

INDEX

ABBREVIATED RULE-OF-REASON ANALYSIS. See **Antitrust Acts.**

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE. See **Evidence.**

ADVERSE INFERENCES FROM DEFENDANTS' SILENCE. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS. See **Antitrust Acts.**

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law, I; II, 2.**

ALL WRITS ACT. See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990.

Effect of receiving Social Security disability benefits.—Pursuit and receipt of Social Security disability benefits does not estop a recipient from pursuing an ADA claim or set up a strong presumption against ADA success, but recipient must explain why her Social Security claim that she is unable to work is consistent with her ADA claim that she can perform her job's essential functions, at least with reasonable accommodation. *Cleveland v. Policy Management Systems Corp.*, p. 795.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

Federal Trade Commission Act—Nonprofit association—FTC jurisdiction—Abbreviated rule-of-reason analysis.—FTC's jurisdiction under Act extends to a nonprofit association that provides substantial economic benefit to its for-profit members; because anticompetitive effects of petitioner's advertising restrictions were not intuitively obvious, Ninth Circuit erred in using an abbreviated rule-of-reason analysis to determine whether restrictions violated antitrust laws. *California Dental Assn. v. FTC*, p. 756.

APPORTIONMENT. See **Constitutional Law, III, 2.**

ARIZONA. See **Criminal Law, 4; Federal-State Relations.**

ARMED FORCES. See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus, 3.**

ATTORNEYS. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

AUTOMOBILES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1, 2; **Criminal Law**, 1, 2.

BANKRUPTCY.

Chapter 11 reorganization—Prebankruptcy equity owners—Contribution of new capital.—Title 11 U. S. C. § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii) prohibits a debtor's prebankruptcy equity holders, over objection of a senior class of impaired creditors, from contributing new capital and receiving ownership interests in reorganized entity when that opportunity is given exclusively to such holders under a plan adopted without consideration of alternatives. *Bank of America Nat. Trust and Sav. Assn. v. 203 North LaSalle Street Partnership*, p. 434.

BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, V; **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

CARJACKING. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2.

CARRYING A FIREARM. See **Criminal Law**, 6.

CAR SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1, 2.

CHAPTER 11 REORGANIZATION. See **Bankruptcy**.

CHEVRON ANALYSIS. See **Customs; Immigration and Nationality Act**.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Section 1983—Regulatory taking of property—Submission to a jury.—District Court properly submitted to a jury question of liability on respondents' claim, under 42 U. S. C. § 1983, that city had effected a regulatory taking or otherwise injured their property by unlawful acts, without paying compensation or providing an adequate postdeprivation remedy for loss. *Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes at Monterey, Ltd.*, p. 687.

COAL LANDS ACTS.

Ownership of coalbed methane (CBM) gas.—Term "coal" as used in 1909 and 1910 Coal Lands Acts does not encompass CBM gas, and thus does not give ownership of CBM gas to respondent Tribe, which owns coal rights reserved by Acts. *Amoco Production Co. v. Southern Ute Tribe*, p. 865.

- COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.
- COLLECTIVE BARGAINING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Labor**.
- COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.
- COMITY.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

I. Commerce Clause.

Discrimination against interstate commerce—State franchise tax.—Alabama's franchise tax on foreign corporations discriminates against interstate commerce in violation of Commerce Clause. *South Central Bell Telephone Co. v. Alabama*, p. 160.

II. Due Process.

1. *State action—Workers' compensation medical payments—Property deprivation.*—A private insurer's decision, as authorized by Pennsylvania law, to withhold workers' compensation medical payments pending administrative review is not state action under Fourteenth Amendment; nor does state regime deprive disabled employees of "property" under Due Process Clause. *American Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Sullivan*, p. 40.

2. *State franchise tax—Res judicata and collateral estoppel.*—Alabama Supreme Court's refusal to permit petitioners to raise their constitutional claims against state franchise tax because of res judicata or collateral estoppel is inconsistent with Fourteenth Amendment's due process guarantee. *South Central Bell Telephone Co. v. Alabama*, p. 160.

