

INDEX

AGGRAVATED FELONIES. See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

ALABAMA. See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact.**

AMENDED PLEADINGS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

AMENDMENT OF FINAL SENTENCE. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1, 2.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

Sherman Act—Licensing of intellectual property.—NFL's conduct related to licensing intellectual property of its member teams constitutes concerted action that is not categorically beyond coverage of §1 of Act. *American Needle, Inc. v. National Football League*, p. 183.

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

ATTORNEY'S FEES. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974; Equal Access to Justice Act.**

BANKRUPTCY.

1. *Chapter 7—Exempt interests in business equipment—Trustee's failure to object to exemptions.*—Where a Chapter 7 debtor valued her claimed exempt interests in business equipment within range Bankruptcy Code allows for what it defines as “property claimed as exempt,” petitioner trustee was not required to object to exemptions in order to preserve estate's right to retain any value in equipment beyond exempt interest's value. *Schwab v. Reilly*, p. 770.

2. *Chapter 13—Calculation of projected disposable income.*—When calculating a debtor's projected disposable income available to pay creditors under a Chapter 13 plan, a bankruptcy court may account for changes in debtor's income or expenses that are known or virtually certain at time of plan's confirmation. *Hamilton v. Lanning*, p. 505.

BEACH EROSION-CONTROL LINES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

BUSINESS EQUIPMENT AS EXEMPT INTEREST IN BANKRUPTCY.
See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

CASES AND CONTROVERSIES. See **Supreme Court.**

CHILD ABDUCTION. See **Hague Convention on Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.**

CIVIL COMMITMENT OF FEDERAL PRISONERS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

Title VII—Race discrimination—Disparate-impact claim—Timeliness of charge.—A Title VII plaintiff who does not file a timely Equal Employment Opportunity Commission charge challenging *adoption* of a practice may assert a disparate-impact claim in a timely charge challenging employer's later *application* of that practice as long as he alleges each element of a disparate-impact claim. *Lewis v. Chicago*, p. 205.

COMITY DOCTRINE. See **Federal-State Relations.**

COMPACTS BETWEEN STATES. See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact.**

CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

I. Congressional Authority.

Mentally ill, sexually dangerous federal prisoners—Civil commitment beyond release date.—Necessary and Proper Clause grants Congress authority sufficient to enact 18 U. S. C. § 4248, which allows a federal district court to order civil commitment of a mentally ill, sexually dangerous federal prisoner beyond date he would otherwise be released. *United States v. Comstock*, p. 126.

II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

Juvenile offenders—Life-imprisonment sentence for nonhomicide crime.—Eighth Amendment's Cruel and Unusual Punishments Clause does not permit a juvenile offender to be sentenced to life in prison without possibility of parole for a nonhomicide crime. *Graham v. Florida*, p. 48.

III. Searches and Seizures.

Government pagers issued to police officers—Search of text messages.—Because search of respondent officer's text messages by his governmental employer was reasonable, petitioners, city and its officials, did not violate respondents' Fourth Amendment rights. *Ontario v. Quon*, p. 746.

IV. Taking of Private Property for Public Use.

Littoral property—Restoration of Florida beaches.—Where Florida law provides that littoral property remains bounded by a permanent

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

erosion-control line, Florida Supreme Court did not take beachfront property without just compensation in violation of Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Stop the Beach Renourishment, Inc. v. Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection*, p. 702.

CRACK-COCAINE SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.**CREDITORS AND DEBTORS.** See **Bankruptcy; Equal Access to Justice Act**.**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also **Constitutional Law**, II; **Supreme Court**.

1. *Federal sentences—Terms of imprisonment—Calculating good time credit.*—Bureau of Prisons' method for calculating good time credit to offset prison time served is most natural reading of 18 U. S. C. § 3624(b)(1) and is thus lawful. *Barber v. Thomas*, p. 474.

2. *Federal Sentencing Guidelines—Reduction of crack-cocaine sentence.*—Holdings of *United States v. Booker*, 543 U. S. 220, do not apply to proceedings under 18 U. S. C. § 3582(c)(2)—which authorizes a district court to reduce an otherwise final sentence based on an amended Guideline, provided that reduction is consistent with Sentencing Commission's pertinent policy statements—and therefore do not require treating as advisory policy statement at issue, which relates to modifying crack-cocaine sentences. *Dillon v. United States*, p. 817.

3. *Mandatory minimum sentences—Machinegun used in a crime of violence.*—Under law imposing a 30-year mandatory minimum sentence for using a machinegun in a crime of violence, 18 U. S. C. §§ 924(c)(1)(A)(i), (B)(ii), fact that a firearm was a machinegun is an element to be proved to jury beyond a reasonable doubt, not a sentencing factor to be proved to judge at sentencing. *United States v. O'Brien*, p. 218.

