

INDEX

- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Immigration Law**, 1.
- ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV, 1; VII, 1.
- AGGRAVATED FELONY CONVICTIONS.** See **Immigration Law**, 2.
- AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; VII; **Habeas Corpus**, 1, 2, 3, 5.
- APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 6.
- APPORTIONMENT.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.
- “ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS” STANDARD OF REVIEW.** See **Immigration Law**, 1.
- ARBITRATION.** See **Federal Arbitration Act**.
- ASBESTOS.** See **Locomotive Inspection Act**.
- ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 4.
- BERNE CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF LITERARY AND ARTISTIC WORKS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; V.
- BIVENS ACTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.
- CALIFORNIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Federal Meat Inspection Act**.
- CAPITAL CASES.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 6.
- CERTIFICATES OF APPEALABILITY.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.**

Investigation of gang member for shooting—Search of home pursuant to warrant—Reasonable belief of fair probability of finding relevant evidence.—Where police officers investigating a known gang member for

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871—Continued.

shooting at his ex-girlfriend searched respondents' home pursuant to a warrant authorizing a search for all guns and gang-related materials, officers were entitled to qualified immunity from damages in respondents' ensuing 42 U.S. C. § 1983 suit because officers reasonably believed they had a fair probability of finding illegal firearms and other evidence relevant to crime. *Messerschmidt v. Millender*, p. 535.

CLERGY. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

CONCURRENT JURISDICTION. See **Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991**.

CONFESSIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**I. Confrontation of witnesses.**

Retrial—Witness availability.—State's efforts to secure presence of a witness in respondent's retrial were reasonable under this Court's Confrontation Clause precedents; thus, Seventh Circuit erred in not according deference to state court's decision to treat witness as unavailable and allow her previous testimony to be read in court. *Hardy v. Cross*, p. 65.

II. Copyright Clause.

Uruguay Round Agreements Act—Restoration of copyright.—Section 514 of Uruguay Round Agreements Act—which grants works enjoying copyright protection in member countries of Berne Convention for Protection of Literary and Artistic Works same full term of protection available to United States works—does not exceed Congress' authority under Copyright Clause. *Golan v. Holder*, p. 302.

III. Cruel and unusual punishment.

Prisoner's medical care—Privately managed federal prison—Bivens action versus state tort law action.—Eighth Amendment does not imply an action under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*, 403 U. S. 388, against employees of a privately managed federal prison accused of giving respondent inadequate medical care, since state tort law authorizes adequate alternative damages actions that provide both significant deterrence and compensation. *Minneeci v. Pollard*, p. 118.

IV. Due process.

1. *Eyewitness identification—Reliability of evidence.*—Due Process Clause does not require a preliminary judicial inquiry into reliability of eyewitness identification when identification was not procured under un-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.—Continued.

necessarily suggestive circumstances arranged by law enforcement. *Perry v. New Hampshire*, p. 228.

2. *Failure to disclose police evidence—Brady violation.*—Prosecution's failure to disclose police files containing eyewitness statements that were plainly material violated *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83. *Smith v. Cain*, p. 73.

V. Freedom of expression.

Uruguay Round Agreements Act—Restoration of copyright.—First Amendment does not inhibit restoration of copyright authorized by §514 of Uruguay Round Agreements Act, which grants works enjoying copyright protection in member countries of Berne Convention for Protection of Literary and Artistic Works same full term of protection available to United States works. *Golan v. Holder*, p. 302.

VI. Freedom of religion.

Employment discrimination laws—Ministerial exception.—“Ministerial exception” grounded in Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses bars employment discrimination suits brought on behalf of ministers against their churches; here, exception required dismissal of plaintiff-minister's Americans with Disabilities Act claim against her religious employer. *Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v. EEOC*, p. 171.

VII. Privilege against self-incrimination.

1. *Custodial interrogation techniques—Miranda warnings.*—Sixth Circuit erred in overturning Ohio Supreme Court's judgment affirming respondent's murder conviction; although Ohio police did not give Dixon *Miranda* warnings before his forgery confession, admission of his subsequent murder confession was consistent with this Court's precedents. *Bobby v. Dixon*, p. 23.

2. *Questioning of prisoner about events outside prison—Custody for Miranda purposes.*—Sixth Circuit's federal habeas ruling erred in holding that Supreme Court precedent establishes categorical rule that a prisoner who is taken aside and questioned about events occurring outside prison is necessarily in custody within meaning of *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436. *Howes v. Fields*, p. 499.

VIII. Searches and seizures.

1. *Global-Positioning-System—Monitoring of vehicle.*—Government's attachment of a GPS device to respondent's vehicle, and use of that device to monitor vehicle's movements, constitutes a Fourth Amendment search. *United States v. Jones*, p. 400.

