Concern about the potential for liability—in particular, concern about the substantial litigation expense associated with defending against even the most insubstantial lawsuits—is prompting many persons and businesses with technical expertise to avoid projects aimed at curing year 2000 computer date-change problems.
 - (8) A proliferation of frivolous Y2K lawsuits by opportunistic parties may further limit access to courts by straining the resources of the legal system and depriving deserving parties of their legitimate rights to relief.
 - (9) Congress encourages businesses to approach their Y2K disputes responsibly, and to avoid unnecessary, time-consuming and costly litigation about Y2K failures, particularly those that are not mate-

- 1 rial. Congress supports good faith negotiations be-
- 2 tween parties when there is a dispute over a Y2K
- problem, and, if necessary, urges the parties to enter
- 4 into voluntary, non-binding mediation rather than
- 5 litigation.
- 6 (b) Purposes.—Based upon the power of the Con-
- 7 gress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitu-
- 8 tion of the United States, the purposes of this Act are—
- 9 (1) to establish uniform legal standards that
- give all businesses and users of technology products
- 11 reasonable incentives to solve Y2K computer date-
- change problems before they develop;
- 13 (2) to encourage continued Y2K remediation
- and testing efforts by providers, suppliers, cus-
- tomers, and other contracting partners;
- 16 (3) to encourage private and public parties alike
- to resolve Y2K disputes by alternative dispute mech-
- anisms in order to avoid costly and time-consuming
- litigation, to initiate those mechanisms as early as
- 20 possible, and to encourage the prompt identification
- and correction of Y2K problems; and
- 22 (4) to lessen the burdens on interstate com-
- 23 merce by discouraging insubstantial lawsuits while
- preserving the ability of individuals and businesses

1	that have suffered real injury to obtain complete re-
2	lief.
3	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
4	In this Act:
5	(1) Y2K action.—The term "Y2K action"—
6	(A) means a civil action commenced in any
7	Federal or State court, or any agency board of
8	contract appeal proceeding, in which the plain-
9	tiff's alleged harm or injury resulted from a
10	Y2K failure;
11	(B) includes a civil action commenced in
12	any Federal or State court by a governmental
13	entity when acting in a commercial or con-
14	tracting capacity; but
15	(C) does not include an action brought by
16	a governmental entity acting in a regulatory,
17	supervisory, or enforcement capacity.
18	(2) Y2K FAILURE.—The term "Y2K failure"
19	means failure by any device or system (including any
20	computer system and any microchip or integrated
21	circuit embedded in another device or product), or
22	any software, firmware, or other set or collection of
23	processing instructions to process, to calculate, to

compare, to sequence, to display, to store, to trans-

1	mit, or to receive year-2000 date-related data, in-
2	cluding failures—
3	(A) to deal with or account for transitions
4	or comparisons from, into, and between the
5	years 1999 and 2000 accurately;
6	(B) to recognize or accurately to process
7	any specific date in 1999, 2000, or 2001; or
8	(C) accurately to account for the year
9	2000's status as a leap year, including recogni-
10	tion and processing of the correct date on Feb-
11	ruary 29, 2000.
12	(3) GOVERNMENT ENTITY.—The term "govern-
13	ment entity" means an agency, instrumentality, or
14	other entity of Federal, State, or local government
15	(including multijurisdictional agencies, instrumental-
16	ities, and entities).
17	(4) Material Defect.—The term "material
18	defect" means a defect in any item, whether tangible
19	or intangible, or in the provision of a service, that
20	substantially prevents the item or service from oper-
21	ating or functioning as designed or according to its
22	specifications. The term "material defect" does not

include a defect that—

1	(A) has an insignificant or de minimis ef-
2	fect on the operation or functioning of an item
3	or computer program;
4	(B) affects only a component of an item or
5	program that, as a whole, substantially operates
6	or functions as designed; or
7	(C) has an insignificant or de minimis ef-
8	fect on the efficacy of the service provided.
9	(5) Personal injury.—The term "personal
10	injury" means physical injury to a natural person,
11	including—
12	(A) death as a result of a physical injury;
13	and
14	(B) mental suffering, emotional distress, or
15	similar injuries suffered by that person in con-
16	nection with a physical injury.
17	(6) State.—The term "State" means any
18	State of the United States, the District of Columbia,
19	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Northern Mar-
20	iana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands,
21	Guam, American Samoa, and any other territory or
22	possession of the United States, and any political
23	subdivision thereof.
24	(7) Contract.—The term "contract" means a
25	contract, tariff, license, or warranty.

