INDEX

ABUSE-OF-DISCRETION STANDARD OF REVIEW. See **Admissibility of Evidence.**

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT. See **Standing to Sue.**

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.

Expert testimony—Standard of review.—A court of appeals should apply an abuse-of-discretion standard in reviewing a district court's decision to admit or exclude expert testimony such as the scientific testimony excluded in this case. General Electric Co. v. Joiner, p. 136.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Employee's release of claims against employer.—A release that does not comply with Older Workers Benefit Protection Act requirements cannot bar an ADEA claim. Oubre v. Entergy Operations, Inc., p. 422.

ALABAMA. See **Jurisdiction,** 3.

ALASKA NATIVE CLAIMS SETTLEMENT ACT. See **Indians,** 1.

ALIMONY. See **Constitutional Law,** V.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

Sherman Act—Price fixing.—Albrecht v. *Herald Co.*, 390 U. S. 145—in which this Court held that vertical maximum price fixing is a *per se* violation of Sherman Act—is overruled. State Oil Co. v. Khan, p. 3.

ARREST WARRANTS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

AUDITS OF HOSPITALS. See **Social Security.**

BANKING. See **Constitutional Law,** II.

BANKRUPTCY.

Voidable preferences—Perfection of security interest.—A creditor may not invoke "enabling loan" exception to 11 U. S. C. § 547's voidable preference provisions if it perfects its security interest more than 20 days after debtor receives property, even though perfection occurs within a state-law grace period. Fidelity Financial Services, Inc. v. Fink, p. 211.

BANKS. See **Standing to Sue.**

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

BOUNDARIES. See **Indians,** 2.

BRIBERY. See **Criminal Law,** 2.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

CERTIORARI. See **Supreme Court,** 3.

***CHEVRON* ANALYSIS.** See **Federal Credit Union Act.**

CITY CHARTERS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Damages under 42 U. S. C. § 1983—Prosecutor's false statements.—Section 1983 may create a damages remedy against a state prosecutor making false statements of fact in an affidavit supporting an arrest warrant application, since such conduct is not protected by absolute prosecutorial immunity. Kalina v. Fletcher, p. 118.

CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT.

Federal employees—Sanctions for false statements.—Act does not preclude a federal agency from sanctioning an employee for making false statements in response to an underlying charge of employment-related misconduct. Lachance v. Erickson, p. 262.

CLAIM PRECLUSION. See **Jurisdiction,** 2.

CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS. See **Federal-State Relations.**

CONSPIRACIES. See **Criminal Law,** 2.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Jurisdiction,** 3.

I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

Death penalty—Jury instructions on mitigation.—Virginia trial court's refusal to instruct petitioner's capital jury on mitigation generally and on particular statutorily defined mitigating factors did not violate Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments. Buchanan v. Angelone, p. 269.

II. Double Jeopardy.

Federal banking charges—Prior administrative proceedings.—Double jeopardy did not bar petitioners' prosecution on federal banking charges, since earlier monetary-penalty and occupational-debarment administrative proceedings were civil, not criminal. Hudson v. United States, p. 93.

III. Due Process.

1. *Federal employees—Sanctions for false statements.*—Due Process Clause does not preclude a federal agency from sanctioning an employee for making false statements in response to an underlying charge of employment-related misconduct. Lachance v. Erickson, p. 262.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

2. *State sales tax—Retroactive relief.*—Florida court erred, under *Reich* v. *Collins*, 513 U. S. 106, 108–112, in denying petitioner access to an adequate postpayment remedy for refund of taxes paid under an unconstitutional sales tax exemption scheme. Newsweek, Inc. v. Florida Dept. of Revenue, p. 442.

IV. Full Faith and Credit.

State court's authority—Limit on witness' testimony in all courts.—Full faith and credit is not owed to a Michigan order enjoining a witness from testifying pursuant to another jurisdiction's subpoena in a case involving persons and causes outside Michigan's governance. Baker v. General Motors Corp., p. 222.

V. Privileges and Immunities Clause.

State income tax—Alimony—Nonresidents' treatment.—Absent a substantial reason for treating New York nonresidents differently from residents, a state tax law that denies only nonresidents an income tax deduction for alimony payments violates Privileges and Immunities Clause. Lunding v. New York Tax Appeals Tribunal, p. 287.

COURTS OF APPEALS. See **Admissibility of Evidence; Habeas Corpus.**

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy.**

CREDIT UNIONS. See **Federal Credit Union Act; Standing to Sue.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law,** I; II; **Habeas Corpus; Supreme Court,** 3.

1. *False statements—"Exculpatory no" doctrine.*—There is no exception to criminal liability under 18 U. S. C. § 1001 for a false statement that consists of mere denial of wrongdoing, the so-called "exculpatory no." Brogan v. United States, p. 398.

