

ORDERS FOR MARCH 29 THROUGH
JUNE 6, 2016

MARCH 29, 2016

Miscellaneous Order

No. 14–1418. ZUBIK ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir.;

No. 14–1453. PRIESTS FOR LIFE ET AL. *v.* DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ET AL. C. A. D. C. Cir.;

No. 14–1505. ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF WASHINGTON ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. D. C. Cir.;

No. 15–35. EAST TEXAS BAPTIST UNIVERSITY ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir.;

No. 15–105. LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR HOME FOR THE AGED, DENVER, COLORADO, ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 10th Cir.;

No. 15–119. SOUTHERN NAZARENE UNIVERSITY ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 10th Cir.; and

No. 15–191. GENEVA COLLEGE *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U.S. 971.] The parties are directed to file supplemental briefs that address whether and how contraceptive coverage may be obtained by petitioners’ employees through petitioners’ insurance companies, but in a way that does not require any involvement of petitioners beyond their own decision to provide health insurance without contraceptive coverage to their employees.

Petitioners with insured plans are currently required to submit a form either to their insurer or to the Federal Government (naming petitioners’ insurance company), stating that petitioners object on religious grounds to providing contraceptive coverage. The parties are directed to address whether contraceptive coverage could be provided to petitioners’ employees, through peti-

March 29, 31, 2016

578 U. S.

tioners' insurance companies, without any such notice from petitioners.

For example, the parties should consider a situation in which petitioners would contract to provide health insurance for their employees, and in the course of obtaining such insurance, inform their insurance company that they do not want their health plan to include contraceptive coverage of the type to which they object on religious grounds. Petitioners would have no legal obligation to provide such contraceptive coverage, would not pay for such coverage, and would not be required to submit any separate notice to their insurer, to the Federal Government, or to their employees. At the same time, petitioners' insurance company—aware that petitioners are not providing certain contraceptive coverage on religious grounds—would separately notify petitioners' employees that the insurance company will provide cost-free contraceptive coverage, and that such coverage is not paid for by petitioners and is not provided through petitioners' health plan.

The parties may address other proposals along similar lines, avoiding repetition of discussion in prior briefing.

Briefs, limited to a single brief 25 pages in length for petitioners, and a single brief 20 pages in length for respondents, are to be filed simultaneously with the Clerk and served upon counsel for the other parties on or before April 12, 2016. Reply briefs, limited to a single brief 10 pages in length for petitioners and for respondents, are to be filed simultaneously with the Clerk and served upon opposing counsel for the other parties on or before April 20, 2016.

MARCH 31, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–7092. RICHTER ET AL. *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari dismissed as to petitioner Brandon Richter under this Court's Rule 46. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 1173.

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–8771 (15A1012). BISHOP *v.* CHATMAN, WARDEN. Sup. Ct. Ga. Application for stay of execution of sentence of death, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court, denied. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

APRIL 4, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–1114. FIRST AMERICAN FINANCIAL CORP. ET AL. *v.* EDWARDS. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari dismissed under this Court’s Rule 46. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 1172.

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 15–7290. OLIVO *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 7th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Johnson v. United States*, 576 U.S. 591 (2015). Reported below: 597 Fed. Appx. 878.

Certiorari Granted—Reversed. (See No. 15–723, *ante*, p. 113.)

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–7964. MOORE *v.* CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in non-criminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U.S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15M96. UDONYION *v.* GUARDIAN SECURITY ET AL. Motion to direct the Clerk to file petition for writ of certiorari out of time under this Court’s Rule 14.5 denied.

No. 15M97. COURTRIGHT *v.* UNITED STATES; and

No. 15M98. SANTOS-PINEDA ET AL. *v.* AXEL ET AL. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15M99. JOLLEY *v.* MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD ET AL. Motion for leave to proceed as a veteran granted. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 14–1468. *BIRCHFIELD v. NORTH DAKOTA*. Sup. Ct. N. D.;
No. 14–1470. *BERNARD v. MINNESOTA*. Sup. Ct. Minn.; and
No. 14–1507. *BEYLUND v. LEVI, DIRECTOR, NORTH DAKOTA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION*. Sup. Ct. N. D. [Certiorari
granted, 577 U. S. 1045.] Motion of the Solicitor General for leave
to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae*, for enlargement
of time for oral argument, and for divided argument granted, and
the time is divided as follows: 35 minutes for petitioners, 15 min-
utes for respondent North Dakota, 10 minutes for respondent
Minnesota, and 10 minutes for the Solicitor General.

No. 15–674. *UNITED STATES ET AL. v. TEXAS ET AL.* C. A.
5th Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U. S. 1101.] Motion of Gonzalez
Olivieri LLC et al. for leave to file brief as *amici curiae* out of
time granted.

No. 15–7093. *PHIFER v. SEVENSON ENVIRONMENTAL SERV-
ICES, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Motion of petitioner for recon-
sideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*
[577 U. S. 1101] denied.

No. 15–7506. *WATSON v. O'BRIEN, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir.
Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to
proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1131] denied.

No. 15–7946. *FLEMING v. SAINI ET AL.* Ct. App. Tenn. Mo-
tion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied.
Petitioner is allowed until April 25, 2016, within which to pay the
docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in
compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–8558. *IN RE RANDALL*. Petition for writ of habeas
corpus denied.

No. 15–8531. *IN RE DYE*. Motion of petitioner for leave to
proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of habeas
corpus dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

No. 15–7991. *IN RE LOOK*;

No. 15–8417. *IN RE LOVETT*; and

No. 15–8432. *IN RE FOSTER*. Petitions for writs of manda-
mus denied.

No. 15–7950. *IN RE GRENADIER*. Petition for writ of manda-
mus and/or prohibition denied.

578 U.S.

April 4, 2016

No. 15–7892. *IN RE SPENCER*. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of prohibition dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

Certiorari Granted

No. 15–606. *PENA-RODRIGUEZ v. COLORADO*. Sup. Ct. Colo. Certiorari granted. Reported below: 350 P. 3d 287.

Certiorari Denied

No. 14–1123. *WAL-MART STORES, INC., ET AL. v. BRAUN, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 24 A. 3d 875.

No. 14–1124. *WAL-MART STORES, INC., ET AL. v. BRAUN, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Pa. 292, 106 A. 3d 656.

No. 14–1230. *WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A. v. GUTIERREZ ET AL., INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 589 Fed. Appx. 824.

No. 15–166. *SCHLAUD ET AL. v. INTERNATIONAL UNION, UAW, ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 785 F. 3d 1119.

No. 15–405. *KATSO v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. Armed Forces. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 74 M. J. 273.

No. 15–550. *STACKHOUSE v. COLORADO*. Sup. Ct. Colo. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 386 P. 3d 440.

No. 15–591. *RETIREMENT CAPITAL ACCESS MANAGEMENT Co., LLC v. U. S. BANCORP ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 611 Fed. Appx. 1007.

No. 15–682. *JUSTICE ET AL. v. HOSEMANN, MISSISSIPPI SECRETARY OF STATE, ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 771 F. 3d 285.

No. 15–898. *ENRIQUEZ v. SMITH.* Sup. Ct. Guam. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 Guam 29.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–954. *PASTORE v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 6th App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–963. *MORENO v. DONNA INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 589 Fed. Appx. 677.

No. 15–965. *WEISS v. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, ORANGE COUNTY*. Ct. App. Cal., 4th App. Dist., Div. 3. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–979. *REICH v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–980. *LAVOIE v. CONNECTICUT*. App. Ct. Conn. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 158 Conn. App. 256, 118 A. 3d 708.

No. 15–984. *CABAN v. EMPLOYEE SECURITY FUND OF THE ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS INDUSTRIES PENSION PLAN ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 139.

No. 15–985. *DOUGLAS v. UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 556.

No. 15–1000. *ELANSARI v. UNITED STATES ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 760.

No. 15–1007. *LOVE v. WASHINGTON*. Sup. Ct. Wash. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 183 Wash. 2d 598, 354 P. 3d 841.

No. 15–1068. *GONZALEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 157.

No. 15–1073. *VAUGHAN v. COLORADO*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1080. *EVANS v. MISSOURI*. Ct. App. Mo., Southern Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 517 S. W. 3d 528.

No. 15–1091. *MICHEL-MORERA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–6566. *SPENCE v. WILLIS*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 602 Fed. Appx. 927.

578 U.S.

April 4, 2016

No. 15–6567. *SPENCE v. WILLIS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7090. *GIPSON v. COLORADO*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7126. *RODRIGUEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 1222.

No. 15–7132. *LOPEZ-GUTIERREZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 607 Fed. Appx. 719.

No. 15–7165. *BLANCHARD v. COLORADO*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7540. *THETFORD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 620 Fed. Appx. 798.

No. 15–7859. *WILKINS v. DAVID-PAIGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, LLC*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 801.

No. 15–7866. *ANDERSON v. KELLEY, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7868. *JENKINS v. YOUNG ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 613 Fed. Appx. 135.

No. 15–7871. *LEBLANC v. COOLEY, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7872. *LEWIS v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7880. *MOORE v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 6th App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7882. *PAUL v. PENNYWELL, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 453.

No. 15–7887. *WESLEY v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 130710–U.

No. 15–7888. *WAGNER v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7891. *VINCENT v. CITY OF SULPHUR, LOUISIANA, ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 543.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–7893. *MATTHISEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7897. *TORRES v. SCOTT, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 192 So. 3d 39.

No. 15–7900. *ALTOONIAN v. STEWART, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7903. *SLOAN v. OVERMYER, SUPERINTENDENT, FOREST STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7907. *CRUZ-GARCIA v. TEXAS*. Ct. Crim. App. Tex. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7909. *RICHARD v. WISCONSIN*. Ct. App. Wis. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 WI App 37, 363 Wis. 2d 655, 862 N. W. 2d 903.

No. 15–7911. *RICKMYER v. JUNGERS ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7919. *ZABUSKI v. MONTGOMERY, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7923. *MEJORADO v. HEDGPETH, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 785.

No. 15–7927. *MORRIS v. M. P. SANTINI, INC., ET AL.* App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 2d Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7932. *RILEY v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 IL App (3d) 120880–U.

No. 15–7937. *HAILEY v. CAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7938. *WATSON v. PIERCE, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7942. *HILL v. ARKANSAS STATE CRIME LABORATORY ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7945. *HENSLEY v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 IL App (1st) 120802, 22 N. E. 3d 1175.

578 U.S.

April 4, 2016

No. 15–7948. *FRYE v. DUNN*, COMMISSIONER, ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7949. *GIRMA v. EMERY WORK BED PROGRAM*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7954. *HOOD v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 4th App. Dist., Div. 1. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7955. *GUEST v. FOUNTAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7957. *HOLLAND v. VIRGINIA*. Sup. Ct. Va. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7965. *MILAM v. SOUTHAVEN POLICE DEPARTMENT ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7968. *MCCREARY v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7969. *MCCORMICK v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (3d) 120842–U.

No. 15–7971. *LAMAR v. COLORADO ET AL.* Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7972. *KARPIN v. RYAN*, DIRECTOR, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7977. *WALDEN v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 11th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7980. *MASSEY v. JOHNSON*, ADMINISTRATOR, NEW JERSEY STATE PRISON, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7982. *PICKENS v. KELLEY*, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7984. *FOLTZ v. CLARKE*, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 591 Fed. Appx. 224.

No. 15–7994. *TYLER v. TEXAS*. Ct. Crim. App. Tex. Certiorari denied.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–7999. *ROGERS v. KLEE, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8000. *SPARKS v. CLARKE*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 681.

No. 15–8002. *HOFLAND v. PERKINS ET AL.* Sup. Jud. Ct. Me. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8005. *DAVIS v. MCCOLLUM, WARDEN*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 1317.

No. 15–8007. *CURRY v. SOUTH CAROLINA*. Sup. Ct. S. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8008. *GRANT v. ARKANSAS*. Sup. Ct. Ark. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 Ark. 323, 469 S. W. 3d 356.

No. 15–8010. *HOLMES v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8024. *HOOKS v. LUTHER, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT LAUREL HIGHLANDS, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8027. *PEDROSO v. NOOTH, SUPERINTENDENT, SNAKE RIVER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8032. *GUNN v. SPARKMAN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8033. *GARCIA v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 3d App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8036. *IBN-SADIKA v. PENNSYLVANIA*. Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8037. *HOWELL v. BURT, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8090. *WATTS v. LEE, SUPERINTENDENT, EASTERN NEW YORK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 60.

No. 15–8134. *RIVA v. VIDAL, SUPERINTENDENT, SOUZA-BARANOWSKI CORRECTIONAL CENTER*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 77.

578 U. S.

April 4, 2016

No. 15–8150. *VERTER v. UNITED STATES*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 119 A. 3d 687.

No. 15–8153. *GALLO v. DAVEY, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8156. *GLAGOLA v. MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ET AL.* Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8158. *ABAZARI v. ROSALIND FRANKLIN UNIVERSITY OF MEDICINE AND SCIENCE ET AL.* App. Ct. Ill., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (2d) 140952, 40 N. E. 3d 264.

No. 15–8159. *BANKS v. GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Ct. App. Ga. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8162. *SHVETS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 91.

No. 15–8163. *KABEDE v. CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PRISON TERMS ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8166. *CAFFEY v. BUTLER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 884.

No. 15–8168. *DJENASEVIC, AKA GENASE, AKA KRAJA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8170. *HILTON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 754.

No. 15–8172. *CISNEROS v. BAKER, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 313.

No. 15–8174. *TITTLE v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 605 Fed. Appx. 961.

No. 15–8180. *YOUNG v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 863.

No. 15–8199. *SAGUN v. WASHINGTON*. Ct. App. Wash. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8205. *KRUG v. LORANTH ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 599 Fed. Appx. 512.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8207. *FISHER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 982.

No. 15–8216. *WILLIAMS v. NOOTH, SUPERINTENDENT, SNAKE RIVER CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 606 Fed. Appx. 380.

No. 15–8236. *FLORES v. CONNECTICUT*. Sup. Ct. Conn. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 319 Conn. 218, 125 A. 3d 157.

No. 15–8241. *AVERY v. MISSISSIPPI*. Ct. App. Miss. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 183 So. 3d 109.

No. 15–8257. *RYAN v. LOPEZ*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8265. *CROCKETT v. BUTLER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 160.

No. 15–8281. *HENNEY v. PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING*. Commw. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8294. *JIRAK v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8325. *OLIVER v. DELBALSO, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT RETREAT, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8330. *FUENTES v. SPEARMAN, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 640.

No. 15–8353. *PEGUES v. HAINES, WARDEN*. Ct. App. Wis. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8355. *TROUT v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 131418–U.

No. 15–8386. *BRINSON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8400. *JONASSEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

April 4, 2016

No. 15–8406. *BLAIR v. UNITED STATES*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 114 A. 3d 960.

No. 15–8408. *HENDRIX v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 816.

No. 15–8411. *CHI v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8413. *GARCIA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 596 Fed. Appx. 24.

No. 15–8415. *MARTINEZ-HARO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 255.

No. 15–8418. *MADDEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 706.

No. 15–8423. *GROGANS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 585 Fed. Appx. 267.

No. 15–8427. *RASHID v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8433. *CALDERON-JIMENEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 295.

No. 15–8435. *SISCO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8439. *BUFFINGTON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 875.

No. 15–8449. *VICENTE-ARIAS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 686.

No. 15–8450. *ALAM v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 742.

No. 15–8452. *MEDA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 812 F. 3d 502.

No. 15–530. *RATTIGAN v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 780 F. 3d 413.

April 4, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–712. KAKARALA *v.* WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 424.

JUSTICE THOMAS, dissenting.

The question presented by this petition is whether the Court should overrule *Thermtron Products, Inc. v. Hermansdorfer*, 423 U. S. 336 (1976). *Thermtron* adopted an atextual reading of 28 U. S. C. § 1447(d), the federal law governing review of orders remanding a case from federal to state courts. Because I remain of the view that *Thermtron* was wrongly decided, I respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

Congress has unambiguously deprived federal courts of jurisdiction to review an order remanding a case from federal to state court: “An order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or otherwise.” 28 U. S. C. § 1447(d). Underscoring the breadth of this prohibition, Congress has provided only one exception: “[A]n order remanding a case to . . . State court . . . pursuant to section . . . 1443 of this title [providing for the removal of certain civil rights cases] shall be reviewable by appeal or otherwise.” *Ibid.**

Yet in *Thermtron*, this Court interpreted § 1447(d) to mean the opposite of what it says. The Court concluded that § 1447(d) bars review of only *some* remand orders—namely, orders issued pursuant to § 1447(c), which, at the time, required federal district courts to remand cases that were “removed ‘improvidently and without jurisdiction’” whenever that defect is discovered. 423 U. S., at 343–344. As Members of this Court have noted, this interpretation of § 1447(d) defies established principles of statutory construction. *E. g., id.*, at 355 (Rehnquist, J., dissenting) (“[T]he Court today holds that Congress did not mean what it so plainly said”); see *Osborn v. Haley*, 549 U. S. 225, 262–263 (2007) (Scalia, J., dissenting) (“Few statutes read more clearly than . . . § 1447(d) Yet beginning in 1976, this Court has repeatedly eroded § 1447(d)’s mandate and expanded the Court’s jurisdiction”); *Carlsbad Technology, Inc. v. HIF Bio, Inc.*, 556 U. S. 635, 645 (2009) (BREYER, J., concurring) (“[S]omething is wrong” with the Court’s view of § 1447(d)).

*Congress later amended this provision to also provide for appellate review of orders involving the remand of certain cases involving federal officers and agencies. 28 U. S. C. § 1447(d).

Thermtron has also proved unworkable. It has spawned a number of divisions in the lower courts over whether certain remands are based on jurisdictional or nonjurisdictional grounds, and how to determine which is which. *E. g.*, *Quackenbush v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 517 U.S. 706, 710–712 (1996) (resolving split over whether remands based on an abstention doctrine are non-jurisdictional and thus reviewable); see *Carlsbad*, *supra*, at 641 (resolving split over whether remands of supplemental state-law claims are not based on a lack of subject-matter jurisdiction). Later cases have compounded the confusion over how to interpret § 1447(d) by adding on more ancillary rules. For instance, the Court has suggested that remand orders putatively based on jurisdictional grounds may be reviewable if there is reason to think that they actually rested on a different ground. See *Kircher v. Putnam Funds Trust*, 547 U.S. 633, 641–644 (2006). And *Thermtron* continues to perplex Courts of Appeals today. See, *e. g.*, *Harvey v. Ute Indian Tribe of Uintah and Ouray Reservation*, 797 F. 3d 800, 804 (CA10 2015) (noting split on the question whether a remand based on waiver is subject to § 1447(d)’s bar).

Nor can *Thermtron* be reconciled with the broader principles we have identified to guide our interpretation of jurisdictional statutes. Since deciding *Thermtron*, we have recognized that “administrative simplicity is a major virtue in a jurisdictional statute,” and that “[c]omplex jurisdictional tests complicate a case, eating up time and money as the parties litigate, not the merits of their claims, but which court is the right court to decide those claims.” *Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 559 U.S. 77, 94 (2010).

I see no need to force Congress to fix a problem that this Court created. *Thermtron* has endured in no small part because the parties in many of our prior cases have failed to ask us to overrule it. *E. g.*, *Carlsbad*, *supra*, at 638, n. (declining to revisit *Thermtron* because no party asked for its overruling, nor did the parties in three preceding cases applying *Thermtron*). We should stop forcing parties and lower courts to guess when § 1447(d) will and will not apply, and should start applying the law as Congress enacted it. The petition in this case presents an opportunity to reconsider *Thermtron*. I would grant review in this case and any other that would allow us to revisit our mistaken approach to § 1447(d). I respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

April 4, 6, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1042. *SMITH v. NEW YORK PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

No. 15–8312. *SELLERS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 68.

No. 15–8401. *MEDINA-CASTELLANOS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 611.

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–5758. *OLIVE v. FLORIDA*, 577 U. S. 959;

No. 15–6096. *MORRIS v. COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS*, 11TH DISTRICT, 577 U. S. 988;

No. 15–6496. *MILLER v. OFFICE OF CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY*, 577 U. S. 1051;

No. 15–6743. *IN RE WEI ZHOU*, 577 U. S. 1060;

No. 15–6796. *TILLMAN v. GASTELO, ACTING WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1077;

No. 15–6885. *KIDWELL v. RYAN, DIRECTOR, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1080;

No. 15–6922. *THOMPSON v. BROWN ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1106;

No. 15–7019. *WANNAMAKER v. BOULWARE, WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1084;

No. 15–7020. *WILLIAMS v. JOHNSON, ADMINISTRATOR, NEW JERSEY STATE PRISON, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1084;

No. 15–7079. *THOMPSON v. OZMINT, DIRECTOR, SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1122;

No. 15–7101. *CONNER v. HUMPHREY, WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1146; and

No. 15–7239. *IN RE JOHNSON*, 577 U. S. 1060. Petitions for rehearing denied.

APRIL 6, 2016

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–8764 (15A1008). *LUCIO VASQUEZ v. TEXAS.* Ct. Crim. App. Tex. Application for stay of execution of sentence of death, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court, denied. Certiorari denied.

578 U. S.

April 8, 12, 2016

APRIL 8, 2016

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15–375. KIRTSANG, DBA BLUECHRISTINE99 *v.* JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC. C. A. 2d Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U. S. 1098.] Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument granted.

No. 15–415. ENCINO MOTORCARS, LLC *v.* NAVARRO ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U. S. 1098.] Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument granted.

No. 15–458. DIETZ *v.* BOULDIN. C. A. 9th Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U. S. 1101.] Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument granted.