1 (8) ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.—The
2 term "alternative dispute resolution" means any
3 process or proceeding, other than adjudication by a
4 court or in an administrative proceeding, to assist in
5 the resolution of issues in controversy, through proc6 esses such as early neutral evaluation, mediation,
7 minitrial, and arbitration.

8 SEC. 4. APPLICATION OF ACT.

- 9 (a) General Rule.—This Act applies to any Y2K
- 10 action brought in a State or Federal court after January
- 11 1, 1999, for a Y2K failure occurring before January 1,
- 12 2003, including any appeal, remand, stay, or other judi-
- 13 cial, administrative, or alternative dispute resolution pro-
- 14 ceeding in such an action.
- 15 (b) No New Cause of Action Created.—Nothing
- 16 in this Act creates a new cause of action, and, except as
- 17 otherwise explicitly provided in this Act, nothing in this
- 18 Act expands any liability otherwise imposed or limits any
- 19 defense otherwise available under Federal or State law.
- 20 (c) Claims for Personal Injury or Wrongful
- 21 Death Excluded.—This Act does not apply to a claim
- 22 for personal injury or for wrongful death.
- 23 (d) Contract Preservation.—
- 24 (1) In general.—Subject to paragraph (2), in
- any Y2K action any written contractual term, in-

- 1 cluding a limitation or an exclusion of liability, or a
- 2 disclaimer of warranty, shall be strictly enforced un-
- 3 less the enforcement of that term would manifestly
- 4 and directly contravene applicable State law em-
- 5 bodied in any statute in effect on January 1, 1999,
- 6 specifically addressing that term.
- 7 (2) Interpretation of contract.—In any
- 8 Y2K action in which a contract to which paragraph
- 9 (1) applies is silent as to a particular issue, the in-
- terpretation of the contract as to that issue shall be
- determined by applicable law in effect at the time
- the contract was executed.
- 13 (e) Preemption of State Law.—This Act super-
- 14 sedes State law to the extent that it establishes a rule of
- 15 law applicable to a Y2K action that is inconsistent with
- 16 State law, but nothing in this Act implicates, alters, or
- 17 diminishes the ability of a State to defend itself against
- 18 any claim on the basis of sovereign immunity.
- 19 (f) Application With Year 2000 Information
- 20 AND READINESS DISCLOSURE ACT.—Nothing in this Act
- 21 supersedes any provision of the Year 2000 Information
- 22 and Readiness Disclosure Act.
- 23 SEC. 5. PUNITIVE DAMAGES LIMITATIONS.
- 24 (a) IN GENERAL.—In any Y2K action in which puni-
- 25 tive damages are permitted by applicable law, the defend-

1	ant shall not be liable for punitive damages unless the
2	plaintiff proves by clear and convincing evidence that the
3	applicable standard for awarding damages has been met
4	(b) Caps on Punitive Damages.—
5	(1) In general.—Subject to the evidentiary
6	standard established by subsection (a), punitive
7	damages permitted under applicable law against a
8	defendant described in paragraph (2) in a Y2K ac-
9	tion may not exceed the lesser of—
10	(A) 3 times the amount awarded for com-
11	pensatory damages; or
12	(B) \$250,000.
13	(2) Defendant described.—A defendant de-
14	scribed in this paragraph is a defendant—
15	(A) who—
16	(i) is sued in his or her capacity as an
17	individual; and
18	(ii) whose net worth does not exceed
19	\$500,000; or
20	(B) that is an unincorporated business, a
21	partnership, corporation, association, or organi-
22	zation with fewer than 50 full-time employees.
23	(3) No cap if injury specifically in-
24	TENDED.—Paragraph (1) does not apply if the
25	plaintiff establishes by clear and convincing evidence

- 1 that the defendant acted with specific intent to in-
- 2 jure the plaintiff.
- 3 (c) GOVERNMENT ENTITIES.—Punitive damages in a
- 4 Y2K action may not be awarded against a government
- 5 entity.