2. *Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act—Bribery—Predicate acts.*—Title 18 U. S. C. § 666(a)(B) does not require that a prohibited bribe have any effect upon federal funds; under § 1962(d), a RICO conspirator need not himself have committed, or agreed to commit, two or more predicate acts that are requisite for a substantive RICO offense. Salinas v. United States, p. 52.

3. *Student loan funds—Intent to defraud.*—Specific intent to defraud someone, whether United States or another, is not an element of misapplication of federally insured student loan funds proscribed by 20 U. S. C. § 1097(a). Bates v. United States, p. 23.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST NONRESIDENTS OF STATE. See **Constitutional Law,** V.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF AGE. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law,** II.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law,** III; **Jurisdiction,** 3.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

ELECTIONS. See **Federal-State Relations; Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Civil Service Reform Act; Constitutional Law,** III, 1; **Labor; Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

EMPLOYMENT-RELATED MISCONDUCT. See **Civil Service Reform Act; Constitutional Law,** III, 1.

"ENABLING LOAN" EXCEPTION. See **Bankruptcy.**

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Jurisdiction,** 3.

EVIDENCE. See **Admissibility of Evidence.**

"EXCULPATORY NO" DOCTRINE. See **Criminal Law,** 1.

EXPERT TESTIMONY. See **Admissibility of Evidence.**

FALSE STATEMENTS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Civil Service Reform Act; Constitutional Law,** III, 1; **Criminal Law,** 1.

FEDERAL COURTS. See **Admissibility of Evidence; Habeas Corpus; Jurisdiction,** 1, 2.

FEDERAL CREDIT UNION ACT. See also **Standing to Sue.**

Federal credit union membership.—National Credit Union Administration's interpretation of FCUA §109—which limits federal credit union membership to "groups having a common bond of occupation or association, or to groups within a well-defined neighborhood, community, or rural district"—to permit credit unions to be composed of multiple, unrelated employer groups is impermissible under first step of *Chevron* analysis. National Credit Union Admin. v. First Nat. Bank & Trust Co., p. 479.

FEDERAL EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Civil Service Reform Act; Constitutional Law,** III, 1.

FEDERALLY INSURED STUDENT LOANS. See **Criminal Law,** 3.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.

Federal election date—State open primary law.—Louisiana's open primary law—which provides that a candidate for congressional office who receives a majority in primary is elected without any action being taken on federal election day—conflicts with federal law setting date for federal elections. Foster v. Love, p. 67.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law,** II; III, 1.

FINAL JUDGMENTS. See **Jurisdiction,** 3.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law,** III, 2.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law,** I; III, 2; **Jurisdiction,** 3.

FRAUD. See **Criminal Law,** 3.

FULL FAITH AND CREDIT. See **Constitutional Law,** IV.

GEORGIA. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION. See **Social Security.**

HABEAS CORPUS.

Procedural default.—A court of appeals reviewing a district court's habeas decision is not required to raise issue of procedural default *sua sponte.* Trest v. Cain, p. 87.

HARMLESS-ERROR ANALYSIS. See **Supreme Court,** 3.

HOSPITAL AUDITS. See **Social Security.**

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

INCOME TAXES. See **Constitutional Law,** V.

INDIANS.

1. *Indian country—Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act—Taxes.*—Because land acquired by respondent Tribe pursuant to ANCSA is not "Indian country," Tribe could not tax nonmembers conducting business on land. Alaska v. Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government, p. 520.

2. *Reservation lands—Jurisdiction over a landfill.*—Language and context of 1894 surplus land Act demonstrate that Congress meant to diminish Yankton Sioux Reservation, such that a landfill on unallotted, ceded, former reservation lands is within South Dakota's primary jurisdiction. South Dakota v. Yankton Sioux Tribe, p. 329.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS. See **Supreme Court,** 4.

INTENT TO DEFRAUD. See **Criminal Law,** 3.

JURISDICTION.

1. *Federal courts—Removal.*—A case containing claims that state or local administrative action violates federal law, but also containing state-law claims for on-record review of administrative findings, can be removed to federal district court. Chicago v. International College of Surgeons, p. 156.

2. *Federal courts—Removal.*—Claim preclusion by reason of a prior federal judgment is a defensive plea that provides no basis for removal; such a defense is properly made in state proceedings, subject to this Court's ultimate review. Rivet v. Regions Bank of La., p. 470.

3. *Supreme Court—Final judgment in court below.*—Because Alabama Supreme Court remanded this case for further proceedings and, thus, has not yet rendered a final judgment, this Court lacks jurisdiction to review that court's decision on petitioners' claim that selective denial of fire protection to disfavored minorities, in violation of due process and equal protection, was proximate cause of their decedent's death. Jefferson v. City of Tarrant, p. 75.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

LABOR.

National Labor Relations Act—Union's continuing majority support.—National Labor Relations Board rule that an employer may poll its employees if it has a "good faith reasonable doubt" about an incumbent union's continuing majority support is facially rational and consistent with NLRA, but record does not support finding that petitioner lacked such a doubt. Allentown Mack Sales & Service, Inc. v. NLRB, p. 359.

