

INDEX

ABSTENTION.

Remand order.—An abstention-based remand order is appealable under 28 U. S. C. § 1291; because damages, rather than equitable or discretionary relief, were sought here, District Court's remand order was an unwarranted application of abstention doctrine of *Burford v. Sun Oil Co.*, 319 U. S. 315. *Quackenbush v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, p. 706.

ACTUAL ENUMERATION. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

ADMIRALTY.

1. *Proximate causation requirement.*—Doctrines of legal or “proximate” causation and “superseding cause” apply in admiralty tort and contract actions notwithstanding this Court's adoption of comparative fault principle in *United States v. Reliable Transfer Co.*, 421 U. S. 397. *Exxon Co., U. S. A. v. Sofec, Inc.*, p. 830.

2. *Service of process—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.*—Rule 4 governs manner and timing of service in federal actions, which are nonjurisdictional matters of procedure, and thus it supersedes instruction in § 2 of Suits in Admiralty Act that process be served “forthwith.” *Henderson v. United States*, p. 654.

ADVERTISEMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967. See also **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

Prima facie case—Replacement by someone outside protected age group.—A plaintiff claiming he was discharged in violation of ADEA does not have to show that he was replaced by someone outside protected age group in order to make out a prima facie case under framework established by *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green*, 411 U. S. 792. *O'Connor v. Consolidated Coin Caterers Corp.*, p. 308.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS WARRANTING DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

AGRICULTURAL LABORERS. See **Labor**, 2.

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2, 3.

ALCOHOL SALES. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

- APPEALS.** See **Abstention; Federal Courts**, 1.
- APPORTIONMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.
- ARBITRATION.** See **Federal Arbitration Act**.
- ARMED FORCES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.
- ASSOCIATIONAL STANDING.** See **Standing to Sue**.
- BANKING.** See **National Bank Act of 1964; Pre-emption of State Law**.
- BANKRUPTCY.**
Subordination of claims against estate.—A bankruptcy court may not equitably subordinate claims on a categorical basis in derogation of Congress' priorities scheme. *United States v. Noland*, p. 535.
- CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII; **Stays**.
- CENSUS CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- CHICKEN PROCESSING PLANTS.** See **Labor**, 2.
- CIVIL FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY.** See **Federal Courts**, 2.
- CLAIM SUBORDINATION.** See **Bankruptcy**.
- CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE STANDARD.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.** See **Labor**, 1.
- COLORADO.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.
- COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.
- COMPARATIVE FAULT DOCTRINE.** See **Admiralty**, 1.
- COMPETENCE TO STAND TRIAL.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- CONCURRENT LIFE SENTENCES.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.
- CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Federal Courts**, 1.
- I. Census Clause.**

1990 census—“[A]ctual Enumeration.”—Because it was reasonable to conclude that an “actual Enumeration” could best be achieved in 1990 census without a statistical adjustment designed to correct an undercount in initial enumeration, Secretary of Commerce’s decision not to use that

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

adjustment was well within constitutional bounds. *Wisconsin v. City of New York*, p. 1.

II. Due Process.

1. *Criminal trial—Presumption of competence.*—An Oklahoma statute requiring that a defendant in a criminal case is presumed to be competent to stand trial unless he proves his incompetence by clear and convincing evidence violates due process. *Cooper v. Oklahoma*, p. 348.

2. *Punitive damages—Excessive awards.*—Where BMW failed to disclose that it had repainted a new car before Gore bought it, \$2 million in punitive damages awarded to Gore by an Alabama court was grossly excessive in violation of Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore*, p. 559.

3. *Res judicata—Parties to case.*—Petitioners were deprived of Fourteenth Amendment due process when Alabama Supreme Court held that they were barred from challenging an allegedly unconstitutional county tax by a prior adjudication of tax in a case to which they were not parties. *Richards v. Jefferson County*, p. 793.

III. Equal Protection of the Laws.

1. *Redistricting plan—Narrow tailoring of reapportionment scheme.*—North Carolina's congressional redistricting plan violates Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause because State's reapportionment scheme is not narrowly tailored to serve a compelling state interest. *Shaw v. Hunt*, p. 899.

