

INDEX

(Vol. 586 U. S.)

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Numerosity limitation—Inapplicability to government employers.—State and local governments are covered employers under Act regardless of number of employees they have. *Mount Lemmon Fire Dist. v. Guido*, p. 1.

ANTIDISCRIMINATION. See **Taxes.**

ANTITRUST LAW. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

ARBITRATION. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

ARMED CAREER CRIMINAL ACT.

1. *Elements of crime of burglary.*—Act’s term “burglary” includes burglary of a structure or vehicle that has been adapted or is customarily used for overnight accommodation. *United States v. Stitt*, p. 27.

2. *Elements of Florida’s state-law robbery crime—Evaluation under ACCA.*—Act’s elements clause encompasses a robbery offense that, like Florida’s law, requires criminal to overcome victim’s resistance. *Stokeling v. United States*, p. 73.

ATTORNEY’S FEES. See **Social Security Act.**

AWARD OF COSTS. See **Copyright Law.**

BENEFIT PLANS AND PAYMENTS. See **Social Security Act.**

BURGLARY. See **Armed Career Criminal Act.**

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit.**

CLASS ACTIONS. See **Standing.**

CLASS CERTIFICATION. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

COLLECTION OF DEBTS. See **Debt Collection.**

COMPENSATION. See **Taxes.**

CONFIDENTIALITY. See **Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.**

1261

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit.**

I. Cruel and unusual punishment

1. *Death penalty—Inmate suffering from dementia.*—Eighth Amendment may permit executing a prisoner even if he cannot remember committing his crime but it may prohibit executing a prisoner who suffers from dementia or another disorder rather than psychotic delusions. *Madison v. Alabama*, p. 265.

2. *Death penalty—Intellectual disability.*—Texas Court of Criminal Appeals' redetermination that Moore does not have an intellectual disability and is thus eligible for death penalty is inconsistent with *Moore v. Texas*, 581 U. S. 1. *Moore v. Texas*, p. 133.

II. Excessive fines

Constitutional protection incorporated to the States—Due process.—Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause is an incorporated protection applicable to States under Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *Timbs v. Indiana*, p. 146.

III. Right to counsel

Application of presumption of prejudice.—Presumption of prejudice for Sixth Amendment purposes recognized in *Roe v. Flores-Ortega*, 528 U. S. 470, applies regardless of whether a defendant has signed an appeal waiver. *Garza v. Idaho*, p. 232.

CONTRACT LAW. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

COPYRIGHT LAW.

1. *Award of costs in copyright litigation—Limitation on discretion by court to award “full costs.”*—A federal district court's discretion to award “full costs” to a party in copyright litigation pursuant to 17 U. S. C. § 505 is limited to six categories specified in general costs statute codified at 28 U. S. C. §§ 1821 and 1920. *Rimini Street, Inc. v. Oracle USA, Inc.*, p. 334.

2. *Point at which registration occurs—Effect on commencement of infringement suit.*—Registration occurs, and a copyright claimant may commence an infringement suit, when Copyright Office registers a copyright. *Fourth Estate Pub. Benefit Corp. v. Wall-Street.com, LLC*, p. 296.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Armed Career Criminal Act; Immigration Law.**

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law.**

DEATH IN OFFICE. See **Judges.**

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law; Habeas Corpus.**

DEBT COLLECTION.

Definition of “debt collector”—Nonjudicial foreclosure proceedings.—A business engaged in no more than nonjudicial foreclosure proceedings is not a “debt collector” under Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, except for limited purpose of enforcing security interests under 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(6). *Obduskey v. McCarthy & Holthus LLP*, p. 466.

DEMENTIA. See **Constitutional Law.**

DEPORTATION. See **Immigration Law.**

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law.**

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law.**

ELEMENTS OF A CRIME. See **Armed Career Criminal Act.**

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973.

Designation of critical habitat for listed species—Judicial review of Interior Secretary’s decision.—An area is eligible for designation as “critical habitat” under Act only if it is habitat for listed species; and Secretary’s decision not to exclude an area from critical habitat under 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2) is subject to judicial review. *Weyerhaeuser Co. v. United States Fish and Wildlife Serv.*, p. 9.

