

INDEX

- ACCESS TO COURTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW.** See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**; **Labor**.
- AGGRAVATING FACTOR DETERMINATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.
- ALABAMA.** See **Qualified Immunity from Suit**.
- ALIENAGE DIVERSITY JURISDICTION.** See **Jurisdiction**.
- AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990.** See also **Punitive Damages**.
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission regulation—Refusal-to-hire defense—Endangerment of applicant's own health.—Act permits an EEOC regulation authorizing an employer to refuse to hire a disabled individual because his performance on job would endanger his own health. *Chevron U. S. A. Inc. v. Echazabal*, p. 73.
- ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 3.
- ARIZONA.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- BRANDISHING FIREARMS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; **Criminal Law**, 2.
- BREACH OF CONTRACT.** See **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987**.
- BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS.** See **Jurisdiction**.
- BUS PASSENGER SEARCHES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 1.
- CALIFORNIA.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.
- CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; X; **Criminal Law**, 1.

CENSUS. See also **Constitutional Law, II.**

Population determination—“Hot-deck imputation.”—Census Bureau’s use of “hot-deck imputation” to fill census information gaps and resolve conflicts in data does not violate 13 U.S.C. § 195, which forbids use of “statistical method known as ‘sampling’” in determining population for purposes of apportioning congressional Representatives. *Utah v. Evans*, p. 452.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974—Private causes of action.—Damages action by student against a private university for releasing his education records to unauthorized persons in violation of FERPA is foreclosed because FERPA creates no personal rights to enforce under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *Gonzaga Univ. v. Doe*, p. 273.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

Title VII—Hostile work environment—Filing of charge—Limitations period.—A plaintiff raising Title VII claims of discrete discriminatory or retaliatory acts must file his Equal Employment Opportunity Commission charge within statutory filing period, but a charge alleging a hostile work environment will not be time barred if all acts constituting claim are part of same unlawful practice and at least one act falls within filing period; in neither instance is a court precluded from applying equitable doctrines to toll or limit time period. *National Railroad Passenger Corporation v. Morgan*, p. 101.

CLASS ACTIONS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.****COLLEGE RECORDS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.****CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.****I. Access to Courts.**

Government officials’ claimed deception—Statement of claim.—Respondent did not state an actionable claim when she alleged that she was denied access to courts by Government officials who intentionally deceived her in concealing information that her husband had been tortured and killed by Guatemalan army. *Christopher v. Harbury*, p. 403.

II. Census Clause.

Population determination—“Hot-deck imputation.”—Census Bureau’s use of “hot-deck imputation” to fill census information gaps and resolve conflicts in data is not inconsistent with Constitution’s Census Clause, which requires an “actual Enumeration” of each State’s population. *Utah v. Evans*, p. 452.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**III. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

Executions—Mentally retarded criminals.—Executions of mentally retarded criminals are “cruel and unusual punishments” prohibited by Eighth Amendment. *Atkins v. Virginia*, p. 304.

IV. Establishment of Religion.

Tuition aid program—Payments to religious schools.—Ohio’s Pilot Project Scholarship Program, which provides, *inter alia*, tuition aid for Cleveland schoolchildren to attend a participating public or private, religious or nonreligious school of their parent’s choosing, does not offend Establishment Clause. *Zelman v. Simmons-Harris*, p. 639.

V. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Door-to-door advocacy—Advance registration requirement.*—A village ordinance making it a misdemeanor to engage in door-to-door advocacy without first registering with mayor and receiving a permit violates First Amendment as it applies to anonymous political speech. *Watchtower Bible & Tract Soc. of N. Y., Inc. v. Village of Stratton*, p. 150.

2. *Judicial elections—Restrictions on candidates’ speech.*—Minnesota Supreme Court’s canon of judicial conduct prohibiting candidates for judicial election from announcing their views on disputed legal and political issues violates First Amendment. *Republican Party of Minn. v. White*, p. 765.