6 SEC. 6. PROPORTIONATE LIABILITY.

- 7 (a) In General.—Except as provided in subsections
- 8 (b) and (c), a person against whom a final judgment is
- 9 entered in a Y2K action shall be liable solely for the por-
- 10 tion of a judgment that corresponds to the relative and
- 11 proportional responsibility of that person. In determining
- 12 the percentage of responsibility of any defendant, the trier
- 13 of fact shall determine that percentage as a percentage
- 14 of the total fault of all persons, including the plaintiff,
- 15 who caused or contributed to the total loss incurred by
- 16 the plaintiff.

17 (b) Proportionate Liability.—

- 18 (1) Determination of responsibility.—In
- any Y2K action, the court shall instruct the jury to
- answer special interrogatories, or, if there is no jury,
- 21 the court shall make findings with respect to each
- defendant, including defendants who have entered
- into settlements with the plaintiff or plaintiffs,
- 24 concerning—

1	(A) the percentage of responsibility, if any
2	of each defendant, measured as a percentage of
3	the total fault of all persons who caused or con-
4	tributed to the loss incurred by the plaintiff;
5	and
6	(B) if alleged by the plaintiff, whether the
7	defendant (other than a defendant who has en-
8	tered into a settlement agreement with the
9	plaintiff)—
10	(i) acted with specific intent to injure
11	the plaintiff; or
12	(ii) knowingly committed fraud.
13	(2) Contents of special interrogatories
14	OR FINDINGS.—The responses to interrogatories or
15	findings under paragraph (1) shall specify the total
16	amount of damages that the plaintiff is entitled to
17	recover and the percentage of responsibility of each
18	defendant found to have caused or contributed to
19	the loss incurred by the plaintiff.
20	(3) Factors for consideration.—In deter-
21	mining the percentage of responsibility under this
22	subsection, the trier of fact shall consider—
23	(A) the nature of the conduct of each per-
24	son found to have caused or contributed to the
25	loss incurred by the plaintiff: and

1	(B) the nature and extent of the casual re-
2	lationship between the conduct of each such
3	person and the damages incurred by the plain-
4	tiff.
5	(c) Joint Liability for Specific Intent or
6	Fraud.—
7	(1) In general.—Notwithstanding subsection
8	(a), the liability of a defendant in a Y2K action is
9	joint and several if the trier of fact specifically deter-
10	mines that the defendant—
11	(A) acted with specific intent to injure the
12	plaintiff; or
13	(B) knowingly committed fraud.
14	(2) Fraud; recklessness.—
15	(A) Knowing commission of fraud de-
16	SCRIBED.—For purposes of subsection
17	(b)(1)(B)(ii) and paragraph $(1)(B)$ of this sub-
18	section, a defendant knowingly committed fraud
19	if the defendant—
20	(i) made an untrue statement of a
21	material fact, with actual knowledge that
22	the statement was false;
23	(ii) omitted a fact necessary to make
24	the statement not be misleading with ac-

1	tual knowledge that, as a result of the
2	omission, the statement was false; and
3	(iii) knew that the plaintiff was rea-
4	sonably likely to rely on the false state-
5	ment.
6	(B) Recklessness.—For purposes of
7	subsection (b)(1)(B) and paragraph (1) of this
8	subsection, reckless conduct by the defendant
9	does not constitute either a specific intent to in-
10	jure, or the knowing commission of fraud, by
11	the defendant.
12	(3) Right to contribution not af-
13	FECTED.—Nothing in this section affects the right
14	under any other law, of a defendant to contribution
15	with respect to another defendant found under sub-
16	section (b)(1)(B), or determined under paragraph
17	(1)(B) of this subsection, to have acted with specific
18	intent to injure the plaintiff or to have knowingly
19	committed fraud.
20	(d) Special Rules.—
21	(1) Uncollectible share.—
22	(A) In general.—Notwithstanding sub-
23	section (a), if, upon motion made not later than
24	6 months after a final judgment is entered in
25	any Y2K action, the court determines that all