2. *Redistricting plan—Racial gerrymanders.*—Three-judge District Court judgment that Texas' majority-minority congressional Districts 18, 29, and 30 constitute racial gerrymanders in violation of Fourteenth Amendment is affirmed. *Bush v. Vera*, p. 952.

3. *Repeal of laws banning discrimination on basis of sexual orientation.*—"Amendment 2" to Colorado Constitution, which prohibits all state governmental action designed to protect status of persons based on their sexual orientation, violates Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. *Romer v. Evans*, p. 620.

IV. Export Clause.

Federal taxes—Goods in export transit.—Export Clause does not permit imposition of a generally applicable, nondiscriminatory federal tax on goods in export transit. *United States v. International Business Machines Corp.*, p. 843.

V. Freedom of Speech.

Advertisement ban—Liquor prices.—Rhode Island laws banning advertisement of retail liquor prices except at place of sale violate First Amend-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

ment and are not saved by Twenty-first Amendment. 44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island, p. 484.

VI. Right to Jury Trial.

Patent construction—Disputed term of art.—Construction of a patent, including its terms of art, is a matter of law reserved entirely for court, and is not subject to a Seventh Amendment guarantee that a jury will determine any disputed term of art on which expert testimony is offered. Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., p. 370.

VII. Searches and Seizures.

Vehicle stops—Temporary detention of motorists.—Fourth Amendment's prohibition against unreasonable seizures does not require police to support temporary detention of motorists during stop of their vehicles with anything more than probable cause to believe they committed traffic violations. Whren v. United States, p. 806.

VIII. Separation of Powers.

Presidential authority—Promulgation of aggravating factors for sentencing of Armed Forces personnel.—President does not lack authority, under separation-of-powers doctrine, to promulgate aggravating factors that permit a court-martial to impose a death penalty upon an Armed Forces member convicted of murder. Loving v. United States, p. 748.

IX. States' Immunity from Suit.

Suits by Indian tribes—Indian Commerce Clause.—Eleventh Amendment prevents Congress from authorizing suits by Indian tribes against States to enforce legislation—here, Indian Gaming Regulatory Act—enacted under Indian Commerce Clause. Seminole Tribe of Fla. v. Florida, p. 44.

CONVENTIONS OF POLITICAL PARTIES. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

COUNTY TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

COURTS-MARTIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

COURTS OF APPEALS. See **Federal Courts**, 1.

CREDIT CARDS. See **National Bank Act of 1964.**

CREDITORS' CLAIMS. See **Bankruptcy.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; **Federal Courts**; **Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure**, 2; **Habeas Corpus**; **Stays**.

1. *Discovery—Selective prosecution based on race.*—For a defendant to be entitled to discovery on a claim that he was singled out for prose-

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

cution on basis of his race, he must make a threshold showing that Government declined to prosecute similarly situated suspects of other races. *United States v. Armstrong*, p. 456.

2. *Presumption against multiple punishments—Distribution of controlled substances.*—Presumption against multiple punishments requires vacation of Rutledge's concurrent life sentences and either his conviction for conspiring to distribute controlled substances or his conviction for conducting a continuing criminal enterprise "in concert" with others. *Rutledge v. United States*, p. 292.

DELEGATE REGISTRATION FEES. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

DE NOVO REVIEW. See **Federal Courts**, 1.

DETENTION OF MOTORISTS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

DISAVOWAL OF COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. See **Labor**, 1.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AGE. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; **Criminal Law**, 1.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEXUAL ORIENTATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

DISCRIMINATION IN PROSECUTION. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

DISTRICT COURTS. See **Federal Courts**, 2.

DRUGS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

EARLY RETIREMENT BENEFITS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Early retirement benefits—Waiver of employment claims.—ERISA does not prohibit an employer from conditioning receipt of early retirement benefits upon participants' waiver of employment claims; and 1986 amendments to ERISA and Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 forbidding age discrimination in pension plans are not retroactive. *Lockheed Corp. v. Spink*, p. 882.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974; Labor.**

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

EXPORT CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

FARMING. See **Labor, 2.**

FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT.

