

INDEX

TO THE

PRINCIPAL MATTERS CONTAINED IN THIS VOLUME.

The References in this Index are to the STAR *pages.

ABATEMENT.

1. *Quare?* Whether a deputy marshal can plead in abatement, that the *capias* was not served on him by a disinterested person? *Knox v. Summers*.....*496

ACTION.

1. An action for goods sold and delivered cannot be maintained by him who received a note as conditional payment therefor, and has passed away that note. *Harris v. Johnston*.....*311
2. If part of the goods were the sole property of D., and the residue the sole property of I., and if I. had authority from D. to sell D.'s part, I. may maintain an action for the whole in his own name.*Id.*
3. An action for money had and received will not lie, for the United States, against the assignees of a bankrupt, for the price of a ship sold by them as the property of the bankrupt, who had taken a false oath to obtain a register; the ship not having been seized by the United States for the forfeiture, before the sale and transfer. *United States v. Grundy*.....*337
4. *Quare?* Whether an action for money had and received will lie, to establish a forfeiture, for an act committed by a third person.*Id.*

AGENT.

1. A factor may be justified by the orders of an agent, in deviating from the written orders of the principal. *Manella v. Barry*.....*415
2. An agent for collecting of debts merely, is not a factor, within the 13th section of the act of limitations of Virginia. *Hopkirk v. Bell*.....*454

3. A promise by a merchant's factor, that he would write to his principal to get insurance done, does not bind the principal to insure. *Randolph v. Ware*.....*503

See ACTION, 2.

AGREEMENT.

1. The courts of the United States will not enforce an agreement entered into in fraud of a law of the United States. *Hannay v. Eve*.....*242

See AGENT, 4.

APPEAL.

1. The act of congress allowing appeals without a statement of facts, applies to decrees made before the date of that act. *United States v. Hoe*.....*79
2. No appeal or writ of error lies in a criminal case. *United States v. More*.....*159
3. A decree for a sale of mortgaged property, on a bill to foreclose, is a final decree, from which an appeal lies. *Ray v. Law*.....*179

APPEARANCE.

1. An appearance of the defendant, by attorney, cures all antecedent irregularity of process. *Knox v. Summers*.....*496

ASSUMPSIT.

1. *Assumpsit* will lie upon a letter of credit, by him who trusts a third person upon the faith of that letter. *Lawrason v. Mason*.....*493

See ACTION, 1, 2, 3, 4.

ATTORNEY.

- Notice of the time and place of taking a deposition, given to an attorney-at-law, is not sufficient, under the law of Virginia. *Bud-dicum v. Kirk*.....*293
- An attorney-at-law may agree to receive or waive notice, and cannot afterwards allege the want of it.....*Id.*

BANKRUPT.

- The United States have no lien on the real estate of their debtor, until suit brought, or bankruptcy, &c. *United States v. Hooe*.....*73
- If the defendant plead the bankruptcy of the indorser in bar, the plaintiff may reply, that the note was given to the indorser in trust for the plaintiff. *Wilson v. Cod-man*.....*193

See LEGACY.

BOND.

- A variance in date between the bond declared upon, and that produced on *oyer*, is matter of substance, and fatal upon the plaintiff's special demur-*re* to the defendant's bad *rejoinder*. *Cooke v. Graham*.....*229
- The court may depart from the letter of the condition of a bond, to carry into effect the intention of the parties.....*Id.*
- A discharge from the prison-rules, under the insolvent act of Virginia, although obtained by fraud, is a discharge in due course of law: and upon such discharge, no action can be maintained upon the prison-bounds bond. *Simms v. Slacum*.....*300

See COLLECTOR, 2.

BRITISH SUBJECTS.

- Quære?* Whether a British subject, born in England, in the year 1750, and who always resided in England, could, in the year 1786, take and hold lands in Virginia, by descent or devise? *Lambert v. Paine*.....*97
- The treaty of peace between Great Britain and the United States prevents the operation of the act of limitations of Virginia, upon British debts contracted before that treaty. *Hopkirk v. Bell*.....*454

CAPTURE.

- The commander of a United States ship of war, if he seizes a vessel on the high seas, without probable cause, is liable to make restitution in value, with damages and costs, even although the vessel is taken out of his possession by superior force; and the owner

is not bound to resort to the rector, but may abandon, and hold the original captor liable for the whole loss. *Maley v. Shat-tuck*.....*458

CAVEAT.

