

INDEX

ACCIDENT REQUIREMENT FOR AIR CARRIER LIABILITY. See **Warsaw Convention.**

ACTUAL DAMAGES. See **Privacy Act of 1974.**

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW. See **Social Security.**

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Preference for older workers over younger workers.—ADEA's text, structure, purpose, history, and relationship to other federal statutes show that it does not stop an employer from favoring an older employee over a younger one. *General Dynamics Land Systems, Inc. v. Cline*, p. 581.

AIR CARRIER LIABILITY. See **Warsaw Convention.**

AIR POLLUTION. See **Clean Air Act.**

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990.

Disparate-treatment claim.—Ninth Circuit improperly applied a disparate-impact analysis to a disparate-treatment claim brought under Act. *Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez*, p. 44.

ANTITRUST.

1. *Sherman Act—Antitrust person—Postal Service status.*—United States Postal Service is not subject to antitrust liability under Sherman Act; in both form and function, it is not a separate antitrust person from United States but is part of Government, and so is not controlled by antitrust laws. *Postal Service v. Flamingo Industries (USA) Ltd.*, p. 736.

2. *Sherman Act—Sharing telephone network.*—A complaint alleging breach of an incumbent local exchange carrier's duty to share its telephone network with competitors under Telecommunications Act of 1996 does not state an antitrust claim under §2 of Sherman Act. *Verizon Communications Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP*, p. 398.

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 1.

ASTHMA. See **Warsaw Convention.**

ATTORNEY'S FEES. See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

AUTOMOBILE CHECKPOINTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

AUTOMOBILE SEARCH. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

BANKRUPTCY.

1. *Creditor's objection—Out-of-time pleading—Duration of right to object.*—A debtor forfeits right to rely on Bankruptcy Rule 4004's time limitation for filing a creditor's objection to discharge if debtor does not raise that limitation before bankruptcy court reaches merits of creditor's objection. *Kontrick v. Ryan*, p. 443.

2. *Debtors' attorneys—Compensation awards from estate funds.*—Under Bankruptcy Code's plain language, 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1) does not authorize compensation awards to debtors' attorneys from estate funds, unless they are employed as authorized by § 327; in a Chapter 7 case, such an attorney must be employed by trustee and approved by court. *Lamie v. United States Trustee*, p. 526.

BIPARTISAN CAMPAIGN REFORM ACT OF 2002. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

BRADY CLAIMS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

CAR SEARCH. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

CHECKPOINT PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

CHEVRON DEFERENCE. See **Social Security**.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Prison discipline—Exhaustion of habeas opportunities.—Requirement of *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, that a prisoner exhaust available state or federal habeas opportunities to challenge his conviction's validity or his sentence's duration before filing a damages action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to recompense circumstances of his confinement is not implicated in a case such as this, where prisoner's challenge threatens no consequence for his conviction or his sentence's duration. *Muhammad v. Close*, p. 749.

CLEAN AIR ACT.

Environmental Protection Agency authority—Construction of pollutant emitting facility.—Clean Air Act authorizes EPA to stop construction of a major pollutant emitting facility permitted by a state authority when EPA finds that State's determination of "the best available control technology" is unreasonable in light of guides prescribed in 42 U.S.C. § 7479(3). *Alaska Dept. of Environmental Conservation v. EPA*, p. 461.

COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

COMPENSATION AWARDS TO ATTORNEYS. See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

CONSENT DECREES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

I. Due Process.

Dismissal of criminal charges—Evidence destroyed according to normal police procedures.—*Arizona v. Youngblood*, 488 U.S. 51, forecloses Appellate Court of Illinois' holding here that Due Process Clause required dismissal of criminal charges because police, acting in good faith and according to normal procedures, destroyed evidence that respondent had requested more than 10 years earlier in a discovery motion. *Illinois v. Fisher*, p. 544.

II. Freedom of Religion.

Free exercise of religion—State scholarship aid program exclusion.—Washington State's exclusion of pursuit of a devotional theology degree from its otherwise-inclusive scholarship aid program does not violate Free Exercise Clause. *Locke v. Davey*, p. 712.