4. *Plain-error review—Standard for determining when retrial is permitted.*—Second Circuit's holding—that under plain-error review, retrial is necessary if there is any possibility that respondent's conviction could have been based on conduct occurring before criminal statute's enactment—is inconsistent with two of four criteria under this Court's interpretation of plain-error rule, namely, that an appellant demonstrate that error affected his substantial rights and that error seriously affects fairness, integrity, or public reputation of judicial proceedings. *United States v. Marcus*, p. 258.

5. *Sex offenders' interstate travel—Failure to register under Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act—Act's effective date.*—Title 18 U. S. C. § 2250, which makes it a federal crime for any person (1) who "is required to register under" SORNA, and (2) who "travels in interstate or foreign commerce," to (3) "knowingly fail[] to register or update a reg-

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

istration” does not apply to sex offenders whose interstate travel occurred before Act’s effective date. *Carr v. United States*, p. 438.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

CUSTODY RIGHTS. See **Hague Convention on Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.**

DEADLINE FOR RESTITUTION ORDERS. See **Mandatory Victim’s Restitution Act.**

DEBTORS AND CREDITORS. See **Bankruptcy; Equal Access to Justice Act.**

DEBTS TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. See **Equal Access to Justice Act.**

DIPLOMATIC REQUESTS FOR IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976.**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

DISPARATE-IMPACT CLAIMS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

DISPOSABLE INCOME OF CHAPTER 13 DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE. See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact.**

DRUG POSSESSION. See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Attorney’s fees—Prevailing party.—Attorney’s fee claimant need not be a “prevailing party” to be eligible for an award under 29 U. S. C. § 1132(g)(1); a court may award such fees if claimant has achieved “some degree of success on the merits,” *Ruckelshaus v. Sierra Club*, 463 U. S. 680, 694. *Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co.*, p. 242.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.

Attorney’s fees—Offset to satisfy Federal Government debt.—An award of attorney’s fees under EAJA is payable to litigant, not to litigant’s attorney, and is therefore subject, under 31 U. S. C. § 3716, to an offset to satisfy litigant’s pre-existing Government debt. *Astrue v. Ratliff*, p. 586.

EQUITABLE TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**

EROSION-CONTROL LINES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

EXEMPTIONS FROM BANKRUPTCY ESTATE. See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Amended pleading changing a party's name—Relation back to timely original pleading.—Whether an amended pleading relates back to date of a timely filed original pleading depends on whether party to be added “knew or should have known that the action would have been brought against it, but for a mistake concerning the proper party’s identity,” Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 15(c)(1)(C)(ii), not on amending party’s knowledge or timeliness in seeking to amend pleading. *Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A.*, p. 538.

FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See also **Supreme Court**.

Comity doctrine—Discriminatory state taxation claim.—Under comity doctrine, a taxpayer’s complaint of allegedly discriminatory state taxation, even when framed as a request to increase a competitor’s tax burden, must proceed originally in state, rather than federal, court. *Levin v. Commerce Energy, Inc.*, p. 413.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FIREARM USE IN CRIME OF VIOLENCE. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976.

Immunity from suit—Somali official.—Act does not provide a former high ranking official in Somalia with immunity from suit based on actions taken in his official capacity. *Samantar v. Yousuf*, p. 305.

FOREIGN TRAVEL BY SEX OFFENDERS. See **Criminal Law**, 5.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

GOOD TIME CREDIT. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996—Reasonableness of state court’s Miranda decision—Ineffective assistance of counsel.*—State court’s decision rejecting respondent Thompkins’ claim that a statement he made during an interrogation had been elicited in violation of *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U. S. 436, was correct under *de novo* review and therefore necessarily reasonable under AEDPA’s more deferential standard of review; even if his counsel provided ineffective assist-

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

ance in failing to ask for an instruction relating to testimony from an accomplice, Thompson cannot show prejudice under a *de novo* review of this record. *Berghuis v. Thompson*, p. 370.

2. *Limitations period—Equitable tolling.*—One-year statute of limitations in Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 is subject to equitable tolling in appropriate cases. *Holland v. Florida*, p. 631.

3. *State-court factual findings—Presumption of correctness.*—Under governing federal statute in this case, 28 U. S. C. §§ 2254(d)(1)–(8) (1994 ed.), state-court factual findings are presumed correct unless any one of eight exceptions applies; because Eleventh Circuit considered only one of those exceptions and failed to address whether others were at issue, it applied statute and this Court’s precedents incorrectly. *Jefferson v. Upton*, p. 284.

