

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS. See **Social Security Act.**

AD VALOREM TAXES. See **Taxes.**

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.
See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

AGENCY. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

AIRPLANE ACCIDENT. See **Maritime Law.**

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990.

1. *Coverage of inmates in state prisons*—“*Public entity*.”—Title II of ADA, which prohibits a “public entity” from discriminating against a “qualified individual with a disability” on account of that individual’s disability, covers inmates in state prisons. *Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections v. Yeskey*, p. 206.

2. *Human immunodeficiency virus*.—Respondent’s asymptomatic HIV infection is a “disability” under ADA, but First Circuit did not cite sufficient material to determine, as a matter of law, that treating her in petitioner’s dental office would not have posed a direct threat to others’ health and safety. *Bragdon v. Abbott*, p. 624.

ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

APPEALABILITY CERTIFICATES. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

APPEALS. See **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Social Security Act.**

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE.

Interview notes—Deceased client.—Attorney’s notes of his interview with a client shortly before client’s death are protected by attorney-client privilege from subpoena by Independent Counsel, who seeks to use notes in a criminal investigation. *Swidler & Berlin v. United States*, p. 399.

ATTORNEYS AND CLIENTS. See **Attorney-Client Privilege; Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

BREACH OF CONTRACT. See **Communications Act of 1934.**

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CERTIFICATES OF APPEALABILITY. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

1. *Title VII—Sexual harassment—Employer’s vicarious liability—Affirmative defense.*—An employer is vicariously liable for discrimination caused by a supervisor, but subject to an affirmative defense looking to reasonableness of employer’s and victim’s conduct. *Faragher v. Boca Raton*, p. 775.

2. *Title VII—Sexual harassment—Job consequences—Affirmative defense.*—An employee who refuses a supervisor’s sexual advances, yet suffers no adverse, tangible job consequences, may recover against employer without showing that employer is negligent or otherwise at fault for supervisor’s actions; but employer may interpose an affirmative defense looking to reasonableness of employer’s and victim’s conduct. *Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth*, p. 742.

CIVIL RIGHTS RESTORATION. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

CLIENT FUNDS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

COAL INDUSTRY RETIREE HEALTH BENEFIT ACT OF 1992. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; IX, 1.

COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

Filed-tariff requirements—Pre-emption of state-law claims.—Act’s filed-tariff requirements pre-empt state-law claims of respondent, a reseller of long-distance services, against petitioner, a long-distance service provider, for breach of contract and tortious interference with contract. *American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Central Office Telephone, Inc.*, p. 214.

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980.

Polluting facility—Parent corporation’s liability.—Unless corporate veil may be pierced, a parent corporation that simply participated in, and exercised control over, its subsidiary’s operations may not be held liable under CERCLA as an “operator” of subsidiary’s polluting facility; but a parent that actively participated in, and exercised control over, facility’s operations may be held directly liable in its own right. *United States v. Bestfoods*, p. 51.

COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

CONSOLIDATED OMNIBUS BUDGET RECONCILIATION ACT OF 1985. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.**I. Double Jeopardy.**

Noncapital sentencing proceedings—Retrial on prior conviction allegation.—Double Jeopardy Clause, which precludes retrial on a prior conviction allegation in capital sentencing proceedings, does not apply in non-capital sentencing proceedings. *Monge v. California*, p. 721.

II. Due Process.

1. *Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992—Health care benefits funding.*—Court of Appeals' decision, that Act's allocation of liability for funding health care benefits for coal industry retirees and their dependents does not violate Due Process Clause as applied to a company that left coal industry in 1965, is reversed. *Eastern Enterprises v. Apfel*, p. 498.

2. *Jury instructions—Lesser included offense.*—*Beck v. Alabama*, 447 U. S. 625—which invalidated a state law prohibiting lesser included offense instructions in capital cases, when such offenses to charged crime existed under state law—does not require state courts to instruct juries on offenses that are not lesser included offenses of charged crime under state law. *Hopkins v. Reeves*, p. 88.

