

## INDEX

---

**ADMISSIBILITY OF LABORATORY CERTIFICATES.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.**

*Disparate-treatment claim—Proof required—Burden shifting.*—When bringing a disparate-treatment claim under ADEA, a plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of evidence, that age was “but-for” cause of challenged adverse employment action; burden of persuasion does not shift to employer to show that it would have taken action regardless of age, even when a plaintiff has produced some evidence that age was one motivating factor in that decision. *Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc.*, p. 167.

**AGGRAVATED FELONIES.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

**ALASKA.** See **Clean Water Act; Constitutional Law, III.**

**ALIENS.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

**ARIZONA.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

**ASBESTOS LITIGATION.** See **Bankruptcy.**

**BAIL CONDITIONS.** See **Supreme Court, 3.**

**BANKING.**

*Regulations—Pre-emption of state law enforcement against national banks.*—Comptroller of Currency regulation purporting to pre-empt state law enforcement is not a reasonable interpretation of National Bank Act. *Cuomo v. Clearing House Assn., L. L. C.*, p. 519.

**BANKRUPTCY.**

*Asbestos litigation—Suits against bankrupt company’s insurers—Injunctions.*—Terms of Bankruptcy Court’s 1986 orders enjoining certain lawsuits against asbestos-industry giant Johns-Manville Corp.’s insurers bar subsequent direct actions against those insurers; and 1986 orders’ finality generally stands in way of challenging injunction’s enforceability. *Travelers Indemnity Co. v. Bailey*, p. 137.

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.**

*Title VII—Firefighter promotion tests.*—Before an employer can engage in intentional discrimination for asserted purpose of avoiding or remedying an unintentional, disparate impact on particular employees, employer must have a strong basis in evidence to believe it will be subject to disparate-impact liability if it fails to take race-conscious, discriminatory action; New Haven's race-based rejection of firefighter promotion test results cannot satisfy strong-basis-in-evidence standard. *Ricci v. DeStefano*, p. 557.

**CLEAN WATER ACT.**

*Slurry discharge—Authority to regulate.*—Army Corps of Engineers, not Environmental Protection Agency, had authority under Act to issue a permit to petitioner to pump mine slurry into an Alaskan lake; Corps acted in accordance with law in issuing such a permit here. *Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Southeast Alaska Conservation Council*, p. 261.

**CONFRONTATION OF WITNESSES.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**

**I. Confrontation of Witnesses.**

*Admission of laboratory certificates—Requiring analysts to testify.*—Admission of laboratory certificates showing results of forensic tests on a substance identified as cocaine, without testimony by laboratory analysts who signed certificates, violated petitioner's Sixth Amendment right to confront witnesses against him. *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*, p. 305.

**II. Double Jeopardy.**

*Jury verdict's preclusive force—Verdict inconsistency.*—An apparent inconsistency between a jury's verdict of acquittal on some counts and its failure to return a verdict on other counts does not affect acquittals' preclusive force under Double Jeopardy Clause. *Yeager v. United States*, p. 110.

**III. Due Process.**

*DNA testing—Postconviction access to evidence used in earlier trial.*—Assuming Osborne's claims can be pursued in federal court under 42 U. S. C. § 1983, he has no federal due process right to obtain postconviction access to evidence Alaska used to convict him in an earlier state trial in order to subject it to DNA testing. *District Attorney's Office for Third Judicial Dist. v. Osborne*, p. 52.

**Constitutional Law—Continued.****IV. Searches and Seizures.**

*Strip searching students for drugs—School officials' immunity from suit.*—Because there were no reasons to believe that drugs that Savana Redding was suspected of having presented a danger or were concealed in her underwear, search of her underwear violated Fourth Amendment; but because there is reason to question clarity with which Savana's Fourth Amendment right was established, officials who ordered and carried out search are entitled to qualified immunity from liability. *Safford Unified School Dist. #1 v. Redding*, p. 364.

**V. Tonnage Clause.**

*City's personal property tax—Application to ships.*—Valdez's personal property tax on value of large ships that travel to and from city violates Tonnage Clause. *Polar Tankers, Inc. v. City of Valdez*, p. 1.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, II, IV.

**DEPORTATION.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AGE.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISPARATE-IMPACT LIABILITY.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISPARATE-TREATMENT AGE DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

**DNA TESTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**EDUCATION SERVICES.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.