No. 15–674. UNITED STATES ET AL. *v.* TEXAS ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir. [Certiorari granted, 577 U. S. 1101.] Joint motion of petitioners and intervenor-respondents for enlargement of time for oral argument and for divided argument granted, and the time is divided as follows: 35 minutes for petitioners and 10 minutes for intervenor-respondents. Joint motion of respondents and *amicus curiae* United States House of Representatives for enlargement of time for oral argument and for divided argument granted, and the time is divided as follows: 30 minutes for respondents and 15 minutes for United States House of Representatives.

APRIL 12, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 14–1458. MHN GOVERNMENT SERVICES, INC., ET AL. *v.* ZABOROWSKI ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. [Certiorari granted, 576 U. S. 1095.] Writ of certiorari dismissed under this Court's Rule 46. Reported below: 601 Fed. Appx. 461.

Miscellaneous Order

No. 15–8877 (15A1033). *IN RE FULTS*. Application for stay of execution of sentence of death, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court, denied. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied.

APRIL 18, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–8726. *XIAO-PING SU v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari dismissed under this Court’s Rule 46. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 635.

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8161. *SNEED v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 3d Dist. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*). Reported below: 201 So. 3d 48.

No. 15–8221. *HALL v. THOMAS, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition.

No. 15–8445. *MADURA ET UX. v. BANK OF AMERICA, N. A.* C. A. 11th Cir. Motion of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15A879. *TAYLOR v. TAYLOR*. Application to stay and recall the mandate, addressed to THE CHIEF JUSTICE and referred to the Court, denied.

No. D–2848. *IN RE GOTTESMAN*. Lee Daniel Gottesman, of Toms River, N. J., having requested to resign as a member of the Bar of this Court, it is ordered that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to the practice of law before this Court. The rule to show cause, issued on November 2, 2015, [577 U. S. 953] is discharged.

No. D–2854. *IN RE CUMBERBATCH*. Lawrence S. Cumberbatch, of Brooklyn, N. Y., having requested to resign as a member

578 U. S.

April 18, 2016

of the Bar of this Court, it is ordered that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to the practice of law before this Court. The rule to show cause, issued on November 2, 2015, [577 U. S. 953] is discharged.

No. D–2857. IN RE DISBARMENT OF ROSABIANCA. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2858. IN RE DISBARMENT OF SEPCICH. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2859. IN RE DISBARMENT OF JEFFERSON. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2860. IN RE DISBARMENT OF ABADIE. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2861. IN RE DISBARMENT OF TRYE. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2862. IN RE DISBARMENT OF ZOBRIST. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1027.]

No. D–2865. IN RE DISBARMENT OF ROZENSTRAUCH. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1028.]

No. D–2866. IN RE DISBARMENT OF NEELY. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1028.]

No. D–2867. IN RE DISBARMENT OF McBEATH. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1028.]

No. D–2868. IN RE DISBARMENT OF BRAWLEY. Disbarment entered. [For earlier order herein, see 577 U. S. 1028.]

No. 15M100. *ADDERLEY v. COUNTRYWIDE ET AL.*;
No. 15M102. *McFARLAND v. UNITED STATES*;
No. 15M104. *MORRIS v. SILVESTRE ET AL.*;
No. 15M106. *ROBINSON v. SCHNEIDER ET AL.*;
No. 15M107. *CUNNINGHAM v. UNITED STATES*; and
No. 15M108. *RAMIREZ v. JONES, WARDEN*. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15M101. *SHUKH v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC, ET AL.* Motion for leave to file petition for writ of certiorari under seal with redacted copies for the public record granted.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15M103. LANDELL *v.* DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE; and
No. 15M105. IN RE HALL. Motions for leave to proceed as
veterans denied.

No. 15M109. MINES *v.* UNITED STATES. Motion to direct the
Clerk to file petition for writ of certiorari out of time denied.
JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of
this motion.

No. 15–1031. HOWELL *v.* HOWELL. Sup. Ct. Ariz. The Solicitor
General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the
views of the United States.

No. 15–7149. GONZALES *v.* INDUSTRIAL CLAIM APPEALS OF-
FICE OF COLORADO ET AL. Ct. App. Colo. Motion of petitioner
for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma*
pauperis [577 U. S. 1135] denied.

No. 15–7591. IN RE KOCH. Motion of petitioner for reconsid-
eration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577
U. S. 1192] denied.

No. 15–8399. KIRBY *v.* NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY.
C. A. 4th Cir.; and

No. 15–8513. SHERIDAN *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. Fed. Cir.
Motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*
denied. Petitioners are allowed until May 9, 2016, within which
to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit
petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–8609. IN RE WIMBUSH;

No. 15–8672. IN RE VISINTINE;

No. 15–8688. IN RE JOHNSON;

No. 15–8713. IN RE McMILLAN; and

No. 15–8751. IN RE JAMERSON. Petitions for writs of habeas
corpus denied.

No. 15–8567. IN RE DECARO. Motion of petitioner for leave
to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of
habeas corpus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8124. IN RE SHOVE;

No. 15–8381. IN RE STROUSE; and

No. 15–8570. IN RE EDELEN. Petitions for writs of manda-
mus denied.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8179. *IN RE OGEONE*. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of mandamus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U.S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–209. *BRUMANT v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 594 Fed. Appx. 273.

No. 15–703. *BASS v. AUTHORS GUILD, INC.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 614 Fed. Appx. 564.

No. 15–739. *LITTLE v. RUMMEL ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 605 Fed. Appx. 587.

No. 15–742. *SHEA v. KERRY, SECRETARY OF STATE*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 42.

No. 15–795. *PITZER v. TENORIO*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 1160.

No. 15–808. *HERRIMAN ET AL. v. KINDL*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 391.

No. 15–838. *VERMONT v. MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS, LLC*; and

No. 15–988. *MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS, LLC v. VERMONT*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 635.

No. 15–846. *DRZEWIECKI v. CARLSON, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF CARLSON, ET AL.*; and

No. 15–850. *FEWINS ET AL. v. CARLSON, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF CARLSON*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 668.

No. 15–884. *GUGLIELMELLI v. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 137.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–886. *ALBEMARLE CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 1011.

No. 15–892. *BROOKER v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 219 So. 3d 695.

No. 15–899. *HABERSTROH v. NEVADA*. Sup. Ct. Nev. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 131 Nev. 1287.

No. 15–983. *BARDES v. AULD, MAGISTRATE JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 570.

No. 15–987. *ZISUMBO v. OGDEN REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 1185.

No. 15–992. *TARASENKO v. UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 214.

No. 15–993. *LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC. v. AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1368.

No. 15–1008. *KNIT WITH v. KNITTING FEVER, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 27.

No. 15–1010. *LEWIS ET AL. v. ASCENSION PARISH SCHOOL BOARD*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 344.

No. 15–1017. *DITSCH ET AL. v. CARRILLO ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 1210.

No. 15–1018. *YOUNGBLOOD v. FORT BEND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 602 Fed. Appx. 207.

No. 15–1019. *DIXON v. FOOT LOCKER, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 594.

No. 15–1022. *WELBORN v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–1023. *AHMADI v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1029. *MUA v. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY*. Cir. Ct. Prince George’s County, Md. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1035. *KINNEY v. CLARK*. Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 1. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1040. *VEASLEY v. FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 290.

No. 15–1046. *WALWYN v. BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE* (Reported below: 481 S. W. 3d 151); and *REGULI v. BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE* (489 S. W. 3d 408). Sup. Ct. Tenn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1048. *PORWISZ v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 49.

No. 15–1057. *LOPEZ-PEREZ v. NEW YORK*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 2d Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 128 App. Div. 3d 1093, 8 N. Y. S. 3d 600.

No. 15–1059. *SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT v. T. B. ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 451.

No. 15–1062. *HEMOPET v. HILL’S PET NUTRITION, INC.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 617 Fed. Appx. 997.

No. 15–1065. *CHAPARRO ET UX. v. U. S. BANK N. A.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1070. *BODY v. McDONALD, SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 418.

No. 15–1071. *BIERI v. GREENE COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 440.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1074. *GOULD v. COUNCIL OF BRISTOL BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 112.

No. 15–1077. *MOORE ET AL. v. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N. A.* App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 142971–U.

No. 15–1082. *BILOW v. MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P. C.* App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 132839–U.

No. 15–1083. *FUJISAKA v. TEXAS.* Ct. App. Tex., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 472 S. W. 3d 792.

No. 15–1087. *CHAMBERLAIN v. HARRIS.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 263.

No. 15–1089. *TAS v. BEACHY ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 626 Fed. Appx. 999.

No. 15–1093. *ADAMS v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 1.

No. 15–1096. *PICKENS v. FLORIDA.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 192 So. 3d 40.

No. 15–1098. *KIM v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 620 Fed. Appx. 172.

No. 15–1099. *E. O. R. ENERGY, LLC, ET AL. v. ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD ET AL.* App. Ct. Ill., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (4th) 130443, 29 N. E. 3d 691.

No. 15–1102. *GEMMINK v. JAY PEAK, INC.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 46.

No. 15–1104. *BURLEY v. NATIONAL PASSENGER RAILROAD CORPORATION, DBA AMTRAK.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 290.

No. 15–1106. *RAHN ET AL. v. BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 285.

578 U. S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–1108. *HOOTSTEIN ET AL. v. MENTAL HEALTH ASSN. (MHA) INC. ET AL.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1116. *UNICARE LIFE & HEALTH INSURANCE CO. v. WASKIEWICZ.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 851.

No. 15–1124. *SLUSHER v. SHELBYVILLE HOSPITAL CORP. ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 211.

No. 15–1127. *YOUNG-GIBSON v. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO.* Sup. Ct. Ill. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1163. *ENDER v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 1014.

No. 15–1175. *KONOVER v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 46.

No. 15–1176. *MCCLAIN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 795.

No. 15–6578. *COLLIE v. SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON LAWYER CONDUCT ET AL.* Sup. Ct. S. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 410 S. C. 556, 765 S. E. 2d 835.

No. 15–7017. *MEZA-RODRIGUEZ v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 664.

No. 15–7133. *KINNEY v. CLARK.* Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 1. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7278. *PENN v. MASSACHUSETTS.* Sup. Jud. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 472 Mass. 610, 36 N. E. 3d 552.

No. 15–7350. *BUTLER v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 641.

No. 15–7451. *BECKWORTH v. ALABAMA.* Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7468. *HOFELICH v. LACY ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 310.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–7479. *RONK v. MISSISSIPPI*. Sup. Ct. Miss. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 172 So. 3d 1112.

No. 15–7570. *HOLBROOK v. RONNIES LLC, DBA RONNY’S RV PARK*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7611. *JACKSON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7804. *CORDOVA v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 62 Cal. 4th 104, 358 P. 3d 518.

No. 15–7828. *WRIGHT v. WESTBROOKS, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 793 F. 3d 670.

No. 15–7940. *WILLIAMSON v. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1009.

No. 15–7988. *HAMILTON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 793 F. 3d 1261.

No. 15–7993. *WILLYARD v. KELLEY, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7995. *VASQUEZ-MENDOZA v. RYAN, DIRECTOR, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7998. *FALTZ v. VIRGINIA*. Sup. Ct. Va. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8009. *HAWKINS v. WINCHESTER, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8012. *BOUMA v. HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 156.

No. 15–8019. *BUI v. SINGH ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8020. *ABDULLAH-MALIK v. BRYANT ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 339.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8022. *FORD v. CLARKE*, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 600 Fed. Appx. 896.

No. 15–8023. *GUINN v. COLORADO ATTORNEY REGULATION COUNSEL*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 563 Fed. Appx. 652.

No. 15–8025. *HOLLINS v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 130013–U.

No. 15–8028. *PEREZ v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8030. *FORTUNE v. CLARKE*, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 593 Fed. Appx. 237.

No. 15–8031. *HARDIN v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8034. *HARDY v. FLORIDA*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 160 So. 3d 895.

No. 15–8038. *FIGGS v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8039. *EPSHTEYN v. BURR*, SENIOR JUDGE, COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PENNSYLVANIA, DELAWARE COUNTY. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 131.

No. 15–8040. *CONSTANT v. DTE ELECTRIC Co.*, AKA DETROIT EDISON Co. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8041. *GABRIEL v. COLORADO MOUNTAIN MEDICAL, P. C., ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 598.

No. 15–8043. *WILLIAMS v. NORTH CAROLINA*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 620 Fed. Appx. 184.

No. 15–8045. *MARINO v. MARTUSCELLO*, SUPERINTENDENT, COXSACKIE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y.,

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

3d Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 131 App. Div. 3d 749, 14 N. Y. S. 3d 589.

No. 15–8047. *THORNTON v. HENS-GRECO ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 251.

No. 15–8048. *BROWN v. ILLINOIS.* App. Ct. Ill., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (5th) 140322–U.

No. 15–8051. *BANKS v. AMERICAN HERITAGE LIFE INSURANCE CO., DBA ALLSTATE WORKPLACE DIVISION.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8056. *SINCLAIR v. FLORIDA.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 207 So. 3d 241.

No. 15–8057. *DICKERSON v. ILLINOIS.* App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 IL App (1st) 120049–U.

No. 15–8060. *MCCORMICK v. ALABAMA.* Sup. Ct. Ala. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8061. *CAISON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8062. *THOMPSON v. JACKSON, WARDEN.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8066. *MEEKS v. SCHOFIELD ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 697.

No. 15–8068. *JOHNSON v. LOUISIANA.* Ct. App. La., 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2013–0343 (La. App. 4 Cir. 10/1/14), 151 So. 3d 683.

No. 15–8069. *MAYFIELD v. MILES ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8074. *RHONE v. OVERMYER, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT FOREST, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8077. *OZORIO v. CARTLEDGE, WARDEN.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 294.

No. 15–8079. *SMITH v. WALLACE ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8080. *PARTHMORE v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 7. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8085. *MAGWOOD v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8086. *LABRANCH v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8088. *WARD v. COOKE ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 83.

No. 15–8089. *SAMRA v. PRICE, WARDEN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 626 Fed. Appx. 227.

No. 15–8091. *STRAWS v. STEVENSON, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 168.

No. 15–8093. *CHILTON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8098. *WALKER v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE, ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8105. *MEMMINGER v. WOODS, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8108. *BANKS v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8109. *LEWIS v. CONNECTICUT*. App. Ct. Conn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8112. *BROWN v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (4th) 130192–U.

No. 15–8113. *THOMPSON v. GOWER, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8117. *ARMSTRONG v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8120. *JOHNSON v. NEW YORK*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 1st Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 127 App. Div. 3d 451, 7 N. Y. S. 3d 106.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8121. *ARIAS-COREAS v. VIRGINIA*. Sup. Ct. Va. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8123. *BRODSKY v. NEVEN, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 613 Fed. Appx. 677.

No. 15–8126. *SAYED v. BROMAN ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 698.

No. 15–8127. *PRESCOTT v. GRIFFIN, SUPERINTENDENT, GREEN HAVEN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 38.

No. 15–8130. *PHINISEE, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF A. P., A MINOR, AS HER PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN v. LAYSER ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 118.

No. 15–8132. *SAYED v. COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8133. *DUNCAN v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 57.

No. 15–8138. *MATIAS TORRES v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 6th App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8141. *McKNIGHT v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 6th App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8144. *SCHUM v. FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 617 Fed. Appx. 5.

No. 15–8147. *HERNANDEZ v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8149. *CHOW, AKA YI JING WONG CHOW, ET AL. v. CITY OF POMONA, CALIFORNIA, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8151. *CROSS v. BURT, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8152. *WILLIAMS v. KERESTES*. Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 121 A. 3d 1141.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8160. *MCADAMS v. SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON*. Ct. App. Wash. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8164. *KOSTICH v. MCCOLLUM, WARDEN*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 618.

No. 15–8165. *CONSIGLIO v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE HOSPITALS ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8167. *BROWN v. MICHIGAN*. Cir. Ct. Ingham County, Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8169. *LUNDSTEDT v. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST CO.* App. Ct. Conn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8173. *WILSON v. PARAMO, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8177. *BARBER v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD ON PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 128 A. 3d 637.

No. 15–8178. *MENJIVAR v. FRAUENHEIM*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8181. *SANDOVAL v. CHINO STATE PRISON ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 626 Fed. Appx. 727.

No. 15–8186. *MELILLO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 761.

No. 15–8195. *SHEA v. COLORADO*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8206. *KING v. SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 600 Fed. Appx. 131.

No. 15–8208. *ERVIN v. SOTO, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8209. *QUINN v. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST CO. ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 937.

No. 15–8214. *ALTMAN v. BREWER, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8215. *VURIMINDI v. FEDER*, CLERK, COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PENNSYLVANIA, FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT. Sup. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Pa. 420, 125 A. 3d 773.

No. 15–8219. *RICHARDSON v. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8224. *SMALL v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 175 So. 3d 316.

No. 15–8226. *LEWIS v. CURTIN*, WARDEN. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 788.

No. 15–8228. *CARLOS DIAZ v. LIZARRAGA*, WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8229. *RODRIGUEZ v. SMITH*, SUPERINTENDENT, SHAW-ANGUNK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8230. *BARNES v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8232. *MURPHY v. LEWIS*, WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8240. *BENTON v. BEAR*, WARDEN. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 667.

No. 15–8243. *DRUMGO v. PIERCE*, WARDEN, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8245. *DAVIS v. JONES*, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8246. *HERNANDEZ v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 IL App (1st) 120212–U.

No. 15–8267. *RIOS v. WOFFORD*, WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8271. *CARI v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 5.

No. 15–8274. *CASTELAN v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 131638–U.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8297. *HUNT v. GRADY*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 599 Fed. Appx. 531.

No. 15–8309. *AIKENS v. LOUISIANA*. Ct. App. La., 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014–0539 (La. App. 1 Cir. 12/10/14).

No. 15–8315. *SIERRA v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8319. *ABU-JEBREEL v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8320. *CORDOVA v. ARIZONA*. Ct. App. Ariz. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8324. *MCCRAY v. GRAHAM, SUPERINTENDENT, AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8335. *WOFFORD v. HOLLICKS ET AL.* Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 8. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8337. *HOOPER v. ARKANSAS*. Sup. Ct. Ark. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 Ark. 108, 458 S. W. 3d 229.

No. 15–8338. *HOLLOWAY v. MAGNESS ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 602 Fed. Appx. 354.

No. 15–8350. *RIVERA v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 173 So. 3d 984.

No. 15–8369. *BAILEY v. DAIL, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 111.

No. 15–8370. *RICHARDSON v. NEW JERSEY*. Super. Ct. N. J., App. Div. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8380. *ANTONIO HERRERA v. JARVIS, WARDEN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 596 Fed. Appx. 859.

No. 15–8382. *SMITH v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 57.

No. 15–8383. *KINCAID v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 276.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8390. *ANGEL ORDAZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 689.

No. 15–8391. *MUNIZ-TORRES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 370.

No. 15–8393. *WEEMS v. PFISTER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8402. *SANCHEZ v. RODEN, SUPERINTENDENT, MASSACHUSETTS CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT NORFOLK*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 808 F. 3d 85.

No. 15–8409. *SMITH v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 792 F. 3d 760.

No. 15–8420. *JOHNSON v. SOUTH CAROLINA*. Sup. Ct. S. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8422. *PENNINGTON-THURMAN v. BANK OF AMERICA ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8428. *GILZENE v. PFISTER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8430. *GIBSON v. CARTLEDGE, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 589 Fed. Appx. 202.

No. 15–8436. *SMITH v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8442. *JAMES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 689.

No. 15–8451. *BRUMMETT v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8453. *STEWART v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8455. *MONROE v. CARTLEDGE, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 192.

No. 15–8458. *DAILEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 75.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8460. *CANO-FLORES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 83.

No. 15–8461. *MADAY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 776.

No. 15–8463. *WELD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 512.

No. 15–8467. *MATEEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 857.

No. 15–8469. *ANILLO MANGO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 588.

No. 15–8471. *SANTOS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 222.

No. 15–8473. *MOODY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 392.

No. 15–8474. *PUGA-RODRIGUEZ v. UNITED STATES* (Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 271); *RIVERA v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 256); *DE LA VEGA-ADAN v. UNITED STATES* (633 Fed. Appx. 627); *ANGEL DELVALLE v. UNITED STATES* (634 Fed. Appx. 134); *ALBERTO GONZALEZ v. UNITED STATES* (634 Fed. Appx. 981); and *RAMOS v. UNITED STATES* (634 Fed. Appx. 979). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8477. *BOJORQUEZ-VILLALOBOS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 466.

No. 15–8478. *BORRERO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 20.

No. 15–8481. *BOYD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8490. *WHITMAN v. MASSACHUSETTS*. Sup. Jud. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8503. *WELLS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 408.

No. 15–8506. *HENDERSON v. JOHNSON, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 199.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8507. *HENRY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 276.

No. 15–8508. *IBARRA v. McDOWELL, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8511. *FERRY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 617 Fed. Appx. 836.

No. 15–8512. *BARRIE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 541.

No. 15–8514. *MICHAEL C. v. WEST VIRGINIA*. Sup. Ct. App. W. Va. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8516. *NICKENS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8518. *STAMBLER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 104.

No. 15–8519. *DAVIS v. FLORIDA* (two judgments). Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 2d Dist. Reported below: 179 So. 3d 325 (both judgments).

