

## INDEX

---

**ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY.** See **Immunity.**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT.**

*Exhaustion of administrative remedies.*—Federal courts do not have authority to require a plaintiff to exhaust available administrative remedies before seeking judicial review under Act, where neither relevant statute nor agency rules specifically mandate exhaustion. *Darby v. Cisneros*, p. 137.

**ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.** See **Evidence.**

**ADOPTION.** See **Stays.**

**ADVERTISEMENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**ALIENS.** See **Case or Controversy; Immigration.**

**ANTITRUST ACTS.**

1. *Clayton Act—Cigarette market—Predatory practices.*—Respondent manufacturer was entitled to judgment as a matter of law on a claim that it engaged in predatory practices to stifle competition in economy segment of cigarette market in violation of §2(a) of Clayton Act, as amended by Robinson-Patman Act. *Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, p. 209.

2. *Sherman Act—Insurance industry.*—Most of alleged conduct of domestic, insurance-industry defendants accused of engaging in conspiracies aimed at forcing other insurers to change terms of particular insurance policies was not immunized from Sherman Act liability by McCarran-Ferguson Act, and District Court jurisdiction over foreign defendants was not precluded by international comity. *Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California*, p. 764.

**APPORTIONMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**ATTORNEY'S FEES.**

*Equal Access to Justice Act—Filing period—Social Security case.*—In a Social Security case, 30-day period for filing an application for attorney's fees under EAJA begins immediately upon expiration of time for appeal of a remand order issued pursuant to sentence four of 42 U. S. C. § 405(g). *Shalala v. Schaefer*, p. 292.

**BROADCASTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Habeas Corpus**.

**CASE OR CONTROVERSY.**

*Ripeness—Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986—Alien legalization program.*—Although 8 U. S. C. § 1255a(f)(1) does not preclude district court jurisdiction over an action challenging Immigration Service's alien legalization program regulations, only those plaintiffs who were "front-desked"—*i. e.*, their applications for adjustment of status were rejected at Legalization Office's front desk—have claims "ripe" for review. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, p. 43.

**CHILD CUSTODY.** See **Stays**.

**CHOICE OF LAW.**

*Retroactive application of Supreme Court's decisions.*—This Court's application of a rule of federal law to parties before Court requires every court to give retroactive effect to that decision. *Harper v. Virginia Dept. of Taxation*, p. 86.

**CIGARETTE MARKET.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.** See **Immunity**.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.**

*Title VII—Racial discrimination—Pretextual reasons for employer's actions.*—In a suit against an employer alleging intentional racial discrimination in violation of Title VII, trier of fact's rejection of employer's asserted reasons for its actions does not compel judgment for plaintiff. *St. Mary's Honor Center v. Hicks*, p. 502.

**CLAYTON ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**COAST GUARD.** See **Immigration**.

**COMITY.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

**COMMERCIAL SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; IV, 1.

**COMPETENCY STANDARDS.** See **Criminal Law**.

**CONSPIRACIES.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Criminal Law.****I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

1. *Capital murder—Mitigating evidence.*—Texas' former "special issues" capital sentencing system, as applied in this case to mitigating evidence as to defendant's youth, was consistent with Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Johnson v. Texas*, p. 350.

2. *Inmate's exposure to tobacco smoke—Statement of claim.*—By alleging that Nevada prison officials, with deliberate indifference, exposed him to environmental tobacco smoke at levels posing a danger to his future health, respondent inmate stated an Eighth Amendment claim on which relief could be granted. *Helling v. McKinney*, p. 25.

**II. Double Jeopardy.**

"Same-conduct" test.—*Grady v. Corbin*, 495 U. S. 508, which announced "same-conduct" test for use under Double Jeopardy Clause, is overruled; Court of Appeals' decision that respondents' prosecutions were barred by double jeopardy was affirmed in part and reversed in part. *United States v. Dixon*, p. 688.

