

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW. See **Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995.**

AFGHANISTAN WAR PRISONERS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

ALIENS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Habeas Corpus**.

APPORTIONMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

ARIZONA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

ATTORNEY'S FEES. See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.

BAN ON NEWSPAPERS, MAGAZINES, AND PHOTOGRAPHS IN PRISON. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

BURDEN OF PROOF. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CITY PROPERTY DISPLAYS. See **Stays**.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

Title VII—Retaliation claim.—Title VII's antiretaliation provision does not confine forbidden actions and harms to those that are related to employment or occur at workplace but does cover only those employer actions that would have been materially adverse to a reasonable employee or applicant; under that standard, there was a sufficient evidentiary basis for jury's verdict that respondent's job reassignment and suspension amounted to retaliatory discrimination for her sexual harassment complaint. *Burlington N. & S. F. R. Co. v. White*, p. 53.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Stays.****I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

Death penalty—Aggravating and mitigating circumstances in equipoise.—Kansas' capital sentencing system, which directs death penalty's imposition when sentencing jury determines that aggravating and mitigating circumstances are in equipoise, is constitutional. *Kansas v. Marsh*, p. 163.

II. Due Process.

1. *Jury instructions—Firearm purchase—Duress defense.*—Where petitioner claimed that she acted under duress when illegally purchasing firearms, jury instructions at her trial did not run afoul of Due Process Clause in giving her burden of establishing duress by a preponderance of evidence; modern common law does not require Government to bear burden of disproving her duress defense beyond a reasonable doubt. *Dixon v. United States*, p. 1.

2. *Mental illness defense—State's insanity test.*—Due process does not prohibit Arizona's use of an insanity test stated solely in terms of capacity to tell whether an act charged as a crime was right or wrong; nor does Arizona Supreme Court's *Mott* decision—which prohibited using psychiatric testimony to negate specific intent and held that Arizona does not allow evidence of a disorder short of insanity to negate *mens rea*—violate due process. *Clark v. Arizona*, p. 735.

III. Ex Post Facto Laws.

Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996—Removal.—New version of an immigration law provision, which permits reinstatement of an order removing an alien present unlawfully if he leaves and unlawfully reenters, applies to those who reentered United States before Act's effective date and does not retroactively affect petitioner, who is a continuing violator of Immigration and Nationality Act. *Fernandez-Vargas v. Gonzales*, p. 30.

IV. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Campaign finance reform—Contribution and expenditure limits.*—Second Circuit's judgment that Vermont Act 64's campaign contribution limits are constitutional, and that its campaign expenditure limits may be constitutional if they are narrowly tailored to compelling state interests supporting Act, is reversed. *Randall v. Sorrell*, p. 230.

2. *Prisoners' rights—Ban on newspapers, magazines, and photographs.*—Third Circuit's judgment that a Pennsylvania Department of Corrections' policy forbidding certain dangerous and recalcitrant inmates to have any newspapers, magazines, or photographs cannot be supported as a matter of law is reversed. *Beard v. Banks*, p. 521.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**V. Right to Counsel.**

Retention of counsel of choice—Remedy.—A trial court's erroneous deprivation of a criminal defendant's Sixth Amendment right to his counsel of choice entitles him to reversal of his conviction; violation is not subject to harmless-error analysis. *United States v. Gonzalez-Lopez*, p. 140.

VI. Right to Jury Trial.

Sentencing—Enhancement based on judicial finding.—Failure to submit a sentencing factor to a jury, in violation of *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U. S. 296, like failure to submit an element of an offense to a jury, is not “structural error” requiring a conviction's invalidation, but is subject to harmless-error analysis. *Washington v. Recuenco*, p. 212.

VII. Right to Vote.

Apportionment—House of Representatives—Redistricting.—District Court's judgment upholding Texas Legislature's 2003 plan drawing new district lines for 32 Texas seats in United States House of Representatives is affirmed in part, reversed in part, and vacated in part. *League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry*, p. 399.

CONTRIBUTION LIMITS FOR ELECTION CAMPAIGNS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

COUNSEL OF CHOICE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, I; II; V; VI.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

DISABLED STUDENTS. See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

DISPLAYS ON CITY PROPERTY. See **Stays**.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, II

DURESS DEFENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. See **Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995**.

EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR ELECTION CAMPAIGNS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

EXPERT WITNESS FEES. See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.

EX POST FACTO LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

FIREARM PURCHASES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FIRST-DEGREE MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

FOREIGN NATIONALS' RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Habeas Corpus**.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

GUANTANAMO BAY PRISONERS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Foreign national—Guantanamo Bay prisoner.*—District of Columbia Circuit's judgment that petitioner, a Yemeni national captured during war in Afghanistan and imprisoned at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, is not entitled to habeas relief is reversed. *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld*, p. 557.

2. *Vienna Convention on Consular Relations—Foreign national's statements to police—Procedural default.*—Even assuming without deciding that Convention Article 36 creates rights that a foreign national detained in this country may enforce against a State in a criminal trial or a postconviction proceeding, an Article 36 violation does not require suppression of a defendant's statements to police, and State, in a postconviction proceeding, may treat defendant's claim as procedurally defaulted if he failed to raise it at trial. *Sanchez-Llamas v. Oregon*, p. 331.

HARMLESS-ERROR ANALYSIS. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VI.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION REFORM AND IMMIGRANT RESPONSIBILITY ACT OF 1996. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT.

Attorney's fees—Recovery of expert fees.—Act's provision permitting a court to "award reasonable attorneys' fees as part of the costs" to prevail-

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT—Continued.

ing parents, 20 U. S. C. § 1415(i)(3)(B), does not authorize recovery of expert fees. *Arlington Central School Dist. Bd. of Ed. v. Murphy*, p. 291.

JURY TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

KANSAS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

MAGAZINE BAN IN PRISON. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

MEMORIALS ON CITY PROPERTY. See **Stays**.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

NEWSPAPER BAN IN PRISON. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

PENNSYLVANIA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

PRISONERS' RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; **Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995**.

PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995.

Challenge to prison conditions—Exhaustion of administrative remedies.—Act's requirement that prisoners exhaust any available administrative remedies before challenging prison conditions in federal court requires proper exhaustion. *Woodford v. Ngo*, p. 81.

PROCEDURAL DEFAULT RULE. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

PUBLIC PROPERTY DISPLAYS. See **Stays**.

REDISTRICTING. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

REMOVAL OF ALIENS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

RETALIATORY DISCRIMINATION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RIGHT TO VOTE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SENTENCING. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SEX DISCRIMINATION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VI.

STAYS.

Veterans' memorial on city property—Presence of Latin cross.—Application to stay District Court's injunction barring San Diego from maintaining a prominent Latin cross at a veterans' memorial on city property pending outcome of an appeal is granted. *San Diegans for Mt. Soledad Nat. War Memorial v. Paulson* (KENNEDY, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Stays.**

Term statistics, p. 1305.

TEXAS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.**TITLE VII.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.****TRIAL BY JURY.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.**VERMONT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.**VETERANS' MEMORIAL.** See **Stays.****VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.**VOTING RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.**WAR ON TERROR.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

"Award reasonable attorneys' fees as part of the costs." Individuals with Disabilities Act, 20 U. S. C. § 1415(i)(3)(B). *Arlington Central School Dist. Bd. of Ed. v. Murphy*, p. 291.