III. Equal Protection of the Laws.

1. *Public universities—Instructional standards—Collective bargaining.*—Ohio Supreme Court's invalidation of a state law exempting public universities' standards for professors' instructional workloads from collective bargaining cannot be reconciled with Equal Protection Clause. *Central State Univ. v. American Assn. of Univ. Professors*, *Central State Univ. Chapter*, p. 124.

2. *Redistricting plan—Summary judgment.*—Because North Carolina General Assembly's motivation in drawing that State's Twelfth Congressional District was in dispute, appellees were not entitled to summary judgment on their claim that district was a racial gerrymander violating equal protection. *Hunt v. Cromartie*, p. 541.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**IV. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.**

Sentencing phase—Effect of guilty plea.—A guilty plea does not waive Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in case's sentencing phase; nor may a court draw an adverse inference from defendant's silence in determining facts about crime that bear on sentence's severity. *Mitchell v. United States*, p. 314.

V. Privileges or Immunities.

Right to travel—Durational residency requirements for welfare recipients.—California's requirement limiting new residents to welfare benefit level paid in State of their former residence violates right to travel guaranteed by Fourteenth Amendment; its constitutionality is not resuscitated by a change in federal welfare law. *Saenz v. Roe*, p. 489.

VI. Right to Liberty.

Right to practice law.—A prosecutor does not violate an attorney's Fourteenth Amendment right to practice his profession by executing a warrant to search attorney while his client is testifying before a grand jury. *Conn v. Gabbert*, p. 286.

VII. Searches and Seizures.

1. *Automobile searches—Passengers' belongings.*—Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments permit police with probable cause to search a car to inspect passengers' belongings that are capable of concealing object of search. *Wyoming v. Houghton*, p. 295.

2. *Automobile seizures—Forfeitable contraband.*—Fourth Amendment does not require police to obtain a warrant before seizing an automobile from a public place when they have probable cause to believe that it is forfeitable contraband. *Florida v. White*, p. 559.

3. *Media "ride-along" with police—Qualified immunity.*—A media "ride-along" search of petitioners' home violates Fourth Amendment, but because state of law was not clearly established at time home was entered, respondent officers are entitled to qualified immunity. *Wilson v. Layne*, p. 603.

4. *Media "ride-along" with police—Qualified immunity.*—Where respondent homeowners allege that a media "ride-along" involving a search of their home violates Fourth Amendment, but petitioner officers are entitled to a qualified immunity defense under *Wilson v. Layne*, Ninth Circuit's judgment for respondents is vacated. *Hanlon v. Berger*, p. 808.

CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

CONTRABAND. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

CONTRACTS WITH FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. See **Federal-State Relations.**

COURTS-MARTIAL. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, IV; VII, 1, 2;
Habeas Corpus.

1. *Carjacking—“Intent” element.*—In criminalizing carjacking “with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm,” 18 U. S. C. §2119 does not require Government to prove that a carjacker had an unconditional intent to kill or harm in all events, but merely requires proof of such an intent if necessary to effect a carjacking. *Holloway v. United States*, p. 1.

2. *Carjacking—Offense elements.*—Title 18 U. S. C. §2119 establishes not a single crime with a choice of three maximum penalties, but three distinct offenses, each of which must be charged by indictment, proved beyond a reasonable doubt, and submitted to a jury for verdict. *Jones v. United States*, p. 227.

3. *Continuing criminal enterprise—Jury findings—Specific violations.*—A jury in a continuing criminal enterprise case under 21 U. S. C. §848 must unanimously agree not only that defendant committed some “continuing series of violations,” but also about which specific “violations” make up that “continuing series.” *Richardson v. United States*, p. 813.

4. *German citizen’s execution.*—Court declines to exercise its original jurisdiction to allow Germany to challenge Arizona’s scheduled execution of a German citizen, given tardiness of Germany’s pleas and jurisdictional barriers they implicate. *Federal Republic of Germany v. United States*, p. 111.

5. *Illegal gratuities to federal officials—Link with “official act.”*—In order to establish an illegal gratuity in violation of 18 U. S. C. §201(c)(1)(A), Government must prove a link between a thing of value conferred upon a federal official and a specific “official act” for or because of which it was given. *United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers of Cal.*, p. 398.

