

106TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 1334

To provide for the enhanced implementation of the amendments made to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 25, 1999

Mr. LAHOOD (for himself, Mr. BLUNT, and Mr. HASTINGS of Washington) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To provide for the enhanced implementation of the amendments made to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “FQPA Implementation
5 Act of 1999”.

1 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

2 The Congress finds as follows:

3 (1) The Food Quality Protection Act of 1996,
4 enacted on August 3, 1996, made a number of sig-
5 nificant modifications to section 408 of the Federal
6 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (“the Act”). Section
7 408 as amended sets forth new criteria and proce-
8 dures for use by the Administrator of the Environ-
9 mental Protection Agency in assessing and reas-
10 ssuming the acceptability of tolerances that govern
11 the level of pesticide chemical residues that may be
12 present in or on any food that enters or is present
13 in interstate commerce or is imported into the
14 United States.

15 (2) Under section 408 of the Act as amended,
16 the Administrator must use these new criteria and
17 procedures in deciding whether new tolerances may
18 be issued, and thus whether new pesticides or new
19 pesticide uses may be approved for use under the
20 Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.
21 Such section also requires that all tolerances in ef-
22 fect on the date of the enactment of the 1996
23 amendment be reassessed under the new criteria and
24 procedures.

25 (3) The Food Quality Protection Act of 1996
26 requires the use of a number of important new risk

1 assessment criteria and concepts that had never pre-
2 viously been used by the Administrator and that re-
3 quire the development of major modifications to ex-
4 isting Agency practices. New regulatory concepts in-
5 troduced by such Act include, but are not limited to,
6 those associated with new statutory terms such as
7 “exposures for which there is reliable information”,
8 “aggregate exposure”, “reasonable certainty that no
9 harm will result”, “common mechanism of toxicity”,
10 “cumulative effects”, “potential pre- and post-natal
11 toxicity”, “completeness of the data with respect to
12 exposure and toxicity”, “significant subpopulation
13 group”, “additional data or information [that] are
14 reasonably required to support the continuation of a
15 tolerance”, and “pose the greatest risk to human
16 health”. How these terms are defined and used by
17 the Administrator, singly and in combination, will
18 greatly affect the outcome of the assessments and
19 reassessments required to be conducted under the
20 Act as amended.

21 (4) The Act as amended requires the Environ-
22 mental Protection Agency to revoke tolerances now
23 in effect if the Administrator finds that the sum of
24 the exposure from all the tolerances exceeds safe lev-
25 els. However, the Act as amended does not provide

1 any criteria for determining which of the tolerances
2 should be revoked in such situations in order to re-
3 duce the exposure sufficiently. Nor does the Act as
4 amended establish procedures for providing pesticide
5 producers, agricultural producers, food processors
6 and distributors, and non-food pesticide users the
7 opportunity to participate in such decision making
8 before proposed rules are issued by the Adminis-
9 trator.

10 (5) Under the revised criteria of the Act as
11 amended, entirely new categories of data regarding
12 toxicity, metabolism, cumulative effects, and dietary,
13 drinking water, and other nonoccupational exposure
14 levels are required to allow the Administrator to
15 reach sound, accurate, valid, and understandable de-
16 cisions on tolerance assessments and reassessments.
17 In some areas, massive data collection efforts are
18 underway but will not yield results for another year
19 or more. In other areas, the need for new data de-
20 pends on decisions not yet made by the Adminis-
21 trator about what kinds of tests should be conducted
22 and which compounds should be the subjects of
23 these new test requirements.

24 (6) The Administrator has instituted public
25 proceedings to discuss how the new criteria of the

1 Act as amended should be interpreted and amended,
2 what new kinds of data are needed and how the new
3 data would be used once available, how criteria can
4 be made more transparent, equitable, and under-
5 standable, how the Administrator should use avail-
6 able authority to be flexible, how to decide which tol-
7 erances should be revoked when some action is de-
8 cided to be necessary, and how to provide needed
9 transition periods in case some existing products or
10 product uses should be removed from the market.
11 These proceedings are not yet finished and in some
12 cases planned public proceedings have been sched-
13 uled but have not yet begun.

14 (7) Unless the Administrator implements sec-
15 tion 408 of the Act as amended carefully and wisely,
16 decisions made under it could cause great harm to
17 American agriculture, to food production, food stor-
18 age and transportation, and related industries, and
19 to other business. Such decisions could reduce avail-
20 ability of fruits and vegetables, and other foods
21 known to aid human health, and could also have
22 highly disruptive and problematic effects on a vari-
23 ety of other important public and private areas such
24 as public health protection against insects and other
25 disease vectors and residential and business pest

1 control. A major concern is that some products will
2 be removed from the market that are essential in
3 integrated pest management programs or pesticide
4 resistance management programs, and that pest spe-
5 cies will more easily develop resistance to the fewer
6 remaining products that remain available.

7 (8) The regulatory requirements under the
8 Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 could have
9 both short and long term deleterious effects on U.S.
10 agricultural products as these producers move to a
11 free market system as envisioned by the Freedom to
12 Farm Act.

