106TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2784

To enhance competition among and between rail carriers in order to ensure efficient rail service and reasonable rail rates in any case in which there is an absence of effective competition.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

August 5, 1999

Mr. Quinn introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

A BILL

To enhance competition among and between rail carriers in order to ensure efficient rail service and reasonable rail rates in any case in which there is an absence of effective competition.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Railroad Competition
- 5 and Service Improvement Act of 1999".
- 6 SEC. 2. PURPOSES.
- 7 The purposes of this Act are—

- 1 (1) to clarify the rail transportation policy of 2 the United States by requiring the Surface Trans-3 portation Board to accord greater weight to the need 4 for increased competition between and among rail 5 carriers and consistent and efficient rail service in 6 its decision making;
 - (2) to eliminate unreasonable barriers to competition among rail carriers serving the same geographic areas and ensure that smaller carload or intermodal shippers are not precluded from accessing rail systems due to volume requirements;
- (3) to ensure reasonable rail rates for captive
 rail shippers;
 - (4) to provide relief for certain agricultural facilities lacking effective competitive alternatives; and
- 16 (5) to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens 17 from the rate reasonableness procedures of the Sur-18 face Transportation Board.

19 SEC. 3. FINDINGS.

7

8

9

10

11

14

- The Congress makes the following findings:
- 21 (1) Prior to 1976, the Interstate Commerce 22 Commission regulated most of the rates that rail-23 roads charged shippers. The Railroad Revitalization 24 and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 and the Stag-25 gers Rail Act of 1980 limited the regulation of the

- rail industry by allowing the Interstate Commerce
 Commission to regulate rates only where railroads
 have no effective competition and established the
 Interstate Commerce Commission's process for resolving rate disputes.
 - (2) In 1976, when the Congress began the process of railroad deregulation, there were 63 class I railroads in the United States. By 1997, through mergers and other factors, the number of class I railroads shrunk to nine.
 - (3) The nine class I carriers accounted for more than 90 percent of the industry's freight revenue and 71 percent of the industry's mileage operated in 1997.
 - (4) Rail industry consolidation has diminished competition, creating an even greater dependence upon a rate relief process through a regulatory body such as the Surface Transportation Board.
 - (5) Agricultural, chemical, and utility industries in particular rely heavily upon rail transportation, and unreasonable rail rates and inadequate service have a dramatic impact on these important industries.
- 24 (6) According to a report issued by the General 25 Accounting Office, "... [t]he Surface Transpor-

- tation Board's standard procedures for obtaining rate relief are highly complex and time-consuming' and the General Accounting Office estimates that over "70 percent [of shippers] believe that the time, complexity, and costs of filing complaints are barriers that often preclude them from seeking relief."
 - (7) The General Accounting Office analyzed all 41 rate complaints filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission and its successor, the Surface Transportation Board, since 1990 and found that each complaint cost shippers between \$500,000 and \$3,000,000 apiece and took between a few months and 16 years to resolve.
 - (8) The General Accounting Office surveyed over 700 shippers and found that—
 - (A) 75 percent of the shippers believed that they are overcharged with unreasonable rates; and
 - (B) over 70 percent of the shippers believed that the time, complexity, and costs of filing complaints create unsurmountable barriers and therefore preclude them from pursuing the rate relief they are entitled to under the law.

1	(9) The General Accounting Office survey of
2	shippers identified the following barriers to obtain-
3	ing rate relief under the current process:
4	(A) The costs associated with filing com-
5	plaints outweighs the benefits of winning relief.
6	(B) The rate complaint process is too com-
7	plex and too lengthy.
8	(C) Developing the stand-alone revenue-to-
9	variable cost model is too costly.
10	(D) Most shippers believe that the Surface
11	Transportation Board is most likely to decide in
12	favor of the railroad.
13	(E) The discovery process is too difficult
14	because the shipper is dependent upon the rail-
15	road for all the necessary data.
16	(F) Responding to the railroads' requests
17	for discovery is too difficult and time con-
18	suming.
19	(G) Shippers fear reprisal from the rail-
20	roads.
21	(H) The Surface Transportation Board fil-
22	ing fee is too high.
23	(10) According to the General Accounting Of-
24	fice report, the vast majority of shippers believe that
25	the following changes in the rate relief process are