6. *Proper venue—Crime of violence—Firearm use.*—Venue in a prosecution for using or carrying a firearm “during and in relation to any crime of violence,” 18 U. S. C. §924(c)(1), is proper in any district where crime of violence was committed. *United States v. Rodriguez-Moreno*, p. 275.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

CUSTOMS.

Classification regulations—Judicial deference.—A customs regulation relating to classification of certain imported goods is subject to analysis under *Chevron U. S. A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U. S. 837. *United States v. Haggard Apparel Co.*, p. 380.

- DEATH PENALTY.** See **Criminal Law**, 4; **Habeas Corpus**, 3.
- DEBTORS AND CREDITORS.** See **Bankruptcy**.
- DEPORTATION.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.
- DEPRIVATION OF PROPERTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- DISABILITY BENEFITS.** See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.
- DISABLED INDIVIDUALS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.
- DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- DISCRIMINATION AGAINST INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- DISCRIMINATION AGAINST OUT-OF-STATE BUSINESSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX.** See **Education Amendments of 1972**.
- DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION.** See **Education Amendments of 1972**.
- DISTRICT COURTS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2, 3.
- DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.
- DURATIONAL RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- EDUCATION AMENDMENTS OF 1972.**
Title IX—Private cause of action—Student-on-student harassment.—
A private Title IX damages action may lie against a school board for student-on-student harassment where board is deliberately indifferent to known sexual harassment that is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively bars victim's access to educational opportunities or benefits. *Davis v. Monroe County Bd. of Ed.*, p. 629.
- EDUCATION FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.
- EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Pre-emption of state rules.—California’s “notice-prejudice” rule is a “law . . . which regulates insurance” that is saved from pre-emption by ERISA; but State’s rule deeming an employer administering a health plan an insurer’s agent “relate[s] to” ERISA plans and does not escape pre-emption. *UNUM Life Ins. Co. of America v. Ward*, p. 358.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; Labor**.

ENGINEERING EVIDENCE. See **Evidence**.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

EQUITY HOLDERS IN BANKRUPT ESTATE. See **Bankruptcy**.

ESTOPPEL. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

EVIDENCE.

Federal Rules of Evidence—Expert testimony—Engineers and nonscientists.—Reliability rules for scientific testimony set forth in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U. S. 579, may apply to testimony of engineers and other nonscientists who are expert witnesses under Rule 702. *Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael*, p. 137.

EXECUTIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 4; **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

EXHAUSTION OF STATE REMEDIES. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EXHAUSTION OF TRIBAL COURT REMEDIES. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

EXPERT TESTIMONY. See **Evidence**.

FEDERAL CONTRACTS. See **Federal-State Relations**.

FEDERAL COURTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2, 3.

FEDERAL EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Labor**.

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY. See **Labor**.

FEDERAL OFFICIALS’ RECEIPT OF ILLEGAL GRATUITIES. See **Criminal Law**, 5.

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1169.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1183.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See also **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1189.

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE. See **Evidence**.

FEDERAL SERVICE LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS STATUTE. See **Labor**.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See also **Habeas Corpus**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Removal**.

Federal Government contractors—State taxes.—A State generally may impose a nondiscriminatory tax on a private company's proceeds from federal contracts regardless of whether contractor renders its services on an Indian reservation. *Arizona Dept. of Revenue v. Blaze Constr. Co.*, p. 32.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FIREARM USE. See **Criminal Law**, 6.

FISHING RIGHTS. See **Indians**.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2; **Supreme Court**, 6.

FORFEITABLE CONTRABAND. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III; V; VI; VII, 1.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FRANCHISE TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 2.

GAS RIGHTS. See **Coal Lands Acts**.

GATHERING RIGHTS. See **Indians**.

GERMANY. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

GRATUITIES TO FEDERAL OFFICIALS. See **Criminal Law**, 5.

GUILTY PLEA'S EFFECT ON PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Exhaustion of state-court remedies—Presentation for discretionary review.*—In order to satisfy exhaustion requirement, a state prisoner must present his claims to a state supreme court in a petition for discretionary review when that review is part of State's ordinary appellate review procedure. *O'Sullivan v. Boerckel*, p. 838.