III. Excessive Fines.

Failure to report currency transport—Full forfeiture of funds.—Where respondent's sole offense was his failure to report to Government, as required by federal law, that he was leaving United States with more than \$10,000 in currency, forfeiture of entire \$357,144 he failed to declare would violate Excessive Fines Clause because it would be grossly disproportional to gravity of his offense. *United States v. Bajakajian*, p. 321.

IV. Extradition Clause.

Habeas relief—State court's authority.—New Mexico Supreme Court exceeded its authority under Extradition Clause and Extradition Act when it affirmed a habeas grant releasing respondent from custody even though New Mexico Governor had previously ordered him extradited to Ohio. *New Mexico ex rel. Ortiz v. Reed*, p. 151.

V. Freedom of Expression.

National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965—Selection criteria for National Endowment for the Arts grants.—Title 20 U. S. C. §954(d)(1)—which requires NEA to consider “general standards of decency and respect for . . . diverse beliefs and values” in awarding grants—is facially valid, as it neither inherently interferes with First Amendment rights nor violates constitutional vagueness principles. *National Endowment for Arts v. Finley*, p. 569.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VI. Presentment Clause.**

Line Item Veto Act.—Act's procedures for Presidential cancellation of provisions of duly enacted laws violate Presentment Clause. *Clinton v. City of New York*, p. 417.

VII. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

Domestic proceeding—Fear of foreign prosecution.—A witness in a domestic proceeding may not claim Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination based on fear of prosecution by a foreign nation. *United States v. Balsys*, p. 666.

VIII. Searches and Seizures.

Admissibility of evidence—Parole revocation hearing.—Exclusionary rule, which generally prohibits introduction at a criminal trial of evidence obtained in violation of a defendant's Fourth Amendment rights, does not apply in parole revocation hearings. *Pennsylvania Bd. of Probation and Parole v. Scott*, p. 357.

IX. Taking of Property.

1. *Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992—Health care benefits funding.*—Court of Appeals' decision, that Act's allocation of liability for funding health care benefits for coal industry retirees and their dependents does not violate Takings Clause as applied to a company that left coal industry in 1965, is reversed. *Eastern Enterprises v. Apfel*, p. 498.

2. *Interest on Lawyers Trust Account program—Use of client funds.*—Interest earned on client funds deposited by an attorney in an "IOLTA" account is client's "private property" for Takings Clause purposes. *Phillips v. Washington Legal Foundation*, p. 156.

CONTRACT ACTIONS. See **Communications Act of 1934.**

CORPORATIONS. See **Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Attorney-Client Privilege; Constitutional Law**, I; II, 2; IV; VIII; **Jurisdiction**, 3.

1. *Dealing in firearms without a license—"Willfully."*—Firearms Owners' Protection Act's prohibition of "willfully" violating 18 U.S.C. § 922(a)(1)(A)—which forbids dealing in firearms without a federal license—requires proof only that defendant knew that his conduct was unlawful, not that he also knew of licensing requirement. *Bryan v. United States*, p. 184.

2. *Federal firearms restrictions—Restoration of civil rights.*—Where State of conviction has restored a felon's civil rights but restricts

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

his right to possess some types of firearms, his prior conviction remains a conviction for purposes of a federal law forbidding a person convicted of a serious offense to possess any firearm, 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), and requiring an enhanced sentence for a three-time violent felon who violates § 922(g), § 924(e). *Caron v. United States*, p. 308.

3. *Mandatory prison term—Carrying a firearm during a drug offense.*—“[C]arries a firearm,” as it is used in 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)—which imposes a 5-year mandatory prison term upon a person who “uses or carries a firearm” “during and in relation to” a “drug trafficking crime”—applies to a person who knowingly possesses and conveys firearms in a vehicle, including in locked glove compartment or trunk. *Muscarello v. United States*, p. 125.

4. *Proper venue—Federal money-laundering charges.*—A Missouri District Court is not proper venue for respondent’s trial on money-laundering charges where laundering alleged in indictment occurred entirely in Florida. *United States v. Cabrales*, p. 1.

CURRENCY TRANSPORT OUT OF UNITED STATES. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

DEATH ON THE HIGH SEAS ACT. See **Maritime Law**.

DECENCY STANDARDS IN NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS GRANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE LIABILITY STANDARD. See **Education Amendments of 1972**.