1	necessary to provide them with the ability to seek
2	the rate relief:
3	(A) The Surface Transportation Board's
4	time limit for deciding a rate relief case should
5	be shortened.
6	(B) The complaint fee required upon filing
7	should be eliminated or reduced.
8	(C) The market dominance requirement
9	should be simplified.
10	(D) Mandatory binding arbitration should
11	be used to resolve rate disputes.
12	(E) The Surface Transportation Board's
13	jurisdictional threshold of 180% revenue-to-
14	variable cost should be lowered.
15	(11) According to the General Accounting Of-
16	fice report, shippers believe that increasing competi-
17	tion in the railroad industry would lower rates and
18	diminish the need for a rate complaint process. Pro-
19	posals to increase railroad competition identified in
20	the report include the following:
21	(A) Require the Surface Transportation
22	Board to grant trackage rights; require recip-
23	rocal switching at the nearest junction or inter-
24	change upon request of a shipper or competing

1	railroad; and increase rail access for shortline
2	and regional railroads.
3	(B) Overturn the Surface Transportation
4	Board's "bottleneck" decision by requiring rail-
5	roads to quote a rate for all route segments.
6	(12) Consolidation in the railroad industry has
7	diminished competition, thwarting the intended ob-
8	jectives of deregulation to allow competition to lower
9	rates and improve service.
10	(13) The rate protection intended for shippers
11	without effective competition has been derailed by a
12	complex, costly, and time-consuming maze of dis-
13	covery, findings, and appeals that take years and
14	cost millions of dollars.
15	(14) Because of diminished rail competition, a
16	rate relief process plagued with unsurmountable bar-
17	riers and blanket antitrust immunity unique to the
18	railroad industry, captive shippers have no effective
19	recourse under the current system.
20	SEC. 4. CLARIFICATION OF RAIL TRANSPORTATION
21	POLICY.
22	Section 10101 of title 49, United States Code, is
23	amended—
24	(1) by inserting "(a) In General.—" before
25	"In regulating"; and

1 (2) by adding at the end the following: 2 "(b) Primary Objectives.—The primary objectives of the rail transportation policy of the United States shall 4 be— "(1) to ensure effective competition among rail 5 6 carriers at origin and destination; "(2) to maintain reasonable rates in the ab-7 sence of effective competition; 8 9 "(3) to maintain consistent and efficient rail transportation service to shippers, including the 10 11 timely provision of railcars requested by shippers; 12 and "(4) to ensure that smaller carload and inter-13 14 modal shippers are not precluded from accessing rail 15 systems due to volume requirements.". 16 SEC. 5. FOSTERING RAIL TO RAIL COMPETITION. 17 (a) Establishment of Rate.—Section 11101(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by inserting after 18 the first sentence the following: "Upon the request of a 19 shipper, a rail carrier shall establish a rate for transpor-20 21 tation and provide service requested by the shipper between any two points on the system of that carrier where 23 traffic originates, terminates, or may reasonably be interchanged. A carrier shall establish a rate and provide service upon such request without regard to—

- 1 "(1) whether the rate established is for only 2 part of a movement between an origin and a destina-3 tion;
- 4 "(2) whether the shipper has made arrange-5 ments for transportation for any other part of that 6 movement; or
- 7 "(3) whether the shipper currently has a con-8 tract with any rail carrier for part or all of its trans-9 portation needs over the route of movement.
- 10 "If such a contract exists, the rate established by the car-
- 11 rier shall not apply to transportation covered by the con-
- 12 tract.".
- 13 (b) Review of Reasonableness of Rates.—Sec-
- 14 tion 10701(d) of title 49, United States Code, is
- 15 amended—
- 16 (1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
- 17 graph (4); and
- 18 (2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
- lowing:
- 20 "(3) A shipper may challenge the reasonableness of
- 21 any rate established by a rail carrier in accordance with
- 22 section 11101(a) or with subsection (c) of this section.
- 23 The Board shall determine the reasonableness of the rate
- 24 so challenged without regard to—

