

INDEX

ABORTION CLINICS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW. See **Clean Air Act**.

AGENCY FEES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

ALIENS. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996. See **Habeas Corpus**.

APPOINTMENT OF FEDERAL OFFICIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ARRESTEES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ARTICLE III COURTS. See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

ARTICLE III STANDING. See **Standing**.

BANK FRAUD. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

BANKRUPTCY.

1. “*Core*” and “*non-core*” proceedings—*Bankruptcy court authority*.—A “core” proceeding, in which a bankruptcy court lacks constitutional authority under Article III to enter final judgment, see *Stern v. Marshall*, 564 U.S. 462, may proceed as a “non-core” proceeding, in which bankruptcy court may enter final judgment with parties’ consent, see 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b), (c). *Executive Benefits Ins. Agency v. Arkison*, p. 25.

2. “*Retirement funds*” exemption—*Inherited IRAs*.—Funds held in an inherited IRA are not “retirement funds” exempt from a bankruptcy estate pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 522(b)(3)(C). *Clark v. Rameker*, p. 122.

BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

BROADCASTING. See **Copyright Act of 1976**.

BUFFER ZONES AT ABORTION CLINICS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

BURDEN OF PROOF. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

CELLULAR TELEPHONE SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

CHEVRON DEFERENCE. See **Clean Air Act**.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

CLEAN AIR ACT.

“Prevention of Significant Deterioration” (PSD) program or Title V permits—Potential greenhouse-gas emissions—“Best available control technology” (BACT).—Act neither compels nor permits EPA to adopt an interpretation of Act requiring a source to obtain a permit under PSD program or Title V on sole basis of its potential greenhouse-gas emissions; but EPA’s decision to require BACT for greenhouse gases emitted by sources otherwise subject to PSD review is, as a general matter, a permissible interpretation of statute under *Chevron U. S. A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837. *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA*, p. 302.

CLOSELY HELD CORPORATIONS. See **Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993**.

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980. See **Pre-Emption**.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Bankruptcy**, 1; **Standing**.

I. Freedom of Association.

State Medicaid program’s homecare “personal assistants”—Labor union’s agency fee.—Because Illinois Home Services Program’s “personal assistants” are not full-fledged public employees, *Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Ed.*, 431 U.S. 209, does not apply; generally applicable First Amendment standards prohibit respondent labor union from collecting agency fee from personal assistants who do not want to join or support union. *Harris v. Quinn*, p. 616.

II. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Civil rights retaliatory discharge claim—Testimony in criminal prosecution.*—Where petitioner, a former public employee, filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 suit alleging that he was fired by respondent for testifying in prosecution of a state representative, petitioner’s sworn testimony outside scope of his ordinary job duties was entitled to First Amendment protection; but respondent is entitled to qualified immunity for claims against him in his individual capacity. *Lane v. Franks*, p. 228.

2. *State law criminalizing standing near abortion facilities.*—Massachusetts statute that makes it a crime to knowingly stand on a “public way or sidewalk” within 35 feet of an entrance or driveway to any “reproductive health care facility” where abortions are performed violates First Amendment. *McCullen v. Coakley*, p. 464.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**III. Recess Appointments Clause.**

Recess of sufficient length—Recess of less than 10 days.—Recess Appointments Clause empowers President to fill any existing vacancy during any recess of sufficient length; a recess of less than 10 days is presumptively too short to fall within Clause; because instant appointments were made during 3-day intra-session recess, President lacked authority to make them. *NLRB v. Noel Canning*, p. 513.

IV. Searches and Seizures.

Warrantless search of arrestee's cell phone.—Police generally may not, without a warrant, search digital information on a cell phone seized from an individual who has been arrested. *Riley v. California*, p. 373.

CONTRACEPTION. See **Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.**

COPYRIGHT ACT OF 1976.

Infringement—Public performance of copyrighted television programs.—Aereo—which sells a service that allows subscribers to watch television programs over Internet at about same time as programs are broadcast over air—“perform[s]” petitioners’ programs “publicly” within meaning of Copyright Act of 1976. *American Broadcasting Cos. v. Aereo, Inc.*, p. 431.

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, II, 2; IV; **Habeas Corpus.**

1. *Bank fraud—Intent to defraud financial institution.*—In making a case under federal bank fraud statute for “knowingly execut[ing] a scheme . . . to obtain” property owned by, or under custody of, a bank “by means of false or fraudulent pretenses,” 18 U. S. C. § 1344(2), Government is not required to prove that a defendant intended to defraud a financial institution. *Loughrin v. United States*, p. 351.

2. *Federal gun law—Materiality of false statement to lawfulness of gun sale.*—Where Abramski was charged with violating federal gun law, his false statement on a federal form that he was actual firearm purchaser was “material to the lawfulness of the sale,” 18 U. S. C. § 922(a)(6), and a part of “information required . . . to be kept” in gun dealer’s records, § 924(a)(1)(A). *Abramski v. United States*, p. 169.

DEBTORS AND CREDITORS. See **Bankruptcy.**

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Employee stock option plan fiduciaries—Duty of prudence.—Fiduciaries of employee stock option plans are subject to same duty of prudence imposed on ERISA fiduciaries in general, except that they need not diver-

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974—

Continued.

sify fund's assets; they are not entitled to any special presumption of prudence. *Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer*, p. 409.

EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS. See **Taxes.**

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW. See **Clean Air Act; Pre-Emption.**

FALSE ADVERTISING. See **Lanham Act.**

FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT. See **Lanham Act.**

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Pre-Emption.**

FIDUCIARY DUTY. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS. See **Patents.**

FIREARMS. See **Criminal Law, 2.**

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I; II.**

FOOD AND BEVERAGE LABELING. See **Lanham Act.**

FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976.

Foreign-sovereign judgment debtor—Postjudgment discovery of extraterritorial assets.—No provision in Act immunizes a foreign-sovereign judgment debtor from postjudgment discovery of information concerning its extraterritorial assets. *Republic of Argentina v. NML Capital, Ltd.*, p. 134.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET THEORY. See **Securities Law.**

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Constitutional Law, II, 1.**

HABEAS CORPUS.

Sixth Amendment claim.—Judgment is vacated, and case is remanded for consideration of petitioner's Sixth Amendment claim under standard set forth in 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d). *Williams v. Johnson*, p. 773.

HEALTH INSURANCE. See **Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.**

ILLINOIS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.

Family preference visa petitions—“Aging out” of derivative beneficiaries.—Ninth Circuit’s judgment—that Act unambiguously extends priority date retention and automatic conversion to derivative beneficiaries of all family preference visa petitions who “age out,” *i. e.*, lose eligibility by turning 21, see 8 U. S. C. § 1153(h)(3)—is reversed, and case is remanded. *Scialabba v. Cuellar de Osorio*, p. 41.

INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS. See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. See **Taxes.**

INTERNET. See **Copyright Act of 1976.**

LABOR UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

LANHAM ACT.

Challenging food and beverage labels as deceptive and misleading.—Competitors may bring Lanham Act claims challenging, as deceptive and misleading, food and beverage labels regulated by Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. *POM Wonderful LLC v. Coca-Cola Co.*, p. 102.

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Pre-Emption.**

MASSACHUSETTS. See **Constitutional Law, II, 2.**

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

OHIO. See **Standing.**

PATENTS.

Scheme for mitigating settlement risk—Patent eligibility.—Petitioner’s patent claims, which disclose a scheme for mitigating settlement risk—*i. e.*, risk that only one party to an agreed-upon financial exchange will satisfy its obligation—are drawn to a patent-ineligible abstract idea and thus are not eligible for patent protection under 35 U. S. C. § 101. *Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int’l*, p. 208.

PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT OF 2010.
See **Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.**

PENSION PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

POLICE CONDUCT. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

POLITICAL SPEECH. See **Standing.**

PRE-EMPTION.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980—State tort suits arising from release of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant—State statutes of repose.—Title 42 U. S. C. § 9658—which pre-empts state-law statutes of limitations in certain tort actions arising from release of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant—does not pre-empt state statutes of repose. *CTS Corp. v. Waldburger*, p. 1.

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE LABOR UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

RECESS APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM RESTORATION ACT OF 1993.

Government-required insurance coverage for contraception—Closely held corporations.—Act does not permit Department of Health and Human Services to require closely held corporations to provide health-insurance coverage for methods of contraception that violate sincerely held religious beliefs of companies' owners. *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, p. 682.

RETALIATORY DISCHARGE. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

RISK MANAGEMENT. See **Patents.**

RULE 10b–5. See **Securities Law.**

SALES OF FIREARMS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

SECURITIES LAW.

Private securities fraud actions—Basic's presumption of reliance—Rebuttal before certification of class action.—Adhering to decision in *Basic Inc. v. Levinson*, 485 U. S. 224, this Court declines to modify prerequisites for invoking, in private securities fraud actions, presumption that price of stock traded in an efficient market reflects all public, material information—including material misstatements; however, defendants must be afforded an opportunity before class certification to defeat presumption through evidence that an alleged misrepresentation did not actually affect stock's market price. *Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.*, p. 258.

SEPARATION OF POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

SHAREHOLDERS' SUITS. See **Securities Law.**

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Habeas Corpus.**

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976.**

STANDING.

Sufficiently imminent injury—Credible threat of enforcement of state law criminalizing false political speech.—Petitioners have shown a sufficiently imminent injury for Article III standing purposes by alleging a credible threat of enforcement of an Ohio law that criminalizes false statements made during course of a political campaign. *Susan B. Anthony List v. Driehaus*, p. 149.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See **Pre-Emption.**

SUPREME COURT.

Term statistics, p. 992.

TAXES.

IRS taxpayer summons—Taxpayer's right to examine IRS officials.—In a proceeding brought by IRS to enforce a taxpayer summons, taxpayer has a right to examine IRS officials regarding their reasons for issuing summons if taxpayer points to specific facts or circumstances plausibly raising an inference of bad faith. *United States v. Clarke*, p. 248.

TELEVISION PROGRAMS. See **Copyright Act of 1976.**

UNFAIR COMPETITION. See **Lanham Act.**

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “[P]erform” and “publicly.” Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U. S. C. § 106(4). *American Broadcasting Cos. v. Aereo, Inc.*, p. 431.

2. “[R]etirement funds.” Bankruptcy Code, 11 U. S. C. § 522(b)(3)(C). *Clark v. Rameker*, p. 122.