VI. Freedom of the Press.

Door-to-door advocacy—Advance registration requirement.—A village ordinance making it a misdemeanor to engage in door-to-door advocacy without first registering with mayor and receiving a permit violates First Amendment as it applies to handbill distribution. *Watchtower Bible & Tract Soc. of N. Y., Inc. v. Village of Stratton*, p. 150.

VII. Free Exercise of Religion.

Door-to-door advocacy—Village ordinance requiring advance registration.—A village ordinance making it a misdemeanor to engage in door-to-door advocacy without first registering with mayor and receiving a permit violates First Amendment as it applies to religious proselytizing. *Watchtower Bible & Tract Soc. of N. Y., Inc. v. Village of Stratton*, p. 150.

VIII. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

Sexual abuse treatment program—Forced participation.—Tenth Circuit’s judgment—that Kansas prison officials’ threat to reduce respondent inmate’s privilege status and transfer him to maximum security if he refused to participate in a sexual abuse treatment program constituted com-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

pelled self-incrimination in violation of Fifth Amendment—is reversed. *McKune v. Lile*, p. 24.

IX. Right to Fair Trial.

1. *Plea agreement—Material evidence disclosure.*—Fifth and Sixth Amendments do not require Government to disclose material impeachment evidence prior to entering a plea agreement with a criminal defendant. *United States v. Ruiz*, p. 622.

2. *Sentencing—Judicial finding of brandishing a weapon.*—Title 18 U. S. C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(ii)—which increases by two years minimum sentence for using or carrying a firearm in relation to a drug trafficking crime upon a judicial finding of brandishing—does not evade Fifth and Sixth Amendment requirements. *Harris v. United States*, p. 545.

X. Right to Jury Trial.

Capital sentencing—Determining aggravating factors.—*Walton v. Arizona*, 497 U. S. 639, is irreconcilable with *Apprendi v. New Jersey*, 530 U. S. 466, and is, accordingly, overruled to extent that it allows a sentencing judge, sitting without a jury, to find an aggravating circumstance necessary for imposition of death penalty; because Arizona's enumerated aggravating factors operate as functional equivalent of an element of a greater offense, Sixth Amendment requires that they be found by a jury. *Ring v. Arizona*, p. 584.

XI. Searches and Seizures.

1. *Bus passengers—Consent to search.*—Fourth Amendment does not require police officers to advise bus passengers of their right not to cooperate and to refuse consent to searches. *United States v. Drayton*, p. 194.

2. *Drug testing—Students participating in extracurricular activities.*—School district's drug testing policy for students participating in extracurricular activities is a reasonable means of furthering district's important interest in preventing and deterring drug use among its schoolchildren and does not violate Fourth Amendment. *Board of Ed. of Independent School Dist. No. 92 of Pottawatomie Cty. v. Earls*, p. 822.

3. *Warrantless entry, arrest, and search—Absence of exigent circumstances.*—Louisiana Court of Appeal's conclusion that exigent circumstances were not required to justify police officers' warrantless entry of petitioner's home and their arrest and search of petitioner violates *Payton v. New York*, 445 U. S. 573, 590. *Kirk v. Louisiana*, p. 635.

CORPORATIONS. See Jurisdiction.**CRIMINAL LAW. See also Constitutional Law, III; IX; X; XI, 1, 3.**

1. *Discovery—Selective prosecution based on race.*—Because respondent failed to submit relevant evidence that Government did not seek death

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

penalty for similarly situated persons of a different race, he was not entitled to discovery of information relating to Government's capital charging practices under *United States v. Armstrong*, 517 U. S. 456. *United States v. Bass*, p. 862.

2. *Firearm usage in relation to a crime—Effect on sentencing.*—Title 18 U. S. C. § 924(c)(1)(A)—which provides that a person who uses or carries a firearm in relation to a drug trafficking crime shall have minimum sentence for underlying crime increased as specified in three subsections—defines a single offense, in which brandishing and discharging of a weapon are sentencing factors to be found by judge, not offense elements to be found by jury. *Harris v. United States*, p. 545.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Qualified Immunity from Suit**.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, III; X; **Criminal Law**, 1.

DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

DISCLOSURE OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1.

DISCOVERY. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Criminal Law**, 1.

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION. See **Jurisdiction**.

DOOR-TO-DOOR ADVOCACY. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; VI; VII.

DRUG TESTING. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 2.

DRUG TRAFFICKING. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; **Criminal Law**, 2.

EDUCATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; XI, 2; **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

EDUCATION RECORDS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Qualified Immunity from Suit**.

ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

EMERGENCY LOW INCOME HOUSING PRESERVATION ACT OF 1987.

Housing Act of 1949 loans—Breach of contract—Loan prepayment.—Because 1987 Act qualified as a repudiation of immediate-prepayment provision of petitioners' loan agreements with Farmers Home Administration under 1949 Act, breach would occur, and 6-year limitations period would commence to run, when a borrower tendered prepayment and Government then dishonored its obligation to accept tender and released its control over use of property securing loan. *Franconia Associates v. United States*, p. 129.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Pre-emption—Illinois Health Maintenance Organization Act.—ERISA does not pre-empt § 4-10 of Illinois HMO Act—which provides recipients of health coverage by an HMO with a right to independent medical review of certain benefit denials—as applied to health benefits provided by an HMO under contract with an employee welfare benefit plan. *Rush Prudential HMO, Inc. v. Moran*, p. 355.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; Civil Rights Act of 1964.****EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; Civil Rights Act of 1964.****ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.****EXECUTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law, III.****EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING ENTRY, ARREST, AND SEARCH.** See **Constitutional Law, XI, 3.****FAIR TRIAL.** See **Constitutional Law, IX.****FAMILY EDUCATIONAL RIGHTS AND PRIVACY ACT OF 1974.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.****FEDERAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS ACT.** See **Taxes.****FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.**

Class action—Objections to settlement—Nonnamed member's right to appeal.—Nonnamed class members who have objected in a timely manner to a settlement's approval at a fairness hearing may appeal without first intervening in lawsuit. *Devlin v. Scardelletti*, p. 1.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Habeas Corpus; Interstate Commerce Act.****FEDERAL TAXES.** See **Taxes.**

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII; IX.

FIREARMS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; **Criminal Law**, 2.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV–VII.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

GUATEMALA. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Federal petition—Independent state ground.*—Because Arizona Superior Court's determination that respondent waived his ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim under Ariz. Rule Crim. Proc. 32.2(a)(3) did not require an examination of that claim's merits, it was independent of federal law and thus not reviewable on federal habeas. *Stewart v. Smith*, p. 856.

2. *Federal petition—Teague analysis.*—In addition to performing any analysis required by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, a federal court considering a habeas petition must address a threshold *Teague v. Lane*, 489 U. S. 288, issue properly raised by State. *Horn v. Banks*, p. 266.

3. *Limitations period for filing federal petition—Tolling while state petition is “pending.”*—As used in 28 U. S. C. § 2244(d)(2), which tolls limitations period for filing federal habeas petitions while a state collateral relief petition is “pending,” “pending” covers time between a lower state court's decision and filing of a notice of appeal to a higher state court; that rule applies to California's collateral review system. *Carey v. Saffold*, p. 214.

HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATIONS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

HITCHING POST USE AS PUNISHMENT FOR PRISONERS. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit**.

HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

HOT-DECK IMPUTATION. See **Census**; **Constitutional Law**, II.

HOUSING ACT OF 1949. See **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987**.

ILLINOIS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit**.

IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1.

INDEPENDENT STATE GROUND FOR DECISION. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACT.

Safety regulations for tow trucks—State’s delegation of regulatory authority to municipalities—Pre-emption.—Title 49 U. S. C. § 14501(c) (2)(A)—which excepts “safety regulatory authority of a State with respect to motor vehicles” from § 14501(c)(1)’s general pre-emption rule—does not bar a State from delegating to local governments State’s authority to establish safety regulations governing tow trucks and other motor carriers. *Columbus v. Ours Garage & Wrecker Service, Inc.*, p. 424.

