H. CON. RES. 43

Expressing the sense of Congress that the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 should not be radically overhauled, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 11, 1997

Mr. Franks of New Jersey (for himself, Mr. Borski, Mr. Gilchrest, Mr. Lahood, Mr. Quinn, Mr. Nadler, Mr. Lobiondo, Mr. McGovern, Mr. Pascrell, Mr. Shays, Mr. Frelinghuysen, Mrs. Morella, Mrs. Kennelly of Connecticut, Mrs. Kelly, Mr. Markey, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Kennedy of Massachusetts, Mr. McHugh, Mr. Castle, Ms. Delauro, Mr. McHale, Mr. Kennedy of Rhode Island, Mr. Cummings, Mr. Holden, and Mr. Rothman) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress that the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 should not be radically overhauled, and for other purposes.

- 1 Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate
- 2 concurring),
- 3 SECTION 1. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING PRESERVA-
- 4 TION OF A NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION PRO-
- 5 GRAM.
- 6 It is the sense of Congress that—

- 1 (1) the Intermodal Surface Transportation Effi-2 ciency Act of 1991 represented a revolutionary 3 change from past transportation legislation and its 4 fundamental structure should be preserved, not radi-5 cally overhauled;
 - (2) transportation funds should be allocated to States primarily based on needs; except that adjustments to reflect system usage, system extent, level of effort, each State's overall balance of Federal payments, and historic distribution patterns should also be considered;
 - (3) census data predating the 1990 census should not be used to apportion Federal transportation funds;
 - (4) the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program and the Bridge Program are important national programs that should not be substantially changed;
 - (5) programs which support mass transportation should remain a national priority supported by Federal funds;
 - (6) the level of Federal investment in transportation programs should be set at the highest level possible in order to maintain America's ability to compete globally;

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

(7) prescriptive Federal regulations should be
minimized to allow for more efficient and effective
transportation programs and to eliminate Federal
and State duplication;

- (8) a dedicated source of funding for Amtrak, and legislation to substantially reform Amtrak, should be included in the law to reauthorize the Intermodal Surface Transportation Act of 1991;
- (9) the program providing reimbursements for segments of the Interstate System constructed without Federal assistance should be extended;
- (10) transportation enhancement activities should remain a mandatory part of the surface transportation program; and
- (11) transportation safety programs should not be diminished.

 \bigcirc