

INDEX

“ACTUAL MALICE.” See **Defamation**.

“ADDITIONAL DUTIES” OF FEDERAL MAGISTRATES. See **Federal Magistrates Act**.

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**, 1.

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS. See **Equal Access to Justice Act**.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

1. *Collateral estoppel—State administrative agency findings.*—Claimants under Act are not collaterally estopped from relitigating in federal court judicially unreviewed findings of a state administrative agency made with respect to an age discrimination claim. *Astoria Federal Savings & Loan Assn. v. Solimino*, p. 104.

2. *Mandatory retirement for judges.*—Missouri Constitution’s mandatory retirement requirement for state judges does not violate ADEA or Fourteenth Amendment’s Equal Protection Clause. *Gregory v. Ashcroft*, p. 452.

AIRPORTS. See **Case or Controversy**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

ALASKA. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1.

APPORTIONMENT OF WATER. See **Riparian Rights**.

ARBITRATION. See **Labor**.

ARIZONA. See **Criminal Law**.

ATTACHMENT OF REAL ESTATE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

ATTORNEYS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Equal Access to Justice Act**; **Federal Courts**.

BAD-FAITH CONDUCT OF LITIGANTS. See **Federal Courts**.

BANKRUPTCY.

1. *Chapter 11—Individual debtors not engaged in business.*—Individual debtors not engaged in business are eligible to reorganize under Chapter 11 of Bankruptcy Code. *Toibb v. Radloff*, p. 157.

BANKRUPTCY—Continued.

2. *Chapter 13—Claim against debtor—Mortgage lien.*—A mortgage lien securing an obligation for which a debtor's personal liability has been discharged in a Chapter 7 liquidation is a "claim" within meaning of Bankruptcy Code and is subject to inclusion in an approved Chapter 13 reorganization plan. *Johnson v. Home State Bank*, p. 78.

BLACK LUNG BENEFITS. See **Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.**

BREACH OF CONTRACT. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

BREACH OF PROMISE OF CONFIDENTIALITY. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

BUS SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

CALIFORNIA. See **Case or Controversy, 1; Habeas Corpus, 2.**

CANADIAN RIVER COMPACT. See **Riparian Rights.**

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2; Criminal Law.**

CASE OR CONTROVERSY.

1. *Ripeness—Challenge to provision of California Constitution.*—Question whether Article II, § 6(b), of California Constitution—which prohibits political parties and their central committees from endorsing, supporting, or opposing candidates for nonpartisan offices—violated First Amendment was not justiciable, since respondent registered voters did not demonstrate a live controversy ripe for resolution by federal courts. *Renne v. Geary*, p. 312.

2. *Standing to sue—Individuals in airport flight path and organization seeking reduction in airport operations.*—Respondents, individuals living in flight path of a major Washington, D. C., airport and an organization whose purposes include reducing airport's operations, had standing to challenge constitutionality of veto power of airport authority's Board of Review where they alleged that airport master plan would increase noise, pollution, and accidents, since plan was undoubtedly influenced by Board's veto power and since plan and Board made it more difficult for organization to fulfill its goal of reducing airport activity. *Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority v. Citizens For Abatement of Aircraft Noise, Inc.*, p. 252.

CHAPTER 11. See **Bankruptcy, 1.**

CHAPTER 7. See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

CHAPTER 13. See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

CHIEF JUDGE OF UNITED STATES TAX COURT. See **Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.**

CHOICE OF LAW.

Retroactivity of decisions—Unconstitutional excise tax.—Georgia Supreme Court decision, that its ruling declaring a state excise tax on imported liquor unconstitutional under *Bacchus Imports, Ltd. v. Dias*, 468 U. S. 263, should not be applied retroactively, is reversed. *James B. Beam Distilling Co. v. Georgia*, p. 529.

CLAIMS AGAINST DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

COAL MINING. See **Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.**

COCAINE POSSESSION. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**, 1.

COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. See **Labor**.

COMITY. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

CONDUCT OF LITIGANTS. See **Federal Courts**.

CONFINEMENT CONDITIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

CONNECTICUT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

CONSENSUAL SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**, 2; **Case or Controversy**; **Federal Magistrates Act**.

I. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

1. *Confinement conditions—Prison officials' state of mind.*—A prison inmate claiming that his confinement conditions violate Eighth Amendment must show a culpable state of mind on part of prison officials; "deliberate indifference" standard applies to state-of-mind inquiry. *Wilson v. Seiter*, p. 294.

2. *Death sentence—Consideration of victim impact evidence.*—Eighth Amendment erects no *per se* bar prohibiting a capital sentencing jury from considering "victim impact" evidence relating to victim's personal characteristics and murder's emotional impact on victim's family, or precluding a prosecutor from arguing such evidence at a capital sentencing hearing; *Booth v. Maryland*, 482 U. S. 496, and *South Carolina v. Gathers*, 490 U. S. 805, are overruled. *Payne v. Tennessee*, p. 808.