13 (9) These disruptive and harmful effects could
14 occur without necessarily bringing about any signifi-
15 cant health benefits or risk reductions. The Adminis-
16 trator is now engaged in making decisions on toler-
17 ance assessments and reassessments at the same
18 time that the Administrator is conducting a massive
19 program of policy development and reevaluation, and
20 while the Administrator is determining what data
21 would be needed under the new criteria and policies
22 to answer some of the new questions. If these deci-
23 sions on individual pesticides are issued and put into
24 effect before the new policies are in place or before
25 the needed data are available, they may be based on

1 outdated and overly stringent policies, worst-case as-
2 sumptions, or both. These actions may be accom-
3 panied by adverse publicity that could lead to un-
4 warranted concern and could effectively destroy the
5 marketability of products that in fact are safe.

6 (10) The Act as amended has caused a major
7 slowing of the process for approval of new pesticide
8 chemicals, new uses of pesticides already registered
9 for other uses, and applications for emergency ex-
10 exemptions from the need for registration. This is
11 traceable to—

12 (A) the Agency's need to develop new cri-
13 teria and procedures;

14 (B) the diversion of resources to developing
15 such criteria and procedures and to the reas-
16 sessment of existing tolerances and registra-
17 tions;

18 (C) the requirement that no new tolerance
19 can be issued until the Administrator deter-
20 mines that all existing tolerances for the pes-
21 ticide have been reassessed and found safe; and

22 (D) the priority choices and resource allo-
23 cation decisions that are either dictated by the
24 Act as amended or chosen by the Administrator
25 as a matter of discretion.

1 (11) Congressional guidance for the Adminis-
 2 trator is needed to ensure that decisions are reason-
 3 able, well supported, and balanced; to avoid disrup-
 4 tions in agriculture, other sectors of the economy,
 5 and international trade caused by prematurely im-
 6 plemented decisions or by public misunderstanding
 7 or unwarranted speculation about tentative deci-
 8 sions. Much of the potential problem can be avoided
 9 if the Administrator uses available authority to re-
 10 solve policy issues, announce data needs, avoid
 11 unnecessary use of assumptions in lieu of data, make
 12 clear the tentative and preliminary nature of find-
 13 ings made in the short term, and provide extended
 14 implementation periods for adverse decisions when
 15 appropriate.

16 **SEC. 3. SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS; REQUIREMENT**
 17 **FOR CALLING IN ADDITIONAL DATA.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 408(f) of the Federal
 19 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a(f)) is
 20 amended—

- 21 (1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as para-
 22 graph (3); and
- 23 (2) by striking “(1) REQUIRING SUBMISSION”
 24 and all that follows through “(A) issue a notice re-
 25 quiring the person” and inserting the following:

1 “(1) REQUIRING SUBMISSION OF ADDITIONAL
2 DATA.—

3 “(A) CONTINUATION OF TOLERANCE OR
4 EXEMPTION.—If the Administrator determines
5 that additional data or information are reason-
6 ably required to support the continuation of a
7 tolerance or exemption that is in effect under
8 this section for a pesticide chemical residue on
9 a food, the Administrator shall obtain addi-
10 tional data or information through any of the
11 methods described in paragraph (2).

12 “(B) MODIFYING, SUSPENDING, OR RE-
13 VOKING TOLERANCE OR EXEMPTION.—If the
14 Administrator makes a determination that there
15 may be grounds for modifying, suspending, or
16 revoking a tolerance or exemption in effect
17 under this section for a pesticide chemical res-
18 idue in or on food, the Administrator may not
19 modify, suspend, or revoke the tolerance or ex-
20 emption until the Administrator has considered
21 additional data or information obtained by the
22 Administrator (after making such determina-
23 tion). The Administrator shall obtain the re-
24 quired additional data or information through
25 any of the methods described in paragraph (2).

1 “(2) METHODS OF OBTAINING ADDITIONAL
2 DATA.—For purposes of obtaining additional data or
3 information under subparagraph (A) or (B) of para-
4 graph (1), the Administrator shall—

5 “(A) issue a notice requiring the person”.

6 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 408 of the
7 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a)
8 is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (b)(2)(E)(ii), by striking
10 “(f)(2)” and inserting “(f)(3)”; and

11 (2) in subsection (g), in each of paragraphs (1)
12 and (2)(A), by striking “(f)(2)” and inserting
13 “(f)(3)”.

14 **SEC. 4. REVIEW.**

15 (a) AGENCY AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN PUBLIC-IN-
16 TEREST DETERMINATIONS.—Section 408 of the Federal
17 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) is
18 amended in subparagraph (C) of subsection (q)(1) by
19 striking the period at the end and inserting a comma, and
20 in the matter immediately after and below such subpara-
21 graph by inserting before the sentence the following: “ex-
22 cept that such requirements relating to periods of time
23 apply only to the extent determined by the Administrator
24 to be in the public interest. Any such determination shall

1 be published in the Federal Register, together with a
2 statement of the reasons underlying the determination.”.