No. 15–8521. *KELLER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8522. *ALOMIA-ANGULO v. UNITED STATES* (Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 268); *BUSTOS-OCIOA v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 310); *DUQUE-ROJAS v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 283); *ESCOBAR-PONCE v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 270); *ESTRADA-VILLA v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 312); *GARCIA-MAYORGA v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 305); *GARCIA-MORENO v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 284); *GOMEZ-MORA v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 285); *ISALAS-SALTO v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 243); *MARTINEZ-DUQUE v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 279); *MENENDEZ-GUERRERO v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 305); *NUNEZ-ROSALES v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 283); *PIMENTEL-CASTRO v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 241); *RUIZ-AGUILLON v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 273); *SOLORZAO-SANCHEZ v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 268); *VELASQUEZ-LOPEZ, AKA GARCIA-MORALES v. UNITED STATES* (624 Fed. Appx. 303); *ANGUIANO-MORALES v. UNITED STATES* (634 Fed. Appx. 982); *AVALOS-GUTIERREZ, AKA*

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

GARZA-RAMIREZ *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 131); BANEGAS-VERONES *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 455); ESTRADA-EUGENIO *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 454); GONZALEZ-OCHOA *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 132); HERRERA-GARDUNO *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 980); MARTINEZ, AKA ANTONIO MARTINEZ, AKA MARTINEZ RANGEL, AKA MARTINEZ AGUILAR *v.* UNITED STATES (633 Fed. Appx. 625); RAMOS-GONZALEZ *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 455); ROBLES-VACA *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 133); and ZUNIGA-RAMIREZ *v.* UNITED STATES (635 Fed. Appx. 145). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8535. GARCIA-LOPEZ *v.* UNITED STATES (Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 255); MORALES-GALVEZ *v.* UNITED STATES (623 Fed. Appx. 284); PEREZ-RAMOS *v.* UNITED STATES (624 Fed. Appx. 259); LANDIN-MOYOA *v.* UNITED STATES (624 Fed. Appx. 240); ANTONIO MEJIA, AKA MEJIA SOTO *v.* UNITED STATES (624 Fed. Appx. 249); VILLARREAL-ESPINOZA *v.* UNITED STATES (624 Fed. Appx. 242); GOMEZ-RANGEL, AKA MONTEZ-GOMEZ *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 456); GONZALEZ-TORRES *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 458); and GERONIMO MENDOZA *v.* UNITED STATES (635 Fed. Appx. 147). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8538. FRANCO *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 961.

No. 15–8539. HOCKETT *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 198.

No. 15–8540. DEMARCO *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 253.

No. 15–8541. DUSHANE *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 332.

No. 15–8542. LAVERDURE *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 609 Fed. Appx. 417.

No. 15–8543. VIALTA *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 287.

No. 15–8545. BENTON *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 321.

No. 15–8546. STEINGER, AKA STEINER *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 915.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8548. *NAVARRO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 243.

No. 15–8551. *ANDERSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 106.

No. 15–8552. *ARELLANO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 605 Fed. Appx. 959.

No. 15–8553. *PEREZ-AYALA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 263.

No. 15–8556. *SAYLOR v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 261.

No. 15–8557. *MIRANDA-MARTINEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 771.

No. 15–8559. *DAMIAN LOPEZ, AKA CRUZ GUILLEN, AKA CHAVA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 234.

No. 15–8561. *SAINT-SURIN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 1010.

No. 15–8562. *GARVIN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 263.

No. 15–8569. *FENNELL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 250.

No. 15–8571. *GUEVARA-MORENO, AKA MORENO-GUEVARA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 153.

No. 15–8576. *KOH v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8577. *VERGARA-TAPIA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 236.

No. 15–8578. *JACKSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 241.

No. 15–8579. *LINDSEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 595.

578 U.S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–8581. *SARACINO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 176.

No. 15–8583. *RAGAN-ARMSTRONG v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8586. *NYLANDER v. MASSACHUSETTS*. App. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 86 Mass. App. 1120, 19 N. E. 3d 867.

No. 15–8587. *CERVANTES-TORRES, AKA MANUEL CERVANTES, AKA CERVANTES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 634.

No. 15–8588. *ESTRADA-MARTINEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 576.

No. 15–8594. *BYERS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 613 Fed. Appx. 259.

No. 15–8598. *BARROSO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 964.

No. 15–8599. *MARCANO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8604. *PASTOREK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 464.

No. 15–8607. *DIMONDA v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8608. *TERRELL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 881.

No. 15–8617. *THOMAS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 847.

No. 15–8621. *MULLINS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 499.

No. 15–8628. *ELEM v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 628.

No. 15–8633. *KIRCUS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 936.

April 18, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8639. PAHUTSKI *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 199.

No. 15–8640. BARRY *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 407.

No. 15–8655. CASTILLO-DE LA PORTILLA *v.* UNITED STATES (Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 472); BAUTISTA-VILLARREAL *v.* UNITED STATES (634 Fed. Appx. 459); MIRELES-VARGAS *v.* UNITED STATES (637 Fed. Appx. 138); and REYES-MARTINEZ *v.* UNITED STATES (635 Fed. Appx. 145). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8657. REDIFER *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 548.

No. 15–8663. ELIOPOULOS *v.* UNITED STATES. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 122 A. 3d 945.

No. 15–8664. DEW *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 72.

No. 15–8670. THOMAS *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8671. TIKAL *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 426.

No. 15–8699. BATTAGLIA *v.* TEXAS. Crim. Dist. Ct. No. 1, Dallas County, Tex. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–463. WIERSUM *v.* U. S. BANK N. A. C. A. 11th Cir. Motion of National Employment Lawyers Association, Florida Chapter, for leave to file brief as *amicus curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 785 F. 3d 483.

No. 15–719. DICKEY’S BARBECUE RESTAURANTS, INC., ET AL. *v.* CHORLEY ENTERPRISES, INC., ET AL. C. A. 4th Cir. Motions of International Franchise Association and Atlantic Legal Foundation et al. for leave to file briefs as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 553.

No. 15–786. NEW JERSEY *v.* SHANNON. Sup. Ct. N. J. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 222 N. J. 576, 120 A. 3d 924.

578 U. S.

April 18, 2016

No. 15–849. *AUTHORS GUILD ET AL. v. GOOGLE INC.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 202.

No. 15–880. *SOUTH CAROLINA v. HUGHEY.* Ct. Common Pleas of Abbeville County, S. C. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–881. *SOUTH CAROLINA v. BINNEY.* Ct. Common Pleas of Cherokee County, S. C. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–882. *SOUTH CAROLINA v. EVANS.* Ct. Common Pleas of Greenville County, S. C. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–940. *BNSF RAILWAY CO. v. BOLEN.* Ct. App. Mo., Eastern Dist. Motion of Association of American Railroads for leave to file brief as *amicus curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 473 S. W. 3d 152.

No. 15–1038. *SEMPLE, COMMISSIONER, CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS v. DAVIS.* Sup. Ct. Conn. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 319 Conn. 548, 126 A. 3d 538.

No. 15–8537. *HARRELL v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 278.

No. 15–8632. *JORDAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 1244.

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–744. *IN RE FLORIMONTE*, 577 U. S. 1136;

No. 15–754. *ADKINS v. ADKINS*, 577 U. S. 1182;

No. 15–757. *MACHALA v. ESTATE OF NEMEC*, 577 U. S. 1140;

No. 15–793. *GRAY-BROCK v. ILLINOIS AMERICAN WATER CO. ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1141;

No. 15–819. *CARTER ET UX. v. FIRST SOUTH FARM CREDIT, ACA, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1142;

April 18, 25, 2016

578 U. S.

- No. 15–5225. *PRICE v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 888;
No. 15–6033. *INGRAM v. JUST ENERGY*, 577 U. S. 963;
No. 15–6660. *RIVERA v. CREECH*, 577 U. S. 1145;
No. 15–6734. *BELL v. PEREZ ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1075;
No. 15–6981. *STUDY v. BROWN, SUPERINTENDENT, WABASH VALLEY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*, 577 U. S. 1107;
No. 15–7102. *ROBERTS v. FLORIDA*, 577 U. S. 1122;
No. 15–7119. *EUSEBIO GONZALES v. FLORIDA*, 577 U. S. 1147;
No. 15–7172. *WITKIN v. FRAUENHEIM, WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1148;
No. 15–7187. *KELLER v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1089;
No. 15–7274. *WILLIAMS v. WINGARD, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT SOMERSET, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1151;
No. 15–7302. *CHUTE v. NIFTY-FIFTIES, INC., ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1152;
No. 15–7465. *ROBINSON v. NEW YORK*, 577 U. S. 1156;
No. 15–7466. *COLLINGTON, AKA CAVE v. OWENS ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1156;
No. 15–7504. *STURGIS v. WILLIS ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1158;
No. 15–7514. *COX v. STALLINGS*, 577 U. S. 1158;
No. 15–7535. *ADAMS-GATES v. BUSH ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1160;
No. 15–7594. *KNIEST v. CASSADY, WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1162;
No. 15–7852. *IN RE SUTTON*, 577 U. S. 1136; and
No. 15–8076. *IN RE MOLESKI*, 577 U. S. 1136. Petitions for rehearing denied.
- No. 15–6330. *VINSON v. MAIORANA, COMPLEX WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1183. Petition for rehearing denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.
- No. 15–7601. *KARKENNY v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1183. Petition for rehearing denied. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

APRIL 25, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

- No. 15–5980. *ZAVALA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 268; and
No. 15–6107. *DE SANTIAGO-GUILLEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Reported below: 607 Fed. Appx. 377. Motions

578 U. S.

April 25, 2016

of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgments vacated, and cases remanded for further consideration in light of *Molina-Martinez v. United States*, ante, p. 189.

No. 15–7632. *VIGIL v. COLORADO*. Sup. Ct. Colo. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016). Reported below: 372 P. 3d 1045.

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8302. *CUNNINGHAM v. EIGERMAN ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8346. *BUTLER v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15A853. *BROWNLEE v. UNITED STATES*. Application for certificate of appealability, addressed to JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR and referred to the Court, denied.

No. 15M110. *YI QING CHEN v. UNITED STATES*; and

No. 15M111. *SMITH v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15–488. *ORTIZ, AS NEXT FRIEND AND PARENT OF I. O., A MINOR v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Joint motion to defer consideration of petition for writ of certiorari granted.

No. 15–7840. *HANSEN v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1192] denied.

No. 15–8260. *WILSON v. WILLIAMS*. Sup. Ct. S. C.;

No. 15–8352. *OGI v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 4th Cir.; and

No. 15–8361. *WATSON v. BANK OF AMERICA, N. A.* C. A. 4th Cir. Motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pau-*

April 25, 2016

578 U. S.

peris denied. Petitioners are allowed until May 16, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–8762. IN RE BOOKMAN;

No. 15–8763. IN RE AMIR;

No. 15–8784. IN RE CUNNINGHAM; and

No. 15–8831. IN RE MORAN. Petitions for writs of habeas corpus denied.

No. 15–8782. IN RE CLARK. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of habeas corpus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

No. 15–8808. IN RE COX. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of habeas corpus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

Certiorari Granted

No. 15–5991. SHAW *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted. Reported below: 781 F. 3d 1130.

No. 15–7250. MANRIQUE *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 11th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 579.

Certiorari Denied

No. 14–634. CPV POWER HOLDINGS, LP, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO CPV POWER DEVELOPMENT, INC., ET AL. *v.* TALEN ENERGY MARKETING, LLC, FKA PPL ENERGYPLUS, LLC, ET AL.; and

No. 14–694. FIORDALISO, COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW JERSEY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, ET AL. *v.* TALEN ENERGY MARKET-

578 U.S.

April 25, 2016

ING, LLC, FKA PPL ENERGYPLUS, LLC, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 766 F. 3d 241.

No. 15–623. MICHIGAN GAMING CONTROL BOARD ET AL. *v.* MOODY ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 790 F. 3d 669.

No. 15–675. ZEPEDA *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 792 F. 3d 1103.

No. 15–779. CROW ALLOTTEES ET AL. *v.* UNITED STATES ET AL. Sup. Ct. Mont. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 380 Mont. 168, 354 P. 3d 1217.

No. 15–821. BEVERLY ENTERPRISES INC. ET AL. *v.* CYR, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF CAMPBELL, ET AL. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 924.

No. 15–824. BLANCHARD *v.* BROWN. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 468.

No. 15–831. DALLAS MEXICAN CONSULATE GENERAL *v.* BOX, DBA BLAKE BOX Co. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 649.

No. 15–901. PIERCE *v.* SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 786 F. 3d 1027.

No. 15–917. ENCALADA *v.* BAYBRIDGE ENTERPRISES LTD. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 54.

No. 15–1032. PETERSON *v.* BELL HELICOPTER TEXTRON, INC. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 335.

No. 15–1043. Q. W., BY HIS NEXT FRIENDS AND PARENTS, M. W. ET AL. *v.* BOARD OF EDUCATION OF FAYETTE COUNTY, KENTUCKY, ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 580.

No. 15–1051. FROST *v.* SHEEHAN ET AL. Sup. Ct. N. H. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 168 N. H. 353, 128 A. 3d 663.

No. 15–1053. HEMPHILL *v.* STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE Co. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 535.

April 25, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1058. *SABBAH ET AL. v. ROBERTSON ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Ala. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1061. *KOROLYZEN v. ONEWEST BANK, FSB.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 192 So. 3d 39.

No. 15–1066. *WING-SING CHAN v. SHARPE ET AL.* Ct. App. Tex., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1067. *BOWDEN v. MEINBERG ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 877.

No. 15–1069. *BREINHOLT ET AL. v. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST CO.* Ct. App. Idaho. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1095. *WYATT, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF WYATT, ET AL. v. GATES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF ARMSTRONG, ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 800 F. 3d 331.

No. 15–1097. *MARTINEZ FUENMAYOR ET UX. v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 717.

No. 15–1161. *CLOUD SACHEL, LLC v. BARNES & NOBLE, INC., ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 626 Fed. Appx. 1010.

No. 15–1173. *KEELER v. CHANG ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 113.

No. 15–1212. *FULLER ET AL. v. LANGSENKAMP ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 810 F. 3d 456.

No. 15–6202. *WIDI v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7496. *GILNER v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7602. *READE v. GALVIN, SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, ET AL.* Sup. Jud. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 472 Mass. 573, 36 N. E. 3d 519.

No. 15–7610. *JOHNSSON v. RITTMANIC.* App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

April 25, 2016

No. 15–7695. *DICKERSON v. MISSISSIPPI*. Sup. Ct. Miss. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 175 So. 3d 8.

No. 15–8185. *LAM THANH NGUYEN v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 61 Cal. 4th 1015, 354 P. 3d 90.

No. 15–8213. *BARWICK v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 1239.

No. 15–8250. *FRANKLIN v. WISCONSIN*. Sup. Ct. Wis. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 WI 47, 366 Wis. 2d 61, 862 N. W. 2d 901.

No. 15–8252. *ROBERTSON v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 224 Md. App. 730.

No. 15–8255. *LAMAR v. HOUK, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 405.

No. 15–8256. *LESTER v. MACKIE, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8258. *TURNS ET AL. v. CITY OF JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI, ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 414.

No. 15–8261. *TURNER v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 1st App. Dist., Div. 1. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8263. *PARKER v. BURRIS, SHERIFF, STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 82.

No. 15–8266. *RODRIGUEZ v. PENNSYLVANIA*. Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 125 A. 3d 458.

No. 15–8268. *COVARRUBIAS v. WALLACE ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 701.

No. 15–8270. *SMITH v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8273. *CLARK v. HOFFNER, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

April 25, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8279. *TAYLOR v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 4th App. Dist., Div. 1. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8282. *NAILS v. MCEWEN, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 514.

No. 15–8284. *NARAYAN v. WELLS FARGO BANK*. Ct. App. Cal., 3d App. Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8287. *ORTIZ v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 IL App (1st) 112811–U.

No. 15–8292. *LOVE v. SIEGLER* (two judgments). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 364 (first judgment).

No. 15–8299. *KOPPI v. VALENZUELA, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8300. *HAMPTON v. WASHINGTON*. Sup. Ct. Wash. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 184 Wash. 2d 656, 361 P. 3d 734.

No. 15–8301. *THOMPSON v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 131762–U.

No. 15–8303. *CUNNINGHAM v. EIGERMAN*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8304. *MALLOY v. GILMORE, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT GREENE, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8306. *JELKS v. GREEN TREE CREDIT, LLC*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 2d Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 120 App. Div. 3d 1299, 991 N. Y. S. 2d 903.

No. 15–8308. *AMIR-SHARIF v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8314. *ALBERTO SOLERNORONA v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8316. *SWAIN v. MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Ct. App. Mo., Western Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 473 S. W. 3d 152.

578 U.S.

April 25, 2016

No. 15–8318. *BOTEO-PORTILLO v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 304.

No. 15–8323. *PARKER v. MINNESOTA*. Ct. App. Minn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8326. *MILLER v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8331. *GUGSA v. WASHINGTON*. Ct. App. Wash. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8339. *GREEN v. GOBER, SHERIFF, DREW COUNTY, ARKANSAS, ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 858.

No. 15–8340. *WILLIAMS v. LAW FIRM OF TURNBULL, NICHOLSON & SANDERS, P. A., ET AL.* Cir. Ct. Baltimore County, Md. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8342. *ISKANDER v. DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 211.

No. 15–8351. *PAVLIC v. DISTRICT ATTORNEY, WASHINGTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8357. *BREEDLOVE v. OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Ct. Crim. App. Okla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8358. *EDEN v. CITY OF SHOW LOW, ARIZONA*. Ct. App. Ariz. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8373. *GARDU v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8410. *SELLERS v. PLATTSMIER ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 111.

No. 15–8419. *LOUGHRY v. COLVIN, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 696.

No. 15–8425. *FLEMING v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 223.

April 25, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8437. *SHELLMAN v. COLVIN, ACTING COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 614 Fed. Appx. 672.

No. 15–8459. *D’AGOSTINO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 51.

No. 15–8484. *QUARTERMAN v. ASHTON PARK TRACE APARTMENTS*. Ct. App. Ga. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8500. *DAVIS v. ROUNDTREE ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 265.

No. 15–8520. *COLBERT v. UNITED STATES*; and

No. 15–8646. *ROLLINS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 682.

No. 15–8525. *SAGET v. KAUFFMAN, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT HUNTINGDON, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8584. *CORBETT v. KERNAN, SECRETARY, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 204.

No. 15–8585. *SHEKHEM EL BEY v. CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8618. *ZEIGLER v. REYNOLDS, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 224.

No. 15–8644. *STRICKLAND v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 906.

No. 15–8652. *DINKINS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8677. *MONTGOMERY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 592 Fed. Appx. 411.

No. 15–8678. *MOTE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8679. *PAUL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 806.

No. 15–8684. *OLIVER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 257.

578 U.S.

April 25, 2016

No. 15–8685. *MUNERLYN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 464.

No. 15–8686. *MILTON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 81.

No. 15–631. *ARRIGONI ENTERPRISES, LLC v. TOWN OF DURHAM, CONNECTICUT, ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Motions of National Federation of Independent Business Small Business Legal Center et al., Cato Institute, and Institute for Justice for leave to file briefs as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 23.

JUSTICE THOMAS, with whom JUSTICE KENNEDY joins, dissenting.

The question presented by this petition is whether the Court should overrule *Williamson County Regional Planning Comm’n v. Hamilton Bank of Johnson City*, 473 U.S. 172 (1985). In *Williamson County*, the Court ruled that a plaintiff’s allegation that local government action resulted in a taking is not “ripe” for review in federal court until the plaintiff “seek[s] compensation through the procedures the State has provided for doing so.” *Id.*, at 194. In doing so, the Court superimposed a state-litigation requirement on the Fifth Amendment’s Takings Clause. As Members of this Court have noted, the Constitution does not appear to compel this additional step before a property owner may vindicate a Takings Clause claim. *San Remo Hotel, L. P. v. City and County of San Francisco*, 545 U.S. 323, 349 (2005) (Rehnquist, C. J., joined by O’Connor, KENNEDY, and THOMAS, JJ., concurring in judgment).

I would grant certiorari in this case because “the justifications for [*Williamson County*’s] state-litigation requirement are suspect, while its impact on takings plaintiffs is dramatic.” *Id.*, at 352. That requirement appears to be inconsistent with the text and original meaning of the Fifth Amendment’s Takings Clause. It has also inspired gamesmanship in the lower courts. I therefore respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

I

The Takings Clause states, “[N]or shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.” U. S. Const., Amdt. 5. In *Williamson County*, the Court reasoned that this

language does not “require that just compensation be paid in advance of, or contemporaneously with, the taking; all that is required is that a reasonable, certain and adequate provision for obtaining compensation exist at the time of the taking.” 473 U.S., at 194 (internal quotation marks omitted). This suspect reasoning led the Court to conclude that, “because the Constitution does not require pretaking compensation, and is instead satisfied by a reasonable and adequate provision for obtaining compensation after the taking, the State’s action . . . is not ‘complete’ until the State fails to provide adequate compensation for the taking.” *Id.*, at 195. In effect, *Williamson County* forces a property owner to shoulder the burden of securing compensation *after* the local government effects a taking.

This result seems at odds with the plain text and original meaning of the Takings Clause, which appear to make just compensation a prerequisite to taking property for public use. As critics of *Williamson County* have opined, the Takings Clause is more than a mere remedy. The requirement to pay just compensation “places a condition on the [government’s] exercise of” the power to take private property in the first instance. *First English Evangelical Lutheran Church of Glendale v. County of Los Angeles*, 482 U.S. 304, 314 (1987). This follows from the text’s “mandate that there shall be no taking ‘without just compensation.’” Breemer, *Overcoming Williamson County’s Troubling State Procedures Rule: How the England Reservation, Issue Preclusion Exceptions, and the Inadequacy Exception Open the Federal Courthouse Door to Ripe Takings Claims*, 18 J. Land Use & Env. L. 209, 219 (2003). The Clause is most naturally “read to mean that compensation must accompany the taking,” and not that “the claimant shall have the opportunity to ask for the compensation remedy in a post-taking court action.” *Ibid.* A purported exercise of the eminent-domain power is invalid, the Fifth Amendment suggests, unless the government pays just compensation before or at the time of its taking.