3. *Drug possession—Mandatory life term.*—Harmelin's claim that his mandatory sentence of life in prison without possibility of parole for a conviction of possessing over 650 grams of cocaine is unconstitutional because it allows sentencer no opportunity to consider "mitigating factors" is un-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

supported by either Eighth Amendment's text or its history. *Harmelin v. Michigan*, p. 957.

II. Due Process.

Prejudgment attachment of real estate.—Connecticut statute did not satisfy due process where it authorized prejudgment attachment of real estate upon a plaintiff's verification that there is probable cause to sustain validity of plaintiff's claim without prior notice or hearing, a showing of extraordinary circumstances, and a requirement that person seeking attachment post a bond. *Connecticut v. Doebr*, p. 1.

III. Freedom of Expression.

Nude dancing.—Court of Appeals' ruling, that Indiana public indecency law requiring respondent nude dancers to wear pasties and G-strings is an improper infringement of First Amendment expression, is reversed. *Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.*, p. 560.

IV. Freedom of Speech.

Attorney statements to press in pending criminal matter—Violation of Nevada pretrial publicity rule.—Where state bar filed a complaint against petitioner, alleging that he violated a rule prohibiting lawyers from making extrajudicial statements to press that they know or reasonably should know will have a "substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing" an adjudicative proceeding, "substantial likelihood of material prejudice" test satisfies First Amendment, but rule, as interpreted by State Supreme Court, is void for vagueness. *Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada*, p. 1030.

V. Freedom of the Press.

Recovery of damages from newspaper—Violation of state promissory estoppel law.—Where petitioner gave respondent newspapers damaging information about a candidate for public office after receiving a promise of confidentiality, but papers published his name in their stories, First Amendment did not prohibit him from seeking damages, under Minnesota promissory estoppel law, for newspapers' breach of contract. *Cohen v. Cowles*, p. 663.

VI. Right to Counsel.

Invocation during a judicial proceeding—Invocation of Miranda right.—An accused's invocation of his Sixth Amendment right to counsel during a judicial proceeding does not constitute an invocation of right to counsel derived by *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U. S. 436, from Fifth Amendment's guarantee against compelled self-incrimination. *McNeil v. Wisconsin*, p. 171.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VII. Searches and Seizures.**

Random searches—Bus encounter.—Fourth Amendment permits police officers to approach individuals at random on a bus in order to ask them questions and request consent to search their luggage, so long as a reasonable person would understand that he or she could refuse to cooperate. *Florida v. Bostick*, p. 429.

VIII. Separation of Powers.

1. *Appointments Clause—Appointment of special trial judges by United States Tax Court Chief Judge.*—Title 26 U. S. C. § 7443A—which, *inter alia*, authorizes Chief Judge to assign *any* Tax Court proceeding, regardless of complexity or amount in controversy, to a special trial judge for hearing and preparation of proposed findings and a written opinion—does not transgress structure of separation of powers embodied in Appointments Clause. *Freytag v. Commissioner*, p. 868.

2. *Transfer of airports' operating control from Federal Government to regional authority.*—Congress violated separation of powers doctrine when it conditioned transfer of operating control of two major airports in Washington, D. C., area from Federal Government to petitioner regional authority on creation of a “Board of Review” composed of congressmen and vested with veto power over decisions of authority’s board of directors. *Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority v. Citizens For Abatement of Aircraft Noise, Inc.*, p. 252.

IX. States’ Immunity from Suit.

Suit by Indian tribe against Alaska.—Eleventh Amendment bars suits by Indian tribes against States without their consent; 28 U. S. C. § 1332—which grants district courts jurisdiction to hear “all civil actions brought by any Indian tribe . . . , wherein matter in controversy arises under” federal law—does not operate to void Eleventh Amendment’s bar. *Blatchford v. Native Village of Noatak*, p. 775.

CORPORATIONS. See **Securities Acts**, 2, 3.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, I; VI; VII; **Federal Magistrates Act**; **Habeas Corpus**; **United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines**.