ENFORCEMENT OF CONTRACTS. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

EQUITABLE TOLLING. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

EXCESSIVE FINES CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law.**

EXECUTION OF THE INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED. See **Constitutional Law.**

FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT.

1. *Contracts of employment for transportation-worker class—Triggering of § 1’s exception to general rule.*—A court should determine whether Act’s § 1 exclusion for disputes involving “contracts of employment” of certain transportation workers applies before ordering arbitration; here, truck driver Oliveira’s independent contractor operating agreement with New Prime falls within that exception. *New Prime Inc. v. Oliveira*, p. 105.

2. *Threshold arbitrability questions—Groundless exception to arbitrability.*—“Wholly groundless” exception to general rule that courts must enforce contracts that delegate threshold arbitrability questions to an arbitrator, not a court, is inconsistent with Act and this Court’s precedent. *Henry Schein, Inc. v. Archer & White Sales, Inc.*, p. 63.

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES. See **Taxes.**

FEDERAL PENSION BENEFITS. See **Taxes.**

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Filing deadlines—Equitable tolling—Class certification.—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f), which establishes a 14-day deadline to seek permission to appeal an order granting or denying class certification, is not subject to equitable tolling. *Nutraceutical Corp. v. Lambert*, p. 188.

FILING DEADLINES. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

FLORIDA. See **Armed Career Criminal Act.**

FORECLOSURE PROCEEDINGS. See **Debt Collection.**

FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976. See **International Organizations Immunities Act of 1945.**

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law; Qualified Immunity from Suit.**

FULL FAITH AND CREDIT CLAUSE. See **Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.**

GENERAL COSTS STATUTE. See **Copyright Law.**

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYERS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

HABEAS CORPUS.

Evaluation of intellectual disability—Improper reliance on Court precedent.—Because Hill’s intellectual disability claim must be evaluated based solely on holdings of this Court that were clearly established when state-court decisions were rendered, see 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d)(1), Sixth Circuit’s reliance on *Moore v. Texas*, 581 U.S. 1—which was handed down much later—was plainly improper. *Shoop v. Hill*, p. 45.

IMMIGRATION LAW.

Mandatory detention of deportable aliens—Immediate arrest upon release from jail.—Ninth Circuit’s judgments—that respondents, who are deportable for certain specified crimes, are not subject to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(2)’s mandatory-detention requirement because they were not arrested by immigration officials as soon as they were released from jail—are reversed, and cases are remanded. *Nielsen v. Preap*, p. 392.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **International Organizations Immunities Act of 1945.**

INCORPORATION. See **Constitutional Law.**

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

INDIAN LAW. See **Taxes.**

INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY. See **Constitutional Law.**

INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED CRIMINALS. See **Habeas Corpus.**

INTERIOR SECRETARY. See **Endangered Species Act of 1973.**

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1945.

Immunity from foreign suits—Similarity to Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act.—Act affords international organizations same immunity from suit that foreign governments enjoy today under Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976. *Jam v. International Finance Corp.*, p. 199.

JUDGES.

Death of sitting judge—Effect on vote in case.—Ninth Circuit erred when it counted as a member of majority a judge who died before court's opinion in this case was filed. *Yovino v. Rizo*, p. 181.

JUDICIAL PROCEDURE. See **Judges.**

JUDICIAL REMAND. See **Standing.**

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS. See **Endangered Species Act of 1973.**

LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT.

Commercial sale of invention to third-party—Invention “on sale” before patent application effective filing date.—Sale of an invention to a third party who is obligated to keep invention confidential may place invention “on sale” for purposes of Act, which bars a person from receiving a patent on an invention that was “in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention,” 35 U. S. C. § 102(a)(1). *Helsinn Healthcare S. A. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, p. 123.

LEGAL FEES AND COSTS. See **Copyright Law.**

LEGAL PRESUMPTIONS. See **Constitutional Law.**

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

MARITIME LAW.