State law prohibition on arbitration—Pre-emption.—A Montana law placing threshold limitations specifically and solely on arbitration provisions conflicts with §2 of Federal Arbitration Act and is therefore pre-empted. *Doctor's Associates, Inc. v. Casarotto*, p. 681.

FEDERAL COURTS.

1. *Courts of appeals—Standard of review—Investigatory stops and warrantless searches.*—An appeals court should review *de novo* a trial court's determinations as to reasonable suspicion to support an investigatory stop and probable cause to make a warrantless search. *Ornelas v. United States*, p. 690.

2. *District courts—Power to strike pleadings.*—A district court may not strike a claimant's filings in a civil forfeiture suit and grant summary judgment against him for failing to appear in a related criminal prosecution. *Degen v. United States*, p. 820.

FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS RULES. See **Habeas Corpus.**

FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1255.

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1263.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See also **Admiralty, 2.**

Amendments to Rules, p. 1279.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

1. Amendments to Rules, p. 1285.

2. *Judgment of acquittal—Timeliness of motion.*—District Court had no authority to grant petitioner's motion for judgment of acquittal filed one day outside 7-day time limit set forth in Rule 29(c). *Carlisle v. United States*, p. 416.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Pre-emption of State Law**.

FEDERAL TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Indian Gaming Regulatory Act**; **Pre-emption of State Law**.

FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY. See **Federal Courts**, 2.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III; VII.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

GAMING LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Indian Gaming Regulatory Act**.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Stays**, 1.

Dismissal of petition.—Eleventh Circuit erred in dismissing a first federal habeas corpus petition for special ad hoc “equitable” reasons not encompassed within relevant statutes, Federal Habeas Corpus Rules, and prior precedents. *Lonchar v. Thomas*, p. 314.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

INDIAN COMMERCE CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT. See also **Constitutional Law**, IX.

Enforcement—Suit against state officials.—Doctrine of *Ex parte Young*, 209 U. S. 123, cannot be used to enforce Act’s provisions—which require States to negotiate in good faith with Indian tribes toward a compact allowing certain gaming activities—against state officials. *Seminole Tribe of Fla. v. Florida*, p. 44.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS. See **Supreme Court**, 6.

INSURANCE SALES. See **Pre-emption of State Law**.

INVESTIGATORY STOPS. See **Federal Courts**, 1.

JURY TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

LABOR. See also **Standing to Sue**.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Unfair labor practice—Disavowal of a collective-bargaining agreement.*—An employer disavowing a labor contract because of a good-faith doubt about union’s majority status at time contract was made, when doubt arises from facts known to employer

LABOR—Continued.

before union accepted its contract offer, commits an unfair labor practice. *Auciello Iron Works, Inc. v. NLRB*, p. 781.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Worker classification—“Live-haul” crews.*—National Labor Relations Board properly classified “live-haul” crews—teams that collect broiler chickens from independent growers for slaughter at a processing plant—as covered employees rather than agricultural laborers exempt from NLRA. *Holly Farms Corp. v. NLRB*, p. 392.

LATE-PAYMENT FEES. See **National Bank Act of 1964.**

LIFE SENTENCES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

LIQUOR SALES. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

LIVE-HAUL CREWS. See **Labor**, 2.

MCCARRAN-FERGUSON ACT. See **Pre-emption of State Law.**

MONTANA. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

MOTORIST DETENTION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

MULTIPLE PUNISHMENTS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII; **Stays.**

NATIONAL BANK ACT OF 1964.

Credit cards—Late-payment fees.—Title 12 U. S. C. §85, a provision of Act, authorizes a national bank to charge credit card late-payment fees that are lawful in bank’s home State but prohibited in State where cardholders reside. *Smiley v. Citibank (South Dakota), N. A.*, p. 735.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See **Labor.**

NORTH CAROLINA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

OKLAHOMA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

PATENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

PENSION PLANS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

POLITICAL PARTY CONVENTIONS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

POLL TAXES. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

POPULATION OF UNITED STATES. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

PRECLEARANCE OF CONVENTION DELEGATE REGISTRATION FEES. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW. See **Federal Arbitration Act.**

Federal banking law—National banks' selling of insurance.—A federal statute that permits national banks to sell insurance in small towns pre-empts a Florida statute that prohibits them from doing so; federal statute falls within exception to McCarran-Ferguson Act's special anti-pre-emption rule. *Barnett Bank of Marion Cty., N. A. v. Nelson*, p. 25.