DISABILITY BENEFITS. See **Social Security Act**.

DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF DISABILITY. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**.

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Education Amendments of 1972**.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIMES. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

EDUCATION AMENDMENTS OF 1972.

Title IX—Implied cause of action—Teacher-student sexual harassment.—Monetary damages in a Title IX implied cause of action may not be recovered for teacher-student sexual harassment unless a school district official with authority to institute corrective measures on district's behalf has actual notice of, and is deliberately indifferent to, teacher's misconduct. *Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School Dist.*, p. 274.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Group health plan—Continuation of coverage.—Where Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 amended ERISA to permit a beneficiary of an employer's group health plan to elect continuing coverage that he might otherwise lose because of a qualifying event, such as termination of employment, an employer may not deny such continuation coverage to a qualified beneficiary who is covered under another health plan at time he elects COBRA coverage. *Geissal v. Moore Medical Corp.*, p. 74.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; IX, 1; **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

ENHANCED SENTENCES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION. See **Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980**.

EXCESSIVE FINES. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

EXCLUSIONARY RULE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

EXTRADITION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FEAR OF FOREIGN PROSECUTION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FEDERAL COURTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACT OF 1971. See **Standing to Sue**, 2.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Communications Act of 1934**; **Criminal Law**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, 2.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 1; V; VII; IX.

FILED-RATE REQUIREMENTS. See **Communications Act of 1934.**

FIREARMS. See **Criminal Law**, 1, 2, 3.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FLORIDA. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

FOREIGN PROSECUTION AS BASIS FOR CLAIMING PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FORFEITURE OF FUNDS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 2.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FUNDING FOR ARTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

GROUP HEALTH PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

HABEAS CORPUS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Jurisdiction**, 3.

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; IX, 1; **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

HIV AS A DISABILITY. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**, 2.

IMPLIED CAUSES OF ACTION. See **Education Amendments of 1972.**

INDEPENDENT COUNSEL. See **Attorney-Client Privilege.**

INDIANS. See **Taxes.**

INMATES' RIGHTS. See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**, 1.

INTEREST ON LAWYERS TRUST ACCOUNT (IOLTA) PROGRAMS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

JURISDICTION.

1. *Court of Appeals—Settlement reopening.*—Neither Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) nor Quiet Title Act gave Fifth Circuit jurisdiction to reopen a settlement agreement in which title to disputed land was quieted in United States in return for a payment to respondents. *United States v. Beggerly*, p. 38.

JURISDICTION—Continued.

2. *Federal courts—Removal jurisdiction.*—Presence in an otherwise removable case of a claim that may be barred by Eleventh Amendment does not destroy federal courts' removal jurisdiction to hear remaining claims. *Wisconsin Dept. of Corrections v. Schacht*, p. 381.

3. *Supreme Court—Habeas corpus—Denial of certificate of appealability.*—This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1) to review a denial by a circuit judge or a court of appeals of an application for a certificate of appealability, which is required by § 2253(c)(1), as amended by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, before an appeal may be taken from an order denying habeas relief. *Hohn v. United States*, p. 236.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

KAL 007. See **Maritime Law**.

LAWYERS AND CLIENTS. See **Attorney-Client Privilege; Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR FIREARMS. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

LINE ITEM VETO ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Standing to Sue**, 1.

LOCAL TAXES. See **Taxes**.

LONG-DISTANCE SERVICE. See **Communications Act of 1934**.

MANDATORY PRISON TERMS. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

MARITIME LAW.

Airplane accident—Survival action—Pre-death pain and suffering.—Because Death on the High Seas Act does not authorize a survival action for a decedent's pre-death pain and suffering, there can be no general maritime survival action for such damages. *Dooley v. Korean Air Lines Co.*, p. 116.

MINERS' HEALTH CARE BENEFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; IX, 1.