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

LOUISIANA. See **Federal-State Relations.**

MAJORITY-VOTING ELECTORAL SYSTEMS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

MAXIMUM PRICE FIXING. See **Antitrust Acts.**

MAYORAL ELECTIONS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

MEDICAL SCHOOL EDUCATION. See **Social Security.**

MEDICARE. See **Social Security.**

MICHIGAN. See **Constitutional Law,** IV.

MITIGATING FACTORS. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

MULTIEMPLOYER PENSION PLAN AMENDMENTS ACT OF 1980.

Employer's withdrawal liability—Limitations period.—Act's 6-year statute of limitations on a pension fund's action to collect unpaid withdrawal liability does not begin to run until an employer misses a scheduled installment payment; action is timely as to any such payments coming due during six years preceding suit. Bay Area Laundry and Dry Cleaning Pension Trust Fund v. Ferbar Corp. of Cal., p. 192.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See **Labor.**

NEW YORK. See **Constitutional Law,** V.

OLDER WORKERS BENEFIT PROTECTION ACT. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

OPEN PRIMARIES. See **Federal-State Relations.**

PENSION PLANS. See **Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

PREDICATE ACTS. See **Criminal Law,** 2.

PREFERENCES. See **Bankruptcy.**

PRICE FIXING. See **Antitrust Acts.**

PRIMARY ELECTIONS. See **Federal-State Relations.**

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law,** V.

PROCEDURAL DEFAULT. See **Habeas Corpus.**

PROSECUTORIAL IMMUNITY. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT. See **Criminal Law,** 2.

"REAUDIT" RULE. See **Social Security.**

REFUND OF TAXES. See **Constitutional Law,** III, 2.

REMOVAL JURISDICTION. See **Jurisdiction,** 1, 2.

REPETITIOUS FILINGS. See **Supreme Court,** 4.

RESERVATION LANDS. See **Indians,** 2.

RETROACTIVITY OF FEDERAL RULES. See **Social Security.**

SALES TAXES. See **Constitutional Law,** III, 2.

SCIENTIFIC TESTIMONY. See **Admissibility of Evidence.**

SECTION 1983. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

SECURITY INTERESTS. See **Bankruptcy.**

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts.**

SOCIAL SECURITY.

Medicare—Provider reimbursement—Retroactivity of "reaudit" rule.—Rule permitting a second audit of a hospital's base-year graduate medical education (GME) costs in order to ensure accurate future provider reimbursements is not impermissibly retroactive and is a reasonable interpretation of Medicare's "GME Amendment." Regions Hospital v. Shalala, p. 448.

SOUTH DAKOTA. See **Indians,** 2.

STANDARD OF REVIEW. See **Admissibility of Evidence.**

STANDING TO SUE.

Administrative Procedure Act—Banks.—Banks have standing under APA to seek federal-court review of National Credit Union Administration's interpretation of § 109 of Federal Credit Union Act. National Credit Union Admin. v. First Nat. Bank & Trust Co., p. 479.

STATE TAXES. See **Constitutional Law,** III, 2; V.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See **Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

STUDENT LOANS. See **Criminal Law,** 3.

SUBPOENAS. See **Constitutional Law,** IV.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Jurisdiction,** 3.

1. Notation of the death of Justice Brennan (retired), p. VII.

2. Presentation of Solicitor General, p. IX.

3. *Certiorari.*—Because question on which this Court granted certiorari—whether failure to instruct on an element of an offense is harmless error where defendant admitted that element at trial—is not fairly presented by record, writ is dismissed as improvidently granted. Rogers v. United States, p. 252.

4. *In forma pauperis—Repetitious filings.*—Abusive filers are denied *in forma pauperis* status in noncriminal matters. Arteaga v. United States Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit, p. 446; Brown v. Williams, p. 1.

TAXES. See **Constitutional Law,** III, 2; V; **Indians,** 1.

TESTIMONY. See **Constitutional Law,** IV.

UNIONS. See **Labor.**

UNPAID WITHDRAWAL LIABILITY. See **Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

VERTICAL MAXIMUM PRICE FIXING. See **Antitrust Acts.**

VIRGINIA. See **Constitutional Law,** I.

VOIDABLE PREFERENCES. See **Bankruptcy.**

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

Section 5—Preclearance—City charter's majority-voting system.—Georgia city did not need preclearance under § 5 of Act for adoption of a majority-voting system in mayoral elections, since Attorney General's preclearance of a 1968 statewide law encompassed such adoption. City of Monroe v. United States, p. 34.

WITHDRAWAL LIABILITY. See **Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

"Groups having a common bond of occupation or association, or . . . groups within a well-defined neighborhood, community, or rural district." § 109, Federal Credit Union Act, 12 U. S. C. § 1759. National Credit Union Admin. v. First Nat. Bank & Trust Co., p. 479.

WRITS OF CERTIORARI. See **Supreme Court,** 3.