HAGUE CONVENTION ON CIVIL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION.

Custody rights—Child’s removal from country of residence.—A parent’s *ne exeat* right to consent before other parent may remove child from child’s country of habitual residence constitutes a “right of custody” entitling parent to secure prompt return of wrongfully removed child under Convention. *Abbott v. Abbott*, p. 1.

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.

Cancellation of removal—Simple drug possession offenses.—Second or subsequent simple drug possession offenses are not “aggravated felonies” making resident aliens ineligible for cancellation of removal under Act when, as in this case, state conviction is not based on fact of a prior conviction. *Carachuri-Rosendo v. Holder*, p. 563.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976.**

IMPRISONMENT TERMS. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

INCOME AVAILABLE TO CHAPTER 13 DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY. See **Antitrust Acts.**

INTERSTATE TRAVEL BY SEX OFFENDERS. See **Criminal Law**, 5.

JUST COMPENSATION CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

JUVENILE OFFENDERS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Supreme Court.**

LABOR.

National Labor Relations Act—Board's quorum requirement.—Section 3(b) of NLRA requires that a group delegated authority by National Labor Relations Board to act for Board maintain a membership of three in order to exercise that authority. *New Process Steel, L. P. v. NLRB*, p. 674.

LICENSING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY. See **Antitrust Acts.**

LIFE-IMPRISONMENT SENTENCES. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**

LITTORAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

MACHINEGUN USE IN CRIME OF VIOLENCE. See **Criminal Law, 3.**

MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCES. See **Criminal Law, 3.**

MANDATORY VICTIM'S RESTITUTION ACT.

Deadline for ordering restitution—Sentencing court's power to issue order after deadline expires.—A sentencing court that misses Act's 90-day deadline for ordering restitution nonetheless retains power to order restitution—at least where that court made clear before deadline's expiration that it would order restitution, leaving open (for more than 90 days) only amount of restitution. *Dolan v. United States*, p. 605.

MENTALLY ILL FEDERAL PRISONERS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

MIRANDA RIGHTS. See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**

MONTANA. See **Supreme Court.**

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE LICENSING PRACTICES. See **Antitrust Acts.**

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See **Labor.**

NECESSARY AND PROPER CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

NORTH CAROLINA. See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact.**

PARENTS AND CHILDREN. See **Hague Convention on Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.**

PAYMENT OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DEBTS. See **Equal Access to Justice Act.**

PLAIN-ERROR REVIEW STANDARD. See **Criminal Law, 4.**

PLEADING RULES. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

- PREVAILING PARTY.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**
- PROPERTY RIGHTS.** See **Bankruptcy; Constitutional Law, IV.**
- QUORUM REQUIREMENT FOR NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.** See **Labor.**
- RACE DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**
- RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL.** See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact.**
- REGISTRATION OF SEX OFFENDERS.** See **Criminal Law, 5; Supreme Court.**
- RESIDENT ALIENS.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**
- RESTITUTION ORDERS.** See **Mandatory Victim's Restitution Act.**
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**
- RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT.** See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**
- SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**
- SENTENCING.** See **Criminal Law, 1.**
- SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION ACT.** See **Criminal Law, 5.**
- SEX OFFENDERS.** See **Criminal Law, 5; Supreme Court.**
- SEXUALLY DANGEROUS FEDERAL PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**
- SHERMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts.**
- SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**
- SOUTHEAST INTERSTATE LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPACT.**
- Regional radioactive waste disposal—Facility's location.*—In plaintiffs' original action against North Carolina for violation of Compact—under which North Carolina was designated host State for a regional radioactive waste disposal facility—parties' exceptions to Special Master's two Reports are overruled, and Reports are adopted. *Alabama v. North Carolina*, p. 330.
- STATE TAXES.** See **Federal-State Relations.**
- STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**

SUPREME COURT.

Registered sex offender—Certified question.—To help this Court determine whether instant case presents a live case or controversy, following question is certified to Montana Supreme Court: Is respondent's duty to remain registered as a sex offender under Montana law contingent upon validity of conditions of his federal juvenile-supervision order that required him to register as a sex offender, or is duty an independent requirement of Montana law that is unaffected by validity or invalidity of federal juvenile-supervision conditions? *United States v. Juvenile Male*, p. 558.

TAKING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC USE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

TAXES. See **Federal-State Relations**.

TERMS OF IMPRISONMENT. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

TEXT MESSAGE SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

TIMELY PLEADINGS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**.

TITLE VII. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

WASTE DISPOSAL. See **Southeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact**.