

I N D E X
(Vol. 576 U. S.)

ADMINISTRATIVE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

AGRICULTURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

AIR POLLUTION. See **Clean Air Act**.

ANALOGUES TO CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES. See **Controlled Substance Analogue Enforcement Act of 1986**.

ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996. See **Habeas Corpus**.

ARIZONA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ARMED CAREER CRIMINAL ACT OF 1984. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

ATTORNEY'S FEES. See **Bankruptcy**.

BANKRUPTCY.

Attorney's fees for work defending fee applications.—Title 11 U. S. C. § 330(a)(1), which permits bankruptcy courts to “award . . . reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by” § 327(a) professionals, does not permit bankruptcy courts to award attorney’s fees for work performed defending fee applications. *Baker Botts L.L.P. v. ASARCO LLC*, p. 121.

BATSON CLAIMS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

CHILD ABUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CITIZENSHIP. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Excessive force claim—State of mind jury instruction.—To prevail on an excessive force claim under 42 U. S. C. § 1983, a pretrial detainee must show only that force purposely or knowingly used against him was objectively unreasonable; officers’ state of mind jury instruction given in *Kings-*

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871—Continued.

ley's case was erroneous because it suggested a subjective inquiry. *Kingsley v. Hendrickson*, p. 389.

CLEAN AIR ACT.

EPA emissions regulations—Hazardous air pollutants from power plants.—EPA interpreted 42 U. S. C. § 7412(n)(1)(A) unreasonably when it deemed cost irrelevant to decision to regulate emissions of hazardous air pollutants from power plants. *Michigan v. EPA*, p. 743.

CONFEDERATE FLAG. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

CONFRONTATION OF WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**I. Confrontation of witnesses.**

Nontestimonial statements—Child abuse victim's statements to teachers—Sixth Amendment's Confrontation Clause did not prohibit prosecutors from introducing statements made by a child abuse victim to his teachers, where neither child, who was unavailable for cross-examination, nor his teachers had primary purpose of creating an out-of-court substitute for trial testimony. *Ohio v. Clark*, p. 237.

II. Cruel and unusual punishment.

Lethal injection protocol—Petitioners, death-row inmates, have failed to establish a likelihood of success on merits of their claim that Oklahoma's use of midazolam in its lethal injection protocol violates Eighth Amendment. *Glossip v. Gross*, p. 863.

III. Due process.

1. *Armed career criminal act of 1984's residual clause—Increased sentence for "violent felony."*—Imposing an increased sentence under Act's residual clause—which defines a "violent felony" to include "conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another," 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(2)(B)—violates due process. *Johnson v. United States*, p. 591.

2. *Judicial review of visa denial—Liberty interest in marriage.*—Ninth Circuit's judgment—that Din's liberty interest in her marriage entitled her to judicial review of her husband's visa denial, and that Government deprived her of that interest without due process by denying visa application without giving a more detailed explanation of its reasons—is vacated. *Kerry v. Din*, p. 86.

3. *State licensing of marriage between two people of same sex—Recognition of out-of-state marriages.*—Fourteenth Amendment requires a State to license a marriage between two people of same sex and to recog-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

nize a marriage between two people of same sex when their marriage was lawfully licensed and performed out-of-State. *Obergefell v. Hodges*, p. 644.

IV. Elections Clause.

Use of commission to adopt congressional districts.—Elections Clause and 2 U. S. C. §2a(c) permit Arizona's use of a commission to adopt congressional districts. *Arizona State Legislature v. Arizona Independent Redistricting Comm'n*, p. 787.

V. Freedom of speech.

1. *Specialty license plates—Confederate battle flag.*—Because Texas' specialty license plate designs constitute government speech, Texas was entitled to refuse to issue respondents' proposed plates featuring a Confederate battle flag. *Walker v. Texas Div., Sons of Confederate Veterans, Inc.*, p. 200.

2. *Towns sign code—Content-based speech regulations.*—Sign code of town of Gilbert contains content-based regulations of speech that do not survive strict scrutiny. *Reed v. Town of Gilbert*, p. 155.

VI. Searches and seizures.

Administrative searches of hotel guest records—Hotel operators' punishment for noncompliance.—A provision in Los Angeles' municipal code that permits police officers to conduct administrative searches of hotel guest records is facially unconstitutional because hotel operators who fail to turn over their records are subject to punishment without first being afforded opportunity for precompliance review. *Los Angeles v. Patel*, p. 409.

VII. Separation of powers.

1. *Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003—Presidential power to recognize foreign sovereign—Jerusalem-born United States citizen's passport.*—Because President has exclusive power to grant formal recognition to a foreign sovereign, Act's §214(d)—which requires Secretary of State, upon request, to record birthplace of a Jerusalem-born United States citizen as Israel on, *inter alia*, a passport—infringes on Executive's consistent decision to withhold recognition with respect to Jerusalem. *Zivotofsky v. Kerry*, p. 1.

