

INDEX

- ADMIRALTY.** See **Maritime Law.**
- AGGRAVATED FELONIES.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act, 1.**
- AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.** See **Habeas Corpus.**
- ALIEN REMOVAL.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**
- APPOINTED APPELLATE COUNSEL.** See **Standing.**
- ARKANSAS RIVER.** See **Riparian Rights.**
- ATTORNEYS.** See **Constitutional Law, V; Standing.**
- “BIG DIG.”** See **Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act.**
- BOSTON HARBOR.** See **Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act.**
- CALIFORNIA.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**
- CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law, I; V; Habeas Corpus; Supreme Court.**
- CERCLA.** See **Environmental Law.**
- CERTIORARI.** See **Supreme Court.**
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.** See **Immunity from Suit.**
- CLEANUP OF HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES.** See **Environmental Law.**
- COLORADO.** See **Riparian Rights.**
- COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980.** See **Environmental Law.**
- CONSPIRACY.** See **Criminal Law.**
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**
- I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

1. *Capital murder—Jury instruction on mitigation evidence.*—Instruction given in penalty phase of petitioner’s capital murder trial—

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

which allowed jury to give effect to mitigation evidence only by negating what would otherwise be affirmative responses to two special issues relating to deliberateness and future dangerousness—was constitutionally inadequate under *Penry v. Johnson*, 532 U.S. 782. *Smith v. Texas*, p. 37.

2. *Death penalty—Offenders under age 18.*—Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments forbid imposition of death penalty on offenders who were under 18 when their crimes were committed. *Roper v. Simmons*, p. 551.

II. Double Jeopardy.

Midtrial acquittal—Judge’s reconsideration of decision.—Once defense went forward with its case, Double Jeopardy Clause forbade judge to reconsider her midtrial decision to acquit petitioner of one charge. *Smith v. Massachusetts*, p. 462.

III. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Prisoners’ rights—Racial segregation—Standard of review.—Strict scrutiny is proper standard for an equal protection challenge to California Department of Corrections’ unwritten policy of racially segregating male prisoners in double cells for up to 60 days each time they enter a new correctional facility. *Johnson v. California*, p. 499.

IV. Freedom of Speech.

Employment termination—Police officer’s conduct.—San Diego did not violate respondent officer’s First Amendment rights when it terminated him for activities that included selling videotapes of himself engaging in sexually explicit acts while wearing a police uniform. *San Diego v. Roe*, p. 77.

V. Right to Counsel.

Effectiveness of assistance—Standard of review.—Counsel’s failure to obtain a defendant’s express consent to a strategy of conceding guilt in a capital trial does not automatically render counsel’s performance constitutionally deficient; such counsel’s effectiveness should be evaluated under reasonableness standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668. *Florida v. Nixon*, p. 175.

VI. Right to Jury Trial.

Federal Sentencing Guidelines—Validity of mandatory sentences.—Sixth Amendment, as construed in *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. 296, applies to Federal Sentencing Guidelines; Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 provision making those Guidelines mandatory, 18 U.S.C. §3553(b)(1), must be severed and excised from Act, as must §3742(e), which depends upon Guidelines’ mandatory nature. *United States v. Booker*, p. 220.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VII. Searches and Seizures.**

1. *Narcotics-detection dog—Lawful traffic stop.*—A sniff by a narcotics-detection dog during a concededly lawful traffic stop does not violate Fourth Amendment where sniff reveals no information other than location of a substance that no individual has any right to possess. *Illinois v. Caballes*, p. 405.

2. *Warrantless arrest—Probable cause.*—A warrantless arrest is reasonable under Fourth Amendment if, given facts known to arresting officer, there is probable cause to believe that a crime has been or is being committed; it is not made unlawful by fact that offense establishing probable cause is not “closely related” to offense stated by officer at time of arrest. *Devenpeck v. Alford*, p. 146.

CONTINGENT FEE PAYMENTS AS INCOME. See **Taxes.**

CONTRACT SUPPORT COSTS. See **Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, I; II; V–VII; **Habeas Corpus**; **Immigration and Nationality Act**, 1; **Supreme Court.**

Money laundering—Conspiracy—Proof required.—Conviction for conspiracy to commit money laundering under 18 U. S. C. § 1956(h) does not require proof of an overt act in furtherance of conspiracy. *Whitfield v. United States*, p. 209.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Habeas Corpus.**

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Habeas Corpus.**

DEPORTATION. See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Injunctions.**

DISCRIMINATION IN VOTING. See **Injunctions.**

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

DREDGES. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act.**

DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**, 1.