2. *Warrantless home entry—Police fear of imminent violence.*—Ninth Circuit erred in concluding that petitioner officers' entry into respondents'

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.—Continued.

home violated Fourth Amendment, since District Court's findings showed officers had an objectively reasonable basis for fearing imminent violence. *Ryburn v. Huff*, p. 469.

IX. Supremacy Clause.

State Medicaid providers and beneficiaries—Actions to enjoin Medicaid rate reductions.—Ninth Circuit is to consider in first instance question whether respondents—Medicaid providers and beneficiaries seeking to enjoin California's rate reductions on ground that they were pre-empted by federal Medicaid law—may maintain Supremacy Clause actions now that federal agency that administers federal Medicaid program has approved rate reductions. *Douglas v. Independent Living Center of Southern Cal., Inc.*, p. 606.

COPYRIGHT CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

CREDIT REPAIR ORGANIZATIONS ACT. See **Federal Arbitration Act**, 2.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Constitutional Law**, I; IV; VII; VIII; **Habeas Corpus**; **Immigration Law**, 2; **Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act**.

CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

DEPORTATION OF ALIENS. See **Immigration Law**.

DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ELECTION LAW. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

ELECTRONIC TRACKING DEVICE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

EQUAL-FOOTING DOCTRINE. See **Riparian Rights**.

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV; VII, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EXPERT TESTIMONY. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EYEWITNESS EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

FAIR USE DEFENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT.

1. *Enforcement of arbitration agreement—Arbitrability of pendant claims.*—Where complaint was held to contain some nonarbitrable claims, Florida Court of Appeal failed to give proper effect to Act's directive that courts enforce arbitration agreements when it affirmed denial of motion to compel arbitration without addressing whether any of remaining claims were arbitrable. *KPMG LLP v. Cocchi*, p. 18.

2. *Enforcement of binding arbitration agreement—Credit Repair Organizations Act violations.*—Because Credit Repair Organizations Act is silent on whether CROA claims can proceed in an arbitrable forum, Federal Arbitration Act requires respondents' claims to proceed according to credit card agreement's binding arbitration terms. *CompuCredit Corp. v. Greenwood*, p. 95.

3. *Predispute arbitration agreements—West Virginia nursing homes—Negligence.*—By holding unenforceable all predispute arbitration agreements that apply to claims of personal injury or wrongful death against nursing homes, Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia did not follow controlling federal law, which requires state courts to enforce Act with respect to all arbitration agreements covered by that statute. *Marmet Health Care Center, Inc. v. Brown*, p. 530.

FEDERAL MEAT INSPECTION ACT.

Pre-emption—California Penal Code—Swine slaughterhouse prohibitions.—Act expressly pre-empts California Penal Code prohibition on swine slaughterhouse sale, receipt, butchering, and processing of nonambulatory animals, as well as mandate of immediate euthanasia for such animals. *National Meat Assn. v. Harris*, p. 452.

FEDERAL-QUESTION JURISDICTION. See **Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.**

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law, IX; Federal Arbitration Act, 3; Federal Meat Inspection Act; Locomotive Inspection Act.**

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, VII, 2.**

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, V, VI.**

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871, VI.**

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, VIII.**

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

1. *Cause of death evidence—Conflicting expert medical testimony.*—Because jury's verdict of guilt for assault on a child resulting in death was supported by record and not objectively unreasonable, Ninth Circuit plainly erred in concluding that experts' conflicting evidence about infant's cause of death was insufficient to support a finding of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. *Cavazos v. Smith*, p. 1.

2. *Certificate of appealability—Showing constitutional-right denial—One-year statute of limitations.*—Title 28 U. S. C. § 2253(c)'s requirement that a certificate of appealability indicate what specific issue satisfies a habeas petitioner's showing of denial of a constitutional right is a mandatory but nonjurisdictional rule; § 2244(d)(1)(A)'s 1-year limitations period begins to run for a state prisoner who forgoes review in a State's highest court when time for seeking such review expires. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, p. 134.

3. *Nondisclosure of police activity sheet—Examination of state-court decision for unreasonable grounds.*—Failure to disclose an activity sheet prior to trial, which Lambert argues suggests that someone other than, or in addition to, himself was involved in a robbery and double homicide, and which state courts found not to be exculpatory but both ambiguous and speculative for numerous reasons, cannot provide basis for Lambert's release or retrial unless each ground supporting state-court decision is examined and found to be unreasonable under 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d). *Wetzel v. Lambert*, p. 520.

4. *Procedural default of habeas claim—Attorney abandonment.*—Maples' attorneys' abandonment was "cause" sufficient to excuse his failure to file a timely notice of appeal in state court for purposes of federal habeas review. *Maples v. Thomas*, p. 266.

5. *State-court adjudication on merits—"Clearly established federal law."*—For purposes of determining whether 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d)(1) permits federal habeas relief on a claim "adjudicated on the merits in State court," "clearly established Federal law" is law at time of state-court adjudication on merits; here, relevant federal law was not established at time of state-court adjudication. *Greene v. Fisher*, p. 34.