III. Freedom of Speech.

Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002—"Soft money" donations—Electioneering communications.—Because principal, complementary features of Titles I and II of BCRA—Congress' effort to plug "soft-money" loophole in Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, and its regulation of electioneering communications—must be upheld in main, three-judge District Court's judgment is affirmed in part and reversed in part insofar as it upheld parts of those Titles but struck down others; District Court's judgment is also affirmed with respect to miscellaneous BCRA Titles III and IV provisions, but reversed with respect to BCRA Title V. *McConnell v. Federal Election Comm'n*, p. 93.

IV. Right to Counsel.

1. *Effective assistance—Closing argument.*—Ninth Circuit erred in finding that defense counsel's performance at closing argument in Gentry's California criminal trial deprived Gentry of his right to effective assistance of counsel. *Yarborough v. Gentry*, p. 1.

2. *Uncounseled inculpatory statements made during arrest—Suppression of subsequent jailhouse statements.*—Eighth Circuit erred in holding that absence of an "interrogation" at petitioner's home foreclosed his claim that his subsequent jailhouse statements should have been suppressed as fruits of his uncounseled inculpatory home statements. *Fellers v. United States*, p. 519.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**V. Searches and Seizures.**

1. *Automobile search—Probable cause for passenger's arrest.*—Because police had probable cause to arrest front-seat passenger in a car that had cocaine behind its back-seat armrest, arrest did not contravene Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Maryland v. Pringle*, p. 366.

2. *Execution of warrant—Forcible entry—Knock and announce rule.*—Where officers executing a warrant to search Banks' apartment for cocaine knocked and announced their authority, their 15-to-20-second wait before forcible entry satisfied Fourth Amendment and 18 U.S.C. § 3109. *United States v. Banks*, p. 31.

3. *Highway checkpoints—Traffic accident investigations.*—A police checkpoint stop set up to obtain information from motorists about a recent accident does not violate Fourth Amendment. *Illinois v. Lidster*, p. 419.

4. *Invalid warrant—Qualified immunity.*—Because petitioner officer's warrant was plainly invalid, his search of respondents' ranch was unreasonable under Fourth Amendment; petitioner is not entitled to qualified immunity from damages suit given that a Magistrate Judge found probable cause for search, because it would be clear to a reasonable officer that his conduct was unlawful. *Groh v. Ramirez*, p. 551.

VI. States' Immunity from Suit.

Federal consent decree—Enforcement action.—Eleventh Amendment does not bar enforcement of a federal consent decree entered into by state officials. *Frew v. Hawkins*, p. 431.

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV; V, 1, 2, 4.

DAMAGES. See **Privacy Act of 1974**.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

DEBTORS AND CREDITORS. See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

DEBTORS' ATTORNEYS. See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

DISABILITY BENEFITS. See **Social Security**.

DISABLED PERSONS. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**;
Social Security.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AGE. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

DISPARATE-IMPACT ANALYSIS. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

- DISPARATE-TREATMENT ANALYSIS.** See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.**
- DRUGS.** See **Constitutional Law, V, 2.**
- DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**
- EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, 1.**
- EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See **Habeas Corpus, 1.**
- ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**
- ELEVENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, VI.**
- EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**
- EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.**
- EVIDENCE DESTRUCTION.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**
- EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE.** See **Habeas Corpus, 3.**
- EXHAUSTION OF HABEAS OPPORTUNITIES.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**
- FEDERAL COURTS.** See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**
- FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACT OF 1971.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**
- FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See **Clean Air Act; Constitutional Law, VI.**
- FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, II; III.**
- FORCIBLE ENTRY.** See **Constitutional Law, V, 2.**
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, I; V, 1.**
- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**
- FREEDOM OF RELIGION.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**
- FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

1. *Death eligible defendants—Harmless-error review.*—Sixth Circuit ignored 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d)(1)'s limits on federal habeas review when it concluded that, because Eighth Amendment requires a State to narrow class of death eligible defendants, Ohio Court of Appeals had improperly subjected respondent's claims to harmless-error review. *Mitchell v. Esparza*, p. 12.

2. *Federal prisoner—Second or successive petition—Pro se litigant.*—A federal court cannot recharacterize a *pro se* litigant's motion as a request for habeas relief for purposes of applying 28 U. S. C. § 2255's "second or successive" provision unless it first informs litigant of its intent to recharacterize, warns litigant that this recharacterization means that any subsequent § 2255 motion will be subject to restrictions on "second or successive" motions, and provides litigant an opportunity to withdraw motion or amend it to contain all of his § 2255 claims. *Castro v. United States*, p. 375.