- A general dismissal of the plaintiff's *caveat*, in Kentucky, does not purport to be a judgment upon the merits. *Wilson v. Speed*.....*283

CERTIORARI.

- A *certiorari* will be awarded, upon a suggestion that the citation has been served, but not sent up with the transcript of the record. *Field v. Milton*.....*514

CHANCERY.

- A decree for the sale of mortgaged property, on a bill to foreclose, is a final decree, and may be appealed from. *Ray v. Law*.....*179
- A plea in bar to a bill in chancery, denying only part of the material facts stated in the bill, is not good. A mere denial of facts is proper for an answer, but not for a plea. *Milligan v. Milledge*.....*220
- The want of proper parties is not a good plea, if the bill suggests that such parties are out of the jurisdiction of the court.*Id.*
- The want of proper parties is not a sufficient ground for dismissing the bill.*Id.*
- If an executor has no assets, the devisees or legatees may be proceeded against in equity.....*Id.**228

CITATION.

See CERTIORARI.

COLLECTOR.

- To support a judgment on a collector's bond, at the return-term, it must appear by the record, that the writ was executed fourteen days before the return-day. *Dobynes v. United States*.....*241

See MORTGAGE, 1: PETERSBURG.

CONTRACT.

- The court has the exclusive power of deciding whether a written contract be usurious. *Levy v. Gadsby*.....*181
- He who sells property on a description given by himself, is bound in equity to make good that description. *McFerran v. Taylor*.....*270
- On a contract to deliver flour, its value is to be ascertained on the day when it ought to have been delivered. *Douglass v. McAllister*.....*298

COSTS.

1. Costs are not to be awarded against the United States. *United States v. Hooe*....*73
2. In Virginia, if the first *ca. sa.* be returned *non est*, the second may include the costs of issuing both. *Peyton v. Brooke*.....*92
3. Costs will be allowed, on the dismissal of a writ of error for want of jurisdiction, if the original defendant be also defendant in error. *Winchester v. Jackson*.....*514
4. If errors are not assigned, according to the rule of court, the writ of error may be dismissed, with costs. *General Rule*....*239
5. If the plaintiff in error does not appear, the defendant may have the writ of error dismissed, with costs. *Montalet v. Murray*....*249

COURT.

1. The court has the exclusive power to decide whether a written contract be usurious. *Levy v. Gadsby*.....*181
2. The court, upon a jury trial, is bound to give an opinion, if required, upon any point relevant to the issue. *Douglass v. McAllister*.....*298

COURT-MARTIAL.

1. A court-martial of the district of Columbia has not exclusive cognisance of the question who are subject to military duty, and its sentence is not conclusive upon that point. *Wise v. Withers*.....*331
2. The court-martial who impose a fine upon a man, not liable to militia duty, are equally trespassers with the officer who distrains for such fine..... *Id.*

DAMAGES.

1. In estimating damages for breach of a contract to deliver flour, the jury are to ascertain the value of the flour, on the day when the cause of action arose. *Douglass v. McAllister*.....*298

DEBTOR.

See LIEN.

DECLARATION.

1. In a declaration, an averment that the assignment of a promissory note was for value received, is an immaterial one and need not be proved. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193
2. A replication, stating that the note was given to the indorser in trust for the plaintiff, is not a departure from the declaration, which avers the note to have been given for value received..... *Id.*

DECREE.

1. A decree for the sale of mortgaged property, on a bill to foreclose, is a final one and may be appealed from. *Ray v. Law*.....*179

DEMURRER.

See PLEADING.

DEPARTURE.

See PLEADING, 5.

DEPOSITION.

See ATTORNEY, 1, 2: NOTICE, 1, 2, 3.

DEVIATION.

See INSURANCE, 3.

DEVISE.

1. A devise of "all the estate called Marrowbone, in the county of H., containing by estimation 2585 acres of land," carries the fee. *Lambert v. Paine*.....*97
2. *Quare?* Whether a British subject, born in England, in 1750, and who always resided there, could, in the year 1786, take and hold lands in Virginia, by descent or devise?.. *Id.*

See CHANCERY, 5.

DISCHARGE.