This understanding of the just-compensation requirement as a constraint on government power appears to comport with historical understandings of the Takings Clause and its state analogues. “During the century following the ratification of the Bill of Rights and parallel state provisions, courts held that compensation must be provided at the time of the act . . . alleged to be a taking.” *Id.*, at 220; see also Brauneis, *The First Constitutional Tort: The*

Remedial Revolution in Nineteenth-Century State Just Compensation Law, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 57, 113 (1999). The Court has recognized that a property owner is at least “entitled to reasonable, certain and adequate provision for obtaining compensation *before* his occupancy is disturbed.” *Cherokee Nation v. Southern Kansas R. Co.*, 135 U.S. 641, 659 (1890) (emphasis added).

In short, both the text of the Takings Clause and historical evidence cast doubt on *Williamson County*’s treatment of just compensation as a mere remedy, rather than a condition on the government’s eminent-domain power.

II

The trouble did not stop with *Williamson County*. In *San Remo Hotel*, the Court exacerbated the effects of the *Williamson County* rule, and, together, the two cases have created an untenable situation for Takings Clause plaintiffs in the federal courts.

In *San Remo Hotel*, petitioners (hotel owners) challenged a city ordinance that required them to pay a conversion fee on Takings Clause grounds. 545 U.S., at 326. The petitioners first litigated their claims in state court, as *Williamson County* required them to do. After they lost, petitioners sought relief in federal court and asked the federal courts to consider the takings issues anew. 545 U.S., at 326. The District Court and Ninth Circuit, however, agreed that federal courts owed full faith and credit to the state courts’ judgments, and so refused to consider the takings claims *de novo*. *Id.*, at 327. This Court affirmed. *Id.*, at 347.

San Remo Hotel dooms plaintiffs’ efforts to obtain federal review of a federal constitutional claim even after the plaintiffs comply with *Williamson County*’s exhaustion requirement. The principles at work in those decisions serve as a “mechanism for keeping property owners out of federal court.” Berger & Kaner, Shell Game! You Can’t Get There From Here: Supreme Court Ripeness Jurisprudence in Takings Cases at Long Last Reaches the Self-parody Stage, 36 Urb. Law. 671, 687 (2004). “Once a property owner sues in state court, any attempt to follow *Williamson County*’s directive to *then* litigate the ‘ripened’ Fifth Amendment case in federal court is met by one or more of the preclusion doctrines and the case is summarily dismissed by giving ‘full faith and credit’ to the state court judgment.” *Ibid.* (footnote omitted). The rules thus operate to “ensur[e] that litigants who go to state court to seek compensation [under *William-*

son County] will likely be unable later to assert their federal takings claims in federal court.” *San Remo Hotel, supra*, at 351 (Rehnquist, C. J., concurring in judgment). “State courts thus get first bite at these actions under *Williamson County*—and they get the only bite under *San Remo*.” Bloom & Serkin, *Suing Courts*, 79 U. Chi. L. Rev. 553, 605 (2012).

Moreover, employing the rules announced in *Williamson County* and *San Remo Hotel*, clever state-government attorneys have rendered a nullity even the chance at review in *state court*. When a plaintiff files a suit in state court to exhaust his remedies as *Williamson County* instructs, state-government entities and officials may remove that suit to federal court under 28 U. S. C. § 1441. Once in federal court, some state defendants have moved to dismiss on the ground that “the plaintiff did not litigate first in the state court.” Berger, *supra*, at 673. And some federal judges have dismissed the claims, rather than remanding them. See, e. g., *Koscielski v. Minneapolis*, 435 F. 3d 898, 903 (CA8 2006) (approving of the dismissal of a removed takings claim for lack of finished state-court procedures). This gamesmanship leaves plaintiffs with *no court* in which to pursue their claims despite *Williamson County*’s assurance that property owners are guaranteed access to court at some point.

Along these lines, *Williamson County* has downgraded the protection afforded by the Takings Clause to second-class status. Plaintiffs alleging violations of other enumerated constitutional rights ordinarily may do so in federal court without first availing themselves of state court. But the same is not true for a Takings Clause plaintiff. The other “notable exception” is “for prisoner plaintiffs.” Samaha, *On Law’s Tiebreakers*, 77 U. Chi. L. Rev. 1661, 1722 (2010). We should consider overturning *Williamson County* because there is “no reason why the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment, as much a part of the Bill of Rights as the First Amendment or Fourth Amendment, should be relegated to the status of a poor relation.” *Dolan v. City of Tigard*, 512 U. S. 374, 392 (1994).

III

Finally, we should reconsider *Williamson County* because our attempts to ameliorate the effects of its state-litigation rule have spawned only more confusion in the lower courts. As early as 1992, the Court began to recast the state-litigation rule as a “prudential” rather than jurisdictional requirement. *Lucas v.*

South Carolina Coastal Council, 505 U.S. 1003, 1012, and n. 3 (1992); *Suitum v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency*, 520 U.S. 725, 733–734 (1997). We have also explained—in no uncertain terms—that the state-litigation rule is not “jurisdictional,” and have therefore determined that a plaintiff’s failure to exhaust state remedies was “waived” because neither party addressed the issue. *Stop the Beach Renourishment, Inc. v. Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection*, 560 U.S. 702, 729 (2010); see also *Horne v. Department of Agriculture*, 569 U.S. 513, 526 (2013) (explaining that the state-litigation rule is “not, strictly speaking, jurisdictional”); see also Crocker, Justifying a Prudential Solution to the *Williamson County* Ripeness Puzzle, 49 Ga. L. Rev. 163, 179–181 (2014) (noting that these cases have “put an end to th[e] [jurisdictional versus non-jurisdictional] debate by declaring the compensation prong non-jurisdictional and expressly endorsing the possibility of waiver or forfeiture”). Nevertheless, several Courts of Appeals continue to treat the *Williamson County* rule as a jurisdictional rule limiting the courts’ power to consider federal takings claims until the plaintiffs exhaust state-law remedies. See *Marek v. Rhode Island*, 702 F. 3d 650, 653–654 (CA1 2012) (explaining that a federal court cannot exercise “jurisdiction” over a “takings claim” until a plaintiff pursues state remedies); *Snaza v. St. Paul*, 548 F. 3d 1178, 1182 (CA8 2008) (rejecting plaintiff’s argument that the *Williamson County* doctrine was merely “prudential” and insisting that it was “jurisdictional”); *Busse v. Lee County*, 317 Fed. Appx. 968, 972 (CA11 2009) (holding that plaintiff’s takings claim was not ripe because he had not exhausted state remedies and concluding that the District Court therefore “did not err in finding that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction”); cf. *Perfect Puppy, Inc. v. East Providence*, 807 F. 3d 415, 421, n. 6 (CA1 2015) (recognizing the split). Even those courts that have cast the state-litigation rule as “prudential” are divided over whether the rule may be waived. Compare *Peters v. Clifton*, 498 F. 3d 727, 734 (CA7 2007) (holding that “[t]he prudential character of the *Williamson* requirements do not . . . give the lower federal courts license to disregard them”), with *Sansotta v. Nags Head*, 724 F. 3d 533, 545 (CA4 2013) (courts may “determine that in some instances, the rule should not apply”); *MHC Financing Ltd. Partnership v. San Rafael*, 714 F. 3d 1118, 1130 (CA9 2013) (same). In short, the Court’s efforts to bring clarity have failed. The quagmire that the Court has created in the lower courts

April 25, 2016

578 U. S.

is yet another reason to grant the petition. See this Court's Rule 10(a).

* * *

In the 30 years since the Court decided *Williamson County*, individual Justices have expressed grave doubts about the validity of that decision and have called for reconsideration. This case presents the opportunity to consider whether there are any justifications for the ahistorical, atextual, and anomalous state-litigation rule, and if not, to overrule *Williamson County*. I respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

No. 15–853. WETZEL, SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL. *v.* WASHINGTON. C. A. 3d Cir. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 160.

No. 15–991. GRYNBERG, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE ON BEHALF OF THE RACHEL SUSAN TRUST ET AL., ET AL. *v.* KINDER MORGAN ENERGY PARTNERS, L. P., ET AL. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE ALITO took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 901.

No. 15–7426. HARRIMON *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari before judgment denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

No. 15–8311. HAMILTON *v.* BIRD ET AL. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari before judgment denied.

No. 15–8341. HALE *v.* KING, SUPERINTENDENT, SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION, ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–6176. HOEVER *v.* PORTER, WARDEN, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1011;

No. 15–6370. JACKSON *v.* WHITE, ILLINOIS SECRETARY OF STATE, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1120;

No. 15–7154. RHODES *v.* BECKWITH, WARDEN, 577 U. S. 1089;

No. 15–7408. THOMAS *v.* SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ET AL. (two judgments), 577 U. S. 1154;

578 U. S.

April 25, 27, 28, 29, 2016

No. 15–7448. *CONSTANT v. UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICE*, 577 U. S. 1156;

No. 15–7493. *BARKSDALE v. MAHALLY, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT DALLAS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1157;

No. 15–7571. *HAMILTON v. BIRD ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1208; and

No. 15–8018. *IN RE BRACKEN*, 577 U. S. 1136. Petitions for rehearing denied.

APRIL 27, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–948. *MICHIGAN v. EDWARDS*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari dismissed under this Court’s Rule 46.

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–9107 (15A1116). *LUCAS v. CHATMAN, WARDEN*. Sup. Ct. Ga. Application for stay of execution of sentence of death, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court, denied. Certiorari denied.

APRIL 28, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–872. *EM LTD. ET AL. v. BANCO CENTRAL DE LA REPUBLICA ARGENTINA ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari dismissed under this Court’s Rule 46. Reported below: 800 F. 3d 78.

Miscellaneous Orders. (For the Court’s orders prescribing amendments to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, see *post*, p. 1031; amendments to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, see *post*, p. 1051; amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, see *post*, p. 1061; and amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, see *post*, p. 1067.)

APRIL 29, 2016

Miscellaneous Order

No. 15A999. *VEASEY ET AL. v. ABBOTT, GOVERNOR OF TEXAS, ET AL.* Application to vacate the stay entered by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit on October 14, 2014, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court,

April 29, May 2, 2016

578 U. S.

denied. The Court recognizes the time constraints the parties confront in light of the scheduled elections in November 2016. If, on or before July 20, 2016, the Court of Appeals has neither issued an opinion on the merits of the case nor issued an order vacating or modifying the current stay order, an aggrieved party may seek interim relief from this Court by filing an appropriate application. An aggrieved party may also seek interim relief if any change in circumstances before that date supports further arguments respecting the stay order.

MAY 2, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 15–7091. *JOHNSON v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Petition for rehearing granted. The order entered January 11, 2016, [577 U. S. 1087] denying petition for writ of certiorari is vacated. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Hurst v. Florida*, 577 U. S. 92 (2016).

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15A1016. *SIBLEY v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA*. D. C. D. C. Application for stay, addressed to JUSTICE THOMAS and referred to the Court, denied.

No. 15M112. *BURGESS v. REDDIX ET AL.* Motion to direct the Clerk to file petition for writ of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15–7719. *LASLIE v. CHICAGO TRANSIT AUTHORITY*. C. A. 7th Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1215] denied.

No. 15–8360. *FLEMING v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 1st Dist. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied. Petitioner is allowed until May 23, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–8915. *IN RE SARRAS*. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied.

578 U.S.

May 2, 2016

No. 15–8389. *IN RE GWANJUN KIM*. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of mandamus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8790. *IN RE ARCA RECLUSADO*. Petition for writ of prohibition denied.

Certiorari Granted

No. 15–927. *SCA HYGIENE PRODUCTS AKTIEBOLAG ET AL. v. FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS, LLC, ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari granted. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 1311.

No. 15–866. *STAR ATHLETICA, L. L. C. v. VARSITY BRANDS, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari granted limited to Question 1 presented by the petition. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 468.

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–949. *PLAMBECK ET AL. v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE CO. ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 665.

No. 15–952. *LUDLOW ET AL. v. BP, P. L. C., ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 800 F. 3d 674.

No. 15–958. *INTERNATIONAL FRANCHISE ASSN., INC., ET AL. v. CITY OF SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 389.

No. 15–968. *MALLARDS COVE, LLP v. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ET AL.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 2d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 159 So. 3d 927.

No. 15–971. *ALVES ET AL. v. BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF GEORGIA ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 1149.

No. 15–973. *COLE v. TOWN OF MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 102.

No. 15–999. *KNIGHT ET AL. v. THOMPSON ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 1289.

No. 15–1107. *HOWARD v. TRUSTMARK NATIONAL BANK.* Sup. Ct. Ala. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 224 So. 3d 156.

May 2, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1119. *ABREU-VELEZ v. BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF GEORGIA ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 888.

No. 15–1120. *HARRIS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 877.

No. 15–1125. *MARRUFO MORALES v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 727.

No. 15–1128. *CAGHAN v. CAGHAN ET AL.* Ct. App. Ohio, 5th App. Dist., Stark County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-1787.

No. 15–1180. *SHIRVELL v. MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL ET AL.* Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 308 Mich. App. 702, 866 N. W. 2d 478.

No. 15–1216. *ORR v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1226. *CALZADA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 185.

No. 15–7713. *YAN PING XU v. CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 612 Fed. Appx. 22.

No. 15–8013. *BURSE v. GOTTLIEB ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 852.

No. 15–8129. *NASH v. RUSSELL, WARDEN.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 892.

No. 15–8295. *GUTIERREZ v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 693.

No. 15–8317. *BRAZIEL v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 225.

No. 15–8322. *GRANT v. BALMIR ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

May 2, 2016

No. 15–8347. *HAYWOOD v. CHAMPAIGN COUNTY, ILLINOIS, ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 596 Fed. Appx. 512.

No. 15–8348. *HOPKINS v. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N. A.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 959.

No. 15–8349. *HANDY v. GIURBINO, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8354. *HORN v. LAFLE, WARDEN.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8356. *TAFFARO v. TAFFARO.* Super. Ct. N. J., App. Div. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8362. *STOKES v. CEBULA ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8364. *ROYAL v. CALIFORNIA.* Ct. App. Cal., 4th App. Dist., Div. 2. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8367. *WEATHERS v. LOUISIANA.* Ct. App. La., 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8374. *HOLT v. WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8376. *BOZEMAN v. JOHNSON ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 192 So. 3d 35.

No. 15–8377. *DEJESUS v. NEW YORK.* App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 1st Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 127 App. Div. 3d 589, 8 N. Y. S. 3d 111.

No. 15–8378. *FERRARO v. FLORIDA.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 149 So. 3d 1157.

No. 15–8379. *INTA v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8384. *MAGWOOD v. HABERSTADT ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 125.

No. 15–8385. *BOUVIER v. BUSH ET AL.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 2, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8387. *NELSON v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 206 So. 3d 54.

No. 15–8392. *MURITHI v. BUTLER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8394. *TAYLOR v. BLUE LICK APARTMENTS ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8395. *WATTS v. WAGGONER, SHERIFF, LEAKE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 245.

No. 15–8397. *RANKIN v. BRIAN LAVAN & ASSOCIATES, P. C., ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8398. *JEHOVAH v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* (two judgments). C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 169 (first judgment); 620 Fed. Appx. 202 (second judgment).

No. 15–8403. *LAGAITE v. BOLAND ET AL.* Ct. App. Tex., 7th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8405. *DOYLE v. NEVADA*. Sup. Ct. Nev. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 131 Nev. 1273.

No. 15–8407. *JAVIER GONZALES v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8414. *SIMMONS v. McLAUGHLIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8416. *JOHNSON v. ALLBAUGH, INTERIM DIRECTOR, OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 653.

No. 15–8426. *SHALLOW v. NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 626 Fed. Appx. 286.

No. 15–8429. *HURNS v. MISSISSIPPI*. Sup. Ct. Miss. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8431. *GRIMES v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 218 Md. App. 746.

578 U.S.

May 2, 2016

No. 15–8438. *BERNARD v. WOODS, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8440. *SENDEJO v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8443. *LONGORIA v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 9th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8446. *RUFFIN v. MEDLIN, WARDEN, ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Ga. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8468. *LORD v. INTERNATIONAL MARINE INSURANCE SERVICES ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 12.

No. 15–8479. *BEMORE v. DAVIS, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: ___ Fed. Appx. ___.

No. 15–8482. *THORNTON v. GEORGIA*. Super. Ct. Clayton County, Ga. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8483. *JOHNSON v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 728.

No. 15–8515. *MILLER v. ATLANTIC MUNICIPAL CORP.* App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8555. *PODLUCKY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8595. *BRYANT v. TENNESSEE*. Ct. Crim. App. Tenn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8612. *DAVIS v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 223 Md. App. 770.

No. 15–8622. *LUKASHOV v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8637. *WHITE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 810 F. 3d 212.

No. 15–8642. *BRANTLEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 1265.

No. 15–8645. *SESSOMS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 117.

May 2, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8659. *JONES v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8661. *JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8683. *PETTUS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 611 Fed. Appx. 961.

No. 15–8700. *BODDY v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 219.

No. 15–8703. *MARTINEZ-VILLESAS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 375.

No. 15–8707. *REYES-REYES v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 280.

No. 15–8711. *GARCIA-PAGAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 121.

No. 15–8715. *SHERMAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 104.

No. 15–8719. *DE JESUS SIERRA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 99.

No. 15–8723. *BRONSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 640 Fed. Appx. 607.

No. 15–8724. *NELSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8728. *LAWRENCE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 672.

No. 15–8730. *THORNTON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 736.

No. 15–8738. *PITTMAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8750. *WETZEL-SANDERS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1266.

No. 15–8760. *ANDERSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 872.

578 U.S.

May 2, 2016

No. 15–8761. *BOWENS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 91.

No. 15–525. *POM WONDERFUL, LLC, ET AL. v. FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE BREYER took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 777 F. 3d 478.

No. 15–905. *WARREN, WARDEN v. GARCIA-DORANTES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 584.

No. 15–910. *BIROS v. KANE, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.* Allegheny County Ct., Pa. Motion of respondents for leave to file brief in opposition under seal granted. Motion of petitioner for leave to file reply brief under seal granted. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8119. *BOYER v. DAVIS, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 793 F. 3d 1092.

JUSTICE BREYER, dissenting.

Richard Boyer was initially sentenced to death 32 years ago. He now asks us to consider whether the Eighth Amendment allows a State to keep a prisoner incarcerated under threat of execution for so long. Boyer’s first trial ended with a mistrial after his jury was unable to reach a verdict. Brief in Opposition 1. Boyer’s second trial, in 1984, yielded a conviction and capital sentence that the California Supreme Court reversed on the ground that police officers had obtained evidence by violating his constitutional rights. *Ibid.*; see *Boyer v. Chappell*, 793 F. 3d 1092, 1094, n. 1 (CA9 2015). Boyer’s third trial took place in 1992 and took 14 years to wend its way through California’s appellate process. *Id.*, at 1097. In all, 22 years elapsed between his first trial and our denial of his petition for certiorari on direct appeal. See *Boyer v. California*, 549 U.S. 1021 (2006). Since then, 10 more years have elapsed.

These delays are the result of a system that the California Commission on the Fair Administration of Justice (Commission), an arm of the State of California, see Cal. S. Res. 44 (2004), has labeled “dysfunctional.” Report and Recommendations on the Administration of the Death Penalty in California 6 (2008). Eight years ago, the Commission wrote that more than 10 percent of

May 2, 2016

578 U. S.

the capital sentences issued in California since 1978 had been reversed. See *id.*, at 20. It noted that many prisoners had died of natural causes before their sentences were carried out, and more California death row inmates had committed suicide than had been executed by the State. *Ibid.* Indeed, only a small, apparently random set of death row inmates had been executed. See *ibid.* A vast and growing majority remained incarcerated, like Boyer, on death row under a threat of execution for ever longer periods of time. See *id.*, at 19–20. The Commission added that California’s death penalty system was expensive, with its system for capital cases costing more than 10 times what the Commission estimated the cost would be for a system that substituted the death penalty with life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. *Id.*, at 83–84.

Put simply, California’s costly “administration of the death penalty” likely embodies “three fundamental constitutional defects” about which I have previously written: “(1) serious unreliability, (2) arbitrariness in application, and (3) unconscionably long delays that undermine the death penalty’s penological purpose.” *Glossip v. Gross*, 576 U. S. 863, 909 (2015) (BREYER, J., dissenting); see *Lackey v. Texas*, 514 U. S. 1045 (1995) (memorandum of Stevens, J., respecting denial of certiorari); see also *Valle v. Florida*, 564 U. S. 1067 (2011) (BREYER, J., dissenting from denial of stay); *Knight v. Florida*, 528 U. S. 990, 993 (1999) (BREYER, J., dissenting from denial of certiorari).

For these reasons, I respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

No. 15–8368. *YOULD v. YOULD*. Sup. Ct. Alaska. Motion of Paul Stanley Holdorf et al. for leave to file brief as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8690. *JACKSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 978.

Rehearing Granted. (See No. 15–7091, *supra.*)

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–6487. *GONZALES v. UTAH*, 577 U. S. 991;

No. 15–7197. *JOHNSON v. INTERNATIONAL UNION, UAW, AFL–CIO, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1148;

578 U.S.

May 2, 6, 11, 2016

No. 15–7211. *MAYFIELD v. CASSADY*, SUPERINTENDENT, JEFFERSON CITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER, 577 U.S. 1149;

No. 15–7293. *TORRES, AKA MUHAMMAD v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, 577 U.S. 1151;

No. 15–7298. *MARSHALL v. CRUTCHFIELD*, WARDEN, 577 U.S. 1152;

No. 15–7349. *YOUNG v. STEPHENS*, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, 577 U.S. 1220;

No. 15–7513. *DULCIE v. GUARDIAN TRANSFER STORAGE CO., INC.*, 577 U.S. 1158;

No. 15–7534. *AUSTIN v. FLORIDA*, 577 U.S. 1160;

No. 15–7567. *COOK v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U.S. 1161;

No. 15–7593. *OTYANG v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, ET AL.*, 577 U.S. 1196;

No. 15–7837. *BROWN v. MANSUKHANI*, WARDEN, 577 U.S. 1198;

No. 15–7914. *LORDMASTER, FKA GOLDADER v. DAVIS*, MAGISTRATE JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA, ET AL., 577 U.S. 1224;

No. 15–7970. *ESTRADA v. GOODEN ET AL.*, 577 U.S. 1225; and

No. 15–8059. *IN RE COATES*, 577 U.S. 1136. Petitions for rehearing denied.