**III. Due Process.**

1. *Discriminatory taxes—Relief.*—A State's relief for an impermissibly discriminatory tax must meet due process principles. *Harper v. Virginia Dept. of Taxation*, p. 86.

2. *Involuntary commitment proceedings.*—Kentucky statute allowing family members to participate as parties in involuntary commitment proceedings for mentally retarded does not violate due process. *Heller v. Doe*, p. 312.

3. *Punitive damages awards.*—Although \$10 million punitive damages award in this case was 526 times greater than actual damages awarded by jury, punitive award did not violate Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *TXO Production Corp. v. Alliance Resources Corp.*, p. 443.

**IV. Equal Protection of the Laws.**

1. *Involuntary commitment proceedings.*—Kentucky's distinctions between involuntary commitment proceedings for mentally retarded and those for mentally ill do not violate equal protection. *Heller v. Doe*, p. 312.

2. *Reapportionment—Alleged segregation of voters.*—Appellants stated an equal protection claim by alleging that North Carolina's reapportionment scheme was so irrational on its face that it could be understood only as an effort to segregate voters based on race, and that separation lacks sufficient justification. *Shaw v. Reno*, p. 630.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.**V. Establishment of Religion.**

*Aid to sectarian school student*—*Provision of sign-language interpreter*.—Establishment Clause does not prevent a school district from furnishing a disabled child enrolled in a sectarian school a publicly employed sign-language interpreter in order to facilitate his education. *Zobrest v. Catalina Foothills School Dist.*, p. 1.

**VI. Excessive Fines.**

*Forfeitures of property*—*Drug-related offenses*.—Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause applies to forfeitures of vehicles or real property used in commission of drug-related offenses under 21 U. S. C. §§ 881(a)(4) and (a)(7). *Austin v. United States*, p. 602.

**VII. Freedom of Speech.**

1. *Commercial speech*—*Broadcast lottery advertising*.—Federal statutes prohibiting broadcast of lottery advertising by a broadcaster licensed to a State that does not allow lotteries regulates commercial speech in a manner that does not violate First Amendment. *United States v. Edge Broadcasting Co.*, p. 418.

2. *Pornography business*—*Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act forfeiture provisions*.—RICO forfeiture provisions, as applied to petitioner's businesses dealing in sexually explicit material, do not violate First Amendment, but Court of Appeals must consider whether forfeiture was invalid under Excessive Fines Clause. *Alexander v. United States*, p. 544.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; II; VI; **Habeas Corpus**.

*Guilty plea*—*Waiver of right to counsel*—*Competency standard*.—Competency standard for pleading guilty or waiving right to counsel is same as competency standard for standing trial: whether a defendant has a rational and factual understanding of proceedings and is capable of assisting counsel. *Godinez v. Moran*, p. 389.

**CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**CUSTODY OF CHILDREN.** See **Stays**.

**DAMAGES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

**DISABLED CHILDREN.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**DISCRIMINATION IN TAXING.** See **Choice of Law**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**DRUG-RELATED OFFENSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**EDUCATION OF DISABLED CHILDREN.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; VI; VII, 2.

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

**EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.** See **Attorney's Fees**.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**EVIDENCE.**

*Admissibility—Expert testimony.*—Federal Rules of Evidence, not *Frye v. United States*, 54 App. D. C. 46, 293 F. 1013, provide standard for admission of expert scientific testimony in federal trials. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, p. 579.

**EXCESSIVE FINES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; VII, 2.

**EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES.** See **Administrative Procedure Act**.

**EXPERT TESTIMONY.** See **Evidence**.

**FEDERAL COURTS.** See **Administrative Procedure Act**; **Habeas Corpus**.

**FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.** See **Evidence**.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, V; VII.

**FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; VII, 2.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III; IV.

**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**FRONT-DESKING.** See **Case or Controversy**.

**GUILTY PLEAS.** See **Criminal Law**.

**HABEAS CORPUS.**

*Stay of execution—Abuse of discretion.*—Because no substantial grounds were presented for granting a stay on Blair's subsequent habeas petition, Court of Appeals abused its discretion in staying his execution by Missouri. *Delo v. Blair*, p. 823.

