

INDEX

APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

ARBITRATION. See **Federal Arbitration Act**; **Labor**.

BAN ON HANDGUN POSSESSION. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

BEARING ARMS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

BILLS OF LADING. See **Carmack Amendment**.

BRIBERY AND KICKBACK SCHEMES. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

CARMACK AMENDMENT.

Through bill of lading issued abroad—Applying terms to domestic rail portion of trip.—Because amendment—which governs bills of lading issued by domestic rail carriers—does not apply to a shipment originating overseas under a single through bill of lading, parties' agreement in overseas bill of lading to litigate these cases in Tokyo is binding. *Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. v. Regal-Beloit Corp.*, p. 89.

COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. See **Labor**.

COMMODITIES TRADING. See **Patent Act**.

COMMUNITY PREJUDICE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS. See **Injunctions**, 2.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

I. Due Process.

1. *Second Amendment right to bear arms—Application to States.*—Fourteenth Amendment incorporates Second Amendment right, recognized in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570, to keep and bear arms for purpose of self-defense. *McDonald v. Chicago*, p. 742.

2. *Vagueness—Material support of terrorist organizations.*—Federal statute—which makes it a crime to “knowingly provid[e] material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization,” 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1), and defines “material support or resources” to include “any . . . service, . . . training, expert advice or assistance, [or] personnel . . .,” § 2339A(b)(1)—

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

is not, as applied to particular training and political advocacy at issue, materially vague in violation of Due Process Clause. *Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project*, p. 1.

II. Freedom of Religion.

Public law school—Rules for granting official recognition to student groups.—A public law school's policy requiring student groups seeking official recognition and benefits to open their membership and leadership eligibility to all students, including those who do not share their core beliefs about religion and sexual orientation, is a reasonable, viewpoint-neutral condition on access to a limited public forum that does not impair groups' First Amendment right to free exercise of religion. *Christian Legal Soc. Chapter of Univ. of Cal., Hastings College of Law v. Martinez*, p. 661.

III. Freedom of Speech and Association.

1. *Material support of terrorist organizations.*—Federal statute—which makes it a crime to “knowingly provid[e] material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization,” 18 U. S. C. § 2339B(a)(1), defining “material support or resources” to include “any . . . service, . . . training, expert advice or assistance, [or] personnel . . .,” § 2339A(b)(1)—does not, as applied to particular training and political advocacy at issue, violate First Amendment. *Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project*, p. 1.

2. *Political speech—Disclosure of referendum petitions.*—Public disclosure, pursuant to Washington State's Public Records Act, of referendum petitions that contain signers' names and addresses does not as a general matter violate First Amendment. *Doe v. Reed*, p. 186.

3. *Public law school—Rules for granting official recognition to student groups.*—A public law school's policy requiring student groups seeking official recognition and benefits to open their membership and leadership eligibility to all students, including those who do not share their core beliefs about religion and sexual orientation, is a reasonable, viewpoint-neutral condition on access to a limited public forum that does not impair groups' First Amendment rights to free speech and expressive association. *Christian Legal Soc. Chapter of Univ. of Cal., Hastings College of Law v. Martinez*, p. 661.

IV. Right to Fair Trial.

Pretrial publicity and community prejudice.—Because Skilling did not establish a presumption of prejudice or actual bias with regard to his jury, pretrial publicity and community prejudice did not prevent him from obtaining a fair trial. *Skilling v. United States*, p. 358.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**V. Separation of Powers.**

Presidential authority—Removal of executive officers.—Dual for-cause limitations on removal of respondent Board members—who could only be removed by Securities and Exchange Commission for good cause when Commissioners themselves could, in turn, only be removed by President for good cause—limits President’s removal power in contravention of Constitution’s separation of powers; unconstitutional tenure provisions are severable from remainder of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002; and Board’s appointment is consistent with Appointments Clause. *Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Bd.*, p. 477.

CRIMINAL LAW.

1. *Honest-services wire fraud—Bribery or kickback scheme.*—Because 18 U. S. C. § 1346—which provides that, “[f]or the purposes of th[e] chapter [of the U. S. Code that prohibits, *inter alia*, wire fraud, § 1343], the term ‘scheme or artifice to defraud’ includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services”—is properly confined to cover only bribery and kickback schemes, Skilling’s alleged honest-services wire fraud, which entailed no bribe or kickback, did not fall within § 1346’s proscription. *Skilling v. United States*, p. 358.

2. *Honest-services wire fraud—Bribery or kickback scheme.*—Judgment vacated and case remanded to Ninth Circuit for further consideration in light of *Skilling v. United States*, p. 358. *Weyhrauch v. United States*, p. 476.

3. *Jury instructions on honest-services component of mail-fraud statute—Preservation of appeal.*—Here, holding in *Skilling v. United States*, p. 358, invalidates jury instructions on honest-services component of federal mail-fraud statute; by raising a timely objection to those instructions at trial, petitioners secured their right to challenge instructions on appeal and did not forfeit that right by declining to acquiesce in Government’s request for discrete findings on alternative theft-of-property and honest-services theories. *Black v. United States*, p. 465.

4. *State postconviction relief—Penalty phase error—Prejudice caused by counsel’s facially inadequate mitigation investigation.*—State post-conviction trial court erred when it concluded that it could not speculate as to whether counsel’s facially inadequate mitigation investigation prejudiced Sears since it resulted in presentation of *some* mitigation evidence; proper prejudice standard under *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U. S. 668, which requires precisely type of probing and fact-specific analysis not undertaken here, applies regardless of how much or how little mitigation evidence is presented during initial penalty phase. *Sears v. Upton*, p. 945.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

ELECTIONS. See **Injunctions**, 2.

EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES. See **Labor**.

ENERGY MARKETS. See **Patent Act**.

ENRON. See **Constitutional Law IV**; **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

EXECUTIVE'S REMOVAL AUTHORITY. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT.

Arbitrator's power to hear challenges to arbitration agreements.—Under FAA—where an agreement to arbitrate includes an agreement that arbitrator will determine agreement's enforceability—if a party specifically challenges enforceability of that particular agreement to arbitrate, district court considers challenge, but if a party challenges enforceability of agreement as a whole, challenge is for arbitrator. *Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson*, p. 63.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III.

FOREIGN SECURITIES TRADED ABROAD. See **Securities Exchange Act of 1934**.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 3.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

GENETICALLY ENGINEERED PLANTS. See **Injunctions**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS.

"Second or successive application"—*Challenge to resentence.*—Where a Federal District Court issued a conditional habeas writ ordering state court to release or resentence Magwood, his new sentence was a new judgment, and his first habeas application challenging that new judgment is not a "second or successive application" under 28 U. S. C. § 2244(b). *Magwood v. Patterson*, p. 320.

HANDGUN POSSESSION BAN. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

HONEST-SERVICES WIRE FRAUD. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

INJUNCTIONS.

1. *Abuse of discretion—Deregulation of genetically engineered plants.*—District Court abused its discretion in enjoining Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service from effecting a partial deregulation of two strains of “Roundup Ready Alfalfa”—which, as genetically engineered plants are presumed to be “plant pests” banned by Plant Protection Act—and in prohibiting planting of RRA pending agency’s completion of its detailed environmental review. *Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms*, p. 139.

2. *Independent candidate for office—Petition to be placed on ballot—Validating signatures.*—Applicant’s request for an injunction pending appeal, which would require Virginia State Board of Elections to count signatures that he collected in an effort to place himself on congressional ballot, is denied. *Lux v. Rodrigues* (ROBERTS, C. J., in chambers), p. 1306.

INTERNATIONAL SHIPPING. See **Carmack Amendment.**

INVENTIONS. See **Patent Act.**

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

KEEPING AND BEARING ARMS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

KICKBACK AND BRIBERY SCHEMES. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

LABOR.

Labor Management Relations Act, 1947—Interpretation of collective-bargaining agreement—Interference with agreement.—Parties’ dispute over ratification date of their collective-bargaining agreement was a matter for District Court, not an arbitrator, to resolve; Ninth Circuit did not err in declining to recognize a new federal common-law cause of action under § 301(a) of LMRA for respondent international union’s alleged tortious interference with agreement. *Granite Rock Co. v. Teamsters*, p. 287.

LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT, 1947. See **Labor.**

LAW SCHOOLS AND STUDENT GROUPS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

LOUISIANA. See **Stays.**

MATERIAL SUPPORT OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; III, 1.

MITIGATION EVIDENCE. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

OVERSEAS SHIPMENTS. See **Carmack Amendment.**

PATENT ACT.

Patent-eligible process—Mathematical formula for commodities traders.—Petitioners' claimed invention, which explains how commodities buyers and sellers in energy market can hedge against risk of price changes and which places that concept into a simple mathematical formula, is not a patent-eligible "process" under 35 U.S.C. §101. *Bilski v. Kappos*, p. 593.

PLANT PROTECTION ACT. See **Injunctions**, 1.

POLITICAL SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

PRESIDENTIAL REMOVAL AUTHORITY. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

PRETRIAL PUBLICITY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PROCESSES ELIGIBLE FOR PATENTS. See **Patent Act**.

PUBLIC LAW SCHOOLS AND STUDENT GROUPS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 3.

RAIL CARRIERS. See **Carmack Amendment**.

REFERENDUM PETITIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

REGULATION OF GENETICALLY ENGINEERED PLANTS. See **Injunctions**, 1.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

REMOVAL OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RESENTENCING AS A NEW JUDGMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**.

RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

SECOND AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE APPLICATIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

Section 10(b) cause of action—Foreign plaintiffs—Suit involving securities traded abroad.—Section 10(b) does not provide a cause of action to foreign plaintiffs suing foreign and American defendants for misconduct in connection with securities traded on foreign exchanges. *Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.*, p. 247.

SENTENCING. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 3.

SHIPMENTS ORIGINATING OVERSEAS. See **Carmack Amendment**.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

STATE POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

STAYS.

Class action judgment against tobacco companies.—Applicant tobacco companies' request for a stay of a Louisiana state court's judgment that awarded damages and other relief to a class of smokers who claimed that they were defrauded by applicants' actions is granted pending applicants' timely filing, and this Court's disposition, of a certiorari petition. *Philip Morris USA Inc. v. Scott* (SCALIA, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

STUDENT GROUPS AND PUBLIC LAW SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 3.

SUPPORTING TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; III, 1.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Retirement of JUSTICE STEVENS, p. IX.
2. Appointment of JUSTICE KAGAN, p. XVII.
3. Retirement of Frank D. Wagner as Reporter of Decisions, p. XIII.
4. Term statistics, p. 1309.

TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; III, 1.

TOBACCO LAWSUITS. See **Stays**.

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENT. See **Labor**.

VAGUENESS OF TERRORIST LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

VIRGINIA. See **Injunctions**, 2.

WASHINGTON. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

WIRE FRAUD. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Knowingly provid[e] material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization.*" 18 U. S. C. § 2339B(a)(1). *Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project*, p. 1.

2. "*Scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.*" 18 U. S. C. § 1346. *Skilling v. United States*, p. 358.