JUDICIAL ACCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

JUDICIAL ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

JURISDICTION.

Federal courts—Alienage diversity jurisdiction.—A corporation organized under British Virgin Islands law is a “citize[n] or subjec[t] of a foreign state” for purposes of alienage diversity jurisdiction. *JPMorgan Chase Bank v. Traffic Stream (BVI) Infrastructure Ltd.*, p. 88.

JURY TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, X.

KANSAS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

LABOR.

National Labor Relations Board—Authority to assess liability.—NLRB lacked authority to find that petitioner violated federal labor law by prosecuting against respondent unions an unsuccessful lawsuit with a retaliatory motive. *BE&K Constr. Co. v. NLRB*, p. 516.

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987**; **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

LOAN PREPAYMENTS. See **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987**.

LOUISIANA. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 3.

MEDICAL REVIEW OF HMO’S BENEFIT DENIAL DECISIONS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

MENTALLY RETARDED CRIMINALS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

MINNESOTA. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

MOTOR VEHICLE REGULATION. See **Interstate Commerce Act.**

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, III; X; **Criminal Law**, 1.

OHIO. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PLEA AGREEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1.

POPULATION OF UNITED STATES. See **Census**; **Constitutional Law**, II.

PRE-EMPTION. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**; **Interstate Commerce Act.**

PREPAYMENT OF LOANS. See **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987.**

PRISONERS. See **Qualified Immunity from Suit.**

PRIVATE CAUSES OF ACTION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

PUNITIVE DAMAGES.

Private causes of action under federal laws.—Punitive damages may not be awarded in private suits brought under §202 of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 or §504 of Rehabilitation Act of 1973. *Barnes v. Gorman*, p. 181.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.

Prison guards—Prisoner punishment—Hitching post use.—Respondent Alabama prison guards were not entitled to qualified immunity at summary judgment phase where reasonable officers would have known that using a hitching post to punish a prisoner under circumstances alleged by petitioner inmate violated Eighth Amendment prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment. *Hope v. Pelzer*, p. 730.

RACE DISCRIMINATION. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973. See **Punitive Damages.**

RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

RESTAURANT WORKERS' TAXES. See **Taxes.**

RIGHT TO APPEAL. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; X.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.**

SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; XI, 2; **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

SCHOOL RECORDS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SENTENCING. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; X; **Criminal Law**, 2.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**.

SEXUAL ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; X; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

STATISTICAL SAMPLING. See **Census**; **Constitutional Law**, II.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987**; **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

SUPREME COURT.

Term statistics, p. 991.

TAXES.

Federal Insurance Contributions Act—Estimating tips.—In assessing a restaurant for FICA taxes based upon tips that its employees may have received but did not report, Internal Revenue Service is authorized to use an aggregate estimate of all tips that restaurant's customers paid its employees. *United States v. Fior D'Italia, Inc.*, p. 238.

TIP INCOME. See **Taxes**.

TOW TRUCK REGULATION. See **Interstate Commerce Act**.

TRIAL BY JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; X.

TUITION AID PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

UNIONS. See **Labor**.

UNITED STATES POPULATION. See **Census**; **Constitutional Law**, II.

UNIVERSITY RECORDS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

VIRGIN ISLANDS. See **Jurisdiction**.

VOUCHERS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

WARRANTLESS ENTRY, ARREST, AND SEARCH. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 3.

WELFARE BENEFIT PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Citize[n] or subjec[t] of a foreign state.*" 28 U. S. C. § 1332(a)(2). JPMorgan Chase Bank v. Traffic Stream (BVI) Infrastructure Ltd., p. 88.

2. "*Pending.*" Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, 28 U. S. C. § 2244(d)(2). Carey v. Saffold, p. 214.

3. "*Statistical method known as 'sampling.'*" 13 U. S. C. § 195. Utah v. Evans, p. 452.

4. "*The safety regulatory authority of a State with respect to motor vehicles.*" Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U. S. C. § 14501(c)(2)(A). Columbus v. Ours Garage & Wrecker Service, Inc., p. 424.