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

2. *Right to appeal*—*District court error*.—A district court's failure to advise a defendant of his right to appeal as required by Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure does not entitle him to habeas relief if he knew of his right and hence suffered no prejudice from omission. *Peguero v. United States*, p. 23.

3. *Waiver of claims*.—LaGrand waived his claim that execution by lethal gas violates Eighth Amendment, and he procedurally defaulted that claim and ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim raised in his habeas corpus petition. *Stewart v. LaGrand*, p. 115.

HUNTING RIGHTS. See **Indians**.

ILLEGAL GRATUITIES. See **Criminal Law**, 5.

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.

Withholding of deportation—*Weighing test*.—In requiring Board of Immigration Appeals to supplement its weighing test for determining an alien's entitlement to withholding of deportation, Ninth Circuit failed to accord BIA's interpretation of 8 U. S. C. § 1253(h)(2)(C) level of deference required under *Chevron U. S. A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U. S. 837. *INS v. Aguirre-Aguirre*, p. 415.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3, 4.

IMPORTS. See **Customs**.

INDIANS. See also **Coal Lands Acts; Federal-State Relations; Jurisdiction**, 3.

Treaty usufructuary rights.—Chippewa Indians retain hunting, fishing, and gathering rights on land in present-day Minnesota that they ceded to United States in an 1837 Treaty. *Minnesota v. Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa Indians*, p. 172.

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT.

Nursing services—*Ventilator-dependent student*.—Act requires petitioner school district to provide respondent, a ventilator-dependent student, with continuous nursing services he requires during school day. *Cedar Rapids Community School Dist. v. Garret F.*, p. 66.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS. See **Supreme Court**, 6.

INJUNCTIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

INSURANCE FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION MEDICAL PAYMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

JUDICIAL DEFERENCE. See **Customs; Immigration and Nationality Act**.

JURIES. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Criminal Law**, 3.

JURISDICTION.

1. *Court of Appeals for Armed Forces—Injunction—Dropping respondent from Air Force rolls.*—CAAF lacked jurisdiction under All Writs Act to enjoin officials from dropping respondent after he was sentenced by a court-martial to more than six months' confinement and served more than six months of that sentence, where CAAF's process was neither "in aid of" its strictly circumscribed jurisdiction to review courts-martial nor "necessary or appropriate" in light of a servicemember's alternative opportunities to seek relief. *Clinton v. Goldsmith*, p. 529.

2. *Federal district courts—Removal from state court—Jurisdictional hierarchy.*—In cases removed from state to federal court, there is no unyielding jurisdictional hierarchy requiring federal court to adjudicate subject-matter jurisdiction before considering a challenge to personal jurisdiction. *Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co.*, p. 574.

3. *Federal district courts—Tribal court exhaustion doctrine.*—Doctrine, which requires a district court to stay its hand while a tribal court determines its own jurisdiction, does not extend to claims filed pursuant to Price-Anderson Act, which provides certain federal licensees with limited liability for claims of "public liability" arising out of, or resulting from, a nuclear accident. *El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Neztosie*, p. 473.

LABOR. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

Federal Service Labor-Management Relations Statute—Midterm bargaining.—Statute delegates to Federal Labor Relations Authority legal power to determine whether federal agencies and their unions must engage in midterm bargaining or bargaining about midterm bargaining. *Federal Employees v. Department of Interior*, p. 86.

LIBERTY INTEREST. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

MEDIA "RIDE-ALONG." See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3, 4.

MEDICAL PAYMENTS UNDER WORKERS' COMPENSATION LAW.
See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

METHANE GAS RIGHTS. See **Coal Lands Acts**.

MIDTERM BARGAINING. See **Labor**.

MINERAL RIGHTS. See **Coal Lands Acts**.

MINNESOTA. See **Indians**.

- MURDER.** See **Criminal Law**, 4.
- NONPROFIT ASSOCIATIONS.** See **Antitrust Acts**.
- NONSCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE.** See **Evidence**.
- NORTH CAROLINA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- NOTICE OF REMOVAL.** See **Removal**.
- NUCLEAR INCIDENTS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.
- NURSING SERVICES.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.
- OHIO.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.
- PASSENGER SEARCHES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.
- PENNSYLVANIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- PERSONAL JURISDICTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.
- PRE-EMPTION.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.
- PRICE-ANDERSON ACT.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- PROBABLE CAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.
- PROPERTY DEPRIVATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.
- QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3, 4.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- REDISTRICTING PLANS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- REGULATORY TAKING OF PROPERTY.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- RELIABILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY.** See **Evidence**.