MAY 6, 2016

Miscellaneous Order

No. 15A1060 (15–404). *WESTON EDUCATIONAL, INC., DBA HERITAGE COLLEGE v. UNITED STATES EX REL. MILLER ET AL.* Application for stay, presented to JUSTICE ALITO, and by him referred to the Court, granted, and the mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit in case No. 14–1760 is recalled and stayed pending disposition of the petition for writ of certiorari. Should the petition for writ of certiorari be denied, this stay shall terminate automatically. In the event the petition for writ of certiorari is granted, the stay shall terminate upon the issuance of the judgment of this Court.

MAY 11, 2016

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–9000 (15A1080). *FORREST v. GRIFFITH*, WARDEN. Sup. Ct. Mo. Application for stay of execution of sentence of death,

May 11, 12, 16, 2016

578 U. S.

presented to JUSTICE ALITO, and by him referred to the Court, denied. Certiorari denied.

MAY 12, 2016

Miscellaneous Order

No. 15A1175. DUNN, COMMISSIONER, ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS *v.* MADISON. Application to vacate the stay of execution of sentence of death entered by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit on May 12, 2016, presented to JUSTICE THOMAS, and by him referred to the Court, denied. THE CHIEF JUSTICE, JUSTICE KENNEDY, JUSTICE THOMAS, and JUSTICE ALITO would grant the application to vacate the stay of execution.

MAY 16, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 15–774. BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. *v.* DORDT COLLEGE ET AL. C. A. 8th Cir. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 946; and

No. 15–775. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ET AL. *v.* CNS INTERNATIONAL MINISTRIES ET AL. C. A. 8th Cir. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 927. Certiorari granted, judgments vacated, and cases remanded in light of *Zubik v. Burwell*, ante, p. 403 (*per curiam*). Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, is to affect the ability of the Government to ensure that women covered by respondents' health plans "obtain, without cost, the full range of Food and Drug Administration approved contraceptives." *Wheaton College v. Burwell*, 573 U. S. 958, 959 (2014). Through this litigation, respondents have made the Government aware of their view that they meet "the requirements for exemption from the contraceptive coverage requirement on religious grounds." *Ibid.* Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, "precludes the Government from relying on this notice, to the extent it considers it necessary, to facilitate the provision of full contraceptive coverage" going forward. 573 U. S., at 959. Because the Government may rely on this notice, the Government may not impose taxes or penalties on respondents for failure to provide the relevant notice. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE GINSBURG joins, concurs in the decision to grant, vacate,

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

and remand in these cases for the reasons expressed in *Zubik v. Burwell*, *ante*, p. 403 (SOTOMAYOR, J., concurring).

No. 15–812. UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 7th Cir. Reported below: 786 F. 3d 606;

No. 15–834. UNIVERSITY OF DALLAS ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir. Reported below: 793 F. 3d 449;

No. 15–1003. DIOCESE OF FORT WAYNE-SOUTH BEND, INC., ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 7th Cir. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 788; and

No. 15–1004. GRACE SCHOOLS ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 7th Cir. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 788. Certiorari granted, judgments vacated, and cases remanded in light of *Zubik v. Burwell*, *ante*, p. 403 (*per curiam*). Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, is to affect the ability of the Government to ensure that women covered by petitioners' health plans "obtain, without cost, the full range of Food and Drug Administration approved contraceptives." *Wheaton College v. Burwell*, 573 U. S. 958, 959 (2014). Through this litigation, petitioners have made the Government aware of their view that they meet "the requirements for exemption from the contraceptive coverage requirement on religious grounds." *Ibid.* Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, "precludes the Government from relying on this notice, to the extent it considers it necessary, to facilitate the provision of full contraceptive coverage" going forward. 573 U. S., at 959. Because the Government may rely on this notice, the Government may not impose taxes or penalties on petitioners for failure to provide the relevant notice. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE GINSBURG joins, concurs in the decision to grant, vacate, and remand in these cases for the reasons expressed in *Zubik v. Burwell*, *ante*, p. 403 (SOTOMAYOR, J., concurring).

Certiorari Granted—Reversed. (See No. 15–833, *ante*, p. 412.)

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8498. JOHNSON *v.* EPPS, COMMISSIONER, MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. Sup. Ct. Miss. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certio-

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

rari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

No. 15–8626. *REDDY v. GILBERT MEDICAL TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8848. *VENTURA-VERA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition.

No. 15–8871. *ASKEW v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 3d Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8884. *JEEP v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8894. *POLK v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 6th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court's Rule 39.8. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15A975. *ADETILOYE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Application for stay, addressed to JUSTICE THOMAS and referred to the Court, denied.

No. D–2887. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF MICHAEL.* Ronald Dale Michael, of Booneville, Miss., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. D-2888. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF NAEGELE. Timothy Duncan Naegele, of Malibu, Cal., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2889. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF ADLER. Jack Israel Adler, of Moreno Valley, Cal., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2890. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF WARNER. James Joseph Warner, of San Diego, Cal., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2891. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF ALARI. Stanley Alari, of Nevada City, Cal., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2892. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF MASTRONARDI. Janet Anthony Mastronardi, of East Greenwich, R. I., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2893. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF CLAIR. Jerome Edward Clair, of Ft. Lauderdale, Fla., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2894. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF SEEGER. David J. Seeger, of Buffalo, N. Y., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2895. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF HUFF. Raymond L. Huff, of Peoria, Ill., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court,

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2896. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF MORAN*. Edmund Benedict Moran, Jr., of Evanston, Ill., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2897. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF PETERS-HAMLIN*. Kristan L. Peters-Hamlin, of Westport, Conn., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2898. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF SILVER*. Sheldon Silver, of New York, N. Y., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2899. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF KERNS*. Robert J. Kerns, of North Wales, Pa., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2900. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF TERRY*. Steven James Terry, of Cleveland, Ohio, is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2901. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF TOWERY*. Lynn Gaines Towery, of Plano, Tex., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2902. *IN RE DISCIPLINE OF HARRIS*. Richard T. Harris, of Rego Park, N. Y., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. D-2903. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF TAGUPA. William E. H. Tagupa, of Honolulu, Haw., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. D-2904. IN RE DISCIPLINE OF HAYES. Frederick B. Hayes III, of Boston, Mass., is suspended from the practice of law in this Court, and a rule will issue, returnable within 40 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

No. 15M113. V. E. *v.* MAINE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ET AL. Motion for leave to file petition for writ of certiorari under seal granted.

No. 15M114. HEATHER S. *v.* CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* with declaration of indigency under seal granted.

No. 15M115. WASHINGTON *v.* UNITED STATES ET AL.;

No. 15M116. VALENZUELA, FKA MENDEZ *v.* BYASSE ET AL.;
and

No. 15M117. WILSON *v.* KENT, WARDEN. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15-1044. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AGENCY *v.* PELE. C. A. 4th Cir.; and

No. 15-1045. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AGENCY *v.* UNITED STATES EX REL. OBERG. C. A. 4th Cir. The Solicitor General is invited to file briefs in these cases expressing the views of the United States.

No. 15-7364. WILLIAMS *v.* JAMES ET AL. C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1130] denied.

No. 15-7812. ULLAH *v.* WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A. C. A. 2d Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1234] denied.

No. 15-8276. REED *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [577 U. S. 1234] denied.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8962. HARRISON, AKA GREEN *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied. Petitioner is allowed until June 6, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–8986. IN RE SANCHEZ. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied.

No. 15–9006. IN RE GOIST. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of habeas corpus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

No. 15–8456. IN RE RAHMAN;

No. 15–8610. IN RE SHAH; and

No. 15–8797. IN RE HAHN. Petitions for writs of mandamus denied.

No. 15–8441. IN RE GREENE. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and petition for writ of mandamus dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–816. SMITH *v.* ATTOCKNIE ET AL. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 1252.

No. 15–859. CHADD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF BOARDMAN, DECEASED *v.* UNITED STATES ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 1104.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–863. *HODGE v. TALKIN, MARSHAL, SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 1145.

No. 15–868. *CITY OF HOUSTON, TEXAS v. ZAMORA.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 326.

No. 15–900. *GUPTA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 21.

No. 15–933. *EXXON MOBIL CORP. ET AL. v. NEW HAMPSHIRE.* Sup. Ct. N. H. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 168 N. H. 211, 126 A. 3d 266.

No. 15–995. *LAZZO ET AL. v. ROSE HILL BANK ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 808 F. 3d 1215.

No. 15–1006. *VAWTER ET AL. v. ABERNATHY, COMMISSIONER, INDIANA BUREAU OF MOTOR VEHICLES.* Sup. Ct. Ind. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 45 N. E. 3d 1200.

No. 15–1009. *MAIER v. WISCONSIN.* Ct. App. Wis. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2014 WI App 71, 354 Wis. 2d 623, 848 N. W. 2d 904.

No. 15–1013. *PHILIP MORRIS USA INC. v. SCHWARZ, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SCHWARZ.* Ct. App. Ore. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 272 Ore. App. 268, 355 P. 3d 931.

No. 15–1090. *WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN, ET AL. v. BIBLE BELIEVERS ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 228.

No. 15–1094. *EVANS v. PITT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 636.

No. 15–1105. *ROGERS ET AL. v. ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF BOSTON.* App. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 88 Mass. App. 519, 39 N. E. 3d 736.

No. 15–1109. *CLARK v. COUNTY OF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 79.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1110. *GREENE ET AL. v. DAYTON, GOVERNOR OF MINNESOTA, ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 1146.

No. 15–1113. *MOORE v. PEDERSON.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 1036.

No. 15–1122. *AMERIJET INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 744.

No. 15–1123. *BIRO v. CONDE NAST ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 541 and 622 Fed. Appx. 67.

No. 15–1126. *TELFORD, FKA LUNDAHL v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 608 Fed. Appx. 445.

No. 15–1132. *JARVIS ET AL. v. VILLAGE GUN SHOP, INC., DBA VILLAGE VAULT.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1.

No. 15–1135. *EAGLE US 2 L. L. C. v. ABRAHAM ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1137. *ZIMMECK v. MARSHALL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF GOVERNORS.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 117.

No. 15–1148. *ABDULLA v. EMBASSY OF THE REPUBLIC OF IRAQ IN WASHINGTON, D. C.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1154. *CLAYTON v. FORRESTER ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1159. *DOE ET AL. v. EAST LYME BOARD OF EDUCATION.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 790 F. 3d 440.

No. 15–1162. *HAMMANN v. SEXTON LOFTS, LLC, ET AL.; and HAMMANN v. IVY TOWER MINNEAPOLIS, LLC, ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1172. *DRINKARD v. FLORIDA.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 177 So. 3d 993.

578 U.S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–1183. *HAROLD v. CARRICK ET AL.* Ct. App. Tex., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1188. *AZAM v. U. S. BANK N. A.* (two judgments). C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1196. *BARON v. VOGEL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1202. *SULLIVAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 286.

No. 15–1214. *THOMAS v. OHIO.* Ct. App. Ohio, 9th App. Dist., Summit County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-2935.

No. 15–1219. *RIFFIN v. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1227. *KAPLAN v. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 585.

No. 15–1230. *BOOK v. CONNECTICUT.* App. Ct. Conn. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 155 Conn. App. 560, 109 A. 3d 1027.

No. 15–1237. *SIMKIN v. SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT OF MASSACHUSETTS.* Sup. Jud. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 471 Mass. 1013, 28 N. E. 3d 1171.

No. 15–1241. *NICHOLSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 40.

No. 15–1253. *BROWN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 371.

No. 15–1255. *DOHERTY v. NELLIS ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 307.

No. 15–1260. *VARGAS v. MURPHY, ACTING SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 213.

No. 15–1261. *MONTGOMERY v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 666.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1277. *DONALDSON v. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 108.

No. 15–1282. *BORER v. LEW, SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 611 Fed. Appx. 427.

No. 15–1287. *MACALPINE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 602 Fed. Appx. 928.

No. 15–1290. *WILEY M. ELICK D. D. S., INC., ET AL. v. COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 609.

No. 15–6181. *FAISON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 547 Fed. Appx. 88.

No. 15–6719. *FULLER v. WALTON, WARDEN.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–6793. *TORRES v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 1053.

No. 15–6875. *CALHOUN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 1251.

No. 15–7087. *HOUSTON v. UTAH.* Sup. Ct. Utah. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 UT 40, 353 P. 3d 55.

No. 15–7092. *OLSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 1173.

No. 15–7313. *BELL v. TENNESSEE.* Sup. Ct. Tenn. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 480 S. W. 3d 486.

No. 15–7360. *PRECIADO-DELACRUZ v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 508.

No. 15–7432. *SANTANA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 635.

No. 15–7490. *WILSON v. COLORADO.* Sup. Ct. Colo. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 351 P. 3d 1126.

No. 15–7669. *MCPHEARSON v. BENOVA, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 613 Fed. Appx. 645.

578 U.S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–7733. *SPARKS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 1323.

No. 15–7931. *COX v. MISSISSIPPI*. Sup. Ct. Miss. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 183 So. 3d 36.

No. 15–7967. *MONJE-RAMIREZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 477.

No. 15–8071. *BOYD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 183.

No. 15–8087. *WILLIAMS v. MORRIS ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 614 Fed. Appx. 773.

No. 15–8135. *DRUMMOND v. ROBINSON, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 400.

No. 15–8145. *AZMAT v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1018.

No. 15–8187. *SLOCUM v. UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 992.

No. 15–8277. *WILLIAMS v. WEBB LAW FIRM, P. C.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 836.

No. 15–8283. *MICHAEL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 146.

No. 15–8396. *HANSON v. SHERROD, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 810.

No. 15–8412. *DEAN ET AL. v. KEEL*. Sup. Ct. S. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8421. *METTLE v. METTLE*. Sup. Ct. Wash. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 184 Wash. 2d 1002, 357 P. 3d 665.

No. 15–8444. *JACKSON v. FLORIDA*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 180 So. 3d 938.

No. 15–8447. *MARSHALL v. CAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 202.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8457. *RODRIGUEZ v. WENEROWICZ*, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT GRATERFORD, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8462. *VANDERHOOF v. OHIO*. Ct. App. Ohio, 11th App. Dist., Lake County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-2198.

No. 15–8466. *JONES v. MOORE*, WARDEN. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 306.

No. 15–8470. *LEWIS v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 223 Md. App. 775.

No. 15–8472. *SONIAT v. JACKSON ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 292.

No. 15–8475. *MIXON v. NEW YORK*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 4th Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 129 App. Div. 3d 1509, 10 N. Y. S. 3d 779.

No. 15–8476. *BROWNLEE v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8485. *JORDAN v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (3d) 120756–U.

No. 15–8486. *JOSEPH v. BETH ISRAEL MEDICAL CENTER*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8487. *LEWIS v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 224 Md. App. 726.

No. 15–8488. *MASSEY v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 7th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8489. *JACKSON v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8491. *WILSON v. NEW JERSEY*. Super. Ct. N. J., App. Div. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8492. *WASHINGTON v. KELLEY*, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8493. *OAKMAN v. PENNSYLVANIA*. Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 125 A. 3d 447.

578 U.S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8494. *RODRIGUEZ v. ARIZONA*. Ct. App. Ariz. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8495. *MATLOCK v. REISER, WARDEN*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8496. *KERNS v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 186.

No. 15–8499. *LISLE v. NEVADA*. Sup. Ct. Nev. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 131 Nev. 356, 351 P. 3d 725.

No. 15–8502. *BORGES v. NEW YORK*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 2d Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 130 App. Div. 3d 1057, 15 N. Y. S. 3d 378.

No. 15–8504. *YOUNG v. MADDEN, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 632.

No. 15–8509. *ROBERTS v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 192 So. 3d 41.

No. 15–8517. *SMITH v. BOLAVA, DEPUTY WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 683.

No. 15–8523. *ROSEVEAR v. UNITED STATES*. Ct. App. Cal., 1st App. Dist., Div. 4. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8526. *BLAKE v. FLORIDA ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 180 So. 3d 89.

No. 15–8527. *MESA v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8529. *MODRALL v. O’ROURKE*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8532. *DAVIS v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8533. *FORCHION v. NEW JERSEY*. Super. Ct. N. J., App. Div. Certiorari denied.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8534. *HERRIOTT v. HERRIOTT*. Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 8. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8547. *RUCKER v. CALIFORNIA*. Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 7. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8549. *MORRISON v. PETERSON*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 1059.

No. 15–8550. *HUNTER v. PEPSICO, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 445.

No. 15–8554. *McKAUFMAN v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 3d Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 179 So. 3d 333.

No. 15–8560. *ROBINSON v. BREWER, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8572. *WITHERSPOON v. BURTON, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8573. *JACKSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 460.

No. 15–8596. *AVALOS v. SHERMAN, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8615. *THOMPSON, AKA CODY v. OHIO*. Ct. App. Ohio, 8th App. Dist., Cuyahoga County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-2261, 34 N. E. 3d 189.

No. 15–8620. *CALLE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 620 Fed. Appx. 839.

No. 15–8624. *PONDS v. KANSAS*. Ct. App. Kan. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 51 Kan. App. 2d xxxiv, 340 P. 3d 1236.

No. 15–8630. *MACURDY v. BLUE SKY CONDOMINIUM HOMEOWNERS ASSN., INC.* Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8631. *JONES v. FLORIDA PAROLE BOARD ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8636. *TOBKIN v. CALDERIN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 822.

No. 15–8648. *KHAN v. UNITED STATES ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 808 F. 3d 1169.

578 U.S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8656. SAUCEDO LOPEZ *v.* BAKER, WARDEN, ET AL. Sup. Ct. Nev. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 131 Nev. 1314.

No. 15–8662. WILLIAMS *v.* MICHIGAN. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8669. WILSON *v.* JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8681. MORALES *v.* LEWIS, WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8689. KRUG *v.* CASTRO. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 387.

No. 15–8691. KINKLE *v.* COLVIN, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 863.

No. 15–8692. BACHYNSKI *v.* STEWART, WARDEN. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 813 F. 3d 241.

No. 15–8705. DAVIS *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 834.

No. 15–8708. SIERRA-JAIMEs *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 228.

No. 15–8714. WALTON *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 911.

No. 15–8718. SCOTT *v.* KELLEY, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8721. SPELLMAN *v.* TRITT, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT FRACKVILLE, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8729. TOMLIN *v.* WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES. Ct. App. Wash. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8732. PERRY *v.* HOLLOWAY, WARDEN. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8736. *MOSES v. EAGLETON, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 300.

No. 15–8739. *WARREN v. APKER, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 443.

No. 15–8740. *WINNINGHAM v. WILLIAMS ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8743. *MCCAULEY v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 590.

No. 15–8748. *GARCIA v. JOHNSON, ADMINISTRATOR, NEW JERSEY STATE PRISON.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8752. *YAZZIE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 703.

No. 15–8754. *FOSTER v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 570 Fed. Appx. 322.

No. 15–8757. *ANDERSON v. UTAH.* Sup. Ct. Utah. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 UT 90, 362 P. 3d 1232.

No. 15–8765. *MONTGOMERY v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 127.

No. 15–8767. *SEABRIDGE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 258.

No. 15–8774. *HAWKINS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 843.

No. 15–8780. *ALVIRA-SANCHEZ v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 488.

No. 15–8781. *CALLEN v. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8783. *EVANS v. UNITED STATES.* Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8788. *NAILS v. SLUSHER.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 625.

No. 15–8793. *MCDONALD v. PENNSYLVANIA.* Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 125 A. 3d 464.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8794. *HUDSON v. TARNOW*, JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8795. *HAGER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 609 Fed. Appx. 355.

No. 15–8796. *GRIGSBY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 696.

No. 15–8799. *WILDER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 653.

No. 15–8800. *WARD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 189.

No. 15–8801. *WIDNER v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 183 So. 3d 365.

No. 15–8805. *MARQUEZ-APODACA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 609 Fed. Appx. 230.

No. 15–8810. *SHORTY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 524.

No. 15–8811. *CANNON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 30.

No. 15–8812. *CLARK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 206.

No. 15–8813. *BRADLEY v. UNITED STATES*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 132 A. 3d 1177.

No. 15–8814. *CHANEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 492.

No. 15–8816. *SERMENO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8818. *JACKSON v. MASSACHUSETTS*. App. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 88 Mass. App. 1104, 37 N. E. 3d 688.

No. 15–8819. *LIEDKE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8821. *MANN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8822. *SHEIKH v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 181 So. 3d 569.

No. 15–8824. *WALJI v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8837. *JACK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 858.

No. 15–8838. *PADILLA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8841. *MORENO-GODOY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8843. *THORPE v. NEW JERSEY ET AL.* Super. Ct. N. J., App. Div. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8845. *WOOLRIDGE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 374.

No. 15–8846. *THOMAS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 270.

No. 15–8852. *CARMICHAEL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 196.

No. 15–8856. *ASCENCIO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8858. *COCHRAN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 766 F. 3d 672.

No. 15–8860. *BENTLEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8861. *BLANK v. BELL*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 445.

No. 15–8863. *MORRIS v. FEATHER, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8867. *GARCIA-LOPEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 834.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8868. *SMITH v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 672.

No. 15–8870. *BLANK v. ROBINSON*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8873. *LOMAX v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 816 F. 3d 468.

No. 15–8874. *DECOLOGERO ET AL. v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 155.