**HAITIANS.** See **Immigration.**

**IMMIGRATION.** See also **Case or Controversy.**

*Haitians—Interception and repatriation.*—Neither § 243(h)(1) of Immigration and Nationality Act nor Article 33 of United Nations Convention Relating to Status of Refugees prohibits President from ordering Coast Guard to repatriate undocumented Haitians intercepted on high seas. *Sale v. Haitian Centers Council, Inc.*, p. 155.

**IMMIGRATION REFORM AND CONTROL ACT OF 1986.** See **Case or Controversy.**

**IMMUNITY.**

*Absolute immunity—Civil Rights Act of 1871—Prosecutors.*—Prosecutors are not entitled to absolute immunity from liability under 42 U. S. C. § 1983 for allegedly fabricating evidence during preliminary investigation of a rape and murder and making false statements about petitioner at a press conference. *Buckley v. Fitzsimmons*, p. 259.

**INSURANCE.** See **Antitrust Acts, 2.**

**INTERNATIONAL COMITY.** See **Antitrust Acts, 2.**

**INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS.** See **Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 1.**

**IOWA.** See **Stays.**

**JURISDICTION.** See **Antitrust Acts, 2.**

**KENTUCKY.** See **Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 1.**

**LIMITATIONS PERIODS.** See **Attorney's Fees.**

**LOTTERY ADVERTISEMENTS.** See **Constitutional Law, VII, 1.**

**McCARRAN-FERGUSON ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts, 2.**

**MENTAL ILLNESS.** See **Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 1.**

**MENTAL RETARDATION.** See **Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 1.**

**MICHIGAN.** See **Stays.**

**MISSOURI.** See **Habeas Corpus.**

- MITIGATING EVIDENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.
- MURDER.** See **Habeas Corpus**.
- NEVADA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.
- NORTH CAROLINA.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- PARENTS AND CHILDREN.** See **Stays**.
- PORNOGRAPHY.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.
- PRETEXTUAL REASONS FOR EMPLOYER'S ACTIONS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.
- PRISONERS' RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.
- PROSECUTORS.** See **Immunity**.
- PUNITIVE DAMAGES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.
- REAPPORTIONMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- REFUGEES.** See **Immigration**.
- RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- REPATRIATION.** See **Immigration**.
- RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF SUPREME COURT DECISIONS.** See **Choice of Law**.
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See **Criminal Law**.
- RIGHT TO VOTE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- RIPENESS.** See **Case or Controversy**.
- ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.
- SAME-CONDUCT TEST.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.
- SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE.** See **Evidence**.
- SECTARIAN SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- SEGREGATION OF VOTERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- SENTENCING.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.
- SEXUALLY EXPLICIT MATERIAL.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**SHERMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

**SIGN-LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**SOCIAL SECURITY.** See **Attorney's Fees**.

**STATE TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**STAYS.** See also **Habeas Corpus**.

*Child custody.*—Applications to stay enforcement of a Michigan Supreme Court order directing applicant DeBoers to deliver applicant child to her natural parents in Iowa are denied. *DeBoer v. DeBoer*, p. 1301.

**SUPREME COURT.** See also **Choice of Law**.

1. Retirement of JUSTICE WHITE, p. IX.
2. Appointment of JUSTICE GINSBURG, p. XIII.
3. Presentation of Solicitor General, p. VII.
4. Term statistics, p. 1304.

**TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**TEXAS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

**TITLE VII.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

**TOBACCO SMOKE EXPOSURE AS A HEALTH RISK TO PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

**UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION RELATING TO STATUS OF REFUGEES.** See **Immigration**.

**VIRGINIA.** See **Choice of Law**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**VOTING RIGHTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**WAIVER OF RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See **Criminal Law**.