

INDEX

(Vol. 582 U. S.)

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

ARKANSAS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

BIOLOGICS PRICE COMPETITION AND INNOVATION ACT OF 2009.

Biosimilar application of already licensed biological product—Entitlement to bring patent nontraditional infringement action.—In this suit involving the Act’s patent-dispute regime, 42 U. S. C. § 262(l)(2)(A)’s disclosure requirement is not enforceable by federal injunction; availability of a state-law injunction to enforce that provision should be determined on remand; and § 262(l)(8)(A)’s notice of commercial marketing may be provided prior to obtaining licensure. *Sandoz Inc. v. Amgen Inc.*, p.1.

BIRTH CERTIFICATES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

BIVENS ACTIONS. See also **Qualified Immunity From Suit.**

Putative class action against federal officials—Conditions of pretrial detention.—Second Circuit’s judgment—permitting illegal immigrants detained in aftermath of September 11 to pursue claims against federal officials under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*, 403 U. S. 388, and 42 U. S. C. § 1985(3)—is reversed in part and vacated and remanded in part. *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, p. 120.

CALIFORNIA. See **Personal Jurisdiction; Securities Act of 1933.**

CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT OF 1978.

Adverse federal employment action attributed to bias—Proper judicial forum after Board’s dismissal.—When Board dismisses on jurisdictional grounds a “mixed case”—where an employee attributes an adverse action to bias based on race, gender, age, or disability—proper review forum is District Court. *Perry v. Merit Systems Protection Bd.*, p. 420.

CLASS CERTIFICATION.

Jurisdiction of federal courts to review class certification denials—Voluntary dismissal by named plaintiffs.—Federal courts of appeals lack jurisdiction under 28 U. S. C. § 1291 to review an order denying class certification (or, as here, an order striking class allegations) after named plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed their claims with prejudice. *Microsoft Corp. v. Baker*, p. 23.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**I. Due Process.**

1. *Indigent defendant's right to psychiatrist's assistance.*—In this federal habeas case, Eleventh Circuit erred in concluding that Alabama courts' ruling—that McWilliams received all constitutionally entitled mental health assistance—was not unreasonable in light of *Ake v. Oklahoma*, 470 U. S. 68. *McWilliams v. Dunn*, p. 183.

2. *State issuance of birth certificates to same-sex couples—Name of spouse on certificate.*—Arkansas must issue birth certificates that include female spouses of women who gave birth in State, consistent with commitment in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 576 U. S. 644, to provide same-sex couples with all benefits that States have linked to marriage. *Pavan v. Smith*, p. 563.

3. *Withheld evidence favorable to defense—Materiality.*—Evidence that Government failed to disclose to defense in these cases was not “material” under *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U. S. 83—*i.e.*, there is no “reasonable probability” that it would have changed petitioners' trial outcome. *Turner v. United States*, p. 313.

II. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Gender discrimination—Acquisition of U. S. citizenship.—Gender-based differential in law governing acquisition of U. S. citizenship by a child born abroad when only one parent is a U. S. citizen is incompatible with Fifth Amendment's requirement that Government accord to all persons “the equal protection of the laws”; it falls to Congress to select a uniform prescription that neither favors nor disadvantages any person on basis of gender; until then, current requirement for unwed U. S.-citizen fathers should apply, prospectively, to children born to unwed U. S.-citizen mothers. *Sessions v. Morales-Santana*, p. 47.

III. Free Exercise of Religion.

State policy of disqualifying religious organizations from receiving grants.—Missouri Department of Natural Resources' policy of categorically disqualifying religious organizations from receiving grants under its playground resurfacing program violates Free Exercise Clause of First Amendment. *Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer*, p. 449.

IV. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Access to social network sites by registered sex offenders where minor children may be members.*—A North Carolina statute that makes it a felony for a registered sex offender “to access a commercial social networking Web site where sex offender knows that site permits minor children to become members or to create or maintain personal Web pages” impermissibly restricts lawful speech in violation of First Amendment. *Packingham v. North Carolina*, p. 98.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

2. *Lanham Act's disparagement clause—Registration of trademarks.*—Federal Circuit's judgment—that 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a), which prohibits registration of trademarks that may “disparage . . . or bring . . . into contempt[t] or disrepute” any “persons, living or dead,” violates First Amendment's Free Speech Clause—is affirmed. *Matal v. Tam*, p. 218.

V. Right to Public Trial.

Jury selection—Entitlement of new trial—Demonstration of prejudice.—In context of a public-trial violation during jury selection, where error is neither preserved nor raised on direct review but is raised later via an ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim, defendant must demonstrate prejudice to secure a new trial, which petitioner failed to do here. *Weaver v. Massachusetts*, p. 286.

VI. Taking of Property.

Adjacent parcels—Defining real property at interest.—In this regulatory takings case, Court of Appeals of Wisconsin was correct to analyze petitioners' two contiguous lots as a single unit in assessing effect that governmental regulations had on petitioners' ability to use or sell their lots. *Murr v. Wisconsin*, p. 383.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1, 3; **Habeas Corpus**; **Immigration Law**.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

DAMAGES ACTIONS. See *Bivens* **Actions**; **Qualified Immunity From Suit**.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

DEBT COLLECTORS. See **Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**.

DEPORTATION OF ALIENS. See **Immigration Law**, 1.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978**.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

EQUITABLE TOLLING. See **Securities Act of 1933**.

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. See **Preliminary Injunctions**.

EVIDENCE WITHHOLDING AT CRIMINAL TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT.

Qualification as a debt collector under the Act—Debts purchased for own account.—A company that collects debts that it purchased for its own account, like Santander did here, is not a “debt collector” for purposes of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. *Henson v. Santander Consumer USA Inc.*, p. 79.