No. 15–8876. *MACK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 443.

No. 15–8879. *MUNIZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 65.

No. 15–8881. *STIRLING v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 739.

No. 15–8885. *BROWN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 818.

No. 15–8886. *BROADNAX v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 335.

No. 15–8887. *BLANC v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 860.

No. 15–8895. *LAGOS-MEDINA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 276.

No. 15–8898. *WALLACE v. ISRAEL, SHERIFF, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8907. *WHITE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 890.

No. 15–8909. *BIRD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 207.

No. 15–8911. *ABDUR-RAHIIM v. HOLLAND, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8917. *RAMOS-RODRIGUEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 817.

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8918. *LAZARO RODRIGUEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8919. *RAMOS-PINEIRO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 532.

No. 15–8921. *LYNN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8925. *ROMANO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 56.

No. 15–8926. *BARLOW v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 811 F. 3d 133.

No. 15–8927. *COLLIER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8928. *ALLEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 311.

No. 15–8941. *STRICKLAND v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8943. *PINEDA-GOIGOCHEA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 640 Fed. Appx. 851.

No. 15–8947. *MALADY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 329.

No. 15–8948. *PRESLEY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 645 Fed. Appx. 934.

No. 15–8949. *WALKER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 810 F. 3d 568.

No. 15–8952. *STINSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8960. *FREE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8961. *FLEETWOOD v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 874.

No. 15–8963. *GRACIANI-FEBUS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 800 F. 3d 48.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8973. XIAO-PING SU *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 635.

No. 15–8977. DEERING BEY, AKA WILLIAMS *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8978. CHARLES *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 855.

No. 15–8996. WILLIAMS *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 643 Fed. Appx. 933.

No. 15–861. UNITED STUDENT AID FUNDS, INC. *v.* BIBLE. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 633.

JUSTICE THOMAS, dissenting.

This petition asks the Court to overrule *Auer v. Robbins*, 519 U. S. 452 (1997), and *Bowles v. Seminole Rock & Sand Co.*, 325 U. S. 410 (1945). For the reasons set forth in my opinion concurring in the judgment in *Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Assn.*, 575 U. S. 92, 112 (2015), that question is worthy of review.

The doctrine of *Seminole Rock* deference (or, as it is sometimes called, *Auer* deference) permits courts to defer to an agency’s interpretation of its own regulation “unless that interpretation is plainly erroneous or inconsistent with the regulation.” *Decker v. Northwest Environmental Defense Center*, 568 U. S. 597, 613 (2013) (internal quotation marks omitted). Courts will defer even when the agency’s interpretation is not “the only possible reading of a regulation—or even the best one.” *Ibid.*

Any reader of this Court’s opinions should think that the doctrine is on its last gasp. Members of this Court have repeatedly called for its reconsideration in an appropriate case. See *Mortgage Bankers*, 575 U. S., at 107 (ALITO, J., concurring); *id.*, at 108 (Scalia, J., concurring in judgment); *id.*, at 112 (THOMAS, J., concurring in judgment); *Decker*, 568 U. S., at 615–616 (ROBERTS, C. J., concurring); *id.*, at 617–621 (Scalia, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part); *Talk America, Inc. v. Michigan Bell Telephone Co.*, 564 U. S. 50, 68–69 (2011) (Scalia, J., concurring); see also *Christopher v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.*, 567 U. S. 142, 155–159 (2012) (refusing to defer under *Auer*). And rightly so. The doctrine has metastasized, see Knudsen & Wildermuth, *Unearthing the Lost History of Seminole Rock*, 65 Emory L. J. 47, 54–68 (2015) (discussing *Seminole Rock*’s humble origins), and

May 16, 2016

578 U. S.

today “amounts to a transfer of the judge’s exercise of interpretive judgment to the agency,” *Mortgage Bankers, supra*, at 124 (opinion of THOMAS, J.). “Enough is enough.” *Decker, supra*, at 616 (opinion of Scalia, J.).

This case is emblematic of the failings of *Seminole Rock* deference. Here, the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit deferred to the Department of Education’s interpretation of the regulatory scheme it enforces—an interpretation set forth in an *amicus* brief that the Department filed at the invitation of the Seventh Circuit. For the reasons stated in Judge Manion’s partial dissent, 799 F. 3d 633, 663–676 (2015), the Department’s interpretation is not only at odds with the regulatory scheme but also defies ordinary English. More broadly, by deferring to an agency’s litigating position under the guise of *Seminole Rock*, courts force regulated entities like petitioner here to “divine the agency’s interpretations in advance,” lest they “be held liable when the agency announces its interpretations for the first time” in litigation. *Christopher, supra*, at 159. By enabling an agency to enact “vague rules” and then to invoke *Seminole Rock* to “do what it pleases” in later litigation, the agency (with the judicial branch as its co-conspirator) “frustrates the notice and predictability purposes of rulemaking, and promotes arbitrary government.” *Talk America, Inc., supra*, at 69 (Scalia, J., concurring).

This is the appropriate case in which to reevaluate *Seminole Rock* and *Auer*. But the Court chooses to sit idly by, content to let “[h]e who writes a law” also “adjudge its violation.” *Decker, supra*, at 621 (opinion of Scalia, J.). I respectfully dissent from the denial of certiorari.

No. 15–1037. BYRNE, WARDEN, ET AL. *v.* SAMPSON. C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 477.

No. 15–1146. LEE *v.* FAIRFAX COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD ET AL. C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of Camden County East Branch of the NAACP et al. for leave to file brief as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 761.

No. 15–1236. JOLLEY *v.* MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD ET AL. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 567.

578 U. S.

May 16, 2016

No. 15–8896. OHAYON *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE BREYER took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 617 Fed. Appx. 830.

Rehearing Denied

- No. 14–9806. COOK *v.* CASHLER ET AL., 577 U. S. 837;
No. 15–788. MARGELIS *v.* INDYMAC BANK ET AL., 577 U. S. 1141;
No. 15–794. WALKER *v.* WALKER, 577 U. S. 1141;
No. 15–904. AARON *v.* ALABAMA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD ET AL., 577 U. S. 1144;
No. 15–6840. THOMAS ET UX. *v.* CHATTAHOOCHEE JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ET AL., 577 U. S. 1219;
No. 15–7139. SELDEN *v.* JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, 577 U. S. 1147;
No. 15–7153. SUTEERACHANON *v.* McDONALD’S RESTAURANTS OF MARYLAND, INC., 577 U. S. 1195;
No. 15–7234. CRUDUP *v.* ENGLEHART ET AL., 577 U. S. 1149;
No. 15–7256. COLE *v.* STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, 577 U. S. 1150;
No. 15–7356. SPEAR *v.* KIRKLAND ET AL., 577 U. S. 1153;
No. 15–7375. KELLY *v.* STREETER, 577 U. S. 1154;
No. 15–7467. GOUCH-ONASSIS *v.* CALIFORNIA, 577 U. S. 1156;
No. 15–7472. EPSHTEYN *v.* COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PENNSYLVANIA, DELAWARE COUNTY, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1157;
No. 15–7613. ENRIQUEZ SANCHEZ *v.* STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, 577 U. S. 1197;
No. 15–7708. BROWN *v.* LAZAROFF, WARDEN, 577 U. S. 1221;
No. 15–7730. SCHMITT *v.* TEXAS, 577 U. S. 1222;
No. 15–7736. TAYLOR *v.* NEW YORK, 577 U. S. 1222;
No. 15–7742. RUNNELS *v.* McDOWELL, WARDEN, 577 U. S. 1230;
No. 15–7748. STURGIS *v.* MICHIGAN, 577 U. S. 1222;
No. 15–7753. SMITH *v.* MISSOURI ET AL., 577 U. S. 1223;
No. 15–7775. REILLY *v.* HERRERA ET AL., 577 U. S. 1223;
No. 15–7781. WOOD *v.* PIERCE, WARDEN, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1223;
No. 15–7861. STEWART *v.* UNITED STATES, 577 U. S. 1224;
No. 15–7990. KENNEDY *v.* UNITED STATES, 577 U. S. 1201;

May 16, 19, 23, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8007. *CURRY v. SOUTH CAROLINA*, *ante*, p. 910;
No. 15–8014. *BAMDAD v. DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1225;
No. 15–8067. *PETERSON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1225;
No. 15–8082. *SIMMONS v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1226;
No. 15–8115. *DAVIS v. COMCAST CORP., INC., ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1202;
No. 15–8142. *MELOT v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1239; and
No. 15–8146. *BIGELOW v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1227. Petitions for rehearing denied.

MAY 19, 2016

Dismissal Under Rule 46

No. 15–791. *DOW CHEMICAL CO. ET AL. v. COOK ET AL.*; and
No. 15–911. *COOK ET AL. v. DOW CHEMICAL CO. ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari dismissed under this Court’s Rule 46.1. Reported below: 790 F. 3d 1088.

MAY 23, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 15–1. *JOHNSON v. MANIS, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir.* Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016). Reported below: 780 F. 3d 219.

JUSTICE THOMAS, with whom JUSTICE ALITO joins, concurring.

The Court has held the petition in this and many other cases pending the decision in *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016). In holding this petition and now vacating and remanding the judgment below, the Court has not assessed whether petitioner’s asserted entitlement to retroactive relief “is properly presented in the case.” *Id.*, at 205. On remand, courts should understand that the Court’s disposition of this petition does not reflect any view regarding petitioner’s entitlement to relief. The Court’s disposition does not, for example, address whether an adequate and independent state ground bars relief, whether peti-

*[REPORTER’S NOTE: For opinions of JUSTICE ALITO and JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR concurring in this case, see No. 15–6289, *infra*, p. 994.]

578 U. S.

May 23, 2016

tioner forfeited or waived any entitlement to relief (by, for example, entering into a plea agreement waiving any entitlement to relief), or whether petitioner's sentence actually qualifies as a mandatory life without parole sentence.

No. 15–620. JONES ET AL. *v.* GILLIE ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Sheriff v. Gillie*, *ante*, p. 317. Reported below: 785 F. 3d 1091.

No. 15–785. PUNDT, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPRESENTATIVE OF PLAN PARTICIPANTS AND PLAN BENEFICIARIES OF VERIZON MANAGEMENT PENSION PLAN *v.* VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*, *ante*, p. 330. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 132.

No. 15–1100. CATHOLIC HEALTH CARE SYSTEM ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 2d Cir. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 207; and

No. 15–1131. MICHIGAN CATHOLIC CONFERENCE ET AL. *v.* BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 738. Certiorari granted, judgments vacated, and cases remanded in light of *Zubik v. Burwell*, *ante*, p. 403 (*per curiam*). Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, is to affect the ability of the Government to ensure that women covered by petitioners' health plans “obtain, without cost, the full range of Food and Drug Administration approved contraceptives.” *Wheaton College v. Burwell*, 573 U. S. 958, 959 (2014). Through this litigation, petitioners have made the Government aware of their view that they meet “the requirements for exemption from the contraceptive coverage requirement on religious grounds.” *Ibid.* Nothing in the *Zubik* opinion, or in the opinions or orders of the courts below, “precludes the Government from relying on this notice, to the extent it considers it necessary, to facilitate the provision of full contraceptive coverage” going forward. 573 U. S., at 959. Because the Government may rely on this notice, the Government may not impose taxes or penalties on petitioners for failure to provide the relevant notice. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE GINSBURG joins, concurs in the decision to grant, vacate, and remand in these cases for the reasons ex-

May 23, 2016

578 U. S.

pressed in *Zubik v. Burwell*, ante, p. 403 (SOTOMAYOR, J., concurring).

No. 15–6284. *KNOTTS v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 184 So. 3d 466;

No. 15–6290. *BONDS v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 184 So. 3d 465;

No. 15–6300. *SLATON v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 195 So. 3d 1081;

No. 15–6306. *FLOWERS v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 184 So. 3d 466;

No. 15–6904. *BARNES v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 207 So. 3d 808; and

No. 15–6905. *BARNES v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala.*
Reported below: 207 So. 3d 808. Motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgments vacated, and cases remanded for further consideration in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U.S. 190 (2016).

JUSTICE THOMAS, with whom JUSTICE ALITO joins, concurring.

The Court has held the petitions in these and many other cases pending the decision in *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U.S. 190 (2016). In holding these petitions and now vacating and remanding the judgments below, the Court has not assessed whether petitioners’ asserted entitlement to retroactive relief “is properly presented in the case.” *Id.*, at 205. On remand, courts should understand that the Court’s disposition of these petitions does not reflect any view regarding petitioners’ entitlement to relief. The Court’s disposition does not, for example, address whether an adequate and independent state ground bars relief, whether petitioners forfeited or waived any entitlement to relief (by, for example, entering into a plea agreement waiving any entitlement to relief), or whether petitioners’ sentences actually qualify as mandatory life without parole sentences.

No. 15–6289. *ADAMS v. ALABAMA*. Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U.S. 190 (2016). Reported below: 184 So. 3d 467.

*[REPORTER’S NOTE: For opinions of JUSTICE ALITO and JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR concurring in this case, see No. 15–6289, *infra* this page.]

578 U. S.

ALITO, J., concurring

JUSTICE THOMAS, with whom JUSTICE ALITO joins, concurring in the decision to grant, vacate, and remand.

The Court has held the petition in this and many other cases pending the decision in *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016). In holding this petition and now vacating and remanding the judgment below, the Court has not assessed whether petitioner's asserted entitlement to retroactive relief "is properly presented in the case." *Id.*, at 205. On remand, courts should understand that the Court's disposition of this petition does not reflect any view regarding petitioner's entitlement to relief. The Court's disposition does not, for example, address whether an adequate and independent state ground bars relief, whether petitioner forfeited or waived any entitlement to relief (by, for example, entering into a plea agreement waiving any entitlement to relief), or whether petitioner's sentence actually qualifies as a mandatory life without parole sentence.

JUSTICE ALITO, with whom JUSTICE THOMAS joins, concurring.*

The Court grants the petition for a writ of certiorari in this case, vacates the decision below, and remands for reconsideration in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016), which holds that *Miller v. Alabama*, 567 U. S. 460 (2012), applies retroactively to cases on state collateral review. As a result of *Montgomery* and *Miller*, States must now ensure that prisoners serving sentences of life without parole for offenses committed before the age of 18 have the benefit of an individualized sentencing procedure that considers their youth and immaturity at the time of the offense.

The present case differs from most of those in which the Court grants, vacates, and remands for reconsideration in light of *Montgomery*. The petitioner in this case—as with a few others now before the Court—was sentenced to death prior to our decision in *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U. S. 551 (2005), which held that the

*This opinion also applies to the other petitions held for *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016), in which the defendant was originally sentenced to death, No. 15–1, *Johnson v. Manis*, *supra*, p. 992; No. 15–6284, *Knotts v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6290, *Bonds v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6300, *Slaton v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6306, *Flowers v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6904, *Barnes v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; and No. 15–6905, *Barnes v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994.

Eighth Amendment prohibits a death sentence for a minor. During that pre-*Roper* period, juries in capital cases were required at the penalty phase to consider “all relevant mitigating evidence,” including “the chronological age of a minor” and a youthful defendant’s “mental and emotional development.” *Eddings v. Oklahoma*, 455 U. S. 104, 116–117 (1982); see also *Roper v. Simmons*, *supra*, at 603 (O’Connor, J., dissenting) (“A defendant’s youth or immaturity is, of course, a paradigmatic example” of the type of mitigating evidence to which a “sentencer in a capital case must be permitted to give full effect”). After *Roper*, death sentences imposed on prisoners convicted of murders committed as minors were reduced to lesser sentences.

In the present case, petitioner committed a heinous murder in 1997 when he was 17 years old. See 955 So. 2d 1037, 1047–1049 (Ala. Crim. App. 2003). Wielding a knife and wearing a stocking mask to conceal his face, petitioner climbed through a window into the home of Melissa and Andrew Mills. Petitioner demanded money, but the Mills family had only \$9 on hand. While petitioner remained in the Mills home with Melissa Mills and her three young children, Andrew Mills raced to an ATM and withdrew \$375, the maximum amount available. Petitioner then demanded more money, so Andrew went to a nearby grocery store to cash a check. While holding her at knife point, petitioner raped Melissa Mills, who was four months pregnant, before stabbing her repeatedly in the neck, upper and lower chest, and back. The stab wounds pierced her liver and lungs, and she eventually succumbed.

When police arrived at the Mills’ home, summoned by the grocery store clerk, Melissa Mills was gasping for breath and bleeding profusely. Petitioner fled but was captured nearby 20 minutes later. His clothes were covered in Melissa Mills’ blood, and he had in his possession the knife used to kill her, which was also covered in her blood. Nine blood-smeared dollar bills were located nearby. Petitioner’s DNA matched the semen recovered from the rape kit performed as part of Melissa Mills’ autopsy.

A jury found petitioner guilty of murder and then proceeded to decide whether he should be sentenced to death or life imprisonment without parole. *Id.*, at 1048; see Ala. Code § 13A–5–45 (1982). Under the Alabama law then in force, “[t]he age of the defendant at the time of the crime” was one of the statutory “[m]itigating circumstances” that the jury was required to con-

sider. § 13A-5-51(7). The jury nevertheless concluded that petitioner's age did not warrant a sentence of less than death. After *Roper*, however, petitioner's sentence was commuted to life without parole. See *Ex parte Adams*, 955 So. 2d 1106 (Ala. 2005).

In cases like this, it can be argued that the original sentencing jury fulfilled the individualized sentencing requirement that *Miller* subsequently imposed. In these cases, the sentencer necessarily rejected the argument that the defendant's youth and immaturity called for the lesser sentence of life imprisonment without parole. It can therefore be argued that such a sentencer would surely have felt that the defendant's youth and immaturity did not warrant an even lighter sentence that would have allowed the petitioner to be loosed on society at some time in the future. In short, it can be argued that the jury that sentenced petitioner to death already engaged in the very process mandated by *Miller* and concluded that petitioner was not a mere "child" whose crimes reflected "unfortunate yet transient immaturity," *post*, at 999 (SOTOMAYOR, J., concurring), but was instead one of the rare minors who deserves life without parole.[†]

[†]A similar argument can be made in other cases in which the jury originally sentenced a minor to death. Here are some examples of other cases in which it might be inferred that the original sentencing juries concluded that the evidence established "irreparable corruption," despite the fact that the defendant had not yet reached the age of 18 at the time of the crime. *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U.S. 190, 209 (2016).

Petitioner William Knotts, No. 15-6284, was 17 years old when he escaped from a juvenile facility, broke into two houses, and stole multiple weapons, hundreds of rounds of ammunition, food, and other supplies. He then hid in the woods to plan an attack on a woman who had called him a "cracker" and a "honky." Knotts broke into the woman's home, lay in wait for her, and shot her to death in front of her 2-year-old son. The victim's husband discovered her body—and their son, sitting next to her, crying, covered in blood—four hours later. *Knotts v. State*, 686 So. 2d 431, 442, 442-443 (Ala. Crim. App. 1995).

Petitioner Nathan Slaton, No. 15-6300, was 17 years old when he decided to spend a morning shooting birds with his BB gun. He then got into a fight with his next-door neighbor over the gun, so he entered her house, unplugged her phone, raped her, beat her over the head, strangled her, and shot her. Slaton confessed to the rape-murder. *Slaton v. State*, 680 So. 2d 879, 884-885 (Ala. Crim. App. 1995).

Petitioner Michael Barnes, Nos. 15-6904, 15-6905, was 17 years old when he committed capital murder in the course of a burglary and rape. Neighbors of the victim saw smoke in her house. When firefighters responded,

In cases in which a juvenile offender was originally sentenced to death after the sentencer considered but rejected youth as a mitigating factor, courts are free on remand to evaluate whether any further individualized consideration is required.

JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE GINSBURG joins, concurring.*

The petitioners in these cases were sentenced to death for crimes they committed before they turned 18. In most of these cases, petitioners' sentences were automatically converted to life without the possibility of parole following our decisions outlawing the death penalty for juveniles.¹ See *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U. S. 551 (2005); *Thompson v. Oklahoma*, 487 U. S. 815 (1988). Today, we grant, vacate, and remand these cases in light of *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U. S. 190 (2016), for the lower courts to consider whether petitioners' sentences comport with the exacting limits the Eighth Amendment imposes on sentencing a juvenile offender to life without parole.

JUSTICE ALITO suggests otherwise, noting that the juries that originally sentenced petitioners to death were statutorily obligated to consider the mitigating effects of petitioners' youth. "In

they discovered Barnes' victim. Her severely burned body was tied to her bed, an electrical appliance cord wrapped around her neck, and charred paper scattered about her. An autopsy revealed that the victim had been sexually assaulted and was alive when the fire was set. She died from strangulation, smoke inhalation, and her burns. *Barnes v. State*, 704 So. 2d 487, 489–490 (Ala. Crim. App. 1997).

Petitioner Shermaine Johnson, No. 15–1, was a serial rapist (he had committed four rapes, including the rape of a 13-year-old girl) before, at the age of 16, he committed the rape and brutal murder for which he was sentenced to death. *Johnson v. Commonwealth*, 259 Va. 654, 662–667, 529 S. E. 2d 769, 773–776 (2000).

*This opinion also applies to No. 15–1, *Johnson v. Manis*, *supra*, p. 992; No. 15–6284, *Knotts v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6290, *Bonds v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6300, *Slaton v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6306, *Flowers v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; No. 15–6904, *Barnes v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994; and No. 15–6905, *Barnes v. Alabama*, *supra*, p. 994.

¹The only exception is that of Michael Shawn Barnes, who was sentenced to life without parole after all three of the juries to consider the question recommended life without parole over the death penalty. See Reporter's Tr. 1, *Alabama v. Barnes*, Nos. CC 94–1401 and CC 94–2913 (C. C. Mobile Cty., Ala., June 12, 1998), 5 Record 202 (sentencing judge states only, "I've overruled two juries in this case, but I'm not going to overrule this one").

cases like this,” he writes, it can “be argued that the original sentencing jury fulfilled the individualized sentencing requirement that *Miller* subsequently imposed.” *Ante*, at 997 (concurring opinion).