Integration of part into final product—Product dangerousness—Duty to warn.—In maritime tort context, a product manufacturer has a duty to warn when its product requires incorporation of a part, manufacturer knows or has reason to know that integrated product is likely to be dan-

MARITIME LAW—Continued.

gerous for its intended uses, and manufacturer has no reason to believe that product's users will realize that danger. *Air & Liquid Systems Corp. v. DeVries*, p. 446.

NOTICE OF APPEAL. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

ON-THE-JOB INJURIES. See **Taxes.**

PATENT LAW. See **Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.**

PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY. See **Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.**

PREJUDICE. See **Constitutional Law.**

PRISONERS RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law.**

PRODUCT LIABILITY. See **Maritime Law.**

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.

Response to domestic violence incident—Question of violation of clearly established law.—Ninth Circuit failed to conduct analysis required by this Court's precedents in determining whether two Escondido police officers were entitled to qualified immunity. *Escondido v. Emmons*, p. 38.

REGISTRATION OF COPYRIGHTS. See **Copyright Law.**

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law.**

ROBBERY. See **Armed Career Criminal Act.**

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR. See **Endangered Species Act of 1973.**

SECTION 1983. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit.**

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law.**

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.

Regulation of attorney's fees—Question of reasonableness.—Act's fee cap of 25% of past-due benefits imposed on attorneys who successfully represent Title II benefit claimants in court proceedings applies only to fees for court representation and not to aggregate fees for both court and agency representation. *Culbertson v. Berryhill*, p. 53.

STANDING.

Question of standing—Class action settlement.—This class action settlement case is remanded for courts below to address plaintiffs' standing in light of *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*, 578 U. S. 330. *Frank v. Gaos*, p. 485.

STATE DISCRIMINATION. See **Taxes.**

STATE GOVERNMENTS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

STATE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. See **Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.**

STATUTES OF LIMITATION. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

SUPREME COURT.

1. Retirement of Justice Kennedy, p. XI.
2. Appointment of Justice Kavanaugh, p. VII.

TAXES.

1. *Preemption of fuel tax by treaty provision—Right to travel.*—Supreme Court of Washington’s judgment—that “right to travel” provision of 1855 Treaty Between United States and Yakama Nation of Indians preempts State’s fuel tax as applied to Cougar Den’s importation of fuel by public highway for sale within reservation—is affirmed. Washington State Dept. of Licensing v. Cougar Den, Inc., p. 347.

2. *State taxation of federal pension benefits—Antidiscrimination statute.*—By taxing federal pension benefits of U. S. Marshals Service retiree Dawson, while exempting from taxation pension benefits of certain state and local law enforcement officers, West Virginia unlawfully discriminates against Mr. Dawson as 4 U. S. C. § 111 forbids. Dawson v. Steager, p. 171.

3. *Taxable compensation—Payment for lost time due to injury.*—A railroad’s payment to an employee for working time lost due to an on-the-job injury is taxable “compensation” under Railroad Retirement Tax Act. BNSF R. Co. v. Loos, p. 310.

TEXAS. See **Constitutional Law.**

TITLE II BENEFITS. See **Social Security Act.**

TRANSPORTATION WORKERS. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

TREATIES. See **Taxes.**

VIOLENT FELONIES. See **Armed Career Criminal Act.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “[B]urglary.” Armed Career Criminal Act, 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(2)(B). United States v. Stitt, p. 27.
2. “[C]ontracts of employment.” Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U. S. C. § 1. New Prime Inc. v. Oliveira, p. 105.
3. “[C]ritical habitat.” Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U. S. C. § 1533(a)(3)(A)(i). Weyerhaeuser Co. v. United States Fish and Wildlife Serv., p. 9.

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

4. “[O]n sale.” Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, 35 U. S. C. § 102(a)(1). Helsinn Healthcare S. A. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., p. 123.
5. “[C]ompensation.” Railroad Retirement Tax Act. BNSF R. Co. v. Loos, p. 310.
6. “[D]ebt collector.” Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(6). Obduskey v. McCarthy & Holthus LLP, p. 466
7. “[F]ull costs.” Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 505. Rimini Street, Inc. v. Oracle USA, Inc., p. 334.