

INDEX

ACCOMMODATION OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Disparate-impact claims.—ADEA authorizes recovery in disparate-impact cases comparable to that authorized in *Griggs v. Duke Power Co.*, 401 U. S. 424, but petitioners have not set forth a valid disparate-impact claim here. *Smith v. Jackson*, p. 228.

ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1, 2.

APPELLATE RECORD. See **Taxes**.

ARMED CAREER CRIMINAL ACT. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

ASSESSMENTS ON CATTLE SALES AND IMPORTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

ATTORNEYS. See **Injunctions**.

AUDITORS. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

BANKRUPTCY.

Chapter 7—Exemptions from estate—Individual retirement accounts.—Petitioners can exempt IRA assets from their bankruptcy estate because IRAs fulfill both 11 U. S. C. § 522(d)(10)(E) requirements at issue—they provide a right to payment “on account of . . . age” and they are “similar plan[s] or contract[s]” to “stock bonus, pension, profitsharing, [or] annuity . . . plan[s].” *Rousey v. Jacoway*, p. 320.

BEEF PROMOTIONAL FUNDS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

CATTLE SALES AND IMPORTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY. See **Espionage Agreements**.

CERTIORARI. See **Supreme Court**, 7.

CHAPTER 7 BANKRUPTCY. See **Bankruptcy**.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

1. *Communications Act of 1934—Private right of action.*—An individual may not enforce limitations on local zoning authority in 47 U. S. C. § 332(c)(7) through a 42 U. S. C. § 1983 action. *Rancho Palos Verdes v. Abrams*, p. 113.

2. *State prisoners' suit—Parole procedures.*—State prisoners may bring a 42 U. S. C. § 1983 action for declaratory and injunctive relief challenging constitutionality of state parole procedures; they need not seek relief exclusively under federal habeas corpus statutes. *Wilkinson v. Dotson*, p. 74.

COLD WAR. See **Espionage Agreements.**

COMMERCE CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

COMMERCIAL SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

COMMON-LAW REVENUE RULES. See **Criminal Law, 4.**

COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871, 1.**

COMPELLED SUBSIDIES. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**I. Discrimination Against Interstate Commerce.**

Direct sale of out-of-state wines—Twenty-first Amendment's effect.—Michigan and New York laws limiting direct sale of out-of-state wines discriminate against interstate commerce in violation of Commerce Clause, and that discrimination is neither authorized nor permitted by Twenty-first Amendment. *Granholm v. Heald*, p. 460.

II. Due Process.

Capital murder—Shackling during penalty phase.—Constitution forbids use of visible shackles during a capital trial's penalty phase, as it does during guilt phase, unless that use is “justified by an essential state interest”—such as courtroom security—specific to defendant on trial, *Holbrook v. Flynn*, 475 U. S. 560, 568–569. *Deck v. Missouri*, p. 622.

III. Establishment of Religion.

Accommodation—Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000.—On its face, § 3 of Act, 42 U. S. C. § 2000cc-1(a)(1)–(2)—which provides that “[n]o government shall impose a substantial burden on the religious exercise of a person residing in or confined to an institution,” unless burden furthers “a compelling governmental interest,” and does so by “the least restrictive means”—is a permissible accommodation of religion that is not barred by Establishment Clause. *Cutter v. Wilkinson*, p. 709.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**IV. Freedom of Association.**

Elections—Semiclosed primary system.—Tenth Circuit's judgment invalidating Oklahoma's semiclosed primary system as violative of First Amendment right to freedom of association is reversed, and case is remanded. *Clingman v. Beaver*, p. 581.

V. Freedom of Speech.

Commercial speech—Compelled subsidy to fund beef promotional campaigns.—Because a federally imposed assessment on cattle sales and imports is used to fund Federal Government's own speech, it is not susceptible to a First Amendment compelled-subsidy challenge. *Johanns v. Livestock Marketing Assn.*, p. 550.

VI. Searches and Seizures.

Detaining suspect during search of premises—Questioning during detention.—Police officers did not violate respondent's Fourth Amendment rights when they detained her in handcuffs for duration of a lawfully executed search of premises or when they questioned her during detention regarding her immigration status. *Muehler v. Mena*, p. 93.