DRUG-DETECTION DOGS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I; Habeas Corpus; Supreme Court.**

ELECTIONS. See **Injunctions.**

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980—Hazardous waste site cleanup—Contributions from other liable parties.—A private party undertaking the cleanup of properties contaminated by hazardous substances, who has not been sued in a civil action under CERCLA § 106 or § 107(a) may not obtain contribution under § 113(f)(1) of that Act from other liable parties. *Cooper Industries, Inc. v. Aviall Services, Inc.*, p. 157.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

EXECUTION OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.**

FAIR USE DEFENSE. See **Trademarks.**

FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

FEDERAL TAXES. See **Taxes.**

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, II, IV.**

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

FLORIDA. See **Immigration and Nationality Act, 1.**

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2; III; Supreme Court.**

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, VII; Immunity from Suit.**

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

FUTURE DANGEROUSNESS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 1.**

GROSS INCOME. See **Taxes.**

GUILTY PLEAS. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Immigration and Nationality Act, 3.**

Capital murder—Sentencing—Vague jury instruction.—Granting respondent federal habeas relief was in error because, in finding that statutory aggravating circumstance found by jury was unconstitutionally vague and that Tennessee Supreme Court failed to cure this vagueness on direct

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

appeal, Sixth Circuit failed to accord state court deference required by 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d). *Bell v. Cone*, p. 447.

HAZARDOUS WASTE CLEANUP. See **Environmental Law**.**IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.**

1. *Deportation—Aggravated felonies—Driving-under-influence offenses.*—State driving-under-influence offenses, such as Florida's, which either do not have a *mens rea* component or require only a showing of negligence in operation of a vehicle, are not crimes of violence under 18 U. S. C. § 16 and therefore are not aggravated felonies that can subject aliens to deportation under INA. *Leocal v. Ashcroft*, p. 1.

2. *Removal of aliens—Designated country's consent.*—Title 8 U. S. C. § 1231(b)(2)(E)(iv) permits an alien to be removed to a country without advance consent of that country's government. *Jama v. Immigration and Customs Enforcement*, p. 335.

3. *Removal of aliens—Detention beyond removal period—Habeas corpus.*—Under 8 U. S. C. § 1231(a)(6), Secretary of Homeland Security may detain inadmissible aliens beyond a statutory 90-day removal period, but only so long as is reasonably necessary to achieve removal; because both aliens here were detained well beyond presumptive time allotted to remove them and their removal to Cuba is not reasonably foreseeable, their habeas petitions should have been granted. *Clark v. Martinez*, p. 371.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.

Qualified immunity—Police officer's conduct.—Ninth Circuit erred in denying Officer Brosseau qualified immunity under *Saucier v. Katz*, 533 U. S. 194, in Haugen's 42 U. S. C. § 1983 civil action; at time Brosseau shot Haugen while he was fleeing police in his vehicle, pertinent cases did not “clearly establish” that Brosseau's conduct violated Fourth Amendment. *Brosseau v. Haugen*, p. 194.

INCOME TAXES. See **Taxes**.**INDIAN SELF-DETERMINATION AND EDUCATION ASSISTANCE ACT.**

Federal Government's obligation to pay certain “costs.”—Federal Government's promises to pay certain “contract support costs,” 25 U. S. C. § 450j–1(a)(2), incurred by petitioner Indian Tribes are legally binding even where Congress has not appropriated sufficient funds for payments. *Cherokee Nation of Okla. v. Leavitt*, p. 631.

INDIGENT DEFENDANTS. See **Standing**.**INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

INFRINGEMENT OF TRADEMARKS. See **Trademarks.**

INJUNCTIONS.

1. *Voting rights—Polling places—Vote challengers.*—Application by Ohio voter seeking to reinstate a District Court injunction barring Republican vote challengers from Ohio polling places in predominantly minority neighborhoods is denied, as applicant has already voted without challenge. *Democratic National Committee v. Republican National Committee* (SOUTER, J., in chambers), p. 1304.

2. *Voting rights—Polling places—Vote challengers.*—In suits alleging that Ohio Republicans planned to send challengers into predominantly minority neighborhoods to mount indiscriminate challenges at polling places in order to intimidate voters and cause voting delays, applications requesting reinstatement of District Court injunctions ordering challengers to stay out of polling places or to be there only as witnesses are denied because, on record here, it is impossible to determine with any certainty validity of plaintiffs' claims. *Spencer v. Pugh* (STEVENS, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

INTERCONTINENTAL SHIPPING. See **Maritime Law.**

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Habeas Corpus**; **Supreme Court.**

JURY TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

JUVENILE OFFENDERS AND DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

KANSAS. See **Riparian Rights.**

LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES. See **Supreme Court.**

LIABILITY LIMITATIONS. See **Maritime Law.**

LOANS. See **Truth in Lending Act.**

LONGSHORE AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT.