1	or part of the share of the judgment against a
2	defendant for compensatory damages is not col-
3	lectible against that defendant, then each other
4	defendant in the action is liable for the
5	uncollectible share as follows:
6	(i) Percentage of Net Worth.—
7	The other defendants are jointly and sever-
8	ally liable for any uncollectible share if the
9	plaintiff establishes that—
10	(I) the plaintiff is an individual
11	whose recoverable damages under the
12	final judgment are equal to more than
13	10 percent of the net worth of the
14	plaintiff; and
15	(II) the net worth of the plaintiff
16	is less than \$200,000.
17	(ii) Other plaintiffs.—For a plain-
18	tiff not described in clause (i), each of the
19	other defendants is liable for the
20	uncollectible share in proportion to the per-
21	centage of responsibility of that defendant,
22	except that the total liability of a defend-
23	ant under this clause may not exceed 50
24	percent of the proportionate share of that

1	defendant, as determined under subsection
2	(b)(2).
3	(B) Overall limit.—The total payments
4	required under subparagraph (A) from all de-
5	fendants may not exceed the amount of the
6	uncollectible share.
7	(C) Subject to contribution.—A de-
8	fendant against whom judgment is not collect-
9	ible is subject to contribution and to any con-
10	tinuing liability to the plaintiff on the judg-
11	ment.
12	(2) Special right of contribution.—To the
13	extent that a defendant is required to make an addi-
14	tional payment under paragraph (1), that defendant
15	may recover contribution—
16	(A) from the defendant originally liable to
17	make the payment;
18	(B) from any other defendant that is joint-
19	ly and severally liable;
20	(C) from any other defendant held propor-
21	tionately liable who is liable to make the same
22	payment and has paid less than that other de-
23	fendant's proportionate share of that payment;
24	$\alpha \mathbf{r}$

	1J
1	(D) from any other person responsible for
2	the conduct giving rise to the payment that
3	would have been liable to make the same pay-
4	ment.
5	(3) Nondisclosure to jury.—The standard
6	for allocation of damages under subsection (a) and
7	subsection (b)(1), and the procedure for reallocation
8	of uncollectible shares under paragraph (1) of this
9	subsection, shall not be disclosed to members of the
10	jury.
11	(e) Settlement Discharge.—
12	(1) In general.—A defendant who settles a
13	Y2K action at any time before final verdict or judg-
14	ment shall be discharged from all claims for con-
15	tribution brought by other persons. Upon entry of
16	the settlement by the court, the court shall enter a
17	bar order constituting the final discharge of all obli-
18	gations to the plaintiff of the settling defendant aris-
19	ing out of the action. The order shall bar all future

(A) by any person against the settling defendant; and

claims for contribution arising out of the action—

(B) by the settling defendant against any person other than a person whose liability has

20

21

22

23

- been extinguished by the settlement of the settling defendant.
 - (2) REDUCTION.—If a defendant enters into a settlement with the plaintiff before the final verdict or judgment, the verdict or judgment shall be reduced by the greater of—
- 7 (A) an amount that corresponds to the 8 percentage of responsibility of that defendant; 9 or
- 10 (B) the amount paid to the plaintiff by that defendant.

(f) General Right of Contribution.—

- (1) In general.—A defendant who is jointly and severally liable for damages in any Y2K action may recover contribution from any other person who, if joined in the original action, would have been liable for the same damages. A claim for contribution shall be determined based on the percentage of responsibility of the claimant and of each person against whom a claim for contribution is made.
- (2) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR CONTRIBU-TION.—An action for contribution in connection with a Y2K action shall be brought not later than 6 months after the entry of a final, nonappealable judgment in the Y2K action, except than an action

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 for contribution brought by a defendant who was re-
- 2 quired to make an additional payment under sub-
- 3 section (d)(1) may be brought not later than 6
- 4 months after the date on which such payment was
- 5 made.
- 6 (g) More Protective State Law Not Pre-
- 7 EMPTED.—Nothing in this section pre-empts or super-
- 8 sedes any provision of State statutory law that—
- 9 (1) limits the liability of a defendant in a Y2K
- action to a lesser amount than the amount deter-
- 11 mined under this section; or
- 12 (2) otherwise affords a greater degree of protec-
- tion from joint or several liability than is afforded by
- this section.