VII. Taking of Property.

Private property regulation—"Substantially advances" test.—Formula of *Agins v. City of Tiburon*, 447 U. S. 255, 260—that government regulation of private property "effects a [compensable] taking if [it] does not substantially advance legitimate state interests"—which was used to strike down a Hawaii statute limiting rent that oil companies could charge dealers leasing their service stations is not an appropriate test for determining whether a regulation effects a Fifth Amendment taking. *Lingle v. Chevron U. S. A. Inc.*, p. 528.

COVERT ESPIONAGE AGREEMENTS. See **Espionage Agreements.**

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, VI.

1. *Armed Career Criminal Act—Enhanced sentences for firearm possession.*—Inquiry under Act—which mandates an enhanced sentence for a felon possessing a firearm after three prior convictions for, *inter alia*, violent felonies—to determine whether a prior guilty plea to burglary defined by a nongeneric state statute necessarily admitted elements of generic offense is limited to terms of charging document, to terms of a plea agreement or transcript of colloquy between judge and defendant in which defendant confirmed factual basis for plea, or to some comparable judicial record. *Shepard v. United States*, p. 13.

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

2. *Convicted felons—Firearm possession.*—Title 18 U. S. C. § 922(g)(1), which forbids a felon “convicted in any court” from possessing a firearm, applies only to convictions entered in a domestic court, not to foreign convictions. *Small v. United States*, p. 385.

3. *Elements of “corrup[t] persuas[ion]” conviction—Jury instructions.*—At petitioner auditor’s trial for destroying documents relating to Enron Corporation’s financial difficulties, jury instructions failed to convey properly elements of a conviction under 18 U. S. C. §§ 1512(b)(2)(A) and (B), which prohibit “knowingly . . . corruptly persuad[ing] another person . . . with intent to . . . cause” that person to “withhold” documents from, or “alter” documents for use in, an “official proceeding.” *Arthur Andersen LLP v. United States*, p. 696.

4. *Wire fraud—Foreign government’s tax revenue.*—A plot to defraud a foreign government of tax revenue violates federal wire fraud statute, and a prosecution for such fraud does not derogate from common-law revenue rule barring courts from enforcing foreign sovereigns’ tax laws. *Pasquantino v. United States*, p. 349.

DEFECTIVE DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE. See **Pre-emption**.

DETENTION DURING A SEARCH. See **Constitutional Law, VI**.

DIRECT WINE SALES. See **Constitutional Law, I**.

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law, I**.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AGE. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

DISPARATE-IMPACT ANALYSIS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION. See **Criminal Law, 3**.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law, II**.

ECONOMIC LOSS CAUSATION. See **Securities Law**.

EDUCATION AMENDMENTS OF 1972.

Title IX—Private right of action—Retaliation claim.—Private right of action implied by Title IX encompasses claims of retaliation against an individual, here a girls' basketball coach, who complained about sex discrimination. *Jackson v. Birmingham Bd. of Ed.*, p. 167.

ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ENHANCED SENTENCES. See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 4.

ENRON CORPORATION. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

EQUITABLE TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

ESPIONAGE AGREEMENTS.

Suit against Federal Government—Promised financial assistance.—Rule of *Totten v. United States*, 92 U. S. 105, which prohibits suits against Government based on covert espionage agreements, bars respondents' suit against Central Intelligence Agency for failing to give them financial assistance it had promised in return for their Cold War espionage activities. *Tenet v. Doe*, p. 1.

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

EXHAUSTION OF HABEAS CLAIMS IN STATE COURT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

EXPRESS WARRANTIES. See **Pre-emption**.

FAILURE TO WARN. See **Pre-emption**.

FEDERAL COURTS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Jurisdiction**.

FEDERAL EQUITY PRACTICE. See **Indians**.

FEDERAL INDIAN LAW. See **Indians**.

FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT.
See **Pre-emption**.

FEDERALLY IMPOSED ASSESSMENTS ON CATTLE SALES AND IMPORTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1151.

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1163.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1173.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1181.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

FEDERAL TAXES. See **Taxes**.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; VII.