**ELECTION CONDITIONS.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**; **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**; **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE-LEARNER STUDENTS.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

**ENVIRONMENTAL LAW.** See **Clean Water Act.**

**EQUAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES ACT OF 1974.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

**FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.**

*Relief from judgment—English language-learner program—State funding.*—Lower courts did not engage in proper analysis of petitioners' Rule 60(b)(5) motion for relief from a judgment that Arizona had violated federal Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974 by inadequately funding Nogales Unified School District's program for English language-learner students. *Horne v. Flores*, p. 433.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, II, III.**

**FIREFIGHTER PROMOTION TESTS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**FORENSIC TESTS.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**HABEAS CORPUS.** See **Supreme Court, 3.**

**IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.**

*Deportation for aggravated felony—\$10,000 threshold.*—Under immigration provisions stating that an alien "convicted of an aggravated felony . . . is deportable," 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii), and defining an "aggravated felony" to include "an offense that . . . involves fraud or deceit in which victim's loss "exceeds \$10,000," § 1101(a)(43)(M)(i), \$10,000 threshold refers to particular circumstances in which an offender committed fraud or deceit crime on a particular occasion rather than to an element of that crime. *Nijhawan v. Holder*, p. 29.

**IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT.**

*Private special-education services—Reimbursement.*—Act authorizes reimbursement for private special-education services when a public school fails to provide a "free appropriate public education," 20 U.S.C. § 1412(a)(1)(A), for a child with disabilities and child's private-school placement is appropriate, regardless of whether child "previously received special education or related services under the [public school's] authority," § 1412(a)(10)(C)(ii). *Forest Grove School Dist. v. T. A.*, p. 230.

**INSURANCE COMPANY LIABILITY.** See **Bankruptcy.**

**INTENTIONAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**JOHNS-MANVILLE ASBESTOS LITIGATION.** See **Bankruptcy.**

**JONES ACT.** See **Maritime Law.**

**JURY VERDICTS.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**LABORATORY CERTIFICATES.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**MAINTENANCE AND CURE OBLIGATION.** See **Maritime Law.**

**MARITIME LAW.**

*Punitive damages—Disregarding maintenance and cure obligation.—* Because punitive damages have long been an accepted remedy under general maritime law, and because neither *Miles v. Apex Marine Corp.*, 498 U. S. 19, nor Jones Act altered this understanding, punitive damages for willful and wanton disregard of maintenance and cure obligation remain available as a matter of general maritime law. *Atlantic Sounding Co. v. Townsend*, p. 404.

**MINE SLURRY.** See **Clean Water Act.**

**NATIONAL BANK ACT.** See **Banking.**

**NEW HAVEN FIREFIGHTERS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**POSTCONVICTION RELIEF.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**

**PRECLEARANCE OF ELECTION CONDITIONS.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST NATIONAL BANKS.** See **Banking.**

**PROMOTION TESTS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES.** See **Maritime Law.**

**QUALIFIED IMMUNITY.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

**RIGHT TO VOTE.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**SECTION 1983.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**SLURRY DISCHARGE.** See **Clean Water Act.**

**SPECIAL-EDUCATION SERVICES.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.**

**STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST NATIONAL BANKS.** See **Banking.**

**STAYS.** See **Supreme Court, 3.**

**STRIP SEARCHES.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**SUPREME COURT.**

1. Retirement of JUSTICE SOUTER, p. IX.

2. Appointment of JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, p. XIII.

3. *Stays—Mandate ordering prisoner's release—Conditions of release.*—Application for stay of mandate granting respondent prisoner's habeas corpus petition and ordering his immediate release is denied, but District Court is to impose bail and other conditions of release. *O'Brien v. O'Laughlin* (BREYER, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

**TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**TITLE VII.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**TONNAGE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.**

*Preclearance requirements—Political subdivisions—Bailout option.*—Act permits all political subdivisions, including appellant utility district, to seek to bail out from federal preclearance requirements set forth in § 5 of Act; in light of its statutory holding, Court need not resolve question of § 5's constitutionality. *Northwest Austin Municipal Util. Dist. No. One v. Holder*, p. 193.

**WANTON AND WILLFUL MISCONDUCT.** See **Maritime Law.**

**WITNESS TESTIMONY.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

*"Loss to the victim or victims exceeds \$10,000."* § 101(a)(43)(M)(i), Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U. S. C. § 1101(a)(43)(M)(i). *Nijhawan v. Holder*, p. 29.