

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act.**

ADVERSE ACTIONS BASED ON CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTS.
See **Fair Credit Reporting Act.**

AGENCY FEES. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

ANTIFRAUD SECURITIES LAWS. See **Securities Law.**

ANTITRUST ACTS.

1. *Preclusion by securities law.*—Securities law implicitly precludes application of antitrust laws to conduct alleged in this case, *i. e.*, that investment banks committed antitrust violations in executing initial public offerings for technology-related companies. *Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC v. Billing*, p. 264.

2. *Sherman Act—Vertical price restraints—Rule of reason.*—*Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Park & Sons Co.*, 220 U. S. 373—which made it *per se* illegal under Act §1 for a manufacturer and its distributor to agree to a minimum price that distributor can charge for manufacturer's goods—is overruled, and vertical price restraints are to be judged by rule of reason. *Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.*, p. 877.

ATHLETIC RECRUITMENT. See **Constitutional Law, V, 2.**

ATTORNEY'S FEES.

Private civil rights actions—Prevailing party status—Preliminary injunction later undone.—For purposes of an attorney's fees award under 42 U. S. C. §1988(b), prevailing party status does not attend achievement of a preliminary injunction that is reversed, dissolved, or otherwise undone by final decision in same case. *Sole v. Wyner*, p. 74.

BANKS. See **Antitrust Acts, 1.**

BENEFIT PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

BIPARTISAN CAMPAIGN REFORM ACT OF 2002. See **Constitutional Law, V, 1.**

BIVENS ACTIONS. See **Property Rights.**

BONG HITS FOR JESUS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. See **Property Rights**.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS. See **Attorney's Fees**.

CLEANUP OF CONTAMINATED SITES. See **Environmental Protection**, 1.

CLEAN WATER ACT. See **Environmental Protection**, 2.

COMPANIONSHIP WORKERS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980. See **Environmental Protection**, 1.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

Execution of mentally ill—Incompetency standard.—Fifth Circuit's standard for determining incompetency to be executed based on mental illness—that delusions are not relevant so long as prisoner is aware that State has identified link between his crime and punishment to be inflicted—is too restrictive to afford a prisoner Eighth Amendment protections under *Ford v. Wainwright*, 477 U.S. 399. *Panetti v. Quarterman*, p. 930.

II. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Racial preferences—Public school student assignment plans.—Judgments of Sixth and Ninth Circuits upholding constitutionality of respondent public school districts' student assignment plans, which rely on race to determine which schools certain children may attend, are reversed. *Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1*, p. 701.

III. Establishment of Religion.

Executive Branch faith-based and community program initiative—Taxpayer standing.—Court of Appeals' judgment that respondent federal taxpayers have standing to bring an Establishment Clause challenge against conferences funded by Executive Branch offices created by President as part of his faith-based and community program initiative is reversed. *Hein v. Freedom From Religion Foundation, Inc.*, p. 587.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**IV. Freedom of Association.**

Public-sector unions—Nonmember's fees.—It does not violate First Amendment for a State to require its public-sector unions to receive affirmative authorization from a nonmember before spending his agency fees for election-related purposes. *Davenport v. Washington Ed. Assn.*, p. 177.

V. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002—Corporate speech.*—Court of Appeals' judgment that BCRA §203—which makes it a federal crime for a corporation to use its general treasury funds to pay for any "electioneering communication," 2 U. S. C. § 441b(b)(2)—is unconstitutional as applied to political ads at issue—which declared that a group of Senators was filibustering to delay and block federal judicial nominations—is affirmed. *Federal Election Comm'n v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc.*, p. 449.

2. *Recruitment of middle school athletes—High school athletic league rules.*—Petitioner league's enforcement of its rule prohibiting high school coaches from recruiting middle school athletes does not violate First Amendment. *Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Assn. v. Brentwood Academy*, p. 291.

3. *Student speech—Message regarded as promoting drug use.*—Because schools may take steps to safeguard those entrusted to their care from speech that can reasonably be regarded as encouraging illegal drug use, petitioner school officials did not violate First Amendment by confiscating respondent student's banner proclaiming "BONG HiTS 4 JESUS." *Morse v. Frederick*, p. 393.

VI. Searches and Seizures.

Traffic stop—Passenger's rights.—When police make a traffic stop, a car's passenger, like its driver, is seized for Fourth Amendment purposes and so may challenge stop's constitutionality. *Brendlin v. California*, p. 249.

CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTS. See **Fair Credit Reporting Act.**

CONTAMINATED SITE CLEANUP. See **Environmental Protection**, 1.

