

## INDEX

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE REFUND CLAIMS.** See **Taxes**, 1.

**AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.**

*Federal-sector employment discrimination—Retaliation claim.*—ADEA's federal-sector provision, 29 U. S. C. § 633a(a)—which requires that “[a]ll personnel actions affecting employees . . . at least 40 years of age . . . be made free from any discrimination based on age”—prohibits retaliation against a federal employee who complains of age discrimination. *Gómez-Pérez v. Potter*, p. 474.

**ALABAMA.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**ALIENS DESIGNATED AS ENEMY COMBATANTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**AMERICAN CITIZENS HELD OVERSEAS BY AMERICAN FORCES.**  
See **Habeas Corpus**.

**APPROVAL OF FALSE CLAIMS.** See **False Claims Act**.

**ARMED CAREER CRIMINAL ACT.** See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2.

**ARTICLE III JUDGES.** See **Federal Magistrates Act**.

**ATTORNEY'S FEES.**

*Equal Access to Justice Act—Recovery of paralegal fees.*—A prevailing party that satisfies EAJA's other requirements for reimbursement of attorney's fees, expenses, and costs may recover paralegal fees from Government at prevailing market rates. *Richlin Security Service Co. v. Chertoff*, p. 571.

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **False Claims Act**.

**CAPITAL GAINS TAXES.** See **Taxes**, 2.

**CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**CARRYING EXPLOSIVES DURING A FELONY.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; V.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1866.**

*Employment discrimination—Retaliation claim.*—Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981—which gives “[a]ll persons . . . the same right . . . to make and enforce contracts . . . as is enjoyed by white citizens”—encompasses a complaint of retaliation against a person who complained about a violation of another person’s employment-contract-related “right.” *CBOCS West, Inc. v. Humphries*, p. 442.

**CLASS-OF-ONE EQUAL PROTECTION THEORY.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.****I. Commerce Clause.**

*Discrimination against interstate commerce—State taxes on bonds.*—Kentucky’s differential tax scheme—which exempts from state income taxes interest on bonds issued by Kentucky or its political subdivisions but not on bonds issued by other States and their subdivisions—does not offend Commerce Clause. *Department of Revenue of Ky. v. Davis*, p. 328.

**II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

*Execution methods—Lethal-injection protocol.*—Kentucky Supreme Court’s judgment upholding Kentucky’s three-drug lethal-injection protocol against petitioners’ claim that it violates Eighth Amendment’s “cruel and unusual punishments” ban is affirmed. *Baze v. Rees*, p. 35.

**III. Due Process.**

*Vagueness—Child pornography.*—As construed by this Court, 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(3)(B)—which criminalizes, in specified circumstances, pandering or solicitation of child pornography—is not impermissibly vague under Due Process Clause. *United States v. Williams*, p. 285.

**IV. Equal Protection of the Laws.**

*“Class of one”—Public employment.*—“Class-of-one” equal protection theory—under which a claimant alleges that she was mistreated not because she was a member of an identified class (*e.g.*, race, sex, or national origin), but simply for arbitrary, vindictive, and malicious reasons—does not apply in public employment context. *Engquist v. Oregon Dept. of Agriculture*, p. 591.

**V. Freedom of Speech.**

*Overbreadth doctrine—Child pornography.*—As construed by this Court, 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(3)(B)—which criminalizes, in specified circumstances, pandering or solicitation of child pornography—is not overbroad under First Amendment. *United States v. Williams*, p. 285.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.****VI. Right to Vote.**

*Photo identification requirement.*—Seventh Circuit’s judgment upholding constitutionality of an Indiana statute requiring citizens voting in person to present government-issued photo identification is affirmed. *Crawford v. Marion County Election Bd.*, p. 181.

**VII. Searches and Seizures.**

*Probable cause for arrest—Search incident to arrest.*—Police did not violate Fourth Amendment when they made an arrest that was based on probable cause but prohibited by Virginia law or when they performed a search incident to that arrest. *Virginia v. Moore*, p. 164.