1. A discharge from prison by a competent tribunal, obtained by fraud, is a discharge in due course of law. *Simms v. Slacum*....*300

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. No appeal or writ of error, in a criminal case, lies from the judgment of the circuit court of the district of Columbia. *United States v. More*.....*159
2. *Quare?* Whether the act of congress, abolishing the fees of justices of the peace, in the district of Columbia, can affect those justices who were in commission when that act was passed?..... *Id.*
3. The plaintiff in error must file a transcript of the record, with the clerk of the supreme court of the United States, within the first six days of the term. *General Rule*....*239
4. An executor cannot maintain a suit, in the district of Columbia, upon letters testamentary granted in a foreign country. *Dixon v. Ramsay*.....*319
5. A justice of the peace in the district of Columbia is an officer of the government of the

United States, and is exempt from militia duty. *Wise v. Withers*.....*331

See COURT-MARTIAL, 1, 2.

EQUITY.

1. The holder of a promissory note, in Virginia, payable to order, may, in equity, sue a remote indorser, but not at law. *Harris v. Johnston*.....*311

See APPEALS, 1: CHANCERY, 1, 5: CONTRACT, 2.

EVIDENCE.

1. If usury be specially pleaded, and the court reject the evidence offered upon the special plea, it may be admitted, upon the general issue. *Levy v. Gadsby*.....*180
2. The court has the exclusive power to decide whether a written contract be evidence of usury.*Id.*
3. A report of surveyors, that a vessel is unsound, is not evidence that she was not seaworthy, when she commenced the voyage. *Marine Ins. Co. v. Wilson*.....*187
4. *Quare?* Whether such report, even if it related to the commencement of the voyage, is conclusive evidence.....*Id.*
5. The assignee of a pre-emption warrant is a competent witness, if his testimony does not tend to support the title of the party producing him. *Wilson v. Speed*.....*283
6. Notice to an attorney-at-law of the time and place of taking a deposition, is not sufficient, under the law of Virginia. *Buddie v. Kirk*.....*293
7. The deposition must be taken at the time notified; an adjournment from the 12th to the 19th, is not an adjournment from day to day.....*Id.*
8. Evidence of wheat delivered, is good, on the plea of payment.....*Id.*
9. An assignment of debts cannot be given in evidence, under the plea of accord and satisfaction.....*Id.*
10. A bill of parcels, stating the goods as bought of D. & I., is not conclusive evidence that D. & I. were joint owners of the goods. *Harris v. Johnston*.....*311
11. The sentence of a court-martial is not conclusive evidence that a man is liable to militia duty. *Wise v. Withers*.....*331
12. A foreign sentence of condemnation as good prize, is not conclusive evidence that the legal title to the property was not in the subject of a neutral nation. *Maley v. Shattuck*.....*458

ERRORS.

1. If errors are not assigned according to the rule of court, the writ of error will be dismissed with costs. *General Rule*.....*239

EXECUTOR.

1. Upon the death of the plaintiff, and appearance of his executor, the defendant is not entitled to a continuance; but he may insist on the production of the letters testamentary, before the executor shall be permitted to prosecute. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193
2. An executor cannot maintain a suit, in the district of Columbia, upon letters testamentary granted in a foreign country. *Dixon v. Ramsay*.....*319

See LEGACY, 1.

FACTOR.

1. A factor may be justified by the orders of a general agent, in departing from the written instructions of the principal. *Manella v. Barry*.....*415
2. An agent for collecting debts merely, is not a factor, within the 13th section of the act of limitations of Virginia. *Hopkirk v. Bell*.....*454
3. A promise by a factor, that he would write to his principal to get insurance done, does not bind the principal to insure. *Randolph v. Ware*.....*503

FOREIGN SENTENCE.

1. A foreign sentence as good prize, is not conclusive evidence that the legal title to the property was not in the subject of a neutral nation. *Maley v. Shattuck*.....*458

FORFEITURE.

1. If a false oath be taken to procure a register for a vessel, the United States have an election to proceed against the vessel, as forfeited, or against the person who took the false oath, for its value. But, until that election is made, the title to the vessel does not vest in the United States, under the forfeiture; and the United States cannot maintain an action for money had and received, against the assignees of the person who took the oath, and who had become bankrupt; the assignees having sold the vessel and received the purchase-money before the seizure of the vessel. *United States v. Grundy*.....*337

FRAUD.

