

## INDEX

---

**ADMISSION TO UNIVERSITIES AND LAW SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**ADVOCACY CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**AFFIRMATIVE ACTION.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**AGRICULTURE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; VIII.

**ARBITRATION.**

1. *Class arbitration.*—South Carolina Supreme Court’s judgment—that parties’ contracts, which are governed by Federal Arbitration Act and South Carolina law, permit class arbitration—is vacated and case is remanded. *Green Tree Financial Corp. v. Bazzle*, p. 444.

2. *Federal Arbitration Act—Debt-restructuring agreement.*—There is a sufficient nexus with interstate commerce to enforce, under FAA, an arbitration provision included in parties’ debt-restructuring agreement. *Citizens Bank v. Alafabco, Inc.*, p. 52.

**ARTICLE III JUDGES.** See **Federal Courts**.

**ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**BANKS.** See **Jurisdiction**.

**CALIFORNIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; V; VIII; **Pre-emption**, 2.

**CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**CHILD ABUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**CHILDREN’S INTERNET PROTECTION ACT.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1866.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See also **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

Title VII—Sex discrimination—Mixed-motive jury instruction.—Direct evidence of discrimination is not required for a plaintiff to obtain a mixed-motive jury instruction under Title VII. *Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa*, p. 90.

**CLASS ARBITRATION.** See **Arbitration**, 1.

**COLLEGE ADMISSIONS POLICIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**CONSENSUAL SEXUAL CONDUCT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**

**I. Commerce Clause.**

*Discrimination against out-of-state businesses—State milk regulations.*—Section 144 of Federal Agriculture and Reform Act of 1996 does not exempt California's milk pricing and pooling regulations from Commerce Clause scrutiny. *Hillside Dairy Inc. v. Lyons*, p. 59.

**II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

*Prison visitation—State regulations.*—Michigan regulation barring visitation for prison inmates with two substance-abuse violations is not a cruel and unusual confinement condition violating Eighth Amendment. *Overton v. Bazzetta*, p. 126.

**III. Due Process.**

1. *Liberty interest—Right to reject medical treatment—Forced medication.*—Eighth Circuit erred in approving forced medication solely to render *Sell* competent to stand trial. *Sell v. United States*, p. 166.

2. *State criminal law—Consensual sexual conduct between same sex couple.*—Texas statute making it a crime for two persons of same sex to engage in certain intimate sexual conduct violates Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *Lawrence v. Texas*, p. 558.

**IV. Equal Protection of the Laws.**

1. *Law school admissions policy—Racial preferences.*—University of Michigan Law School's narrowly tailored use of race in admissions decisions to further a compelling interest in obtaining educational benefits that flow from a diverse student body is not prohibited by Equal Protection Clause, Title VI of Civil Rights Act of 1964, or 42 U.S.C. § 1981. *Grutter v. Bollinger*, p. 306.

2. *State taxes slot machines—Differential rate for river boat and racetrack machines.*—Iowa's differential tax rate for river boat and racetrack slot machine adjusted revenues does not violate Equal Protection Clause. *Fitzgerald v. Racing Assn. of Central Iowa*, p. 103.

3. *University's freshman admissions policy—Racial preferences.*—Because University of Michigan's use of race in its current freshman admissions policy is not narrowly tailored to achieve its asserted interest in diversity, policy violates Equal Protection Clause, Title VI of Civil Rights Act of 1964, and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. *Gratz v. Bollinger*, p. 244.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.****V. Ex Post Facto Laws.**

*New statute of limitations—Sex-related child abuse.*—California law providing a new criminal statute of limitations governing sex-related child abuse crimes, which was enacted after expiration of previously applicable limitations period, violates *Ex Post Facto* Clause when it is applied to revive a previously time-barred prosecution. *Stogner v. California*, p. 607.

**VI. Freedom of Association.**

*Prison visitation—State regulations.*—Michigan regulations that restrict visits with prison inmates bear a rational relation to legitimate penological interests sufficient to sustain them regardless of whether respondent prisoners have a constitutional right of association that survives incarceration. *Overton v. Bazzetta*, p. 126.

**VII. Freedom of Speech.**

1. *Nonprofit advocacy corporations—Campaign contributions.*—A federal law that bars corporations from contributing directly to candidates for federal office is consistent with First Amendment when applied to nonprofit advocacy corporations. *Federal Election Comm’n v. Beaumont*, p. 146.

2. *Overbreadth doctrine—Low-income housing—Trespass policy.*—Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority’s trespass policy at a low-income housing development is not facially invalid under First Amendment’s overbreadth doctrine. *Virginia v. Hicks*, p. 113.

**VIII. Privileges and Immunities.**

*Disparate treatment of out-of-state businesses—State milk regulations.*—Absence of an express statement in California laws and regulations identifying out-of-state residency as a basis for disparate treatment in State’s milk pricing and pooling regulations is not a sufficient basis for rejecting petitioners’ Privileges and Immunities Clause claims. *Hillside Dairy Inc. v. Lyons*, p. 59.

**IX. Right to Counsel.**

*Effective assistance of counsel—Sentencing hearing.*—Performance of trial counsel at sentencing violated Wiggins’ Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel. *Wiggins v. Smith*, p. 510.

**X. Spending Clause.**

*Children’s Internet Protection Act—Filtering software—Assistance to public libraries.*—District Court’s judgment—that Act’s filtering software provisions exceed Congress’ Spending Clause authority because any public library complying with those provisions will necessarily violate First

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

Amendment—is reversed. *United States v. American Library Assn., Inc.*, p. 194.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO CAMPAIGNS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**COPYRIGHT.** See **Lanham Act**.