**VIII. Suspension Clause.**

*Habeas corpus privilege—Withdrawal of federal-court jurisdiction.*—Petitioners, aliens designated as enemy combatants and detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, have constitutional privilege of habeas corpus, which cannot be withdrawn except in conformance with Suspension Clause; because Detainee Treatment Act of 2005’s procedures for review of detainees’ status are not an adequate and effective substitute for habeas, § 7 of Military Commissions Act of 2006, which withdraws federal-court habeas jurisdiction with respect to petitioners, operates as an unconstitutional suspension of writ. *Boumediene v. Bush*, p. 723.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also **Constitutional Law**, II; III; V; VII; **Federal Magistrates Act**.

1. *Armed Career Criminal Act—Sentence enhancement—State drug-trafficking convictions—Sentencing under state recidivist law.*—Because a state drug-trafficking conviction qualifies as “a serious drug offense” if “a maximum term . . . of ten years or more is prescribed by law,” 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(2)(A)(ii), and maximum term respondent faced on Washington state drug convictions was 10 years under a state recidivist law, those state convictions had to be counted under ACCA to enhance respondent’s sentence on his conviction for federal crime of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, § 922(g)(1). *United States v. Rodriguez*, p. 377.

2. *Armed Career Criminal Act—Violent felony—Felony driving under influence conviction.*—Felony driving under influence of alcohol, as defined by New Mexico law, is not a “violent felony” under ACCA, which provides a 15-year mandatory minimum prison term for a defendant, convicted of possessing a firearm, who has three prior convictions “for a violent felony,” 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(1). *Begay v. United States*, p. 137.

3. *Carrying explosives while making a false statement to a customs official—Relationship between explosive carried and underlying felony.*—Since respondent was carrying explosives when he made a false

**CRIMINAL LAW**—Continued.

statement to a customs official in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001, he was carrying them “during” commission of that felony, in violation of § 844(h)(2), even though there was no relationship between explosive carried and underlying felony. *United States v. Ressam*, p. 272.

4. *Federal drug offenses—Mandatory minimum sentences based on previous felony convictions—Effect of state-law classification of offense.*—Under 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A), which enhances mandatory minimum sentence for certain federal drug crimes when defendant was previously convicted of a “felony drug offense,” quoted term is defined exclusively by § 802(44) to “mea[n] an offense . . . punishable by imprisonment for more than one year under any law of . . . a State,” and does not incorporate § 802(13)’s definition of “felony” as any “offense classified by applicable . . . law as a felony,” so that a state drug offense punishable by more than one year qualifies as a “felony drug offense,” even if state law classifies offense as a misdemeanor. *Burgess v. United States*, p. 124.

5. *Money laundering—Vacatur of convictions.*—Seventh Circuit’s judgment affirming District Court’s vacatur of respondents’ money-laundering convictions on grounds that 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)’s criminal “proceeds” strictures apply to transactions involving criminal “profits,” not criminal “receipts,” and that there was no evidence that transactions on which convictions were based involved profits from respondents’ illegal lottery operation, is affirmed. *United States v. Santos*, p. 507.

6. *Sentencing—Variance from Federal Guidelines range—Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.*—Rule 32(h)’s notice requirement does not apply to a variance from a recommended Federal Sentencing Guidelines range. *Irizarry v. United States*, p. 708.

7. *Transporting unlawful-activity proceeds across border—Proof requirement.*—Although 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i)—which prohibits transporting unlawful-activity proceeds across border knowing that transportation was designed “to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control” of funds—does not require proof that defendant attempted to create appearance of legitimate wealth, neither can it be satisfied solely by evidence that funds were concealed during transport: A conviction requires proof that transportation’s purpose, not merely its effect, was to conceal or disguise one of listed attributes. *Regalado Cuellar v. United States*, p. 550.

**CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**CUSTOMS.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**DEATH PENALTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II

- DETAINEE TREATMENT ACT OF 2005.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.
- DIFFERENTIAL STATE TAX SCHEMES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- DISCRIMINATION AGAINST INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AGE.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.
- DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1866**; **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.
- DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**; **Civil Rights Act of 1866**; **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- DISCRIMINATION IN VOTING.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.
- DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.
- DRUG OFFENSES.** See **Criminal Law**, 1, 4.
- DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.
- EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.
- ELECTION PRACTICES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.
- EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**; **Civil Rights Act of 1866**; **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**; **Civil Rights Act of 1866**; **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- ENEMY COMBATANTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.
- ENHANCED SENTENCES.** See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 4.
- EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.** See **Attorney's Fees**.
- EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- EXECUTION METHODS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.
- EXHAUSTION OF PATENTS.** See **Patent Laws**.
- EXPLOSIVES CARRIED DURING A FELONY.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.
- EXPORT CLAUSE.** See **Taxes**, 1.