1	"(A) whether the rate established is for only
2	part of a movement between an origin and a destina-
3	tion;
4	"(B) whether the shipper has made arrange-
5	ments for transportation for any other part of that
6	movement; or
7	"(C) whether the shipper currently has a con-
8	tract with a rail carrier for any part of the rail traf-
9	fic at issue, provided that the rate prescribed by the
10	Board shall not apply to transportation covered by
11	such a contract.".
12	SEC. 6. SIMPLIFIED RELIEF PROCESS FOR CERTAIN AGRI-
13	CULTURAL SHIPPERS.
14	(a) Limitation on Fees.—Notwithstanding any
14 15	(a) LIMITATION ON FEES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board
15 16	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board
15 16 17	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services col-
15 16 17	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail max-
15 16 17 18	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail maximum rate complaints under part 1002 of title 49, Code
15 16 17 18	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail maximum rate complaints under part 1002 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations.
115 116 117 118 119 220	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail maximum rate complaints under part 1002 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations. (b) SIMPLIFIED RATE AND SERVICE RELIEF.—Sec-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail maximum rate complaints under part 1002 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations. (b) SIMPLIFIED RATE AND SERVICE RELIEF.—Section 10701 of title 49, United States Code, is amended
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other provision of law, the Surface Transportation Board shall not impose fees in excess of \$1,000 for services collected from an eligible facility in connection with rail maximum rate complaints under part 1002 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations. (b) SIMPLIFIED RATE AND SERVICE RELIEF.—Section 10701 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for shipments from or to an eligible facility which results in a revenue-to-variable cost percentage, using system average costs, for the transportation service to which the rate applies that is greater than 180 percent.

"(2) ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a rail carrier shall accept all requests for grain service from an eligible facility up to a maximum of 110 percent of the grain carloads shipped from or to the facility in the immediately preceding calendar year. If, in a majority of instances, a rail carrier does not in any 45-day period, supply the number of grain cars so ordered by an eligible facility or does not initiate service within 30 days of the reasonably specified loading date, the eligible facility may request that an alternative rail carrier provide the service using the tracks of the original carrier. If the alternative rail carrier agrees to provide such service, and such service can be provided without substantially impairing the ability of the carrier whose tracks reach the facility to use such tracks to handle its own business, the Board shall order the alternative carrier to commence service and to compensate the other carrier for the use of its tracks. The alternative carrier shall

- provide reasonable compensation to the original carrier for the use of the original carrier's tracks.
- "(3) CANCELLATION PENALTIES.—A carrier
 may accept car orders under paragraph (2) subject
 to reasonable penalties for service requests that are
 canceled by the requester. If the carrier fills such orders more than 15 days after the reasonably specified loading date, the carrier may not assess a penalty for canceled car orders.
 - "(4) Damages.—A rail carrier that fails to provide service under the requirements of paragraph (2) is liable for damages to an eligible facility that does not have access to an alternative carrier, including lost profits, attorney's fees, and any other consequences attributable to the carrier's failure to provide the ordered service. A claim for such damage may be brought in an appropriate United States District Court or before the Board.
 - "(5) TIMETABLE FOR BOARD PROCEEDING.—
 The Board shall conclude any proceeding brought under this subsection no later than 180 days from the date a complaint is filed.
- "(6) Definitions.—In this subsection:
- 24 "(A) ELIGIBLE FACILITY.—The term 'eli-25 gible facility' means a shipper facility that—

1	"(i) is the origin or destination for not
2	more than 4,000 carloads annually of
3	grain as defined in section 3(g) of the
4	United States Grain Standards Act (7
5	U.S.C. 75(g);
6	"(ii) is served by a single rail carrier
7	at its origin;
8	"(iii) has more than 60 percent of the
9	facility's inbound or outbound grain and
10	grain product shipments (excluding the de-
11	livery of grain to the facility by producers),
12	measured by weight or bushels moved via
13	a rail carrier in the immediately preceding
14	calendar year; and
15	"(iv) the rate charged by the rail car-
16	rier for the majority of shipments of grain
17	and grain products from or to the facility,
18	excluding premium for special service pro-
19	grams, results in a revenue-to-variable cost
20	percentage, using system average costs, for
21	the transportation to which the rate ap-
22	plies that is equal to or greater than 180
23	percent.
24	"(B) Reasonable compensation.—The
25	term 'reasonable compensation' shall mean an

amount no greater than the total shared costs of the original carrier and the alternative carrier incurred, on a usage basis, for the provision of service to an eligible facility. If the carriers are unable to agree on compensation terms within 15 days after the facility requests service from the alternative carrier, the alternative carrier or the eligible facility may request the Board to establish the compensation and the Board shall establish the compensation within 45 days after such request is made.