FALSE STATEMENTS TO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS. See **Immigration Law**, 2.

FEDERAL COURTS. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978; Class Certification.**

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.**

FEDERAL JURISDICTION. See **Class Certification.**

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See ***Bivens* Actions.**

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law; Preliminary Injunctions.**

FOREIGN NATIONALS’ RIGHTS. See **Preliminary Injunctions.**

FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

GENDER-BASED DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

GUILTY PLEAS. See **Immigration Law**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

1. *Cruel and unusual punishment—Parole for juvenile defenders—Unreasonable application of Supreme Court case law.*—In this federal habeas case, Fourth Circuit erred in concluding that Virginia trial court’s ruling—that Commonwealth’s geriatric release program provides a meaningful opportunity for juvenile nonhomicide offenders to receive conditional release—was objectively unreasonable in light of *Graham v. Florida*, 560 U. S. 48. *Virginia v. LeBlanc*, p. 91.

2. *Ineffective assistance of state habeas counsel claim—Cause to excuse procedural default lacking.*—In federal habeas proceedings, ineffective assistance of state postconviction counsel does not provide cause to excuse procedural default of ineffective-assistance-of-appellate-counsel claims. *Davila v. Davis*, p. 521.

3. *Procedural default in federal habeas case—Miscarriage of justice exception.*—In this federal habeas case, Sixth Circuit erred in holding that it could review Hutton’s procedurally defaulted due process claim under

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

miscarriage of justice exception established in *Sawyer v. Whitley*, 505 U. S. 333. *Jenkins v. Hutton*, p. 280.

IMMIGRATION LAW.

1. *Mandatory deportation due to counsel's erroneous advice—Plea to federal drug crime.*—Petitioner was prejudiced, for purposes of his ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim, by his counsel's erroneous advice that he would not be deported as a result of pleading guilty to a federal drug crime classified as an "aggravated felony" under Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U. S. C. § 1101(a)(43)(B). *Jae Lee v. United States*, p. 357.

2. *Procurement of naturalization by illegal act—False statement to Government official—Requirements to obtain conviction.*—To secure a conviction for unlawfully procuring citizenship in violation of 18 U. S. C. § 1425(a), Government must establish that defendant's illegal act played a role in acquiring citizenship, and where that alleged illegality is a false statement to government officials, jury must decide whether statement so altered process as to have influenced award of citizenship; here, District Court erred in instructing jury that Maslenjak's false statements need not have influenced naturalization decision. *Maslenjak v. United States*, p. 335.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law, V; Habeas Corpus, 2; Immigration Law, 1.**

INJUNCTIONS. See **Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009.**

JUDICIAL REVIEW. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.**

JURY SELECTION PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

JUVENILE OFFENDERS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 1.**

LANHAM ACT. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2.**

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Securities Act of 1933.**

MARRIAGE. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

MATERIALITY REQUIREMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I, 3.**

MISSOURI. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

NORTH CAROLINA. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 1.**

PATENT INFRINGEMENT. See **Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009.**

PERSONAL JURISDICTION.

Suit brought in state court by nonresidents—Jurisdiction of state court over nonresident claims.—California courts lacked specific jurisdiction to

PERSONAL JURISDICTION—Continued.

entertain claims that New York-based pharmaceutical company Bristol-Myers Squibb's drug Plavix damaged health of state nonresidents, who did not allege that they obtained Plavix from a California source, that they were injured by Plavix in California, or that they were treated for their injuries in California. *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of Cal., San Francisco Cty.*, p. 255.

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS.

Suspension of entry of foreign national from designated countries due to terrorism concerns—Injunction against enforcement on Establishment Clause concerns.—Petitions for certiorari are granted, and Government's stay applications are granted in part. Injunctions remain in place only with respect to foreign nationals and refugees who have a credible claim of a bona fide relationship with a person or entity in United States. *Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project*, p. 571.

PROCEDURAL DEFAULT RULE. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 3.

PSYCHIATRIST'S ASSISTANCE FOR CRIMINAL DEFENDANT.

See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.

Cross-border shooting of Mexican national by U.S. Border Patrol agent—Question of qualified immunity.—Here, where a U.S. Border Patrol agent on American soil shot and killed a Mexican national across U.S.-Mexico border, Sixth Circuit is to determine whether victim's parents may assert damages claims against agent under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*, 403 U.S. 388, in light of intervening guidance provided in *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, 582 U.S. 120. *Hernandez v. Mesa*, p. 548.

REGISTRATION OF SEX OFFENDERS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

REGULATORY TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

RIGHT TO PUBLIC TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

SAME-SEX MARRIAGE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933.

Statute of repose—Not subject to equitable tolling.—Petitioner's untimely filing of its individual complaint more than three years after relevant securities offering—see §13 of Securities Act of 1933—is grounds for dismissal. *California Public Employees' Retirement System v. ANZ Securities, Inc.*, p. 497.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, V; Immigration Law, 1.**

SPECIFIC JURISDICTION. See **Personal Jurisdiction.**

STATE COURTS. See **Personal Jurisdiction.**

STATUTES OF REPOSE. See **Securities Act of 1933.**

SUPREME COURT.

1. Appointment of JUSTICE GORSUCH, p. III.
2. Rules of the Supreme Court, p. 969.

TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Securities Act of 1933.**

TRADEMARK ACT OF 1946. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2.**

VIRGINIA. See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**

WISCONSIN. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law, I, 3.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

“[D]ebt collector.” §803(6), Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U. S. C. §1692a(6). *Henson v. Santander Consumer USA Inc.*, p. 79.

“[D]isparage . . . or bring . . . into contemp[t] or disrepute” any “persons, living or dead.” §2(a), Lanham Act, 15 U. S. C. §1052(a). *Matal v. Tam*, p. 218.