FIREARM POSSESSION. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III–V; **Injunctions**; **Stays**.

FLORIDA. See **Stays**.

FOREIGN CONVICTIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

FOREIGN GOVERNMENT'S TAX REVENUE. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS. See **Stays**.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Civil Rights Act of 1871**, 2; **Supreme Court**, 7.

1. *Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996—Capital murder—Mitigation evidence.*—Ninth Circuit's decision to affirm District Court's grant of habeas relief to respondent state prisoner, on ground that jury instructions at penalty phase of his capital case had not permitted consideration of all mitigation evidence, was contrary to 28 U.S.C. §2254(d)(1)'s limits on federal habeas review. *Brown v. Payton*, p. 133.

2. *Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996—Statute of limitations.*—Petitioner's federal habeas petition is barred by AEDPA's statute of limitations because it was filed beyond deadline and is not entitled to statutory or equitable tolling for time his untimely state postconviction petition was pending. *Pace v. DiGuglielmo*, p. 408.

3. *Mixed petitions—Federal district court authority to stay petition.*—A federal district court has discretion to stay a mixed habeas petition to allow a petitioner to present his unexhausted claims to a state court in first instance and then to return to federal court for review of his perfected petition. *Rhines v. Weber*, p. 269.

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

4. *Statute of limitations—Enhanced sentence—Underlying conviction vacated.*—Where a prisoner collaterally attacks his federal sentence on ground that a state conviction used to enhance that sentence has since been vacated, 28 U. S. C. § 2255, ¶ 6(4)'s 1-year limitations period begins to run when petitioner receives notice of order vacating prior conviction, provided that he has sought it with due diligence in state court after entry of judgment in federal case in which sentence was enhanced. *Johnson v. United States*, p. 295.

HAWAII. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

IMMIGRATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

INDIANS.

Tribes' ancient sovereignty—Federal Indian law and equity practice.—Given longstanding, distinctly non-Indian character of central New York State and its inhabitants, regulatory authority over area constantly exercised by State and its municipalities for 200 years, and respondent Tribe's long delay in seeking relief against parties other than United States, standards of federal Indian law and federal equity practice preclude Tribe from unilaterally reviving its ancient sovereignty, in whole or in part, by reacquiring parcels of land within its historic reservation through open-market purchases. *City of Sherrill v. Oneida Indian Nation of N. Y.*, p. 197.

INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS. See **Bankruptcy**.

INJUNCTIONS.

Party's death—Continuing rationale for injunction.—Cochran's widow is substituted as respondent; but injunction prohibiting petitioners from, *e. g.*, picketing Cochran's law firm has lost its rationale because they can no longer coerce Cochran to pay for desisting this activity. *Tory v. Cochran*, p. 734.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

JURISDICTION.

Federal district courts—Rooker-Feldman doctrine.—Doctrine precludes federal district court jurisdiction only in cases of same kind as *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U. S. 413, and *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U. S. 462: cases brought by state-court losers complaining of injuries caused by state-court judgments rendered before federal district court proceedings commenced and inviting district court review and rejection of those judgments. *Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Industries Corp.*, p. 280.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 3; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

LABELING REQUIREMENTS. See **Pre-emption.**

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 4.

LIQUOR REGULATION. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

LOSS CAUSATION. See **Securities Law.**

MEXICO. See **Supreme Court**, 7.

MICHIGAN. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

MITIGATION EVIDENCE. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

MIXED HABEAS CORPUS PETITIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN. See **Pre-emption.**

NEGLIGENT TESTING. See **Pre-emption.**

NEW YORK. See **Constitutional Law** I; **Indians.**

OKLAHOMA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PACKAGING REQUIREMENTS. See **Pre-emption.**

PAROLE PROCEDURES. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**, 2.