REMOVAL. See also **Jurisdiction**, 2.

Time to file notice.—Under 28 U. S. C. § 1446(b), a named defendant's time to remove a case from state to federal court is triggered by simultaneous service of summons and complaint or receipt of complaint, "through service or otherwise," after and apart from service of summons, but not by mere receipt of complaint unattended by any formal service. *Murphy Brothers, Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, p. 344.

REPETITIOUS FILINGS. See **Supreme Court**, 6.

RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RES JUDICATA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

RESTRICTIONS ON ADVERTISING. See **Antitrust Acts**.

RIGHT TO APPEAL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

RIGHT TO PRACTICE LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

RIGHT TO TRAVEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RIGHT TO VOTE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

RULE-OF-REASON ANALYSIS. See **Antitrust Acts**.

SCHOOLS. See **Education Amendments of 1972; Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE. See **Evidence**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SECTION 1983. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

SEGREGATION OF VOTERS BASED ON RACE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

SENTENCING. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINTS. See **Removal**.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3.

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

- STATE ACTION.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- STATE-COURT REMEDIES.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- STATE TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 2; **Federal-State Relations**.
- STUDENT-ON-STUDENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT.** See **Education Amendments of 1972**.
- STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.
- SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.
- SUMMARY JUDGMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- SUPREME COURT.**
1. Notation of the death of Justice Blackmun (retired), p. v.
 2. Proceedings in memory of Justice Powell, p. vii.
 3. Amendments to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, p. 1169.
 4. Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, p. 1183.
 5. Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 1189.
 6. *In forma pauperis*—*Repetitious filings*.—Abusive filers are denied *in forma pauperis* status in noncriminal cases. Cross v. Pelican Bay State Prison, p. 811; Lowe v. Pogue, p. 273; Rivera v. Florida Dept. of Corrections, p. 135; Schwarz v. National Security Agency, p. 122.
- TAKING OF PROPERTY.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 2; **Federal-State Relations**.
- TIMELY REMOVAL.** See **Removal**.
- TITLE IX.** See **Education Amendments of 1972**.
- TREATIES.** See **Indians**.
- TRIBAL COURT EXHAUSTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.
- UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.
- USING A FIREARM.** See **Criminal Law**, 6.
- USUFRUCTUARY RIGHTS.** See **Indians**.
- VENTILATOR-DEPENDENT STUDENTS.** See **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act**.
- VENUE.** See **Criminal Law**, 6.
- VOTING RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

WELFARE BENEFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

WITHHOLDING OF DEPORTATION. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “*Coal.*” Coal Lands Act of 1909, 30 U. S. C. § 81; Coal Lands Act of 1910, 30 U. S. C. §§ 83–85. *Amoco Production Co. v. Southern Ute Tribe*, p. 865.

2. “*Continuing series of violations.*” 21 U. S. C. § 848. *Richardson v. United States*, p. 813.

3. “*During and in relation to any crime of violence.*” 18 U. S. C. § 924(c)(1). *United States v. Rodriguez-Moreno*, p. 275.

4. “*Law . . . which regulates insurance.*” § 514(a), Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U. S. C. § 1144(a). *UNUM Life Ins. Co. of America v. Ward*, p. 358.

5. “*Necessary or appropriate in aid of.*” All Writs Act, 28 U. S. C. § 1651(a). *Clinton v. Goldsmith*, p. 529.

6. “*Official act.*” 18 U. S. C. § 201(c)(1)(A). *United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers of Cal.*, p. 398.

7. “*Relate to.*” § 514(b)(2)(A), Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U. S. C. § 1144(b)(2)(A). *UNUM Life Ins. Co. of America v. Ward*, p. 358.

8. “*Through service or otherwise.*” 28 U. S. C. § 1446(b). *Murphy Brothers, Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, p. 344.

9. “*With the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm.*” 18 U. S. C. § 2119. *Holloway v. United States*, p. 1.