CORPORATE SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

COST RECOVERY FOR CONTAMINATED SITE CLEANUP. See **Environmental Protection**, 1.

CREDIT REPORTS. See **Fair Credit Reporting Act.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See **United States Sentencing Guidelines.**

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

DEATH PENALTY. See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**

DEFINED-BENEFIT PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

DOMESTIC WORKERS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act.**

DRUG USE. See **Constitutional Law, V, 3.**

EASEMENTS. See **Property Rights.**

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Pension plans—Termination.—Because plan merger is not a permissible method of terminating pension plans under ERISA, employers with single-employer defined-benefit plans did not breach their fiduciary obligations to plan participants and beneficiaries by failing to consider union's proposal to terminate those plans by merging them with union's multiemployer plan. *Beck v. PACE Int'l Union*, p. 96.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Constitutional Law, IV; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973. See **Environmental Protection, 2.**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.

1. *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability act of 1980—Contaminated site cleanup—Cost recovery.*—Plain terms of CERCLA §107(a)(4)(B) allow a so-called potentially responsible party to recover from other PRPs costs associated with cleaning up contaminated sites. *United States v. Atlantic Research Corp.*, p. 128.

2. *Endangered Species Act of 1973—Effect on nondiscretionary agency actions.*—Act's §7(a)(2) requirement that federal agencies consult with designated agencies to “insure” that a proposed agency action is unlikely to jeopardize an endangered or threatened species covers only discretionary agency actions; it does not attach to actions (like Clean Water Act §402(b)'s National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permitting transfer authorization) that an agency is *required* by statute to undertake once certain specified triggering events have occurred. *National Assn. of Home Builders v. Defenders of Wildlife*, p. 644.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

EXECUTION OF MENTALLY ILL. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT.

Adverse action notices—Reckless disregard of notice obligation.—Willful failure to provide notice to any consumer subjected to adverse action based on information in a consumer credit report under Act covers a violation in reckless disregard of notice obligation; GEICO did not violate statute, and while Safeco might have, it did not act recklessly. *Safeco Ins. Co. of America v. Burr*, p. 47.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.

Companionship workers—Minimum-wage/maximum-hours exemption.—Labor Department's "third-party regulation"—which includes "companionship" workers "employed by an . . . agency other than the family or household using their services," 29 CFR § 552.109(a), within FLSA's minimum-wage/maximum-hours exemption for persons "employed in domestic service . . . to provide companionship services for individuals . . . unable to care for themselves," 29 U. S. C. § 213(a)(15)—is valid and binding. *Long Island Care at Home, Ltd. v. Coke*, p. 158.

FAITH-BASED AND COMMUNITY PROGRAMS INITIATIVE. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

FEDERAL OFFICER REMOVAL STATUTE. See **Removal.**

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Pleading standards—Prisoner's suit—Dismissal.—Under Rule 8(a)(2)'s liberal pleading standards, Tenth Circuit erred in concluding that petitioner's complaint was properly dismissed because his allegations of harm caused by respondent state prison officials' termination of his medication were too "conclusory." *Erickson v. Pardus*, p. 89.

FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **United States Sentencing Guidelines.**

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Jurisdiction, 1; Removal.**

FIDUCIARY OBLIGATIONS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, III; IV; V.**

FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976. See also **Jurisdiction, 1.**

Tax liens—Property used for housing.—Act does not immunize a foreign government from a lawsuit to declare validity of tax liens on property held by sovereign for purpose of housing its employees. *Permanent Mission of India to United Nations v. City of New York*, p. 193.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Jurisdiction**, 2.

1. *Capital murder—Excusal of juror—Deference to trial court's determinations.*—In holding that a state court erred in excusing a juror for substantial impairment in his ability to impose death penalty, Ninth Circuit failed to accord proper deference to trial court's determination of juror's demeanor and qualifications. *Uttecht v. Brown*, p. 1.

2. *Standard for assessing error's prejudicial impact.*—In 28 U.S.C. §2254 proceedings, a federal habeas court must assess prejudicial impact of constitutional error in a state-court criminal trial under “substantial and injurious effect” standard of *Brecht v. Abrahamson*, 507 U.S. 619, whether or not state appellate court recognized error and reviewed it for harmlessness under “harmless beyond a reasonable doubt” standard of *Chapman v. California*, 386 U.S. 18. *Fry v. Pliler*, p. 112.

HARMLESS-ERROR REVIEW. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

HOUSEHOLD WORKERS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

ILLEGAL DRUG USE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976**.