15 SEC. 7. PRE-LITIGATION NOTICE.

- 16 (a) IN GENERAL.—Before commencing a Y2K action,
- 17 except an action that seeks only injunctive relief, a pro-
- 18 spective plaintiff with a Y2K claim shall send a written
- 19 notice by certified mail (with either return receipt re-
- 20 quested or other means of verification that the notice was
- 21 sent) to each prospective defendant in that action. The
- 22 notice shall provide specific and detailed information
- 23 about—
- 24 (1) the manifestations of any material defect al-
- leged to have caused harm or loss;

1	(0) 11 . 1 . 1 . 11 . 11 . 11 . 11 . 11
1	(2) the harm or loss allegedly suffered by the
2	prospective plaintiff;
3	(3) how the prospective plaintiff would like the
4	prospective defendant to remedy the problem;
5	(4) the basis upon which the prospective plain-
6	tiff seeks that remedy; and
7	(5) the name, title, address, and telephone
8	number of any individual who has authority to nego-
9	tiate a resolution of the dispute on behalf of the pro-
10	spective plaintiff.
11	(b) Person to Whom Notice To Be Sent.—The
12	notice required by subsection (a) shall be sent—
13	(1) to the registered agent of the prospective
14	defendant for service of legal process;
15	(2) if the prospective defendant does not have
16	a registered agent, then to the chief executive officer
17	of a corporation, the managing partner of a partner-
18	ship, the proprietor of a sole proprietorship, or to a
19	similarly-situated person for any other enterprise; or
20	(3) if the prospective defendant has designated
21	a person to receive pre-litigation notices on a Year
22	2000 Internet Website (as defined in section 3(7) of
23	the Year 2000 Information and Readiness Disclo-
24	sure Act), to the designated person, if the prospec-
25	tive plaintiff has reasonable access to the Internet.

(c) Response to Notice.—

- (1) In General.—Within 30 days after receipt of the notice specified in subsection (a), each prospective defendant shall send by certified mail with return receipt requested to each prospective plaintiff a written statement acknowledging receipt of the notice, and describing the actions it has taken or will take to address the problem identified by the prospective plaintiff.
 - (2) WILLINGNESS TO ENGAGE IN ADR.—The written statement shall state whether the prospective defendant is willing to engage in alternative dispute resolution.
 - (3) Inadmissability.—A written statement required by this paragraph is not admissible in evidence, under Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence or any analogous rule of evidence in any State, in any proceeding to prove liability for, or the invalidity of, a claim or its amount, or otherwise as evidence of conduct or statements made in compromise negotiations.
 - (4) Presumptive time of receipt.—For purposes of paragraph (1), a notice under subsection (a) is presumed to be received 7 days after it was sent.

1	(d) Failure to Respond.—If a prospective
2	defendant—
3	(1) fails to respond to a notice provided pursu-
4	ant to subsection (a) within the 30 days specified in
5	subsection $(c)(1)$; or
6	(2) does not describe the action, if any, the pro-
7	spective defendant has taken, or will take, to address
8	the problem identified by the prospective plaintiff,
9	the prospective plaintiff may immediately commence a
10	legal action against that prospective defendant.
11	(e) Remediation Period.—
12	(1) IN GENERAL.—If the prospective defendant
13	responds and proposes remedial action it will take,
14	or offers to engage in alternative dispute resolution,
15	then the prospective plaintiff shall allow the prospec-
16	tive defendant an additional 60 days from the end
17	of the 30-day notice period to complete the proposed
18	remedial action before commencing a legal action
19	against that prospective defendant.
20	(2) Extension by agreement.—The prospec-
21	tive plaintiff and prospective defendant may change
22	the length of the 60-day remediation period by writ-
23	ten agreement.
24	(3) Multiple extensions not allowed.—
25	Except as provided in paragraph (2), a defendant in