But *Miller v. Alabama*, 567 U.S. 460 (2012), did not merely impose an “individualized sentencing requirement”; it imposed a substantive rule that life without parole is only an appropriate punishment for “the rare juvenile offender whose crime reflects irreparable corruption.” *Montgomery*, 577 U.S., at 208 (internal quotation marks omitted). “Even if a court considers a child’s age before sentencing him or her to a lifetime in prison, that sentence still violates the Eighth Amendment for a child whose crime reflects unfortunate yet transient immaturity.” *Ibid.* (same). There is no indication that, when the factfinders in these cases considered petitioners’ youth, they even asked the question *Miller* required them not only to answer, but to answer correctly: whether petitioners’ crimes reflected “transient immaturity” or “irreparable corruption.” 577 U.S., at 208.

The last factfinders to consider petitioners’ youth did so more than 10—and in most cases more than 20—years ago. (Petitioners’ post-*Roper* resentencings were generally automatic.) Those factfinders did not have the benefit of this Court’s guidance regarding the “diminished culpability of juveniles” and the ways that “penological justifications” apply to juveniles with “lesser force than to adults.” *Roper*, 543 U.S., at 571. As importantly, they did not have the benefit of this Court’s repeated exhortation that the gruesomeness of a crime is not sufficient to demonstrate that a juvenile offender is beyond redemption: “The reality that juveniles still struggle to define their identity means it is less supportable to conclude that even a heinous crime committed by a juvenile is evidence of irretrievably depraved character.” *Id.*, at 570; see also *id.*, at 573; *Miller*, 567 U.S., at 479–480.

When petitioners were sentenced, their youth was just one consideration among many; after *Miller*, we know that youth is the dispositive consideration for “all but the rarest of children.” *Montgomery*, 577 U.S., at 195. The sentencing proceedings in these cases are a product of that pre-*Miller* era. In one typical case, a judge’s sentencing order—overruling a unanimous jury verdict recommending life without parole instead of death—refers to youth only once, noting “the court finds that the age of the defendant at the time of the crime is a mitigating circumstance”

and then that “[t]he [c]ourt rejects the advisory verdict of the jury, and finds that the aggravating circumstances in this case outweigh the mitigating circumstances and that the punishment should be death.” Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Barnes*, No. CC 94–1401 (C. C. Mobile Cty., Ala., Dec. 12, 1995), 2 Record 225. Other sentencing orders are similarly terse.² In at least two cases, there is no indication that youth was considered as a stand-alone mitigating factor.³ In two others, factfinders did not put “‘great weight’”⁴ on considerations that we have described as particularly important in evaluating the culpability of juveniles, such as intellectual disability, an abusive upbringing, and evidence of impulsivity and immaturity. *Miller*, 567 U. S., at 476.

Standards of decency have evolved since the time petitioners were sentenced to death. See *Roper*, 543 U. S., at 561. That petitioners were once given a death sentence we now know to be constitutionally unacceptable tells us nothing about whether their current life-without-parole sentences are constitutionally acceptable. I see no shortcut: On remand, the lower courts must in-

²See, e. g., Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Adams*, No. CC 97–2403 (C. C. Montgomery Cty., Ala., Dec. 10, 1998), 1 Record 309–311 (“This Court finds that the age of Adams at the time of the crime as a mitigating circumstance, does exist and is considered by this Court. This Court notes that Adams’s age alone is not determinative of whether the death penalty should be imposed in this case, nor is imposition of such a sentence unconstitutional These choices made by Adams diminish the impact of his age as a mitigating circumstance”); Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Knotts*, No. CC 91–2537 (C. C. Montgomery Cty., Ala., Oct. 2, 1992), 2 Record 595, 606 (“The defendant was seventeen (17) years and eleven (11) months old at the time of the crime. The Court finds this to be a mitigating circumstance, but also finds that the aforestated aggravating circumstances outweigh this mitigating evidence”) (overruling 9-to-3 jury recommendation for life without parole); Appendix, *Alabama v. Slaton*, No. CC 87–200210 (C. C. Marshall Cty., Ala., May 22, 1990), 13 Record 242 (considering only “[t]hat the defendant was seventeen years old at the time of the crime”).

³See Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Bonds*, No. CC 00–1289 (C. C. Houston Cty., Ala., Nov. 14, 2002), 1 Record 257; Jury Instructions, *Johnson v. Virginia*, No. 992525 (Va., Jan. 11, 2000), 1 App. 225–250.

⁴See Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Knotts*, No. CC 91–2537 (C. C. Montgomery Cty., Ala., Oct. 2, 1992), 2 Record 607–610; Sentencing Order, *Alabama v. Barnes*, No. CC 94–1401 (C. C. Mobile Cty., Ala., Dec. 12, 1995), 2 Record 223 (“borderline mental retardation” makes defendant “no less accountable for his actions”).

578 U. S.

May 23, 2016

stead ask the difficult but essential question whether petitioners are among the very “rarest of juvenile offenders, those whose crimes reflect permanent incorrigibility.” *Montgomery*, 577 U. S., at 209.

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8530. *MISSUD v. UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT*. C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*). THE CHIEF JUSTICE took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition.

No. 15–8580. *JOHNSON v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. As petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court’s process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

No. 15–8600. *MCFADDEN v. DETROIT UNITED INSURANCE ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8651. *SCHEIB v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON*. C. A. 3d Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 620 Fed. Appx. 77.

No. 15–8912. *AHMED v. SHELDON, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

May 23, 2016

578 U. S.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15M118. PARKER *v.* LEHIGH COUNTY DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT ET AL.;

No. 15M119. BANKS *v.* UNITED STATES; and

No. 15M120. MARCHAND *v.* SIMONSON. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15M121. CHISHOLM ET AL. *v.* TWO UNNAMED PETITIONERS ET AL. Motion for leave to file petition for writ of certiorari under seal with redacted copies for the public record granted.

No. 15–8399. KIRBY *v.* NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY. C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [*ante*, p. 920] denied.

No. 15–8623. DAVIS *v.* NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. C. A. 2d Cir.; and

No. 15–8680. PAUL *v.* DE HOLCZER ET AL. C. A. 4th Cir. Motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied. Petitioners are allowed until June 13, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–9088. IN RE ZONE;

No. 15–9114. IN RE FAULKNER; and

No. 15–9121. IN RE MILES. Petitions for writs of habeas corpus denied.

No. 15–8658. IN RE RAHMAN; and

No. 15–8735. IN RE McDONALD. Petitions for writs of mandamus denied.

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–113. LAWSON *v.* SAUER INC., DBA SAUER SOUTHEAST. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 791 F. 3d 214.

No. 15–776. BOLLINGER *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 201.

No. 15–798. CHINATOWN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSN. ET AL. *v.* HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 1136.

578 U. S.

May 23, 2016

No. 15–1005. CHABAD-LUBAVITCH OF MICHIGAN ET AL. *v.* SCHUCHMAN ET AL. Sup. Ct. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1021. SUNRISE CHILDREN’S SERVICES, INC. *v.* GLISSON, SECRETARY, KENTUCKY CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, ET AL. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 865.

No. 15–1143. GREENBLATT ET VIR *v.* KLEIN. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 66.

No. 15–1147. BEE’S AUTO, INC., ET AL. *v.* CITY OF CLERMONT, FLORIDA. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1149. DIBBS *v.* HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 515.

No. 15–1155. GOSSAGE *v.* TERRILL ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 586 Fed. Appx. 393.

No. 15–1156. VILLAGE SUPERMARKETS, INC., ET AL. *v.* HANOVER 3201 REALTY, LLC. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 162.

No. 15–1160. DOW CHEMICAL Co. *v.* NOVA CHEMICALS CORP. (CANADA) ET AL. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 620.

No. 15–1165. DROMGOOLE *v.* TEXAS. Ct. App. Tex., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 470 S. W. 3d 204.

No. 15–1168. RYE ET VIR *v.* WOMEN’S CARE CENTER OF MEMPHIS, MPLLC, ET AL. Sup. Ct. Tenn. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 477 S. W. 3d 235.

No. 15–1169. BOWEN *v.* TEXAS. Ct. App. Tex., 11th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 494 S. W. 3d 181.

No. 15–1170. KAKOSCH *v.* SIEMENS CORP. ET AL. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1171. TAYLOR *v.* U. S. BANK N. A. Ct. App. Ore. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 271 Ore. App. 591, 354 P. 3d 774.

May 23, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1181. *MILLER v. OLESIUK ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 887.

No. 15–1221. *REXINE v. REXINE.* Ct. App. Minn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1231. *ASHCRAFT v. KENNEDY.* Ct. App. Ky. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1239. *HERSON ET AL. v. CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 472.

No. 15–1250. *GREEN v. TEXAS.* Ct. Crim. App. Tex. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 476 S. W. 3d 440.

No. 15–1259. *BURNS v. REYNOLDS, WARDEN.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 265.

No. 15–1269. *CARAMADRE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 359.

No. 15–7332. *SAID ET AL. v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 798 F. 3d 182.

No. 15–7552. *ALEJANDRO GARZA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 208.

No. 15–7561. *HOLLAND v. ARKANSAS.* Sup. Ct. Ark. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 Ark. 341, 471 S. W. 3d 179.

No. 15–7639. *ASCENCIO v. CALIFORNIA.* Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 3. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–7798. *ADAMS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 783 F. 3d 1145.

No. 15–7946. *FLEMING v. SAINI ET AL.* Ct. App. Tenn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8225. *KING v. TENNESSEE.* Ct. Crim. App. Tenn. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8336. *WIGGINS v. ALABAMA.* Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 193 So. 3d 765.

No. 15–8564. *HALL v. RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA, ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 912.

578 U. S.

May 23, 2016

No. 15–8566. *BOWMAN v. GRIFFIN*, SUPERINTENDENT, GREEN HAVEN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 641 Fed. Appx. 51.

No. 15–8568. *PEDRO VALDEZ v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8574. *FORD v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 444 S. W. 3d 171.

No. 15–8575. *MUHAMMAD v. FLEMING ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 93.

No. 15–8591. *ALONZO BERNAL v. PFEIFFER*, ACTING WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8592. *BLAKE v. PIERCE*, WARDEN, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8593. *BOYD v. GARMAN*, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT ROCKVIEW, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8597. *BERMUDEZ v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 471 S. W. 3d 572.

No. 15–8602. *MORRIS v. DAVEY*, ACTING WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8611. *ELINE v. WETZEL*, SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8616. *URREA v. MONTGOMERY*, WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8619. *VASQUEZ v. SPEARMAN*, ACTING WARDEN. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8625. *SIPPLEN v. BRYSON*, COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8627. *ALFREDO AGUIRRE v. AGUIRRE*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 23, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8638. *SASAKI v. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS CENTER ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 46.

No. 15–8641. *ALLEN v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 617.

No. 15–8643. *LOPEZ v. TEXAS.* Ct. App. Tex., 14th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 478 S. W. 3d 936.

No. 15–8647. *JONES v. WILLIE ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8649. *LITTLEPAGE v. OHIO.* Ct. App. Ohio, 1st App. Dist., Hamilton County. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8650. *LOZANO v. DUCART, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8654. *WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8676. *WILSON v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 222.

No. 15–8682. *RODRIGUEZ v. CALIFORNIA.* Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 6. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8731. *TOWNSEND v. BRADSHAW, WARDEN.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8745. *COX v. COLORADO.* Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8755. *DOE v. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 610 Fed. Appx. 291.

No. 15–8769. *BOUVIER v. COLVIN, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, ET AL.* C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8776. *SUTHERLAND v. DOVEY.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U. S.

May 23, 2016

No. 15–8778. *SEDINE v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8785. *GAY v. COLORADO*. Ct. App. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8802. *WHITE v. FLORIDA*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8807. *RIOS v. MONTEREY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8827. *WRIGHT v. JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 211.

No. 15–8833. *McKANT v. CAMERON, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT HOUTZDALE, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8839. *PLEASANT v. CLARKE, DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 139.

No. 15–8891. *BLANKENSHIP v. BACA, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 401.

No. 15–8906. *UHRICH v. CLARK, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT ALBION, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8923. *PEASE v. RAEMISCH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Sup. Ct. Colo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8937. *JOHNSON v. MASONITE INTERNATIONAL CORP.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 153.

No. 15–8951. *SEDA v. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 1006.

No. 15–8953. *SATIZABAL v. GILMORE, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT GREENE, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 23, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8968. *HEURUNG v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 796 F. 3d 843.

No. 15–8969. *HAYNES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 113.

No. 15–8970. *GARY v. UNITED STATES*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 69 A. 3d 1013.

No. 15–8979. *DEEM v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 206.

No. 15–8981. *TAYLOR v. UNITED STATES* (Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 350); and *GILCHREST v. UNITED STATES* (639 Fed. Appx. 212). C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8984. *VIDAL TORRES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 601 Fed. Appx. 920.

No. 15–8987. *JIAU v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 771.

No. 15–8995. *WEIKAL-BEAUCHAT v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 640 Fed. Appx. 219.

No. 15–9001. *BANUELOS-ESTRADA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 644 Fed. Appx. 751.

No. 15–9009. *KILLINGBECK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 14.

No. 15–9011. *POACHES v. CAMERON, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT HOUTZDALE, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9013. *DESAI v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9018. *JONES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 624 Fed. Appx. 86.

No. 15–9019. *JACKSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 657.

No. 15–9026. *GUERRERO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 205.

578 U. S.

May 23, 31, 2016

No. 15–873. ANGELOTTI CHIROPRACTIC, INC., ET AL. *v.* BAKER, DIRECTOR, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of California Society of Industrial Medicine and Surgery et al. for leave to file brief as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 791 F. 3d 1075.

No. 15–1036. PENNSYLVANIA *v.* ROSE. Sup. Ct. Pa. Motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Pa. 659, 127 A. 3d 794.

No. 15–1118. MICHAEL’S FLOOR COVERING, INC. *v.* RESILIENT FLOOR COVERING PENSION FUND ET AL. C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of Associated Builders & Contractors, Inc., for leave to file brief as *amicus curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 801 F. 3d 1079.

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–664. BLAGOJEVICH *v.* UNITED STATES, 577 U. S. 1234;
No. 15–923. KIRSCHMANN *v.* KIRSCHMANN, 577 U. S. 1235;
No. 15–1007. LOVE *v.* WASHINGTON, *ante*, p. 906;
No. 15–6958. MURRAY *v.* WOLF, GOVERNOR OF PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1107;
No. 15–7204. PIANKA *v.* DE LA ROSA, WARDEN, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1149;
No. 15–7538. WISMER *v.* SARASOTA HOUSING AUTHORITY, 577 U. S. 1160;
No. 15–7589. LAMBERT *v.* MICHIGAN, 577 U. S. 1196;
No. 15–7704. REEDMAN *v.* BRYSON, COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, 577 U. S. 1221;
No. 15–7714. TURNER *v.* MARYLAND, 577 U. S. 1221;
No. 15–7897. TORRES *v.* SCOTT, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA, *ante*, p. 908; and
No. 15–8154. DHALIWAL *v.* COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1239. Petitions for rehearing denied.

MAY 31, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Reversed and Remanded. (See No. 15–789, *ante*, p. 605; and No. 15–8366, *ante*, p. 613.)

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 15–7939. WIMBLEY *v.* ALABAMA. Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*

May 31, 2016

578 U. S.

granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Hurst v. Florida*, 577 U. S. 92 (2016). Reported below: 191 So. 3d 176.

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8673. *WITHEROW v. SKOLNIK ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 285.

No. 15–8720. *LEWIS v. PFISTER, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8741. *TAYLOR v. VIRGINIA ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 642 Fed. Appx. 205.

No. 15–8742. *MAGWOOD v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.* Sup. Ct. Fla. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–9077. *BURGESS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 88.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15A1078. *DAILEY v. LEW, SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY, ET AL.* D. C. Md. Application for injunctive relief, addressed to JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR and referred to the Court, denied.

No. 15A1108. *MATTA v. MATTA.* Sup. Ct. N. H. Application for stay, addressed to JUSTICE GINSBURG and referred to the Court, denied.

No. 15M122. *WILLIAMS v. BARKLEY.* Motion to direct the Clerk to file petition for writ of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 141, Orig. *TEXAS v. NEW MEXICO ET AL.* Second Interim Motion of the Special Master for allowance of fees and disbursements, as amended by his letter dated May 20, 2016, granted, and

578 U. S.

May 31, 2016

the Special Master is awarded a total of \$200,000.00 for the period May 1 through October 31, 2015, to be paid as follows: 37.5% by Texas, 37.5% by New Mexico, 20% by the United States, and 5% by Colorado. [For earlier order herein, see, *e. g.*, 577 U. S. 809.]

No. 15–827. *ENDREW F., A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS PARENTS AND NEXT FRIENDS, JOSEPH F. ET AL. v. DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE–1.* C. A. 10th Cir. The Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States.

No. 15–8889. *KINDIG v. WHOLE FOODS MARKET GROUP, INC.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied. Petitioner is allowed until June 21, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–9184. *IN RE PETERKA*; and

No. 15–9229. *IN RE THOMAS.* Petitions for writs of habeas corpus denied.

No. 15–8709. *IN RE ALVAREZ.* Petition for writ of mandamus denied.

No. 15–1130. *IN RE RATCLIFF.* Petition for writ of mandamus and/or prohibition denied.

Certiorari Granted

No. 15–513. *STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY Co. v. UNITED STATES EX REL. RIGSBY ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari granted limited to Question 1 presented by the petition. Reported below: 794 F. 3d 457.

Certiorari Denied

No. 14–1268. *ESPINAL-ANDRADES v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 777 F. 3d 163.

No. 15–780. *CITIZENS AGAINST CASINO GAMBLING IN ERIE COUNTY ET AL. v. CHAUDHURI, CHAIRMAN, NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION, ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 267.

May 31, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–1027. *WALKER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 800 F. 3d 720.

No. 15–1041. *SPRINT NEXTEL CORP. ET AL. v. NEW YORK ET AL.* Ct. App. N. Y. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 26 N. Y. 3d 98, 42 N. E. 3d 655.

No. 15–1178. *CHIKOSI v. GALLAGHER ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 611 Fed. Appx. 429.

No. 15–1179. *EMBASSY OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT ET AL. v. LASHEEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 338.

No. 15–1184. *BURTON v. PASH, WARDEN*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1186. *ROGERS v. CHATMAN, WARDEN*. Sup. Ct. Ga. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1197. *GLOVER v. WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 331.

No. 15–1198. *MCDONOUGH ET AL. v. ANOKA COUNTY, MINNESOTA, ET AL.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 931.

No. 15–1201. *VEHICLE INTELLIGENCE & SAFETY LLC v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC, ET AL.* C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 914.

No. 15–1206. *CRANE v. MARY FREE BED REHABILITATION HOSPITAL*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 518.

No. 15–1207. *WALLACE ET AL. v. HERNANDEZ*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 257.

No. 15–1235. *FUNES v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1246. *CHENOWETH v. INDIANA*. Ct. App. Ind. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 35 N. E. 3d 317.

No. 15–1267. *MEDINA v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 760.

578 U.S.

May 31, 2016

No. 15–1274. *BROWN v. INDIANA BOARD OF LAW EXAMINERS*. Sup. Ct. Ind. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1286. *UNITE HERE LOCAL 54 v. TRUMP ENTERTAINMENT RESORTS, INC., ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 810 F. 3d 161.

No. 15–1301. *DUNDERDALE v. UNITED AIRLINES, INC.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 807 F. 3d 849.

No. 15–1313. *GREEN ET AL. v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 632.

No. 15–7563. *HILL v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 464 S. W. 3d 444.

No. 15–8634. *CANNON v. FLORIDA*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 180 So. 3d 1023.

No. 15–8653. *LAVIE VILLASANA v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8660. *LOFTIS v. PASH, WARDEN*. Sup. Ct. Mo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8665. *SHAPLEY v. DUNN, COMMISSIONER, ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8666. *DOTSON v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8667. *SILVA ROQUE v. ARIZONA*. Ct. App. Ariz. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8668. *THOMAS v. MICHIGAN*. Ct. App. Mich. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8674. *WOOD v. GALEF-SURDO ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 255.

No. 15–8675. *WARE v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 31, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8687. *JORDAN v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 120583–U.

No. 15–8693. *CHAPMAN v. MARYLAND*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 224 Md. App. 717.

No. 15–8694. *CROSBY v. CAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8695. *BURKE v. LAWRENCE ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8696. *BARNETT v. PENNSYLVANIA*. Super. Ct. Pa. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 121 A. 3d 534.

No. 15–8697. *BENJAMIN v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8698. *SUMPTER v. MCINTOSH COUNTY DISTRICT COURT*. Sup. Ct. Okla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8702. *MAHLER, AKA MOHLER v. BALES*. Sup. Ct. Va. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8706. *CUTTS v. SMITH, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 505.

No. 15–8710. *ALEXANDER v. ROSEN ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 1203.

No. 15–8712. *NEWSOME v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8716. *STENSON v. CAPRA, SUPERINTENDENT, SING SING CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8717. *SINICO v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 132164–U.

No. 15–8722. *BROWN v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 14th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 468 S. W. 3d 158.

No. 15–8727. *STEAH v. BRNOVICH, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ARIZONA, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U.S.

May 31, 2016

No. 15–8733. *WASHINGTON v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8737. *MCDOWELL v. MISSISSIPPI ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8749. *WRIGHT v. KELLEY, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8756. *DISNEY v. BREWER, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8759. *ARMIJO v. CAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8772. *WILLIAMS v. CALIFORNIA*. Sup. Ct. Cal. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 61 Cal. 4th 1244, 355 P. 3d 444.