INCOMPETENCY TO BE EXECUTED. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS OF STOCK. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

INVESTMENT BANKS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

JURISDICTION.

1. *Courts of appeals—Order remanding a removed case.*—Title 28 U.S.C. §1447(d), which provides that “[a]n order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or otherwise,” bars appellate consideration of petitioner's claim that it is a foreign state for purposes of Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976. *Powerex Corp. v. Reliant Energy Services, Inc.*, p. 224.

2. *Habeas corpus denial—Untimely notice of appeal.*—Because Congress specifically limited to 14 days time by which district courts can extend notice-of-appeal filing period in 28 U.S.C. §2107(c), petitioner

JURISDICTION—Continued.

Bowles' failure to file his notice in accordance with statute deprived Sixth Circuit of jurisdiction, even though he filed within 17-day extension granted by District Court. *Bowles v. Russell*, p. 205.

JURY SELECTION. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

LABOR DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

MAXIMUM HOURS. See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

MINIMUM PRICE AGREEMENTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

MINIMUM WAGE. See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

MURDER. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

NATIONAL POLLUTION DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM. See **Environmental Protection**, 2.

NOTICE OF ADVERSE ACTIONS BASED ON CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTS. See **Fair Credit Reporting Act**.

NOTICE OF APPEAL. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

PASSENGERS' RIGHTS DURING TRAFFIC STOPS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

PENSION PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

PLEADING STANDARDS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**.

PRECLUSION OF ANTITRUST LAWS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

PREJUDICIAL IMPACT OF CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS. See **Attorney's Fees**.

PREVAILING PARTY STATUS. See **Attorney's Fees**.

PRICING AGREEMENTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

PRISONERS' RIGHTS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**.

PRIVATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS. See **Attorney's Fees**.

PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. See **Securities Law**.

PROPERTY RIGHTS.

Easement—Alleged harassment by Federal Government agents.—Neither *Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*, 403 U.S. 388, nor Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act gives respondent landowner an action against Bureau of Land Management officials whom he accuses of harassment and intimidation aimed at extracting an easement across his private property. *Wilkie v. Robbins*, p. 537.

PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENT ASSIGNMENT PLANS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

PUBLIC-SECTOR UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

RACIAL PREFERENCES. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT. See **Property Rights**.

RECRUITMENT OF ATHLETES FOR HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

REMOVAL. See also **Jurisdiction**, 1.

Federal officer removal statute—Private company supervised by federal agency.—Fact that a federal agency directs, supervises, and monitors a company's activities in considerable detail does not bring that company within scope of federal officer removal statute, which permits removal to federal district court of a state-court action against "any officer (or any person acting under that officer) of the United States or of any agency thereof," 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1). *Watson v. Philip Morris Cos.*, p. 142.

RULE OF REASON. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

SCIENTER INFERENCE. See **Securities Law**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SECURITIES LAW. See also **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995—Scienter inference.—To qualify as "strong" under Act § 21D(b)(2), an inference of scienter must be more than merely plausible or reasonable—it must be cogent and at least as compelling as any opposing inference of nonfraudulent intent. *Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.*, p. 308.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **United States Sentencing Guidelines**.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976.**

STANDARD FOR ASSESSING PREJUDICIAL IMPACT OF CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

STANDING. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

STOCK OFFERINGS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

STUDENT ASSIGNMENT PLANS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

STUDENT SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Rules of the Supreme Court, p. 1195.
2. Term statistics, p. 1276.

TAXES. See **Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976.**

TAXPAYER STANDING. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

TERMINATION OF PENSION PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

THREATENED SPECIES. See **Environmental Protection**, 2.

TRAFFIC STOPS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES.

Sentence within Guidelines range—Presumption of reasonableness.—A court of appeals may apply a presumption of reasonableness to a district court sentence within Federal Guidelines range; here, District Court's sentence was reasonable, and Fourth Circuit, after applying presumption, was legally correct in holding that sentence was not unreasonable. *Rita v. United States*, p. 338.

UNTIMELY NOTICE OF APPEAL. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

VERTICAL PRICE RESTRAINTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

WASHINGTON. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “An order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or otherwise.” 28 U. S. C. § 1447(d). *Powerex Corp. v. Reliant Energy Services, Inc.*, p. 224.

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

2. “*Any officer (or any person acting under that officer) of the United States or of any agency thereof.*” 28 U. S. C. § 1442(a)(1). *Watson v. Philip Morris Cos.*, p. 128.

3. “*Strong inference.*” § 21D(b)(2), Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U. S. C. § 78–4(b)(2). *Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.*, p. 308.