- 1 a Y2K action is entitled to no more than one 30-day
- 2 period and one 60-day remediation period under
- 3 paragraph (1).
- 4 (4) STATUTES OF LIMITATION, ETC.,
- 5 TOLLED.—Any applicable statute of limitations or
- 6 doctrine of laches in a Y2K action to which para-
- 7 graph (1) applies shall be tolled during the notice
- 8 and remediation period under that paragraph.
- 9 (f) Failure To Provide Notice.—If a defendant
- 10 determines that a plaintiff has filed a Y2K action without
- 11 providing the notice specified in subsection (a) or without
- 12 awaiting the expiration of the appropriate waiting period
- 13 specified in subsection (c), the defendant may treat the
- 14 plaintiff's complaint as such a notice by so informing the
- 15 court and the plaintiff in its initial response to the plain-
- 16 tiff. If any defendant elects to treat the complaint as such
- 17 a notice—
- 18 (1) the court shall stay all discovery and all
- other proceedings in the action for the appropriate
- 20 period after filing of the complaint; and
- 21 (2) the time for filing answers and all other
- pleadings shall be tolled during the appropriate pe-
- 23 riod.
- 24 (g) Effect of Contractual or Statutory Wait-
- 25 ING PERIODS.—In cases in which a contract, or a statute

- 1 enacted before January 1, 1999, requires notice of non-
- 2 performance and provides for a period of delay prior to
- 3 the initiation of suit for breach or repudiation of contract,
- 4 the period of delay provided by contract or the statute is
- 5 controlling over the waiting period specified in subsections
- 6 (c) and (d).
- 7 (h) State Law Controls Alternative Meth-
- 8 ods.—Nothing in this section supersedes or otherwise pre-
- 9 empts any State law or rule of civil procedure with respect
- 10 to the use of alternative dispute resolution for Y2K ac-
- 11 tions.
- 12 (i) Provisional Remedies Unaffected.—Noth-
- 13 ing in this section interferes with the right of a litigant
- 14 to provisional remedies otherwise available under Rule 65
- 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any State rule
- 16 of civil procedure providing extraordinary or provisional
- 17 remedies in any civil action in which the underlying com-
- 18 plaint seeks both injunctive and monetary relief.
- 19 (j) Special Rule for Class Actions.—For the
- 20 purpose of applying this section to a Y2K action that is
- 21 maintained as a class action in Federal or State court,
- 22 the requirements of the preceding subsections of this sec-
- 23 tion apply only to named plaintiffs in the class action.

1 SEC. 8. PLEADING REQUIREMENTS.

- 2 (a) Application With Rules of Civil Proce-
- 3 Dure.—This section applies exclusively to Y2K actions
- 4 and, except to the extent that this section requires addi-
- 5 tional information to be contained in or attached to plead-
- 6 ings, nothing in this section is intended to amend or other-
- 7 wise supersede applicable rules of Federal or State civil
- 8 procedure.
- 9 (b) Nature and Amount of Damages.—In all
- 10 Y2K actions in which damages are requested, there shall
- 11 be filed with the complaint a statement of specific infor-
- 12 mation as to the nature and amount of each element of
- 13 damages and the factual basis for the damages calcula-
- 14 tion.
- 15 (c) Material Defects.—In any Y2K action in
- 16 which the plaintiff alleges that there is a material defect
- 17 in a product or service, there shall be filed with the com-
- 18 plaint a statement of specific information regarding the
- 19 manifestations of the material defects and the facts sup-
- 20 porting a conclusion that the defects are material.
- 21 (d) Required State of Mind.—In any Y2K action
- 22 in which a claim is asserted on which the plaintiff may
- 23 prevail only on proof that the defendant acted with a par-
- 24 ticular state of mind, there shall be filed with the com-
- 25 plaint, with respect to each element of that claim, a state-

- 1 ment of the facts giving rise to a strong inference that
- 2 the defendant acted with the required state of mind.

3 SEC. 9. DUTY TO MITIGATE.

- 4 Damages awarded in any Y2K action shall exclude
- 5 compensation for damages the plaintiff could reasonably
- 6 have avoided in light of any disclosure or other informa-
- 7 tion of which the plaintiff was, or reasonably should have
- 8 been, aware, including information made available by the
- 9 defendant to purchasers or users of the defendant's prod-
- 10 uct or services concerning means of remedying or avoiding
- 11 the Y2K failure.
- 12 SEC. 10. APPLICATION OF EXISTING IMPOSSIBILITY OR
- 13 COMMERCIAL IMPRACTICABILITY DOC-
- 14 TRINES.
- 15 In any Y2K action for breach or repudiation of con-
- 16 tract, the applicability of the doctrines of impossibility and
- 17 commercial impracticability shall be determined by the law
- 18 in existence on January 1, 1999. Nothing in this Act shall
- 19 be construed as limiting or impairing a party's right to
- 20 assert defenses based upon such doctrines.
- 21 SEC. 11. DAMAGES LIMITATION BY CONTRACT.
- In any Y2K action for breach or repudiation of con-
- 23 tract, no party may claim, nor be awarded, any category
- 24 of damages unless such damages are allowed—
- 25 (1) by the express terms of the contract; or

