

106TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 3266

To direct that essential antibiotic drugs not be used in livestock unless there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 9, 1999

Mr. BROWN of Ohio (for himself, Mr. WAXMAN, and Ms. SLAUGHTER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce

A BILL

To direct that essential antibiotic drugs not be used in livestock unless there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Preservation of Essen-
5 tial Antibiotics for Human Diseases Act of 1999”.

6 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

8 (1) Several antibiotics, particularly penicillin,
9 tetracycline, erythromycin, lincomycin, tylosin, bac-

1 tracin and virginiamycin, that either are used in or
2 are related to antibiotics used in humans to treat in-
3 fectious diseases are also used in animal feed in sub-
4 therapeutic amounts in order to promote the ani-
5 mals' growth.

6 (2) Mounting scientific evidence shows that
7 using those antibiotics in livestock feed can lead to
8 antibiotic-resistant bacteria that can be transferred
9 to people, making it harder to treat certain infec-
10 tions.

11 (3) In 1969, the Swann Committee was formed
12 in the United Kingdom to examine the public health
13 effects of use of antimicrobial drugs in food-pro-
14 ducing animals. The Committee recommended that
15 antimicrobials be divided into "feed" and "thera-
16 peutic" classes of drugs and that the "feed" class
17 not include drugs used therapeutically in humans or
18 animals. All developed countries in the world, with
19 the exception of the United States and Canada, cur-
20 rently follow such recommendations.

21 (4) In 1997, the World Health Organization
22 recommended that antibiotics used to treat humans
23 should not also be used to promote animal growth,
24 although such antibiotics could still be used to treat
25 ill animals.

1 (5) In July 1998, the National Academy of
2 Sciences, in a report prepared at the request of the
3 United States Department of Agriculture and the
4 Food and Drug Administration, concluded “there is
5 a link between the use of antibiotics in food animals,
6 the development of bacterial resistance to these
7 drugs, and human disease”.

8 (6) In December 1998, health ministers for the
9 European Union countries voted to ban the 4 re-
10 maining human-use antibiotics still in use at sub-
11 therapeutic levels to promote animal growth. The
12 ban on using virginiamycin, tylosin, spiramycin, and
13 bacitracin in animal feed became effective for the 15
14 member states of the European Union on July 1,
15 1999. Prior to that action, individual European
16 countries, including the United Kingdom, Denmark,
17 Finland, and Sweden had banned the use in animal
18 feed of specific antibiotics.

19 (7) An April 1999 study by the General Ac-
20 counting Office states that resistant strains of 3 spe-
21 cific organisms that cause illness or disease in hu-
22 mans—salmonella, campylobacter, and E. coli—are
23 linked to the use of antibiotics in animals.

24 (8) Removing certain antibiotics from subthera-
25 peutic use will not hinder the raising of livestock be-

1 cause non-antimicrobial growth promoters, alter-
2 native antibiotics, and alternative husbandry prac-
3 tices are available.

4 (b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to insure
5 that certain antimicrobial drugs essential to human health
6 are not used subtherapeutically in food animals unless
7 there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health
8 due to the development of antimicrobial resistance as a
9 result of such use.

10 **SEC. 3. REQUIRING PROOF OF SAFETY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 512(d)(1) of the Federal
12 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(d)(1)) is
13 amended by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (H),
14 by redesignating subparagraph (I) as subparagraph (J),
15 and by adding after subparagraph (H) the following:

16 “(I) the Secretary is unable to determine, based
17 on data submitted by the applicant, that there is a
18 reasonable certainty of no harm to human health
19 due to the development of antimicrobial resistance
20 which is attributable to the subtherapeutic use of
21 such drug; or”.

22 (b) WITHDRAWAL OF APPROVAL.—Section 512(e) of
23 such Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(e)) is amended by redesignating
24 paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after
25 paragraph (2) the following:

1 “(3)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), 2
2 years after the date of the enactment of this paragraph,
3 approval pursuant to subsection (b) with respect to the
4 subtherapeutic use of penicillin, tetracycline, erythro-
5 mycin, lincomycin, tylosin, bacitracin, virginiamycin, or
6 other antimicrobial new animal drugs in animals is
7 deemed to be withdrawn and the use will be deemed unsafe
8 for the purposes of section 501(a)(6) unless, based on data
9 submitted by the applicant, the Secretary determines that
10 there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health
11 due to the development of antimicrobial resistance which
12 is attributable to the subtherapeutic use of such drug.

13 “(B) If the Secretary determines that there is not
14 a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due
15 to the development of antibiotic resistance that is attrib-
16 utable to the subtherapeutic use of such drug, the Sec-
17 retary may issue an order withdrawing approval sooner
18 than 2 years after the date of enactment of this para-
19 graph.”.

20 (c) DEFINITION.—Section 512 of such Act is amend-
21 ed by adding at the end the following:

22 “(q) For purposes of this section, the term ‘subthera-
23 peutic use’ means any use of an antimicrobial drug in ani-

- 1 mals other than the high level, short term use to treat
- 2 ill animals.”.