6. *Substitution of appointed counsel in capital cases—"Interests of justice" standard.*—When evaluating substitution of appointed counsel motions in capital cases under 18 U. S. C. § 3599, courts should employ same "interests of justice" standard that applies in noncapital cases under § 3006A; District Court did not abuse its discretion in denying Clair's second request for new counsel under that standard. *Martel v. Clair*, p. 648.

HOME SEARCHES. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

IMMIGRATION LAW.

1. *Board of Immigration Appeals—Deportation relief—Administrative Procedure Act.*—Board of Immigration Appeals' policy for deciding when resident aliens may apply to Attorney General for relief from deportation using a now-repealed immigration law provision is "arbitrary and capricious" under APA. *Judulang v. Holder*, p. 42.

2. *Deportation—Aggravated felony—Tax fraud.*—A tax fraud conviction under either 26 U. S. C. § 7206(1) or § 7206(2) that involves Government revenue loss exceeding \$10,000 is a deportable aggravated felony under 8 U. S. C. § 1101(a)(43)(M)(i). *Kawashima v. Holder*, p. 478.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 4.

JOB-RELATED INJURIES. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

JUDICIAL PRECLEARANCE. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

LOCOMOTIVE INSPECTION ACT.

State-law defective design and failure to warn claims—Asbestos-containing locomotive parts—Federal pre-emption.—Petitioners' state-law claims of defective design and failure to warn of asbestos-containing locomotive parts fall within the field of locomotive equipment regulation pre-empted by Act, 49 U. S. C. § 20701 *et seq.*, as that field was defined in *Napier v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.*, 272 U. S. 605. *Kurns v. Railroad Friction Products Corp.*, p. 625.

LONGSHORE AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT.

Employee's coverage—Substantial nexus test.—Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act extends Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act coverage to an employee who can establish a substantial nexus between his injury and his employer's extractive operations on Outer Continental Shelf. *Pacific Operators Offshore, LLP v. Valladolid*, p. 207.

MARITIME EMPLOYMENT. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

MATERIALITY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

MEDICAID. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

MEDICAL TREATMENT OF PRISONERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

MIRANDA WARNINGS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

MONTANA. See **Riparian Rights**.

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LANDS ACT. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Federal Arbitration Act**, 3; **Federal Meat Inspection Act**; **Locomotive Inspection Act**.

PRISONERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; VII, 2.

PROCEDURAL DEFAULT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 4.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

RAILROADS. See **Locomotive Inspection Act**.

REDISTRICTING. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

REGISTRATION OF SEX OFFENDERS. See **Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act**.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RESIDENT ALIENS. See **Immigration Law**, 1.

RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

RIPARIAN RIGHTS.

Disputed title to riverbed lands—Rules of navigability—Equal-footing doctrine.—Montana Supreme Court's ruling that Montana owns and may charge for use of certain riverbeds on Missouri, Madison, and Clark Fork Rivers was based on an infirm legal understanding of this Court's rules of navigability for title under equal-footing doctrine. *PPL Montana, LLC v. Montana*, p. 576.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Civil Rights Act Of 1871**.

SECTION 1983. See **Civil Rights Act Of 1871**.

SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION ACT.

Pre-Act offenders—Registration requirement.—Act—which requires convicted sex offenders to provide and keep current personal information for state and federal sex offender registries—does not require offenders convicted prior to Act's effective date to register before Attorney General validly specifies that Act's registration provisions apply to them. *Reynolds v. United States*, p. 432.

STATE-COURT JURISDICTION. See **Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991**.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

SUGGESTIVE IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

TAX FRAUD. See **Immigration Law**, 2.

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 1991.

Private suits—United States district courts—General federal-question jurisdiction.—Act—which provides that private parties may file suit “in an appropriate court of [a] State,” “if [such an action is] otherwise permitted by the laws or rules of court of [that] State,” 47 U. S. C. §§227(b)(3), (c)(5)—does not deprive United States district courts of their general federal-question jurisdiction over private TCPA suits. *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, p. 368.

TEXAS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

URUGUAY ROUND AGREEMENTS ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; V.

VEHICLE SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1.

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

Section 5—District Court’s interim maps for 2012 Texas elections.—In drawing interim maps for 2012 Texas elections, Federal District Court had benefit of Texas Legislature’s recently enacted electoral map plans and had neither need nor license to cast aside policy judgments therein; because it is unclear whether court followed appropriate standards in drawing its interim maps, orders implementing those maps are vacated. *Perry v. Perez*, p. 388.

WEST VIRGINIA. See **Federal Arbitration Act**, 3.

WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

“Clearly established Federal law.” Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, 28 U. S. C. §2254(d)(1). *Greene v. Fisher*, p. 34.

WORKERS’ COMPENSATION. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act**.