**FALSE CLAIMS ACT.**

*Proof requirements.*—It is insufficient for a plaintiff asserting a cause of action under Act to show merely that use of a false statement resulted in payment or approval of a false claim or that Government money was used to pay claim; instead, such a plaintiff must prove that defendant intended that false statement be material to Government's decision to pay or approve false claim; nor is it enough for a plaintiff to show that alleged conspirators agreed upon a fraud scheme that had effect of causing a private entity to make payments using money obtained from Government; instead, it must be shown that they intended "to defraud Government." *Allison Engine Co. v. United States ex rel. Sanders*, p. 662.

**FALSE STATEMENTS TO CUSTOMS OFFICIALS.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**FEDERAL-COURT JURISDICTION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII; **Habeas Corpus**.

**FEDERAL DRUG OFFENSES.** See **Criminal Law**, 4.

**FEDERAL MAGISTRATES ACT.**

*Jury selection—Waiver of right to an Article III judge—Sufficiency of defense counsel's consent.*—Express consent by a defendant's counsel suffices to waive right to have an Article III judge preside over jury selection in a federal felony trial and to permit a magistrate judge to preside pursuant to Act, which allows a magistrate judge to "be assigned such additional duties as are not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States," 28 U. S. C. § 636(b)(3). *Gonzalez v. United States*, p. 242.

**FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.**

Amendments to Rules, p. 1105.

**FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.**

1. Amendment to Rules, p. 1149.

2. *Dismissal of interpleader action—Required parties immune from suit.*—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19 requires dismissal of this interpleader action involving assets of late Ferdinand Marcos because of absence of Republic of Philippines and a Philippine commission, which are required parties who are immune from suit under foreign sovereign immunity doctrine. *Republic of Philippines v. Pimentel*, p. 851.

**FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See also **Criminal Law**, 6.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1155.

**FEDERAL-SECTOR EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

**FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES.** See **Criminal Law**, 6.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 4.

**FEDERAL TAX REFUNDS.** See **Taxes**, 1.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**FIREARM OFFENSES.** See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**FOREIGN SOVEREIGNS.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 2; **Habeas Corpus.**

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VI.

**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**GUANTANAMO BAY.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**HABEAS CORPUS.** See also **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

*Jurisdiction—American citizens held overseas by American forces—Transfer to foreign sovereign.*—Federal habeas statute extends to American citizens held overseas by American forces operating subject to an American chain of command; federal district courts, however, may not exercise their habeas jurisdiction to enjoin United States from transferring individuals alleged to have committed crimes and detained within a foreign sovereign's territory to that sovereign for criminal prosecution. *Munaf v. Geren*, p. 674.

**ILLINOIS.** See **Taxes**, 2.

**IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 2.

**INCOME TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**INDIANA.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**INTERPLEADER ACTIONS.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 2.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**JURY SELECTION.** See **Federal Magistrates Act.**

**KENTUCKY.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; II.

**LETHAL-INJECTION PROTOCOL.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**MAGISTRATE JUDGES.** See **Federal Magistrates Act.**

**MAIL FRAUD.** See **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.**

**METHOD PATENTS.** See **Patent Laws.**

**MILITARY COMMISSIONS ACT OF 2006.** See **Constitutional Law, VIII.**

**MILITARY DETENTION OF AMERICAN CITIZENS.** See **Habeas Corpus.**

**MINIMUM SENTENCES.** See **Criminal Law, 2, 4.**

**MONEY LAUNDERING.** See **Criminal Law, 5.**

**NEW MEXICO.** See **Criminal Law, 2.**

**NONPARTY PRECLUSION.** See **Preclusion.**

**OVERBREADTH DOCTRINE.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**PANDERING OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.** See **Constitutional Law, III; V.**

**PARALEGAL FEES.** See **Attorney's Fees.**

**PATENT LAWS.**

*Patent exhaustion—Method patents.*—Because patent exhaustion doctrine applies to method patents, and because license agreement in this case authorizes sale of components that substantially embody patents in suit, doctrine prevents respondent from further asserting its patent rights with respect to patents substantially embodied by those products. *Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Electronics, Inc.*, p. 617.