1. The courts of the United States will not enforce an agreement entered into in fraud of a

law of the United States; although that agreement was made between persons who were then enemies of the United States, and the object of the agreement, a mere stratagem of war. *Hannay v. Eve*.....*242
 2. A discharge under an insolvent act, obtained by fraud, is a discharge in due course of law. *Simms v. Slacum*.....*300

FREIGHT.

1. *Quare?* Whether the mortgagee of a ship is entitled to the freight? *Hodgson v. Butts*.....*140

GENERAL RULE.

1. If the transcript be not filed by the 6th day of the term, either party may have a continuance.....*239
 2. If judgment below be thirty days before the sitting of this court, the record must be filed within the first six days of the term.....*Id.*
 3. In all cases from the district of Columbia, the record must be filed, within the first six days of the term.....*Id.*
 4. If errors are not assigned, according to the general rule, the writ of error will be dismissed with costs.....*Id.*
 5. If the defendant refuses to plead, the court will proceed *ex parte*.....*Id.*

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. A warrant of commitment by justices of the peace, must state a good cause certain, supported by oath. *Ex parte Burford*....*448

INSOLVENT.

1. The United States have no lien on the real estate of their debtor, until suit brought, or bankruptcy, or a notorious insolvency has taken place; or being unable to pay all his debts he has made a voluntary assignment of all his property; or having absconded, his property has been attached by process of law. *United States v. Hoe*.....*73
 2. A discharge under an insolvent law, though obtained by fraud, is a discharge in due course of law. *Simms v. Slacum*.....*300

INSURANCE.

1. If a policy upon a vessel has a clause "that if the vessel, after a regular survey, should be condemned as unsound or rotten, the underwriters should not be bound to pay," a report of surveyors, that she was unsound and rotten, but not referring to the commencement of the voyage, is not sufficient to discharge

the underwriters. *Marine Ins. Co. v. Wilson*.....*187
 2. *Quare?* Whether such report, even if it relate to the commencement of the voyage, would be conclusive evidence?.....*Id.*
 3. If a vessel be insured at and from K. to A., and take a cargo for B. and A., and sail with intent to go first to B. and then to A., and is captured before she arrives at the dividing point between A. and B., this is a case of intended deviation only, and not of non-inception of the voyage insured. *Marine Ins. Co. v. Tucker*.....*357
 4. It depends upon the particular circumstances of the case, whether, if the vessel be captured and re-captured, the loss shall be deemed total or partial.....*Id.*
 5. A promise by a factor, that he would write to his principal to get insurance done, does not bind the principal to insure. *Randolph v. Ware*.....*503

See NON-INTERCOURSE, 1.

JUDGMENT.

See CAVEAT, 1; COLLECTOR, 2.

JUDGE.

See COURT, 2.

JURISDICTION.

1. The want of proper parties is not a good plea to a bill in chancery, which suggests that such parties are out of the jurisdiction of the court. *Milligan v. Milledge*.....*220
 2. If there be two or more joint plaintiffs, and two or more joint defendants, each of the plaintiffs must be capable of suing each of the defendants in the courts of the United States, in order to support the jurisdiction. *Strawbridge v. Curtiss*.....*267
 3. This court has not jurisdiction upon a writ of error to a state court, if the decision of the state court be in favor of the privilege claimed under an act of congress. *Gordon v. Caldeleigh*.....*268
 4. All the rights to a testator's personal property are to be regulated by the laws of the country where he lived; but suits for those rights must be governed by the laws of that country in which the tribunal is placed. *Dixon v. Ramsay*.....*319
 5. If the court has not jurisdiction, the officer executing its process is a trespasser. *Wise v. Withers*.....*331

JURY.

See DAMAGES, 1.

INDEX.

JUSTICES OF PEACE.

1. *Quære?* Whether the act of congress abolishing the fees of the justices of peace of the district of Columbia, can affect those justices who were in commission when the act was passed? *United States v. More.*.....*159
2. A justice of peace of the district of Columbia is an officer of the government of the United States, and exempt from militia duty. *Wise v. Withers.*.....*331

See HABEAS CORPUS, 1: WARRANT, 1.

KENTUCKY.