3. *State prisoner—Certificate of appealability—Concealment of exculpatory evidence.*—Fifth Circuit erred in dismissing one of petitioner's *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U. S. 83, claims and in denying him a certificate of appealability as to the others; when police or prosecutors conceal significant exculpatory or impeaching material in State's possession, it is ordinarily incumbent on State to set record straight. *Banks v. Dretke*, p. 668.

HARMLESS-ERROR REVIEW. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

HIGHWAY CHECKPOINTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 4; VI.

IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

INCUHPATORY STATEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

INVALID SEARCH WARRANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2, 4.

INVESTMENT SCHEMES. See **Securities Law**.

JAILHOUSE STATEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

KNOCK AND ANNOUNCE RULE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Bankruptcy**, 1.

MARYLAND. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Riparian Rights**.

MINIMUM STATUTORY DAMAGES. See **Privacy Act of 1974**.

OHIO. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

OLDER EMPLOYEES AND YOUNGER EMPLOYEES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.

PASSENGERS AND AIR CARRIERS. See **Warsaw Convention**.

PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**; **Social Security**.

POLICE PROCEDURES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

POLLUTION. See **Clean Air Act**.

POTOMAC RIVER. See **Riparian Rights**.

PRISON DISCIPLINE. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974.

Damages—Minimum statutory award—Proof required.—Plaintiffs adversely affected by a federal agency's violation of Act must prove some actual damages to qualify for a minimum statutory award of \$1,000. *Doe v. Chao*, p. 614.

PROBABLE CAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

PRO SE LITIGANTS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 4.

RATES OF RETURN. See **Securities Law**.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

RIPARIAN RIGHTS.

Potomac River—Water withdrawal and waterway construction—Improvements appurtenant to Virginia shore.—Virginia has sovereign authority, free from regulation by Maryland, to build improvements appurtenant to her shore of Potomac River and to withdraw water from River; Virginia did not lose her sovereign riparian rights by acquiescing in Maryland's regulation of her water withdrawal and waterway construction activities. *Virginia v. Maryland*, p. 56.

SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

SEARCH WARRANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2, 4.

SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE HABEAS PETITIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933. See **Securities Law**.

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. See **Securities Law.**

SECURITIES LAW.

Investment scheme—Fixed rate of return.—An investment scheme promising a fixed rate of return can be an “investment contract” and thus a “security” subject to Securities Act of 1933, Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and SEC Rule 10b-5. SEC v. Edwards, p. 389.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust.**

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

SOCIAL SECURITY.

Disability benefits—Ability to do previous work.—Social Security Administration’s determination that it can find a claimant not disabled—and thereby ineligible for disability insurance benefits and Supplemental Security Income—where she is physically and mentally able to do her previous work, without investigating whether that work exists in significant numbers in national economy, is a reasonable interpretation of 42 U.S.C. §423(d)(2)(A) that is entitled to deference under *Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837. *Barnhart v. Thomas*, p. 20.

“SOFT-MONEY” DONATIONS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

STATES’ IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

STATUTORY DAMAGES. See **Privacy Act of 1974.**

SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME. See **Social Security.**

SUPPRESSION OF JAILHOUSE STATEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2.**

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996. See **Antitrust, 2.**

TELEPHONE SERVICE. See **Antitrust, 2.**

TRAFFIC ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law, V, 3.**

UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

VIRGINIA. See **Riparian Rights.**

WARSAW CONVENTION.

Air carrier liability—Accident requirement—Refusal to move asthmatic passenger away from smokers.—“Accident” condition precedent to air carrier liability under Art. 17 of Warsaw Convention is satisfied when carrier’s unusual and unexpected refusal to assist a passenger—here, to move decedent asthmatic away from smokers—is a link in a chain of causa-

WARSAW CONVENTION—Continued.

tion resulting in passenger's pre-existing medical condition being aggravated by exposure to a normal condition in aircraft cabin. *Olympic Airways v. Husain*, p. 644.

WASHINGTON STATE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

WATER RIGHTS. See **Riparian Rights**.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

"The best available control technology." Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §7479(3). *Alaska Dept. of Environmental Conservation v. EPA*, p. 461.

YOUNGER EMPLOYEES AND OLDER EMPLOYEES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**.