

INDEX

ABDUCTION OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANT FROM FOREIGN COUNTRY. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

ABSTENTION. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

ABUSE OF DISCRETION STANDARD. See *In Forma Pauperis*.

ADVERTISEMENTS. See **Airline Deregulation Act of 1978**.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

AIRLINE DEREGULATION ACT OF 1978.

Pre-emption of state law—Deceptive airline advertising.—Airline Deregulation Act of 1978 pre-empts States from prohibiting allegedly deceptive airline fare advertisements through enforcement of their general consumer protection statutes. *Morales v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, p. 374.

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

ANTIALIENATION PROVISIONS. See **Bankruptcy**.

ANTIPSYCHOTIC MEDICATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

1. *Federal Trade Commission Act—Horizontal price fixing—State-action immunity.*—Where FTC charged respondent title insurance companies with horizontal price fixing in violation of Act, state-action immunity was not available in Montana and Wisconsin under those States' regulatory schemes, but Court of Appeals should have opportunity to reexamine its immunity determinations with respect to Connecticut and Arizona. *FTC v. Ticor Title Ins. Co.*, p. 621.

2. *Sherman Act—Standard for summary judgment.*—Petitioner did not meet requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(c) for an award of summary judgment against respondents on their § 1 claim that petitioner had unlawfully tied sale of service for its machines to sale of parts, and respondents presented genuine issues for trial as to whether petitioner had monopolized, or attempted to monopolize, service and parts market in violation of § 2. *Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc.*, p. 451.

ARIZONA. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

ATTACHMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

BACKPAY. See **Taxes**, 1.

BALLOT ACCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

BANKRUPTCY.

Exclusions—Interest in pension plan.—An antialienation provision in a pension plan qualified under Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 constitutes a restriction on transfer enforceable under applicable nonbankruptcy law, and, accordingly, a debtor may exclude his interest in such a plan from bankruptcy estate's property. *Patterson v. Shumate*, p. 753.

BONDS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

BREACH OF CONTRACT. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

CAMPAIGN LITERATURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1, 2; **Criminal Law**, 5.

CASE OR CONTROVERSY. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CAUSE-AND-PREJUDICE STANDARD. See **Habeas Corpus**.

CHANGES IN VENUE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Section 1983—Qualified immunity from suit.—Qualified immunity from suit, as enunciated by this Court with respect to government officials, is not available to private defendants charged with liability under 42 U. S. C. §1983 for invoking state replevin, garnishment, or attachment statutes. *Wyatt v. Cole*, p. 158.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964. See **Taxes**, 1.

COMMERCE CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, III; **Taxes**, 2.

CONNECTICUT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

I. Case or Controversy.

Standing to sue—Endangered Species Act of 1973.—Respondents, wildlife conservation and other environmental organizations, lacked standing to challenge Secretary of Interior's rule interpreting §7 of Act, where they failed to show that they had suffered injury in fact. *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, p. 555.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

Death penalty—Weighing of invalid aggravating factors.—Trial court committed Eighth Amendment error when it weighed as an aggravating factor whether petitioner committed murder in a cold, calculated, and premeditated way, and that error went uncorrected by State Supreme Court when it reviewed case. *Sochor v. Florida*, p. 527.

III. Discrimination Against Interstate Commerce.

1. *Hazardous waste disposal fee—Out-of-state waste.*—Alabama's imposition of a hazardous disposal fee on hazardous waste generated outside State and disposed of at commercial facilities in State is a differential treatment of out-of-state waste in violation of Commerce Clause. *Chemical Waste Management, Inc. v. Hunt*, p. 334.

2. *Solid waste disposal—Out-of-state waste.*—A Michigan law prohibiting private landfill operators from accepting solid waste that originates outside of county in which their facilities are located unambiguously discriminates against interstate commerce and is appropriately characterized as a protectionist measure that cannot withstand Commerce Clause scrutiny. *Fort Gratiot Sanitary Landfill, Inc. v. Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources*, p. 353.

3. *Use tax—Out-of-state mail-order house.*—Enforcement of North Dakota statute requiring petitioner—an out-of-state mail-order house that has neither outlets nor sales representatives in State—to collect and pay a use tax on goods purchased for use within State places an unconstitutional burden on interstate commerce. *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, p. 298.

IV. Due Process.

1. *Death penalty—Voir dire.*—Trial court's refusal to inquire, during *voir dire* for a capital offense, whether potential jurors would automatically impose death penalty upon defendant's conviction was inconsistent with Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *Morgan v. Illinois*, p. 719.

2. *Forced medication during trial—Trial rights.*—Forced administration of antipsychotic medication during petitioner's capital murder trial violated his due process rights because State failed to establish need for medication and its medical appropriateness; it may also have impaired his Sixth Amendment trial rights because of medication's effect on his appearance, testimony, and communication with counsel. *Riggins v. Nevada*, p. 127.