3 (b) PUBLIC INPUT.—Section 408(q)(1) of the Fed-
4 eral Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.
5 346a(q)(1)) is amended in the matter after and below sub-
6 paragraph (C) by inserting before the period at the end
7 the following: “, except that before issuing a final rule
8 under subsection (d)(4) the Administrator shall issue a
9 proposed rule with a period of 60 days for public comment,
10 and before issuing a proposed rule under subsection (e)
11 the Administrator shall issue an advance notice of pro-
12 posed rulemaking in order to provide for a preliminary ex-
13 change of information and comments between the Admin-
14 istrator and the public”.

15 **SEC. 5. TOLERANCES FOR EMERGENCY USES.**

16 Section 408(l)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and
17 Cosmetic Act is amended in the last sentence by inserting
18 before the period the following: “, except that the Adminis-
19 trator may issue such a tolerance or exemption associated
20 with an emergency exemption without regard to other tol-
21 erances or exemptions for the pesticide chemical residue
22 and before reassessing such tolerances or exemptions, if
23 the Administrator determines that any incremental expo-
24 sure that may result from the tolerance or exemption asso-

1 ciated with the emergency exemption alone will not pose
2 any significant dietary risk”.

3 **SEC. 6. REPORTS ON RESOURCES AND PRIORITIES.**

4 (a) ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 15,
6 2000, the Administrator of the Environmental Pro-
7 tection Agency (in this subsection referred to as the
8 “Administrator”) shall submit to the Congress a re-
9 port specifying the financial resources needed by the
10 Administrator for the fiscal years 2001 through
11 2005 in order to carry out the amendments made by
12 the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 to the Fed-
13 eral Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Federal
14 Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, includ-
15 ing providing for the prompt processing of all reg-
16 istration applications and petitions for tolerances,
17 requests for experimental use permits, and requests
18 for emergency exemptions and for decisions on the
19 merits of such applications, petitions, and requests,
20 in addition to performing tolerance reassessments
21 and other duties required by such amendments.

22 (2) DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS OF NOT RE-
23 CEIVING INCREASED AMOUNT OF APPROPRIATIONS;
24 REALLOCATION OF RESOURCES.—The report under
25 paragraph (1) shall, in addition to provisions re-

1 quired in such paragraph, contain a determination of
2 the effects with respect to carrying out the amend-
3 ments referred to in such paragraph that would
4 occur if relative to fiscal year 2000 an increased
5 amount of appropriations is not made available to
6 the Administrator for carrying out the amendments,
7 including a description of the reallocations of exist-
8 ing resources of the Environmental Protection Agen-
9 cy that would be required in order to carry out the
10 amendments.

11 (b) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 15,
13 2000, the Secretary of Agriculture (in this section
14 referred to as the “Secretary”) shall submit to the
15 Congress a report specifying the financial resources
16 needed by the Secretary for the fiscal years 2001
17 through 2005 in order to carry out the responsibil-
18 ities of the Secretary under the Food Quality Pro-
19 tection Act of 1996.

20 (2) DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS OF NOT RE-
21 CEIVING INCREASED AMOUNT OF APPROPRIATIONS;
22 REALLOCATION OF RESOURCES.—The report under
23 paragraph (1) shall, in addition to provisions re-
24 quired in such paragraph, contain a determination of
25 the effects with respect to carrying out the respon-

1 sibilities referred to in such paragraph that would
2 occur if relative to fiscal year 2000 an increased
3 amount of appropriations is not made available to
4 the Secretary for carrying out the responsibilities,
5 including a description of the reallocations of exist-
6 ing resources of the Department of Agriculture that
7 would be required in order to carry out the respon-
8 sibilities.

9 **SEC. 7. INTERNATIONAL TRADE EFFECTS.**

10 (a) STUDY.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Agriculture
12 (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”), after
13 consultation with the Administrator of the Environ-
14 mental Protection Agency and the United States
15 Trade Representative, shall establish and administer
16 a program to continuously monitor the competitive
17 strength of major United States agricultural com-
18 modity sectors in the international marketplace.
19 Such commodity sectors include but are not limited
20 to fruits and vegetables, corn, wheat, cotton, rice,
21 soybeans, and nursery crops.

22 (2) CERTAIN FACTORS.—In carrying out the re-
23 quirements of paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ex-
24 amine factors pertinent to assessing, by sector, the
25 sustainability and competitive strength in the inter-

1 national marketplace and the relationship of such
2 factors to regulatory decisions issued under the
3 amendments made by the Food Quality Protection
4 Act of 1996. Such factors include but are not lim-
5 ited to sector changes, regional changes, price, qual-
6 ity, and ratio of imports to exports.

7 (b) REPORTS.—The Secretary shall prepare periodic
8 reports addressing the requirements and factors of para-
9 graphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a). Each such report
10 shall be submitted to the Congress, with referrals to the
11 committees of jurisdiction in the House of Representatives
12 and the Senate. The first report shall be submitted not
13 later than October 1, 2000, and subsequent reports shall
14 be submitted biennially thereafter.

○