(2) if the contract is silent on such damages, by 1 2 operation of State law at the time the contract was 3 effective or by operation of Federal law. SEC. 12. DAMAGES IN TORT CLAIMS. 5 (a) IN GENERAL.—A party to a Y2K action making a tort claim may not recover damages for economic loss 7 unless— 8 (1) the recovery of such losses is provided for 9 in a contract to which the party seeking to recover 10 such losses is a party; or 11 (2) such losses result directly from damage to 12 tangible personal or real property caused by the 13 Y2K failure (other than damage to property that is 14 the subject of the contract between the parties to the 15 Y2K action or, in the event there is no contract be-16 tween the parties, other than damage caused only to 17 the property that experienced the Y2K failure), 18 and such damages are permitted under applicable Federal 19 or State law. 20 (b) Economic Loss.—For purposes of this section 21 only, and except as otherwise specifically provided in a valid and enforceable written contract between the plaintiff and the defendant in a Y2K action, the term "eco-

S 1138 PCS

nomic loss"—

1	(1) means amounts awarded to compensate an
2	injured party for any loss other than losses described
3	in subsection (a)(2); and
4	(2) includes amounts awarded for damages such
5	as—
6	(A) lost profits or sales;
7	(B) business interruption;
8	(C) losses indirectly suffered as a result of
9	the defendant's wrongful act or omission;
10	(D) losses that arise because of the claims
11	of third parties;
12	(E) losses that must be plead as special
13	damages; and
14	(F) consequential damages (as defined in
15	the Uniform Commercial Code or analogous
16	State commercial law).
17	(c) CERTAIN ACTIONS EXCLUDED.—This section
18	does not affect, abrogate, amend, or alter any patent,
19	copyright, trade-secret, trademark, or service-mark action,
20	or any claim for defamation or invasion of privacy under
21	Federal or State law.
22	(d) CERTAIN OTHER ACTIONS.—A person liable for
23	damages, whether by settlement or judgment, in a civil
24	action to which this Act does not apply because of section
25	4(c) whose liability, in whole or in part, is the result of

1	a Y2K failure may, notwithstanding any other provision
2	of this Act, pursue any remedy otherwise available under
3	Federal or State law against the person responsible for
4	that Y2K failure to the extent of recovering the amount
5	of those damages.
6	SEC. 13. STATE OF MIND; BYSTANDER LIABILITY; CONTROL.
7	(a) Defendant's State of Mind.—In a Y2K ac-
8	tion other than a claim for breach or repudiation of con-
9	tract, and in which the defendant's actual or constructive
10	awareness of an actual or potential Y2K failure is an ele-
11	ment of the claim, the defendant is not liable unless the
12	plaintiff establishes that element of the claim by the stand-
13	ard of evidence under applicable State law in effect before
14	January 1, 1999.
15	(b) Limitation on Bystander Liability for Y2K
16	Failures.—
17	(1) In general.—With respect to any Y2K ac-
18	tion for money damages in which—
19	(A) the defendant is not the manufacturer,
20	seller, or distributor of a product, or the pro-
21	vider of a service, that suffers or causes the
22	Y2K failure at issue;
23	(B) the plaintiff is not in substantial priv-
24	ity with the defendant; and

- (C) the defendant's actual or constructive awareness of an actual or potential Y2K failure is an element of the claim under applicable law, the defendant shall not be liable unless the plaintiff, in addition to establishing all other requisite elements of the claim, proves, by the standard of evidence under applicable State law in effect before January 1, 1999, that the defendant actually knew, or recklessly disregarded a known and substantial risk, that such failure would occur.
 - (2) Substantial privity.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B), a plaintiff and a defendant are in substantial privity when, in a Y2K action arising out of the performance of professional services, the plaintiff and the defendant either have contractual relations with one another or the plaintiff is a person who, prior to the defendant's performance of such services, was specifically identified to and acknowledged by the defendant as a person for whose special benefit the services were being performed.
 - (3) CERTAIN CLAIMS EXCLUDED.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(C), claims in which the defendant's actual or constructive awareness of an actual or potential Y2K failure is an element of the claim under applicable law do not include claims for