**COURTS OF APPEALS.** See **Federal Courts**.

**CREDITORS AND DEBTORS.** See **Arbitration**, 2.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; V; IX; **Federal Courts**.

**CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**DEBTORS AND CREDITORS.** See **Arbitration**, 2.

**DISCRIMINATION AGAINST OUT-OF-STATE BUSINESSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3; **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEXUAL PREFERENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISPARATE TREATMENT OF OUT-OF-STATE BUSINESSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**DIVERSITY ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**ELECTORAL FRANCHISE.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**ELECTRICITY COSTS.** See **Pre-emption**, 1.

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**ENERGY REGULATION.** See **Pre-emption**, 1.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**EX POST FACTO LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**FEDERAL AGRICULTURE AND REFORM ACT OF 1986.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT.** See **Arbitration**.

**FEDERAL COURTS.**

*Court of Appeals panel—Article III and Article IV judges.*—A Ninth Circuit panel consisting of two Article III judges and one Article IV judge did not have authority to decide petitioners' criminal appeals. *Nguyen v. United States*, p. 69.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Jurisdiction**; **Pre-emption**.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**FILTERING SOFTWARE.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; VII; X.

**FORCED MEDICATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**FOREIGN POLICY.** See **Pre-emption**, 2.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; IV.

**FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII; X.

**FRESHMAN ADMISSIONS POLICIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

**GAMBLING.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**GEORGIA.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**HOLOCAUST VICTIM INSURANCE RELIEF ACT OF 1999.** See **Pre-emption**, 2.

**HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**INTERNET ACCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**IOWA.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**JUDGES.** See **Federal Courts**.

**JURISDICTION.**

*Removal—Usury action—National bank.*—A usury cause of action against a national bank arises only under federal law and can, therefore, be removed to federal court. *Beneficial Nat. Bank v. Anderson*, p. 1.

**JURY INSTRUCTIONS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**LANHAM ACT.**

*Uncopyrighted work—Unaccredited copying.*—Section 43(a) of Act—which prohibits a “false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, or false or misleading representation of fact, which . . . is likely to cause confusion . . . as to the origin . . . of . . . goods,” 15 U. S. C. §1125(a)—does not prevent unaccredited copying of an uncopyrighted work such as television program at issue. *Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.*, p. 23.

**LAW SCHOOL ADMISSIONS POLICIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

**LIBERTY INTEREST.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**LIBRARIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**LIMITATIONS PERIODS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**LOUISIANA.** See **Pre-emption**, 1.

**LOW-INCOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**MICHIGAN.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1, 3; VI.

**MILK PRICING AND POOLING REGULATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; VIII.

**MIXED-MOTIVE DISCRIMINATION CASES.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**NATIONAL BANKS.** See **Jurisdiction.**

**NONPROFIT ADVOCACY CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**OVERBREADTH DOCTRINE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**PENOLOGICAL INTERESTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**PRECLEARANCE.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**PRE-EMPTION.**

1. *Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved costs—Electricity sales.*—A Louisiana Public Service Commission order adjudging imprudent electricity costs allocated in a FERC-approved tariff is pre-empted. *Entergy La., Inc. v. Louisiana Pub. Serv. Comm'n*, p. 39.

2. *State holocaust victim relief Act—Conduct of foreign policy.*—California's Holocaust Victim Insurance Relief Act of 1999 is pre-empted because it interferes with President's conduct of Nation's foreign policy. *American Ins. Assn. v. Garamendi*, p. 396.

**PRESIDENTIAL POWERS.** See **Pre-emption**, 2.

**PRICING REGULATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; VIII.

**PRISONERS' RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 1; VI.

**PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**PUBLIC HOUSING.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**PUBLIC LIBRARIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**RACE DISCRIMINATION.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**RACETRACK SLOT MACHINES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**RACIAL PREFERENCES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**REDISTRICTING.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**REMOVAL.** See **Jurisdiction**.

**RETROGRESSION.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**RIGHT TO REJECT MEDICAL TREATMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**RIVER BOAT SLOT MACHINES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**SAME SEX COUPLES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**SECTION 1981.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**SENTENCING HEARINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**SEX DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**SEX-RELATED CHILD ABUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

**SLOT MACHINES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**SOUTH CAROLINA.** See **Arbitration**, 1.

**SPENDING CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**STATE SENATE REDISTRICTING.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**SUPREME COURT.**

1. Retirement of Shelley L. Dowling as Librarian, p. v.
2. Appointment of Judith A. Gaskell as Librarian, pp. III, v.
3. Term statistics, p. 1302.
4. *Extension of time to file certiorari petition.*—Petitioner's request for an extension of time to file her petition is denied because there are no grounds on which this Court would grant certiorari. *Prato v. Vallas* (STEVENS, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

**TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**TELEVISION RIGHTS.** See **Lanham Act**.

**TEXAS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**TIME-BARRED PROSECUTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**TITLE VI.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**TRESPASS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**UNDERGRADUATE ADMISSIONS POLICIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

**UNIVERSITY ADMISSIONS POLICIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1, 3.

**USURY.** See **Jurisdiction**.

**VISITATION WITH PRISON INMATES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; VI.

**VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.**

*Section 5—State senate redistricting plan—Preclearance.*—District Court failed to consider all relevant factors when it determined that Georgia's State Senate redistricting plan was not entitled to preclearance because it resulted in a retrogression of black voters' effective exercise of electoral franchise in violation of § 5. *Georgia v. Ashcroft*, p. 461.

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

*“False designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, or false or misleading representation of fact, which . . . is likely to cause confusion . . . as to the origin . . . of . . . goods.”* § 43(a), Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a). *Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.*, p. 23.