PRESIDENTIAL POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

PRICE ADVERTISING BANS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

PRIMA FACIE CASES OF AGE DISCRIMINATION. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.**

PROBABLE-CAUSE DETERMINATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

PROXIMATE CAUSATION. See **Admiralty**, 1.

PRUDENTIAL STANDING REQUIREMENTS. See **Standing to Sue.**

PUNITIVE DAMAGES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; **Criminal Law**, 1.

REDISTRICTING PLANS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.

REPETITIOUS FILINGS. See **Supreme Court**, 6.

RES JUDICATA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

RETIREMENT BENEFITS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

RHODE ISLAND. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RIGHT TO VOTE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Federal Courts**, 1.

SEGREGATION OF VOTERS BASED ON RACE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.

SELECTIVE PROSECUTION. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

SENTENCING. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

SEPARATION OF POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SERVICE OF PROCESS. See **Admiralty**, 2.

SEVENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

SOUTH DAKOTA. See **National Bank Act of 1964**.

STANDING TO SUE.

Suit by union on behalf of its members.—Third prong of associational standing test—that neither claim asserted nor relief requested requires participation of individual members in lawsuit—is prudential; thus Congress had authority to abrogate that prong’s bar to suit and permit a union to sue for damages on behalf of its members for violations of Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act. *Food and Commercial Workers v. Brown Group, Inc.*, p. 544.

STATES’ IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

STAYS.

1. *Stay of execution—Habeas corpus petition.*—Application to vacate Eighth Circuit’s stay of Williams’ execution is granted, given District Court’s careful treatment of Williams’ claims in denying his third habeas petition, implausibility of those claims, and Circuit’s failure to reveal its grounds for relief. *Bowersox v. Williams*, p. 345.

2. *Stay of execution.*—Since no stay of execution is in effect in this case, there is nothing to vacate (REHNQUIST, C. J., in chambers). *Netherland v. Tuggle*, p. 1301.

SUITS IN ADMIRALTY ACT. See **Admiralty**, 2.

SUPERSEDING CAUSE DOCTRINE. See **Admiralty**, 1.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Proceedings in memory of Chief Justice Burger, p. v.
2. Amendments to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, p. 1255.
3. Amendments to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, p. 1263.
4. Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, p. 1279.
5. Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 1285.

6. *In forma pauperis—Repetitious filings.*—Abusive filer is prospectively denied leave to proceed in forma pauperis on all certiorari petitions in noncriminal matters. *Shieh v. Kakita*, p. 343.

TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3; IV.

TERMS OF ART. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

TEXAS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

TIMELINESS OF MOTIONS. See **Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure**, 2.

TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

TWENTY-FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES. See **Labor**, 1.

UNION LAWSUITS ON BEHALF OF MEMBERS. See **Standing to Sue**.

UNIONS. See **Labor**, 1.

VEHICLE STOPS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

VIRGINIA. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.

Preclearance—Party convention registration fee.—Dismissal of rejected nominating convention delegates' Voting Rights Act suit against Virginia Republican Party on grounds that a mandatory delegate registration fee did not have to be precleared and could not be challenged as a poll tax by private suit is reversed. *Morse v. Republican Party of Va.*, p. 186.

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See **Federal Courts**, 1.

WISCONSIN. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Agricultural laborer.*" §2(3), National Labor Relations Act, 29 U. S. C. § 152(3). *Holly Farms Corp. v. NLRB*, p. 392.

2. "*Interest.*" National Bank Act of 1964, 12 U. S. C. § 85. *Smiley v. Citibank (South Dakota), N. A.*, p. 735.

WORKER ADJUSTMENT AND RETRAINING NOTIFICATION ACT.
See **Standing to Sue**.