VIII. Taking of property.

Crop set-aside requirement—Just compensation.—Raisin Administrative Committee's requirement that growers set aside a certain percentage of their crop for account of Government, free of charge, is a physical taking under Fifth Amendment, entitling petitioners to just compensation. *Horne v. Department of Agriculture*, p. 350.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ANALOGUE ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986.

Scienter requirement—Regulated substances.—In prosecuting a violation of Analogue Act, Government must establish that defendant knew he was dealing with a substance regulated under Controlled Substances Act or Analogue Act. *McFadden v. United States*, p. 186.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

DEPORTATION. See **Jurisdiction**.

DISPARATE-IMPACT CLAIMS. See **Fair Housing Act**.

DUE PROCESS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Constitutional Law**, III.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

ELECTIONS CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. See **Clean Air Act**.

EQUITABLE TOLLING. See **Jurisdiction**.

EXCESSIVE FORCE CLAIMS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

EXECUTION METHODS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

EXECUTIVE BRANCH. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FAIR HOUSING ACT.

Discrimination in housing—Disparate-impact claims.—Disparate-impact claims are cognizable under Act. *Texas Dept. of Housing and Community Affairs v. Inclusive Communities Project, Inc.*, p. 519.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act**.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FOREIGN RELATIONS AUTHORIZATION ACT, FISCAL YEAR 2003. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Death penalty—Hearing on intellectual disability.*—State trial court's decision to deny Brumfield a hearing on whether an intellectual disability rendered him death-penalty ineligible under *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U. S. 304, was an “unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented,” 28 U. S. C. §2254(d)(2), entitling Brumfield to have his federal habeas claim heard on merits. *Brumfield v. Cain*, p. 305.

2. *Defense counsel's exclusion from part of Batson hearing—Harmless error.*—Ayala is not entitled to federal habeas relief because any federal constitutional error that may have occurred from trial judge's exclusion of defense counsel from part of a *Batson* hearing was harmless under *Brecht v. Abrahamson*, 507 U. S. 619, and Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996. *Davis v. Ayala*, p. 257.

HARMLESS ERROR. See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**

HEALTH CARE EXCHANGES. See **Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.**

HOTEL RECORDS. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

HOUSING DISCRIMINATION. See **Fair Housing Act.**

IMMIGRANT VISAS. See **Constitutional Law, III, 2.**

IMMIGRATION. See **Jurisdiction.**

JERUSALEM. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

JURISDICTION.

Board of Immigration Appeals' decision denying equitable tolling—Motion to reopen deportation case.—Fifth Circuit erred in declining to take jurisdiction to review Board's decision denying his request for equitable tolling of time limit for filing a motion to reopen Mata's deportation case. *Reyes Mata v. Lynch*, p. 143.

LETHAL-INJECTION PROTOCOL. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

LIBERTY INTERESTS. See **Constitutional Law, III, 2.**

LICENSE PLATES. See **Constitutional Law, V, 1.**

LOW-INCOME HOUSING. See **Fair Housing Act.**

MARRIAGE. See **Constitutional Law, III, 3.**

MENTALLY RETARDED CRIMINALS. See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**

MENTAL-STATE REQUIREMENT. See **Controlled Substance Analogue Enforcement Act of 1986.**

NATIONALITY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

OKLAHOMA. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

OUTDOOR SIGNS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

PATENTS.

Patentee royalties—Sales after patent expiration.—Stare decisis requires adherence to *Brulotte v. Thys Co.*, 379 U. S. 29, in which Court held that a patentee cannot continue to receive royalties for sales made after his patent expires. *Kimble v. Marvel Entertainment, LLC*, p. 446.

PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.

Tax credits—States with Federal Exchange.—Act's tax credits are available to individuals in States that have a Federal Exchange. *King v. Burwell*, p. 473.

POWER PLANTS. See **Clean Air Act**.

PRESIDENTIAL POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES. See **Bankruptcy**.

RAISINS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

REGULATORY TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

ROYALTIES. See **Patents**.

SCIENTER. See **Controlled Substance Analogue Enforcement Act of 1986**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SENTENCES. See **Armed Career Criminal Act of 1984**.

SEPARATION OF POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SIGNAGE REGULATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

STARE DECISIS. See **Patents**.

SUPREME COURT.

Term statistics, p. 1097.

TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

TAX CREDITS. See **Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act**.

TEXAS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Fair Housing Act**.

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

WITNESS TESTIMONY. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “[C]onduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another.” Armed Career Criminal Act of 1984, 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(2)(B). *Johnson v. United States*, p. 591.

2. “[R]easonable compensation . . . for services rendered.” Bankruptcy Code, 11 U. S. C. § 330(a)(1). *Baker Botts L.L.P. v. ASARCO LLC*, p. 121.