See CAVEAT, 1.

LANDS.

1. Under the act of Pennsylvania of 3d April 1792, for the sale of the vacant lands, &c., the grantee, by warrant, of a tract of land lying north and west of the rivers Ohio and Allegheny and Conewango creek, who, by force of arms of the enemies of the United States, was prevented from settling and improving the said land, for the space of two years from the date of his warrant, but during that time, persisted in his endeavors to make such settlement and improvement, is excused from making such settlement as is described in the 9th section of the act, and the warrant vests in such grantee a fee-simple. *Huidekoper v. Douglass.*.....*1
2. *Quære?* Whether a British subject, born in England, in 1750, and who always resided there, could, in the year 1786, take and hold lands in Virginia, by descent or devise? *Lambert v. Paine.*.....*97

See LIEN, 1.

LEGACY.

1. If a testator declares that a certain legacy shall abate, if the personal and real estate of which he shall die seised and possessed, shall not be sufficient to pay all his debts and legacies, and if the estate be more than sufficient at the time of the testator's death, but afterwards become insufficient, by means of the bankruptcy of the executor, such legacy shall abate for the benefit of the other legacies. *Silsby v. Young.*.....*249

LETTER OF CREDIT.

See ASSUMPSIT, 2.

LETTERS TESTAMENTARY.

See EXECUTORS, 1, 3.

LEX LOCI.

See JURISDICTION, 4.

LIEN.

1. The United States have no lien on the real estate of their debtor until suit brought, or a notorious insolvency or bankruptcy; or being unable to pay all his debts, he has made a voluntary assignment of all his property; or having absconded, &c., his property has been attached by process of law. *United States v. Hooe.*.....*73

LIMITATIONS.

1. The act of limitations of Virginia begins to run against a creditor residing out of the state, if he comes into the state for temporary purposes, provided the debtor be in the state at that time. *Faw v. Roberdeau.*.....*174
2. The treaty of peace prevents the operation of the act of limitations of Virginia, upon British debts contracted before the treaty. *Hopkirk v. Bell.*.....*454
3. An agent for collecting debts merely, is not a factor, within the 13th section of the act of limitations of Virginia.*Id.*

MILITIA.

See COURT-MARTIAL, 1, 2: JUSTICES OF PEACE, 2.

MISTAKE.

1. He who sells property on a description given by himself, by mistake, is bound, in equity, to make good that description. *McFerran v. Taylor.*.....*270
2. *Quære?* If the mistake be of a matter deemed perfectly immaterial by both parties, at the time of the contract, and which would not have varied the bargain, if it had been known, and of which both parties were equally ignorant, whether a court of equity ought to interfere.*Id.*

MORTGAGE

1. A mortgage of part of the property of a collector of the revenue, to the surety in his official bond, to indemnify him from his responsibility as surety on the bond, and also to secure him from his existing and future indorsements for the mortgagor at bank, is valid against the United States, although it turns out, that the collector was unable to pay all his debts, at the time the mortgage was given, and although the mortgagee knew, at the time of taking the mortgage, that the

mortgagor was largely indebted to the United States. *United States v. Hooe*.....*78
 2. A mortgage of chattels, in Virginia, is void as to creditors and subsequent purchasers, unless it be acknowledged, or proved by the oaths of three witnesses, and recorded in the same manner as conveyances of land are required to be acknowledged or proved, and recorded. *Hodgson v. Butts*.....*140
 3. *Quære?* Whether the mortgagee of a ship is entitled to the freight?.....*Id.*
 4. A decree for the sale of mortgaged property, on a bill to foreclose, is a final decree, and may be appealed from. *Ray v. Law*...*179

NAVY.

1. The commander of a United States ship of war, if he seizes a vessel on the high seas, without probable cause, is liable to make restitution in value, with damages and costs, even although the vessel is taken out of his possession by superior force: and the owner is not bound to resort to the re-captor, but may abandon and hold the original captor liable for the whole loss. *Maley v. Shattuck*.....*458

NON-INTERCOURSE.