- 1 negligence but do not include claims such as fraud,
- 2 constructive fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, neg-
- 3 ligent misrepresentation, and interference with con-
- 4 tract or economic advantage.
- 5 (c) Control Not Determinative of Liability.—
- 6 The fact that a Y2K failure occurred in an entity, facility,
- 7 system, product, or component that was sold, leased,
- 8 rented, or otherwise within the control of the party against
- 9 whom a claim is asserted in a Y2K action shall not con-
- 10 stitute the sole basis for recovery of damages in that ac-
- 11 tion. A claim in a Y2K action for breach or repudiation
- 12 of contract for such a failure is governed by the terms
- 13 of the contract.
- 14 (d) Protections of the Year 2000 Information
- 15 AND READINESS DISCLOSURE ACT APPLY.—The protec-
- 16 tions for the exchanges of information provided by section
- 17 4 of the Year 2000 Information and Readiness Disclosure
- 18 Act (Public Law 105–271) shall apply to this Act.
- 19 SEC. 14. APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL MASTERS OR MAG-
- 20 **ISTRATE JUDGES FOR Y2K ACTIONS.**
- 21 Any District Court of the United States in which a
- 22 Y2K action is pending may appoint a special master or
- 23 a magistrate judge to hear the matter and to make find-
- 24 ings of fact and conclusions of law in accordance with Rule
- 25 53 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1 SEC. 15. Y2K ACTIONS AS CLASS ACTIONS.

2	(a) Material Defect Requirement.—A Y2K ac-
3	tion involving a claim that a product or service is defective
4	may be maintained as a class action in Federal or State
5	court as to that claim only if—
6	(1) it satisfies all other prerequisites established
7	by applicable Federal or State law, including appli-
8	cable rules of civil procedure; and
9	(2) the court finds that the defect in a product
10	or service as alleged would be a material defect for
11	the majority of the members of the class.
12	(b) Notification.—In any Y2K action that is main-
13	tained as a class action, the court, in addition to any other
14	notice required by applicable Federal or State law, shall
15	direct notice of the action to each member of the class,
16	which shall include—
17	(1) a concise and clear description of the nature
18	of the action;
19	(2) the jurisdiction where the case is pending;
20	and
21	(3) the fee arrangements with class counsel, in-
22	cluding the hourly fee being charged, or if it is a
23	contingency fee, the percentage of the final award
24	which will be paid, including an estimate of the total
25	amount that would be paid if the requested damages
26	were to be granted.

1	(c) FORUM FOR Y2K CLASS ACTIONS.—
2	(1) Jurisdiction.—Except as provided in
3	paragraph (2), a Y2K action may be brought as a
4	class action in a United States District Court or re-
5	moved to a United States District Court if the
6	amount in controversy is greater than the sum or
7	value of \$1,000,000 (exclusive of interest and costs),
8	computed on the basis of all claims to be determined
9	in the action.
10	(2) Exception.—A Y2K action may not be
11	brought or removed as a class action under this sec-
12	tion if—
13	(A)(i) a substantial majority of the mem-
14	bers of the proposed plaintiff class are citizens
15	of a single State;
16	(ii) the primary defendants are citizens of
17	that State; and
18	(iii) the claims asserted will be governed
19	primarily by the law of that State; or
20	(B) the primary defendants are States,
21	State officials, or other governmental entities
22	against whom the United States District Court
23	may be foreclosed from ordering relief.
24	(d) Effect on Rules of Civil Procedure.—Ex-
25	cept as otherwise provided in this section, nothing in this

- 1 section supersedes any rule of Federal or State civil proce-
- 2 dure applicable to class actions.

Amend the title so as to read: An Act to regulate commerce between and among the several States by providing for the orderly resolution of disputes arising out of computer-based problems related to processing data that includes a 2-digit expression of the year's date through fostering an incentive for businesses to continue fixing and testing their systems, to communicate with other businesses, resolve year-2000 business disputes without litigation, and to settle year 2000 lawsuits that may disrupt significant sectors of the American economy.