3. *Insanity acquittee—Standard for release.*—Louisiana statute violated Due Process Clause where it allowed an insanity acquittee to be committed to a mental institution until he was able to demonstrate that

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

he was not dangerous to himself and others, even though he did not suffer from any mental illness. *Foucha v. Louisiana*, p. 71.

4. *Use tax—Out-of-state mail-order house.*—Due Process Clause does not bar enforcement of North Dakota statute requiring petitioner—an out-of-state mail-order house that has neither outlets nor sales representatives in State—to collect and pay a use tax on goods purchased for use within State; to extent that this Court's decisions have indicated that Clause requires a physical presence in a State, they are overruled. *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, p. 298.

V. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Venue rules.—Montana's venue rules—which permit suit against a corporation incorporated in that State only in county of its principal place of business, but permit suit in any county against a corporation incorporated elsewhere—do not offend Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. *Burlington Northern R. Co. v. Ford*, p. 648.

VI. Freedom of Expression and Association.

Write-in voting prohibition.—Hawaii's prohibition on write-in voting does not unreasonably infringe upon its citizens' rights under First and Fourteenth Amendments. *Burdick v. Takushi*, p. 428.

VII. Freedom of Speech.

Political speech—Polling places.—Tennessee Supreme Court's decision that state law prohibiting solicitation of votes and display or distribution of campaign materials within 100 feet of entrance to a polling place violated First and Fourteenth Amendments because 100-foot limit was not narrowly tailored to protect, and was not least restrictive means to serve, State's legitimate interest, is reversed. *Burson v. Freeman*, p. 191.

CONSUMER PROTECTION. See **Airline Deregulation Act of 1978.**

CORPORATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V; **Taxes**, 2.

COURTS OF APPEALS. See *In Forma Pauperis*.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1–3; **Habeas Corpus**.

1. *Abduction of a criminal defendant from a foreign country.*—Abduction of a criminal defendant to United States from Mexico—which has an extradition treaty with United States—did not preclude his trial in a United States court for violations of this country's criminal laws. *United States v. Alvarez-Machain*, p. 655.

2. *Dismissal of indictment—Failure to disclose exculpatory evidence to grand jury.*—A district court may not dismiss an otherwise valid indict-

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

ment because Government failed to disclose to grand jury “substantial exculpatory evidence” in its possession. *United States v. Williams*, p. 36.

3. *Extortion—Hobbs Act—Inducement by public official.*—An affirmative act of inducement by a public official, such as a demand, is not an element of offense of extortion “under color of official right” prohibited by Hobbs Act. *Evans v. United States*, p. 255.

4. *Sentencing—Refusing to reduce sentence for substantial assistance.*—Federal district courts have authority to review Government’s refusal to file a motion requesting that a defendant’s sentence be reduced below statutory maximum because of his substantial assistance to Government and to grant a remedy if they find that refusal was based on an unconstitutional motive; however, Wade failed to raise a claim of improper motive. *Wade v. United States*, p. 188.

5. *Stay of execution—Evidence of innocence.*—Applicant was not entitled to a stay of execution where there was no basis for concluding that he had produced substantial evidence of innocence. *Coleman v. Thompson*, p. 181.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1; **Criminal Law**, 5.

DECEPTIVE AIRLINE FARE ADVERTISEMENTS. See **Airline Deregulation Act of 1978**.

DELIBERATE BYPASS STANDARD. See **Habeas Corpus**.

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See **Taxes**, 1.

DISMISSAL OF FRIVOLOUS ACTIONS. See *In Forma Pauperis*.

DISMISSAL OF INDICTMENTS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

DISPOSAL OF WASTE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.

DISTRICT COURTS. See **Jurisdiction**.

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Taxes**, 2.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

- ELECTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.**
See **Bankruptcy**.
- EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Taxes**, 1.
- ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- ENVIRONMENTAL RULES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- EVIDENCE OF INNOCENCE.** See **Criminal Law**, 5.
- EXCLUSIONS FROM BANKRUPTCY ESTATE.** See **Bankruptcy**.
- EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.
- EXTORTION.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.
- EXTRADITION TREATIES.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.
- FEDERAL COURTS.** See **Jurisdiction**.
- FEDERAL INCOME TAXES.** See **Taxes**, 1.
- FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.
- FEDERAL SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.
- FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.
- FIREARMS.** See **National Firearms Act**.
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; VII.
- FLORIDA.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.
- FORCED MEDICATION DURING TRIAL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV–VII.
- FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.
- FRIVOLOUS ACTIONS.** See *In Forma Pauperis*.
- GARNISHMENT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- GRAND JURY.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.
- GROSS INCOME.** See **Taxes**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS.

Cause-and-prejudice standard—Failure to develop a material fact.—Cause and prejudice, rather than deliberate bypass, was correct standard for excusing a habeas petitioner's failure to develop a material fact in state-court proceedings, where he had little education and almost no knowledge of English and alleged that his plea of *nolo contendere* to manslaughter had not been knowing because translator had not explained crime's *mens rea*. *Keeney v. Tamayo-Reyes*, p. 1.

HAWAII. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

HOBBS ACT. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

HORIZONTAL PRICE FIXING. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

INCOME TAXES. See **Taxes**, 1.