1. A vessel belonging to citizens of the United States, in the year 1799, driven by distress into a French port, and obliged to land her cargo, in order to make repairs, and prevented by the officers of the French government, from relading her original cargo, and from taking away, in exchange, anything but produce or bills, might lawfully purchase and take away such produce, and such voyage was not illegal, so as to avoid the insurance. *Hallet v. Jenks*.....*210
 2. The act of June 13th, 1798, did not impose any disability upon vessels of the United States *bond fide* sold to foreigners residing out of the United States, during the existence of that act. *Sands v. Knox*.....*499

NOTICE.

1. Notice of the time and place of taking a deposition given to the attorney-at-law, is not sufficient, under the law of Virginia. *Bud-dicum v. Kirk*.....*293
 2. An attorney-at-law may agree to receive, or to waive notice, and will not afterwards be permitted to allege want of it.....*Id.*
 3. If notice be given, that a deposition will be taken on a certain day, and if not finished on that day, that the commissioners will adjourn from day to day, until it be finished, and the commissioners adjourn over several inter-

mediate days, such deposition is not taken agreeable to notice.....*Id.*

OFFICER.

See COURT-MARTIAL, 2: JUSTICE OF PEACE, 2: NAVY, 1: TRESPASS, 1.

OPINION.

See COURT, 2.

PAYMENT.

See ACTION, 1: EVIDENCE, 8.

PENNSYLVANIA.

See LANDS, 1.

PERSONAL GOODS.

1. All rights to the testator's personal property are to be regulated by the laws of the country where the testator lived; but suits for those rights must be governed by the laws of that country in which the tribunal is placed. *Dixon v. Ramsay*.....*319

PETERSBURG.

1. By the act of congress of 10th of May 1800, the collector of the district of Petersburgh was not restricted to a commission of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the moneys by him collected and received after the 30th of June 1800, on account of bonds previously taken for duties arising on goods imported into the United States. *United States v. Heth*.....*399

PLEADING.

1. In a declaration, an averment that the assignment of a promissory note was for value received, is an immaterial one, and need not be proved. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193
 2. If the defendant plead the bankruptcy of an indorser in bar, a replication stating that the note was given to the indorser, in trust for the plaintiff, is not a departure from the declaration, which avers the note to have been given for value received.....*Id.*
 3. A plea in bar to a bill in chancery, denying only part of the material facts stated in the bill, is not good. A mere denial of facts is proper for an answer, but not for a plea. *Mil-ligan v. Milledge*.....*220
 4. The want of proper parties is not good plea, if the bill suggests that such parties are out of the jurisdiction of the court.*Id.*
 5. A variance in date between the bond declared upon, and that produced on *oyer*, is

matter of substance, and fatal upon the plaintiff's special demurrer to the defendant's bad rejoinder. *Cooke v. Graham*.....*229

6. A finding by a jury which contradicts a fact admitted by the pleadings, is to be disregarded. *McFerran v. Taylor*.....*270

7. Upon the plea of payment to debt on bond, the defendant may give in evidence wheat delivered on account of the bond, at a certain price; and also an assignment of debts to the plaintiff, part of which he collected, and part were lost by his negligence or indulgence. *Buddicum v. Kirk*.....*293

8. An assignment of debts cannot be pleaded as an accord and satisfaction, to debt on bond.....*Id.*

9. *Quære?* Whether a deputy-marshal can plead in abatement, that the *capias* was not served on him by a disinterested person? *Knox v. Summers*.....*496

See EVIDENCE, 1.

PRACTICE.

1. If statements of the case are not furnished, according to the rule of the court on that subject, the cause will be dismissed or continued. *Peyton v. Brooke*.....*93

2. A *certiorari* will be awarded, upon a suggestion that the citation has been served, but not sent up with the transcript of the record. *Field v. Milton*.....*514

3. If usury be specially pleaded, and the court reject the evidence offered upon such a special plea, it may be admitted, upon the general issue, notwithstanding it has been refused upon the special plea. *Levy v. Gadsby*..*180

4. An averment that the assignment of a promissory note was for value received is immaterial, and need not be proved. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193

5. Upon the death of the plaintiff, and appearance of his executor, the defendant is not entitled to a continuance; but he may insist on the production of the letters testamentary, before the executor shall be permitted to prosecute.....*Id.*

6. The want of proper parties, is not a proper ground for dismissing the bill.....*Id.*

7. If the executor has no assets, the devisees and legatees may be proceeded against, in equity.....*Id.*

8. To support a judgment on a collector's bond, at the return-term, it must appear by the record, that the writ was executed fourteen days before the return-day. *Dobynes v. United States*.....*241