No. 15–8791. *HENRY v. ARIZONA*. Super. Ct. Ariz., County of Mohave. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8854. *VIEIRA v. CALIFORNIA*. C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8892. *VILLAMAN ET AL. v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 180.

No. 15–8935. *WATSON v. LOMBARDI ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Mo. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8983. *TAYLOR, AKA SMITH v. LANE, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT FAYETTE, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8988. *MARTINEZ-MORALES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 262.

No. 15–9016. *PETE v. MCCAIN, WARDEN*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9027. *JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9032. *BROWN v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 181 So. 3d 498.

May 31, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–9037. *COLLINS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 811 F. 3d 63.

No. 15–9038. *SAEED-WATARA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 483.

No. 15–9039. *THOMPSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 811 F. 3d 717.

No. 15–9041. *ALQUZA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 810 F. 3d 879.

No. 15–9043. *BUCCI v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9045. *DAVIS v. FLORIDA*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 183 So. 3d 367.

No. 15–9052. *LANZA-VAZQUEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 134.

No. 15–9053. *JAUREGUI v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 450.

No. 15–9055. *ROQUE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 628 Fed. Appx. 65.

No. 15–9056. *COOLEY v. DAVENPORT, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9059. *KARAYAN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9061. *SHIVERS v. KERESTES, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT MAHANOEY, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9064. *JEAN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 767.

No. 15–9065. *BAKER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9066. *COOKE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 635 Fed. Appx. 524.

No. 15–9067. *MUNOZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U. S.

May 31, 2016

No. 15–9068. *PEDRIN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 792.

No. 15–9069. *PHILLIPS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9070. *RAMIREZ-ALANIZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 721.

No. 15–9071. *BOWERS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 811 F. 3d 412.

No. 15–9072. *ALLEN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 645 Fed. Appx. 917.

No. 15–9079. *HERRING v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 641 Fed. Appx. 451.

No. 15–9086. *WELCH v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 638 Fed. Appx. 674.

No. 15–9093. *WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 547.

No. 15–9094. *GIBSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 595 Fed. Appx. 911.

No. 15–9096. *GARRISON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9097. *HARRIS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9101. *DOBBIN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 448.

No. 15–9103. *MOLINA-SANCHEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 630 Fed. Appx. 173.

No. 15–9105. *BUZZARD v. GILBERT, SUPERINTENDENT, STAFFORD CREEK CORRECTIONS CENTER*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9116. *AUSTIN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 98.

No. 15–9120. *JAMES v. KRUEGER, WARDEN*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

May 31, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–9122. *RARICK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 911.

No. 15–9137. *TAMEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–946. *TUCKER v. LOUISIANA*. Sup. Ct. La. Motions of Former Prosecutors, Law and Political Science Scholars, Charles Hamilton Houston Institute for Race and Justice at Harvard Law School, and Former Appellate Court Jurists for leave to file briefs as *amici curiae* granted. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2013–1631 (La. 9/1/15), 181 So. 3d 590.

JUSTICE BREYER, with whom JUSTICE GINSBURG joins, dissenting.

Lamondre Tucker shot and killed his pregnant girlfriend in 2008. At the time of the murder, Tucker was 18 years, 5 months, and 6 days old, cf. *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U. S. 551, 578 (2005) (“The Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments forbid imposition of the death penalty on offenders who were under the age of 18 when their crimes were committed”), and he had an IQ of 74, cf. *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U. S. 304, 321 (2002) (execution of the intellectually disabled violates the Eighth Amendment). Tucker was sentenced to death in a Louisiana county (Caddo Parish) that imposes almost half the death sentences in Louisiana, even though it accounts for only 5% of that State’s population and 5% of its homicides. See Pet. for Cert. 18.

Given these facts, Tucker may well have received the death penalty not because of the comparative egregiousness of his crime, but because of an arbitrary feature of his case, namely, geography. See *Glossip v. Gross*, 576 U. S. 863, 919–921 (2015) (BREYER, J., dissenting). One could reasonably believe that if Tucker had committed the same crime but been tried and sentenced just across the Red River in, say, Bossier Parish, he would not now be on death row. See, e. g., Smith, The Geography of the Death Penalty and Its Ramifications, 92 B. U. L. Rev. 227, 233–235, 278, 281 (2012); Robertson, The Man Who Says Louisiana Should “Kill More,” N. Y. Times, July 8, 2015, p. A1 (“From 2010 to 2014, more people were sentenced to death per capita [in Caddo Parish] than in any other county in the United States, among counties with four or more death sentences in that time period”); see also *Glossip*, *supra*, at 919 (BREYER, J., dissenting) (“[I]n

578 U. S.

May 31, June 6, 2016

2012, just 59 counties (fewer than 2% of counties in the country) accounted for *all* death sentences imposed nationwide”).

For this reason, and for the additional reasons set out in my opinion in *Glossip*, I would grant certiorari in this case to confront the first question presented, *i. e.*, whether imposition of the death penalty constitutes cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.

No. 15–1210. CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. *v.* HOSPIRA, INC. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE ALITO took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 805 F. 3d 1112.

No. 15–1243. WAGNER *v.* CRUZ. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari before judgment denied.

No. 15–8734. CARPENTER *v.* PNC BANK, N. A. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE ALITO took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 346.

No. 15–9047. HAMMER *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

No. 15–9130. WHEELER *v.* UNITED STATES. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–7283. KING *v.* LIVINGSTON ET AL., 577 U. S. 1151;

No. 15–7570. HOLBROOK *v.* RONNIES LLC, DBA RONNY’S RV PARK, *ante*, p. 926;

No. 15–7677. JAMES *v.* JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL., 577 U. S. 1164;

No. 15–8086. LABRANCH *v.* CALIFORNIA, *ante*, p. 929;

No. 15–8156. GLAGOLA *v.* MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ET AL., *ante*, p. 911; and

No. 15–8193. IN RE GETZ, 577 U. S. 1192. Petitions for rehearing denied.

JUNE 6, 2016

Certiorari Granted—Vacated and Remanded

No. 14–493. KENT RECYCLING SERVICES, LLC *v.* UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. C. A. 5th Cir. Petition

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

for rehearing granted. The order entered March 23, 2015, [575 U. S. 912] denying petition for writ of certiorari vacated. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Army Corps of Engineers v. Hawkes Co.*, *ante*, p. 590. Reported below: 761 F. 3d 383.

No. 15–964. *PIPER ET AL. v. MIDDAUGH ET AL.* C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Mullenix v. Luna*, 577 U. S. 7 (2015) (*per curiam*). Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 710.

No. 15–7912. *KIRKSEY v. ALABAMA.* Ct. Crim. App. Ala. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Hurst v. Florida*, 577 U. S. 92 (2016). Reported below: 191 So. 3d 810.

No. 15–7915. *JACKSON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 11th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Welch v. United States*, *ante*, p. 120. JUSTICE KAGAN took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition.

Certiorari Dismissed

No. 15–8803. *SEWELL v. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY.* C. A. 4th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 230.

No. 15–8836. *LORDMASTER, FKA GOLDADER v. DISTRICT COURT OF NORTH DAKOTA, CASS COUNTY.* Sup. Ct. N. D. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8.

No. 15–8882. *RANDOLPH v. FLORIDA ET AL.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 1st Dist. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied, and certiorari dismissed. See this Court’s Rule 39.8. Reported below: 174 So. 3d 999.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. 15M123. *MERCADO VALDEZ v. RYAN, DIRECTOR, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.*; and

578 U. S.

June 6, 2016

No. 15M124. BAILEY *v.* UNITED STATES. Motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time denied.

No. 15M125. BEASLEY *v.* JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. Motion for leave to file petition for writ of certiorari under seal with redacted copies for the public record granted.

No. 15–1055. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORP., DBA GLAXO-SMITHKLINE, ET AL. *v.* KING DRUG COMPANY OF FLORENCE, INC., ET AL. C. A. 3d Cir. The Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States.

No. 15–7828. WRIGHT *v.* WESTBROOKS, WARDEN, *ante*, p. 926. Respondent is requested to file a response to petition for rehearing within 30 days.

No. 15–8567. IN RE DECARO. Motion of petitioner for reconsideration of order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [*ante*, p. 920] denied.

No. 15–8746. GRASSO *v.* EMA DESIGN AUTOMATION, INC., ET AL. C. A. 2d Cir.; and

No. 15–8775. DEUERLEIN *v.* NEBRASKA ET AL. C. A. D. C. Cir. Motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* denied. Petitioners are allowed until June 27, 2016, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

No. 15–7776. IN RE RIVERO;

No. 15–9269. IN RE MARTS; and

No. 15–9299. IN RE HALL. Petitions for writs of habeas corpus denied.

No. 15–8835. IN RE MASON; and

No. 15–9074. IN RE BRAWNER. Petitions for writs of mandamus denied.

Probable Jurisdiction Noted

No. 15–680. BETHUNE-HILL ET AL. *v.* VIRGINIA STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS ET AL. Appeal from D. C. E. D. VA. Probable jurisdiction noted. Reported below: 141 F. Supp. 3d 505.

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

Certiorari Granted

No. 15–797. *MOORE v. TEXAS*. Ct. Crim. App. Tex. Certiorari granted limited to Question 1 presented by the petition. Reported below: 470 S. W. 3d 481.

No. 15–8049. *BUCK v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* granted. Certiorari granted. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 668.

Certiorari Denied

No. 15–826. *LA CUNA DE AZTLAN SACRED SITES PROTECTION CIRCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ET AL. v. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 603 Fed. Appx. 651.

No. 15–847. *CAMPOVERDE RIVERA v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 607 Fed. Appx. 228.

No. 15–960. *INTERNATIONAL CUSTOM PRODUCTS, INC. v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 791 F. 3d 1329.

No. 15–969. *FLORIDA BANKERS ASSN. ET AL. v. DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 799 F. 3d 1065.

No. 15–977. *RAIL-TERM CORP. v. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 654 Fed. Appx. 1.

No. 15–1078. *GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. ALLIED SERVICES DIVISION WELFARE FUND ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 804 F. 3d 633.

No. 15–1081. *TARGET CORP. ET AL. v. GUVENOZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF GUVENOZ, DECEASED*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 133940, 30 N. E. 3d 404.

No. 15–1084. *DANIELS v. UNITED STATES*; and

578 U. S.

June 6, 2016

No. 15–8388. *DEAN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 803 F. 3d 335.

No. 15–1088. *REPUBLIC OF ECUADOR v. CHEVRON CORP. ET AL.* C. A. D. C. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 795 F. 3d 200.

No. 15–1101. *GOOGLE INC. v. PULASKI & MIDDLEMAN, LLC, ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 979.

No. 15–1103. *SCHOLZ ET AL. v. DELP ET AL.* Sup. Jud. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 473 Mass. 242, 41 N. E. 3d 38.

No. 15–1164. *CAIN, WARDEN v. BRUMFIELD*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 808 F. 3d 1041.

No. 15–1220. *MALCOLM v. HONEOYE FALLS-LIMA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ET AL.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 87.

No. 15–1225. *BARFIELD v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 14th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 464 S. W. 3d 67.

No. 15–1228. *BAKER v. AMERICAN EXPRESS FINANCIAL SERVICES ET AL.* Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 2. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1233. *CHENAULT ET AL. v. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST CO. ET AL.* Ct. App. Ohio, 10th App. Dist., Franklin County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-1850.

No. 15–1238. *RESTREPO-DUQUE v. DELAWARE*. Sup. Ct. Del. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 130 A. 3d 340.

No. 15–1240. *MITRANO v. TYLER*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1244. *TAYLOR v. TEXAS ET AL.* Ct. App. Tex., 11th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1247. *EVANS ET AL. v. SHEEN*. Ct. App. Cal., 2d App. Dist., Div. 7. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1249. *HIRMIZ ET VIR, AS BEST FRIENDS OF THEIR DAUGHTER, J. H. v. BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND*

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

HUMAN SERVICES. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 618 Fed. Appx. 1033.

No. 15–1263. *TRESCOTT v. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ET AL.* C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1320. *AMERINDO INVESTMENT ADVISORS ET AL. v. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.* C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 639 Fed. Appx. 752.

No. 15–1339. *THORNTON v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 360.

No. 15–1340. *KOKLICH v. YATES, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–1341. *MANISCALCO v. SEIBEL, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 625 Fed. Appx. 799.

No. 15–7152. *LATKA v. MILES ET AL.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 615 Fed. Appx. 122.

No. 15–7277. *NEUMAN v. UNITED STATES;*

No. 15–7634. *LARKIN v. UNITED STATES;* and

No. 15–7635. *LYONS v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 363.

No. 15–7395. *SANTOS-AVILA v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 187.

No. 15–7875. *SULLIVAN v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 797 F. 3d 623.

No. 15–7952. *HENRICKS v. IVES, WARDEN.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 588 Fed. Appx. 574.

No. 15–8310. *RAFFERTY v. OHIO.* Ct. App. Ohio, 9th App. Dist., Summit County. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015-Ohio-1629.

No. 15–8361. *WATSON v. BANK OF AMERICA, N. A.* C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 621 Fed. Appx. 200.

No. 15–8454. *MCGRUE v. UNITED STATES.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

578 U. S.

June 6, 2016

No. 15–8513. *SHERIDAN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. Fed. Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 948.

No. 15–8744. *DITTMAYER v. SOSNE, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 806 F. 3d 987.

No. 15–8747. *GROTH v. INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY CERTIFICATION CONSORTIUM, INC.* App. Ct. Mass. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 88 Mass. App. 1110, 39 N. E. 3d 778.

No. 15–8758. *CARRASQUILLO v. WETZEL, SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8766. *GALLE v. ISLE OF CAPRI CASINOS, INC., ET AL.* Sup. Ct. Miss. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 180 So. 3d 619.

No. 15–8770. *BUTLER v. FLEMING, WARDEN*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 619 Fed. Appx. 250.

No. 15–8773. *SANDERS v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 10th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8777. *RISHAR v. MICHIGAN*. Sup. Ct. Mich. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 498 Mich. 952, 872 N. W. 2d 483.

No. 15–8786. *V. H. v. GUARDIAN AD LITEM PROGRAM*. Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 174 So. 3d 1000.

No. 15–8787. *MOORE v. BULATAO ET AL.* C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 679.

No. 15–8789. *ODIGWE v. NATIONAL MENTOR HEALTHCARE, LLC, DBA ARIZONA MENTOR*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 262.

No. 15–8792. *SELLS v. CHRISMAN, WARDEN*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 616 Fed. Appx. 381.

No. 15–8798. *GEDDES v. ARTUS, SUPERINTENDENT, ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY*. C. A. 2d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8804. *JEFFERSON v. STEWART, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8806. *DVORAK v. LONG, WARDEN*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8817. *JONES v. KELLEY, DIRECTOR, ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8820. *MASCIO v. RAUNER, GOVERNOR OF ILLINOIS, ET AL.* App. Ct. Ill., 5th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8828. *SIMON v. LEBLANC ET AL.* C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 276.

No. 15–8830. *MEJIA v. NEW YORK*. App. Div., Sup. Ct. N. Y., 4th Jud. Dept. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 126 App. Div. 3d 1364, 6 N. Y. S. 3d 813.

No. 15–8832. *PIPPEN v. DELBALSO, SUPERINTENDENT, STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT RETREAT, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8834. *JENNINGS v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8840. *DIAS PEREZ v. TEXAS*. Ct. App. Tex., 12th Dist. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8844. *TAYLOR v. NIKOLITS ET AL.* Dist. Ct. App. Fla., 4th Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 195 So. 3d 391.

No. 15–8847. *WASHINGTON v. LOUISIANA*. Ct. App. La., 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 14–522 (La. App. 5 Cir. 2/11/15), 168 So. 3d 746.

No. 15–8849. *VILLATORA-AVILA v. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 451.

No. 15–8855. *SCOTT v. CRICKMAR, WARDEN*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8857. *STEWART v. STEPHENS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8916. *ROSE v. UNITED STATES*; and

No. 15–9083. *FRYE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 802 F. 3d 114.

578 U.S.

June 6, 2016

No. 15–8933. *RUTTER v. COLORADO*. Sup. Ct. Colo. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 363 P. 3d 183.

No. 15–8980. *BROWN v. PREMO, SUPERINTENDENT, OREGON STATE PENITENTIARY*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8982. *VOITS v. NOOTH, SUPERINTENDENT, SNAKE RIVER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8989. *JACKSON v. MOORE, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–8991. *MILNER v. PENNSYLVANIA ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9014. *SMITH v. ILLINOIS*. App. Ct. Ill., 1st Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 2015 IL App (1st) 133323–U.

No. 15–9024. *JEMANEH v. UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 622 Fed. Appx. 765.

No. 15–9028. *JHAVERI v. JHAVERI*. Ct. Sp. App. Md. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9031. *ANDERSON v. DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.* C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9051. *MOYA-BUITRAGO v. UNITED STATES*;
No. 15–9115. *CASTIBLANCO CABALCANTE v. UNITED STATES*;
No. 15–9143. *VILLEGAS ROJAS v. UNITED STATES*; and
No. 15–9151. *BARRERA PINEDA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 812 F. 3d 382.

No. 15–9063. *LOWE v. MILLER, WARDEN*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9078. *BROWN v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*. Sup. Ct. Fla. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9085. *WEBSTER v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 809 F. 3d 1158.

No. 15–9123. *RAMIREZ-PADILLA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 603.

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–9124. *NEEL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 641 Fed. Appx. 782.

No. 15–9126. *VELARDE v. ARCHULETA, WARDEN, ET AL.* C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 640 Fed. Appx. 740.

No. 15–9128. *WARREN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 450.

No. 15–9132. *HILL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 396.

No. 15–9139. *GAUR v. WORLD BANK GROUP*. Ct. App. D. C. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9142. *ALVARADO-GUTIERREZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 383.

No. 15–9145. *PLUID v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9146. *FEDOROWICZ v. PEARCE*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 641 Fed. Appx. 773.

No. 15–9147. *ESQUIVAL-CENTENO v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 233.

No. 15–9149. *MYLES v. MISSOURI*. Ct. App. Mo., Eastern Dist. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 479 S. W. 3d 649.

No. 15–9154. *BRANDON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 11th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 542.

No. 15–9159. *PARSONS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 631 Fed. Appx. 172.

No. 15–9162. *GOMEZ-PEREZ, AKA PEREZ-GOMEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 633 Fed. Appx. 646.

No. 15–9164. *SAMPSON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 498.

No. 15–9168. *CAWTHON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 637 Fed. Appx. 804.

578 U.S.

June 6, 2016

No. 15–9176. *WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9177. *VICKERS v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 8th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 813 F. 3d 1139.

No. 15–9180. *RIVAS-HERNANDEZ v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 632 Fed. Appx. 426.

No. 15–9185. *JONES v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 5th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9189. *PODLUCKY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9194. *BELL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 212.

No. 15–9197. *BLACKWELL v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 668.

No. 15–9199. *AOUN v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 636 Fed. Appx. 323.

No. 15–9202. *SUTTON v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 7th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9205. *ERNST v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 9th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 623 Fed. Appx. 333.

No. 15–9220. *MACK v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 3d Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 629 Fed. Appx. 443.

No. 15–9222. *SENGMANY v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9227. *ANTONIO HERRERA v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 634 Fed. Appx. 670.

No. 15–9231. *WARE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 4th Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 639 Fed. Appx. 919.

No. 15–9239. *RENNER ET AL. v. COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE*. C. A. 6th Cir. Certiorari denied.

No. 15–9248. *RIVERA-CLEMENTE v. UNITED STATES*. C. A. 1st Cir. Certiorari denied. Reported below: 813 F. 3d 43.

June 6, 2016

578 U. S.

No. 15–8768. *BROWN v. LOWE’S HOME CENTERS*. C. A. 10th Cir. Certiorari denied. JUSTICE BREYER took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition. Reported below: 627 Fed. Appx. 720.

Rehearing Granted. (See No. 14–493, *supra*.)

Rehearing Denied

No. 15–771. *HUETE v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON*, 577 U. S. 1141;

No. 15–6271. *FLENOID v. KOSTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 990;

No. 15–6486. *RENE GOMEZ v. GIPSON, WARDEN*, 577 U. S. 1035;

No. 15–6566. *SPENCE v. WILLIS*, *ante*, p. 906;

No. 15–6567. *SPENCE v. WILLIS*, *ante*, p. 907;

No. 15–6689. *HIGGINS v. TEXAS*, 577 U. S. 1074;

No. 15–7178. *MCGOWAN v. MAINE*, 577 U. S. 1089;

No. 15–7295. *LIN GAO v. ST. LOUIS LANGUAGE IMMERSION SCHOOLS, INC., ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1151;

No. 15–7333. *WILSON v. RYAN, DIRECTOR, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1152;

No. 15–7611. *JACKSON v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*, *ante*, p. 926;

No. 15–7618. *HOLMES v. STEPPIG MANAGEMENT*, 577 U. S. 1197;

No. 15–7744. *LAWS v. HUGHES, JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, ET AL.*, 577 U. S. 1222;

No. 15–8159. *BANKS v. GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*, *ante*, p. 911;

No. 15–8163. *KABEDE v. CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PRISON TERMS ET AL.*, *ante*, p. 911;

No. 15–8340. *WILLIAMS v. LAW FIRM OF TURNBULL, NICHOLSON & SANDERS, P. A., ET AL.*, *ante*, p. 949;

No. 15–8363. *SMITH v. UNITED STATES*, 577 U. S. 1240;

No. 15–8548. *NAVARRO v. UNITED STATES*, *ante*, p. 938;

No. 15–8607. *DiMONDA v. JONES, SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS*, *ante*, p. 939; and

No. 15–8639. *PAHUTSKI v. UNITED STATES*, *ante*, p. 940. Petitions for rehearing denied.