PENALTY PHASE OF CAPITAL MURDER TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

PESTICIDES. See **Pre-emption.**

PICKETING. See **Injunctions.**

PRE-EMPTION.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act—Farmers’ claims against manufacturers.—Act’s pre-emption provision applies only to state-law “requirements for labeling and packaging,” 7 U. S. C. § 136v(b); petitioner farmers’ defective design, defective manufacture, negligent testing, and breach of express warranty claims against respondent pesticide producer were not premised on such requirements and are thus not pre-empted; while their fraud and negligent-failure-to-warn claims are based on common-law rules that qualify as labeling and packaging requirements, Court of Appeals should resolve in first instance whether those claims are pre-empted. *Bates v. Dow Agrosiences LLC*, p. 431.

PRIMARY ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PRIOR RESTRAINTS ON SPEECH. See **Stays.**

PRISONERS' RIGHTS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, III.

PRIVATE PROPERTY REGULATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**, 1; **Education Amendments of 1972**.

RECORD ON APPEAL. See **Taxes**.

RELIGIOUS LAND USE AND INSTITUTIONALIZED PERSONS ACT OF 2000. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

RETRIBUTION CLAIMS UNDER TITLE IX. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

REVENUE RULES. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

ROOKER-FELDMAN DOCTRINE. See **Jurisdiction**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SECTION 1983. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

SECURITIES LAW.

Fraud action—Proof of loss causation.—In a securities fraud action, a security's inflated purchase price will not by itself constitute or cause relevant economic loss needed to allege and prove "loss causation" under 15 U. S. C. § 78u-4(b)(4); respondents' complaint was legally insufficient in respect to its "loss causation" allegation. *Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo*, p. 336.

SEMICLOSED PRIMARY SYSTEMS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

SENTENCING. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Criminal Law**, 1.

SEX DISCRIMINATION. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

SHACKLING OF DEFENDANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

STATES' REGULATION OF LIQUOR. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 4.

STAYS.

Freedom of the press—Prior restraints.—Local television network's application to stay Florida Circuit Court's orders restricting publication of grand jury proceeding transcripts in a murder prosecution is denied. *Multimedia Holdings Corp. v. Circuit Court of Fla., St. Johns Cty.* (KENNEDY, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

STOCKS. See **Securities Law**.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Presentation of Attorney General, p. v.
2. Rules of the Supreme Court, p. 1071.
3. Amendments to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, p. 1151.
4. Amendments to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, p. 1163.
5. Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, p. 1173.
6. Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 1181.
7. *Certiorari—Habeas corpus—Vienna Convention on Consular Relations.*—Writ is dismissed as improvidently granted in light of possibility that Texas courts will provide petitioner, a Mexican national asserting Vienna Convention claim, with review he seeks, and potential thereafter for review in this Court. *Medellín v. Dretke*, p. 660.

TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

TAXES. See also **Criminal Law**, 4.

Federal taxes—Tax Court’s authority—Record on appeal.—No statute authorizes, and Tax Court Rule 183’s current text does not warrant, that court’s practice of excluding from record on appeal reports submitted by special trial judges who conduct hearings in cases involving tax deficiencies exceeding \$50,000. *Ballard v. Commissioner*, p. 40.

TEXAS. See **Supreme Court**, 7.

TITLE IX. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

TWENTY-FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS. See **Supreme Court**, 7.

VOTING. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

WINE SALES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

WIRE FRAUD. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “*Convicted in any court.*” 18 U. S. C. § 922(g)(1). *Small v. United States*, p. 385.
2. “*Knowingly . . . corruptly persuades.*” 18 U. S. C. § 1512(b). *Arthur Andersen LLP v. United States*, p. 696.
3. “*Loss causation.*” 15 U. S. C. § 78u–4(b)(4). *Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo*, p. 336.
4. “*No government shall impose a substantial burden on the religious exercise of a person residing in or confined to an institution,*” unless

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

burden furthers “*a compelling governmental interest*” and does so by “*least restrictive means.*” §3, Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000, 42 U.S.C. §2000cc–1(a)(1)–(2). *Cutter v. Wilkinson*, p. 709.

5. “*Requirements for labeling and packaging.*” Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. §136v(b). *Bates v. Dow Agrosciences LLC*, p. 431.

6. “*Right to receive . . . a payment under a stock bonus, pension, profitsharing, annuity, or similar plan or contract on account of . . . age.*” Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §522(d)(10)(E). *Rousey v. Jacoway*, p. 320.

ZONING LAWS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**, 1.