- "(C) ORIGINAL CARRIER.—The term 'original carrier' means a rail carrier which provides the only rail service to an eligible facility using its own tracks or provides such service over an exclusive lease of the tracks serving the eligible facility.
- "(D) ALTERNATIVE CARRIER.—The term 'alternative carrier' means a rail carrier that is not an original carrier to an eligible facility.".

21 SEC. 7. COMPETITIVE RAIL SERVICE IN TERMINAL AREAS.

- (a) Trackage Rights.—Section 11102(a) of title
 49, United States Code, is amended—
- 24 (1) by striking "may" in the first sentence and inserting "shall";

1	(2) by inserting after "business." the following:
2	"In making this determination, the Board shall not
3	require evidence of anticompetitive conduct by the
4	rail carrier from which access is sought."; and
5	(3) by striking "may" in the next-to-last sen-
6	tence and inserting "shall".
7	(b) RECIPROCAL SWITCHING.—Section 11102(c)(1)
8	of title 49, United States Code, is amended—
9	(1) by striking "may" in the first sentence and
10	inserting "shall";
11	(2) by inserting after "service." the following:
12	"In making this determination, the Board shall not
13	require evidence of anticompetitive conduct by the
14	rail carrier from which access is sought."; and
15	(3) by striking "may" in the last sentence and
16	inserting "shall".
17	SEC. 8. SIMPLIFIED STANDARDS FOR MARKET DOMINANCE.
18	Section 10707(d)(1)(A) of title 49, United States
19	Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the fol-
20	lowing: "The Board shall not consider evidence of product
21	or geographic competition in making a market dominance
22	determination under this section.".
23	SEC. 9. REVENUE ADEQUACY DETERMINATIONS.
24	(a) Rail Transportation Policy.—Section
25	10101(a)(3) of title 49, United States Code (as so redesig-

- 1 nated by section 4 of this Act), is amended by striking
- 2 "revenues, as determined by the Board;" and inserting
- 3 "revenues;".
- 4 (b) STANDARDS FOR RATES.—Section 10701(d)(2)
- 5 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking
- 6 "revenues, as established by the Board under section
- 7 10704(a)(2) of this title" and inserting "revenues".
- 8 (c) REVENUE ADEQUACY DETERMINATIONS.—Sec-
- 9 tion 10704(a) of title 49, United States Code, is
- 10 amended—
- 11 (1) by striking "(a)(1)" and inserting "(a)";
- 12 and
- 13 (2) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3).
- 14 SEC. 10. RAIL CARRIER SERVICE QUALITY PERFORMANCE
- 15 REPORTS.
- 16 (a) In General.—Chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 49,
- 17 United States Code, is amended by adding at the end
- 18 thereof the following:
- 19 "SUBCHAPTER III—PERFORMANCE REPORTS
- 20 "§ 541. Rail carrier service quality performance re-
- 21 ports
- 22 "(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Transportation
- 23 shall require, by regulation, each rail carrier to submit a
- 24 monthly report to the Secretary, in such uniform format

- 1 as the Secretary may by regulation prescribe, containing
- 2 information about—
- 3 "(1) its on-time performance;
- 4 "(2) its car availability deadline performance;
- 5 "(3) its average train speed;
- 6 "(4) its average terminal dwell time;
- 7 "(5) the number of its cars loaded (by major
- 8 commodity group); and
- 9 "(6) such other aspects of its performance as a
- 10 rail carrier as the Secretary may require.
- 11 "(b) Information Furnished to STB; the Pub-
- 12 Lic.—The Secretary shall furnish a copy of each report
- 13 required under subsection (a) to the Surface Transpor-
- 14 tation Board no later than the next business day following
- 15 its receipt by the Secretary, and shall make each such re-
- 16 port available to the public.
- 17 "(c) Annual Report to the Congress.—The Sec-
- 18 retary shall transmit to the Congress an annual report
- 19 based upon information received by the Secretary under
- 20 this section.
- 21 "(d) Definitions.—In this section, the definitions
- 22 in section 10102 apply.".
- 23 (b) Conforming Amendment.—The chapter anal-
- 24 ysis for chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 49, United States

- 1 Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the fol-
- 2 lowing:

"SUBCHAPTER III—PERFORMANCE REPORTS

"541. Rail carrier service quality performance reports.".

 \bigcirc