**PAYMENT OF FALSE CLAIMS.** See **False Claims Act.**

**PHILIPPINES.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 2.**

**PHOTO IDENTIFICATION REQUIREMENT FOR VOTERS.** See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

**PORNOGRAPHY.** See **Constitutional Law, III; V.**

**PRECLEARANCE OF ELECTION PRACTICES.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**PRECLUSION.**

*Virtual representation—Federal-question case.*—Theory of preclusion by “virtual representation” is disapproved; preclusive effects of a judgment in a federal-question case decided by a federal court should instead be determined according to established grounds for nonparty preclusion. *Taylor v. Sturgell*, p. 880.



**PROOF REQUIREMENTS IN FALSE CLAIMS ACT CASES.** See **False Claims Act.**

**PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Constitutional Law, IV.**

**RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1866; Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT.**

*Mail fraud—Reliance on misrepresentations.*—A plaintiff asserting a RICO claim predicated on mail fraud need not show, either as an element of its claim or as a prerequisite to establishing proximate causation, that it relied on defendant's alleged misrepresentations. *Bridge v. Phoenix Bond & Indemnity Co.*, p. 639.

**RECIDIVIST ENHANCEMENTS.** See **Criminal Law, 1.**

**REFUND OF FEDERAL TAXES.** See **Taxes, 1.**

**REQUIRED PARTIES TO SUIT.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 2.**

**RETALIATION CLAIMS.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Civil Rights Act of 1866.**

**RIGHT TO VOTE.** See **Constitutional Law, VI; Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

**SENTENCE ENHANCEMENTS.** See **Criminal Law, 1, 2.**

**SOLICITATION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.** See **Constitutional Law, III; V.**

**SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.** See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 2.**

**STATE TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law, I; Taxes, 2.**

**SUPREME COURT.**

Amendments to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, p. 1105.

Amendment to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, p. 1149.

Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 1155.

**SUSPENSION CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law, VIII.**

**TAXES.** See also **Constitutional Law**, I.

1. *Federal taxes—Refund claim—Collection in violation of Export Clause.*—Plain language of 26 U. S. C. §§ 7422(a) and 6511 requires a taxpayer seeking a refund for a tax assessed in violation of Export Clause, just as for any other unlawfully assessed tax, to file a timely administrative refund claim before bringing suit against Government. *United States v. Clintwood Elkhorn Mining Co.*, p. 1.

2. *State taxes—Capital gains—Unitary businesses.*—Illinois courts erred in upholding a state tax on an apportioned share of a multistate corporation's capital gain realized from sale of a business division after those courts determined that division and corporation were not "unitary." *MeadWestvaco Corp. v. Illinois Dept. of Revenue*, p. 16.

**TRANSPORTING UNLAWFUL-ACTIVITY PROCEEDS ACROSS BORDER.** See **Criminal Law**, 7.

**UNITARY BUSINESSES.** See **Taxes**, 2.

**UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES.** See **Criminal Law**, 6.

**VACATUR OF CONVICTIONS.** See **Criminal Law**, 5.

**VIRGINIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**VIRTUAL REPRESENTATION.** See **Preclusion**.

**VOTING RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.**

*Preclearance—New election practice invalidated—Prior practice reinstated.*—Because an Alabama election law invalidated by State Supreme Court under State Constitution never gained "force or effect" for purposes of § 5 of VRA, Alabama's reinstatement of its prior gubernatorial appointment practice did not rank as a "change" requiring preclearance. *Riley v. Kennedy*, p. 406.

**WASHINGTON.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

1. "*All persons . . . the same right . . . to make and enforce contracts . . . as is enjoyed by white citizens.*" Rev. Stat. § 1977, 42 U. S. C. § 1981(a). *CBOCS West, Inc. v. Humphries*, p. 442.

2. "*Discrimination based on age.*" Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 U. S. C. § 633a(a). *Gómez-Pérez v. Potter*, p. 474.

**WORDS AND PHRASES**—Continued.

3. “*Felony drug offense.*” 21 U. S. C. § 841(b)(1)(A). *Burgess v. United States*, p. 124.

4. “*Violent felony.*” Armed Career Criminal Act, 18 U. S. C. § 924(e)(1). *Begay v. United States*, p. 137.