MISSOURI. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

MONEY LAUNDERING. See **Criminal Law**, 4.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS GRANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

- NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES ACT OF 1965.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- NEW MEXICO.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- NONCAPITAL SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- OHIO.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- PAROLE REVOCATION HEARINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.
- PIERCING CORPORATE VEIL.** See **Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980**.
- POLITICAL COMMITTEES.** See **Standing to Sue**, 2.
- POLLUTION.** See **Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980**.
- PRE-DEATH PAIN AND SUFFERING DAMAGES.** See **Maritime Law**.
- PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW.** See **Communications Act of 1934**.
- PRESENTMENT CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- PRESIDENT'S AUTHORITY TO CANCEL PORTIONS OF LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Standing to Sue**, 1.
- PRIOR CONVICTION ALLEGATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- PRISONERS' RIGHTS.** See **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**, 1.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.
- PROPERTY RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2; **Jurisdiction**, 1.
- PUBLIC SCHOOLS' LIABILITY FOR TEACHER-STUDENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT.** See **Education Amendments of 1972**.
- QUIET TITLE ACT.** See **Jurisdiction**, 1.
- REASONABLENESS STANDARD OF CONDUCT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.
- REMAND ORDERS.** See **Social Security Act**.
- REMOVAL JURISDICTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.
- RESERVATION LAND.** See **Taxes**.
- RESTORATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

RETIREEES' HEALTH CARE BENEFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; IX, 1.

RETRIALS ON PRIOR CONVICTION ALLEGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SCHOOLS' LIABILITY FOR TEACHER-STUDENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT. See **Education Amendments Act of 1972**.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SENTENCING. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Criminal Law**, 3.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Education Amendments of 1972**.

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.

Disability benefits—Appeal of a remand order.—A Social Security disability claimant seeking court reversal of an agency decision denying benefits may appeal a district court order remanding case to agency for further proceedings. *Forney v. Apfel*, p. 266.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

STANDING TO SUE.

1. *Line Item Veto Act.*—Appellees, who claim to have been injured when provisions of duly enacted laws were canceled pursuant to Act, have standing to challenge Act's constitutionality. *Clinton v. City of New York*, p. 417.

2. *Voters—Federal Election Commission's political committee decision.*—Respondent voters have standing to challenge FEC's decision that an organization is not a political committee subject to Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 disclosure requirements regarding its membership, contributions, and expenditures; case is remanded for FEC to decide issue under its new regulations. *Federal Election Comm'n v. Akins*, p. 11.

STATE TAXES. See **Taxes**.

SUBPOENAS. See **Attorney-Client Privilege**.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Jurisdiction**, 3.
Term statistics, p. 1303.

SURVIVAL ACTION FOR DAMAGES. See **Maritime Law**.

TAKING OF PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

TAXES.

State and local ad valorem taxes—Reservation land.—State and local governments may impose ad valorem taxes on reservation land that was make alienable by Congress and sold to non-Indians, but was later repurchased by tribe. *Cass County v. Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians*, p. 103.

TEACHER-STUDENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT. See **Education Amendments of 1972.**

TELECOMMUNICATIONS. See **Communications Act of 1934.**

TITLE DISPUTES. See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

TITLE IX. See **Education Amendments of 1972.**

TITLE VII. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT. See **Communications Act of 1934.**

TRUST ACCOUNTS. See **Constitutional Law, IX, 2.**

VAGUENESS. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

VENUE. See **Criminal Law, 4.**

VICARIOUS LIABILITY FOR DISCRIMINATORY CONDUCT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

VOTERS. See **Standing to Sue, 2.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “*Carries a firearm.*” 18 U. S. C. § 924(c)(1). *Muscarello v. United States*, p. 125.

2. “*First becomes . . . covered.*” Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U. S. C. § 1162(2)(D)(i). *Geissal v. Moore Medical Corp.*, p. 74.

3. “*Operated.*” § 107(a)(2), Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U. S. C. § 9507(a)(2). *United States v. Bestfoods*, p. 51.

4. “*Physical . . . impairment that substantially limits one or more of [an individual’s] major life activities.*” Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U. S. C. § 12102(2)(A). *Bragdon v. Abbott*, p. 624.

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

5. “*Public entity*.” Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U. S. C. § 12132. Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections v. Yeskey, p. 206.

6. “*Willfully*.” Firearms Owners’ Protection Act, 18 U. S. C. § 924(a)(1)(D). Bryan v. United States, p. 184.