INDICTMENTS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

INDUCEMENT BY PUBLIC OFFICIALS. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS.

Dismissal of frivolous actions.—A court's decision to dismiss an *in forma pauperis* action under 28 U.S.C. §1915(d) on grounds that it is frivolous is discretionary and is properly reviewed for an abuse of that discretion. *Denton v. Hernandez*, p. 25.

INJURY IN FACT. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

INSANITY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

JURIES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

JURISDICTION.

1. *Diversity of citizenship—Domestic relations exception—Abstention.*—Although a domestic relations exception to federal diversity jurisdiction exists as a matter of law, it applies only to cases involving divorce, alimony, or child support, and, thus, District Court could not use exception to refuse to exercise jurisdiction over a tort action in which petitioner alleged that her former spouse had abused their children; nor could court abstain from entering judgment under abstention doctrine of *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37. *Ankenbrandt v. Richards*, p. 689.

2. *Federal Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976—Breach of contract claim.*—District Court properly asserted jurisdiction under FSIA over

JURISDICTION—Continued.

breach of contract claim against Argentina for unilaterally changing terms of repayment on its bonds. *Republic of Argentina v. Weltover, Inc.*, p. 607.

KIDNAPING. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

LANDFILLS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

LOUISIANA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

MAIL-ORDER HOUSES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 4.

MANSLAUGHTER. See **Habeas Corpus**.

MATERIAL FACTS. See **Habeas Corpus**.

MENTAL ILLNESS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

MEXICO. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

MICHIGAN. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

MONTANA. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, V.

MULTISTATE INCOME. See **Taxes**, 2.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1, 2; **Criminal Law**, 5.

NATIONAL FIREARMS ACT.

Tax on short-barreled rifles—Applicability to pistol kit allowing for conversion.—Court of Appeals' holding that respondent's pistol and accompanying kit—which could be used to convert pistol to a short-barreled rifle—was not a firearm taxable under Act because a short-barreled rifle must actually be assembled to meet Act's definition of a firearm, is affirmed. *United States v. Thompson/Center Arms Co.*, p. 505.

NEVADA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

NEW JERSEY. See **Taxes**, 2.

NORTH DAKOTA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 4.

PENSIONS. See **Bankruptcy**.

PISTOLS. See **National Firearms Act**.

POLITICAL SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

POLLING PLACES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW. See **Airline Deregulation Act of 1978**.

- PRICE FIXING.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.
- PUBLIC OFFICIALS.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.
- QUALIFIED IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- REDUCTION IN SENTENCES.** See **Criminal Law**, 4.
- REPLEVIN.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- RIFLES.** See **National Firearms Act**.
- RIGHT TO VOTE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- SECTION 1983.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.
- SENTENCING.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Criminal Law**, 4.
- SHERMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.
- SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.
- SOLICITATION OF VOTES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.
- SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- STANDING TO SUE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- STATE-ACTION IMMUNITY.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.
- STATE TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 4; **Taxes**, 2.
- STAY OF EXECUTION.** See **Criminal Law**, 5.
- STOCKS.** See **Taxes**, 2.
- SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE.** See **Criminal Law**, 4.
- SUMMARY JUDGMENT.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.
- TAXES.** See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 4; **National Firearms Act**.

1. *Federal income taxes—Income—Backpay awards.*—Backpay awards in settlement of claims under Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964 are not excludable from gross income as “damages received . . . on account of personal injuries” under 26 U.S.C. § 104(a)(2). *United States v. Burke*, p. 229.

2. *State taxes—Nondomiciliary corporation’s multistate income.*—Unitary business principle remains an appropriate device for ascertaining whether a State has transgressed due process and Commerce Clause limitations in taxing a nondomiciliary corporation; and stipulated factual record in this case made clear that New Jersey was not permitted to include gain realized on sale by petitioner nondomiciliary corporation of a New

TAXES—Continued.

Jersey corporation's stock in its apportionable tax base. *Allied-Signal, Inc. v. Director, Division of Taxation*, p. 768.

TENNESSEE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

TEXAS. See **Airline Deregulation Act of 1978**.

TITLE INSURANCE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

TITLE VII. See **Taxes**, 1.

TREATIES. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

TRIAL RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

UNITARY BUSINESS PRINCIPLE. See **Taxes**, 2.

USE TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 4.

VENUE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

VOIR DIRE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

VOTING. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; VII.

WASTE DISPOSAL. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

WISCONSIN. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Damages received . . . on account of personal injuries.*" Internal Revenue Code, 26 U. S. C. § 104(a)(2). *United States v. Burke*, p. 229.

2. "*If satisfied that the action is frivolous.*" 28 U. S. C. § 1915(d). *Denton v. Hernandez*, p. 25.

3. "*Under color of official right.*" Hobbs Act, 18 U. S. C. § 1951(b)(2). *Evans v. United States*, p. 255.

WRITE-IN VOTING. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

YOUNGER ABSTENTION DOCTRINE. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.