

Per Curiam

VEY *v.* CLINTON, PRESIDENT OF THE
UNITED STATES, ET AL.

ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

No. 96–8796. Decided June 9, 1997

Pro se petitioner seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* so that she may file a petition for certiorari from a Third Circuit decision dismissing her appeal as frivolous. In the past 6½ years, she has filed 26 submissions in this Court, all of which have been denied; and eight weeks ago, the Clerk of the Court was instructed not to accept any further petitions for extraordinary writs from her absent the required fees, see *In re Vey, ante*, p. 303.

Held: Petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied. For the reasons stated in *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (*per curiam*), she is barred from filing any further certiorari petitions in noncriminal matters unless she first complies with this Court's Rules.

Motion denied.

PER CURIAM.

Pro se petitioner Eileen Vey seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* so that she may file a petition for certiorari from a decision of the Third Circuit dismissing her appeal as frivolous under 28 U. S. C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (1994 ed., Supp. II). Her underlying claims below, various alleged civil rights and Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act violations by the President of the United States, the First Lady, and numerous Senators, judges (including THE CHIEF JUSTICE), foreign officials, and private citizens, are patently frivolous. In the past 6½ years, she has filed 26 submissions in this Court, all of which have been denied. Just eight weeks ago, we went even further, instructing the Clerk of the Court not to accept any further petitions for extraordinary writs from her unless she first paid the required fees. See *In re Vey, ante*, p. 303 (*per curiam*). Since that order has proved insufficient to deter petitioner's abusive conduct, we again

STEVENS, J., dissenting

deny her motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and now instruct the Clerk not to accept any further petitions for certiorari from petitioner in noncriminal matters unless she first complies with this Court's Rules. Petitioner is allowed until June 30, 1997, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38 and to submit her petition in compliance with Rule 33.1.

We enter the order barring future *in forma pauperis* filings for the reasons discussed in *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*).

It is so ordered.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE took no part in the decision of this case.

JUSTICE STEVENS, dissenting.

For reasons previously stated, see *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1, 4 (1992) (STEVENS, J., dissenting), and cases cited, I respectfully dissent.