“Vessel”—Dredge.—A dredge, such as that used by respondent to dig a trench beneath Boston Harbor, is a “vessel” under LHWCA. *Stewart v. Dutra Constr. Co.*, p. 481.

MARITIME LAW.

Bills of lading—Intercontinental delivery—Final delivery to inland United States.—Federal maritime law governs interpretation of two bills of lading arranging intercontinental delivery of goods to inland United States; petitioner railroad is entitled to be protected by those bills' liability limitations in an action for damages caused by a train wreck on last, inland

MARITIME LAW—Continued.

leg of goods' journey. *Norfolk Southern R. Co. v. James N. Kirby, Pty Ltd.*, p. 14.

MASSACHUSETTS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

MICHIGAN. See **Standing**.

MIDTRIAL ACQUITTAL. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

MISSISSIPPI. See **Supreme Court**.

MITIGATION EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

MONEY LAUNDERING. See **Criminal Law**.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, I; V; **Habeas Corpus**; **Supreme Court**.

NARCOTICS-DETECTION DOGS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

OHIO. See **Injunctions**.

PERSONAL-PROPERTY LOANS. See **Truth in Lending Act**.

POLICE CONDUCT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VII, 2; **Immunity from Suit**.

PRISONERS' RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

PROBABLE CAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY. See **Immunity from Suit**.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Injunctions**.

RACIAL SEGREGATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

RAILROADS. See **Maritime Law**.

REAL-PROPERTY-SECURED LOANS. See **Truth in Lending Act**.

REMOVAL OF ALIENS. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RIGHT TO VOTE. See **Injunctions**.

RIPARIAN RIGHTS.

Arkansas River—Water distribution.—Kansas' exceptions to Special Master's Fourth Report in this case involving distribution of Arkansas River waters are overruled, Master's recommendations are accepted, and case is recommitted to Master for preparation of a decree. *Kansas v. Colorado*, p. 86.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Immunity from Suit**.

SEGREGATION BY RACE. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SENTENCING REFORM ACT OF 1984. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VI.

STANDING.

Third-party standing—Attorneys asserting indigent defendants' rights.—Respondent attorneys lack third-party standing to assert rights of indigent defendants to challenge Michigan's procedure denying appointed appellate counsel to indigents pleading guilty or nolo contendere. *Kowalski v. Tesmer*, p. 125.

STRICT SCRUTINY STANDARD OF REVIEW. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Presentation of Acting Solicitor General, p. v.

2. *Certiorari—Dismissal—Failure to raise claim in state court.*—Certiorari is dismissed as improvidently granted because petitioner did not raise his claim in Mississippi Supreme Court that state courts violated his Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights by refusing to require a jury instruction about a lesser-included offense in his capital case. *Howell v. Mississippi*, p. 440.

TAXES.

Federal income taxes—Gross income—Contingent fee payments.—When a litigant's recovery constitutes income, his gross income for federal income tax purposes includes portion of recovery paid to his attorney as a contingent fee. *Commissioner v. Banks*, p. 426.

TENNESSEE. See **Habeas Corpus**.

THIRD-PARTY STANDING. See **Standing**.

TORT RECOVERY. See **Taxes**.

TRADEMARKS.

Infringement—Fair use affirmative defense.—A party raising statutory affirmative defense of fair use to a claim of trademark infringement does not have a burden to negate any likelihood that practice complained of will confuse consumers about origin of goods or services affected. *KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impression I, Inc.*, p. 111.

TRAFFIC STOPS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

TRIAL BY JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

TRUTH IN LENDING ACT.

Civil liability—Personal-property loans—Minimum and maximum recoveries.—Amendment to TILA's civil-liability provision raised statutory damages recoverable for violations involving real-property-secured loans, but left unaltered \$100/\$1,000 minimum and maximum recoveries previously prescribed for violations involving personal-property loans. *Koons Buick Pontiac GMC, Inc. v. Nigh*, p. 50.

VESSEL. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

VOTING RIGHTS. See **Injunctions**.

WARRANTLESS ARREST. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

WATER RIGHTS. See **Riparian Rights**.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Contract support costs.*" Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act, 25 U.S.C. § 450j-1(a)(2). *Cherokee Nation of Okla. v. Leavitt*, p. 631.

2. "*Vessel.*" § 5(b), Longshore and Harbor Workers Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. § 905(b). *Stewart v. Dutra Constr. Co.*, p. 481.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION. See **Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.