9. If the plaintiff in error do not appear, the defendant may either have the plaintiff called, and dismiss the writ of error with costs, or

he may open the record and go for an affirmation. *Montalet v. Murray*.....*249

10. A general dismissal of the plaintiff's *caveat*, in Kentucky, does not purport to be a judgment upon the merits. *Wilson v. Speed*.....*283

11. The court, upon a trial by jury, is bound to give an opinion, if required, upon any point relevant to the issue. *Douglass v. McAllister*.....*298

12. An appearance of the defendant, by attorney, cures all irregularity of process. *Knox v. Summers*.....*496

See APPEAL, 1, 2, 3: COSTS, 1-5: GENERAL RULE: JURISDICTION, 2, 3: NOTICE, 1-3: PLEADING, 4-6: VARIANCE, 1.

PROMISSORY NOTE.

1. An indorser may avail himself of usury between the maker and the indorsee. *Levy v. Gadsby*.....*180

2. The averment that the assignment of a promissory note was for value received, is immaterial, and need not be proved. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193

3. If the defendant plead the bankruptcy of an indorser in bar, a replication, that the note was given to the indorser, in trust for the plaintiff, is not a departure from the declaration, which avers the note to have been given for value received.....*Id.*

4. If a promissory note be received as conditional payment for goods sold and delivered, and be passed away, the vendor of the goods cannot maintain an action for the goods sold and delivered. *Harris v. Johnston*.....*311

5. An indorsee of a promissory note, payable to order, cannot, in Virginia, maintain an action at law, against a remote indorser; but he may, in equity.*Id.*

REGISTER.

See FORFEITURE, 1.

REVENUE.

See COLLECTOR, 2: FORFEITURE, 1: LIEN, 1: MORTGAGE, 1: NON-INTERCOURSE, 1, 2: PETERSBURG, 1.

RULE OF COURT.

See GENERAL RULE.

SEIZURE.

See FORFEITURE, 1: NAVY, 1.

SHIP.

See FORFEITURE, 1: NAVY, 1.

SLAVE.

1. If the owner of a slave, removing into Virginia, take the oath required by the act of assembly, within sixty days after the removal of the owner, it will prevent the slave from gaining his freedom, although he was brought into Virginia, by a person claiming and exercising the right of ownership over him, eleven months before the removal of the true owner; and although the person who brought him in, never took the oath; and although the slave remained in Virginia, more than one year; and although the true owner never brought him in. *Scott v. Negro London*.....*324

STATUTE.

1. The words of a statute, if dubious, ought to be taken most strongly against the law-makers. *United States v. Heth*.....*413

TRESPASS.

1. Trespass lies against the officer who executes the process of a court not having jurisdiction. *Wise v. Withers*.....*331

TRUST.

1. If the payee of a note hold it in trust, his bankruptcy will not take away his power to indorse it over to *cestui que trust*. *Wilson v. Codman*.....*193

USURY.

1. If A. lend money to B., who puts it out at usurious interest, and agrees to pay A. the

same rate of interest which he is receiving upon A.'s money, this is usury between A. and B., and an indorser of B.'s note to A. may avail himself of the plea of usury. *Levy v. Gadsby*.....*180

VARIANCE.

1. A variance between the date of the bond, as stated in the declaration, and as it appears on *oyer*, is a matter of substance, and fatal on the plaintiff's special demurrer to the defendant's bad rejoinder. *Cooke v. Graham*..*229

VERDICT.

1. A finding by the jury which contradicts a fact admitted by the pleadings, is to be disregarded. *McFerran v. Taylor*.....*270

VIRGINIA.

See AGENT, 3: BOND, 4: BRITISH SUBJECTS, 1, 2: COSTS, 2: LIMITATIONS, 1, 2, 3: MORTGAGE, 2: PROMISSORY NOTE, 5: SLAVES, 1.

WARRANT.

1. A warrant of committal by justices of the peace, must state a good cause certain, supported by oath. *Ex parte Burford*.....*448

WITNESS.

See EVIDENCE, 5.

WRIT OF ERROR.

1. No appeal or writ of error lies in a criminal case, from a judgment of the circuit courts of the United States. *United States v. More*.....*159