Capital murder—Jury instructions—Lesser included offense—Single theory of first-degree murder.—Principle recognized in *Beck v. Alabama*, 447 U. S. 625—that a state statute prohibiting lesser included offense instructions in capital cases is unconstitutional—does not entitle a defendant to instructions on all offenses that are lesser than, and included within, a capital offense; State Supreme Court’s decision, that first-degree murder

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

conviction under instructions that did not require jury agreement on whether defendant was guilty of premeditated murder or felony murder is constitutional, is affirmed. *Schad v. Arizona*, p. 624.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law, I.****DAMAGES.** See **Constitutional Law, V.****DAMS.** See **Riparian Rights.****DANCING.** See **Constitutional Law, III.****DEATH SENTENCE.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.****DEBT REORGANIZATION.** See **Bankruptcy.****DEFAMATION.**

Public figure—Summary judgment—Attributed quotations as evidence of actual malice.—Where a public figure claimed that he was defamed by an author who, with full knowledge of inaccuracy, attributed to him comments he had not made, evidence presents a jury question whether author acted with requisite knowledge of falsity or disregard as to truth of quotations' falsity such that it defeats a motion for summary judgment; on remand Court of Appeals should consider whether summary judgment was properly granted to publishers of magazine and book containing disputed quotations. *Masson v. New Yorker Magazine, Inc.*, p. 496.

DEFAULT OF CLAIMS IN STATE HABEAS PROCEEDINGS. See **Habeas Corpus.****DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 1.****DENIAL OF RIGHT TO VOTE.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.****DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE.** See **Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.****DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.** See **Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.****DEPARTURE FROM SENTENCING GUIDELINES RANGE.** See **United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines.****DISCHARGE OF DEBTS.** See **Bankruptcy, 2.****DISCIPLINE OF ATTORNEYS.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.****DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF AGE.** See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.****DISTRICT COURTS.** See **Federal Courts.****DRUG POSSESSION.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 3.****DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, I.

ELECTIONS. See Case or Controversy, 1; Voting Rights Act of 1965.

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, IX.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.
See Stays.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See Labor.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.

EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.

Final judgment—Postremand administrative decision.—When interpreting requirement that an EAJA attorney's fee application be filed within 30 days of a "final judgment in the action," a "final judgment" is a judgment rendered by a court, not a postremand administrative decision; in petitioner's Supplemental Security Income case, if remand was made pursuant to sentence 6 of § 405(g) of Social Security Act, limitations period begins after Secretary of Health and Human Services returns to court following a postremand administrative decision, court enters its judgment, and appeal time runs; but if remand was made pursuant to sentence 4, limitations period began after court entered its judgment remanding case to Secretary and appeal period ran. *Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, p. 89.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.

EQUITABLE TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See Securities Acts, 1.

ESTOPPEL. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 1.

EVIDENCE. See Defamation.

EXCISE TAXES. See Choice of Law.

FALSE QUOTATIONS. See Defamation.

FEDERAL COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT OF 1969.

Black lung benefits program—Validity of interim regulations.—Where black lung benefits program was first administered by Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and later by Department of Labor, and where Congress authorized such Departments, during their respective tenures, to adopt interim regulations governing adjudication of benefits claims, DOL's interim regulations complied with Congress' requirement that its regulations "not be more restrictive than" HEW's. *Pauley v. BethEnergy Mines, Inc.*, p. 680.

FEDERAL COURTS.

District courts—Inherent powers—Sanctions for bad-faith conduct.—A District Court, sitting in diversity, acted within its discretion when it invoked its inherent power to assess as a sanction for a party's bad-faith conduct attorney's fees and related expenses paid by opposing party to its attorneys. *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, p. 32.

FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS. See *Habeas Corpus*.**FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT.**

See *Federal-State Relations*.

FEDERAL MAGISTRATES ACT.

"Additional duties"—Felony trial—Supervision of jury selection.—Act's "additional duties" clause permits a magistrate to supervise jury selection in a felony trial provided that parties consent; there is no constitutional infirmity to such delegation. *Peretz v. United States*, p. 923.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See also *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*, 1; *Constitutional Law*, IX; *Habeas Corpus*; *Stays*.

Pre-emption of local government pesticide law by federal law.—Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act does not pre-empt local government regulation of pesticides. *Wisconsin Public Intervenor v. Mortier*, p. 597.

FELONY TRIALS. See *Federal Magistrates Act*.**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.**FINAL JUDGMENTS.** See *Equal Access to Justice Act*.**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See *Case or Controversy*, 1; *Constitutional Law*, III-V.**FIRST-DEGREE MURDER.** See *Criminal Law*.**FLORIDA.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII.**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*, 2; *Constitutional Law*, II.**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII.**FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION.** See *Constitutional Law*, III.**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV.**FREEDOM OF THE PRESS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.**GEORGIA.** See *Choice of Law*.**GUIDELINES FOR SENTENCING.** See *United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines*.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Federal proceedings—Claims presented for first time in state habeas proceeding.*—A Virginia prisoner's seven federal constitutional claims were not subject to review in federal habeas, where he had defaulted such claims in his state habeas proceeding pursuant to an independent and adequate state procedural rule and could not demonstrate either cause for default and actual prejudice as a result of alleged federal law violation or that failure to consider claims would result in a fundamental miscarriage of justice. *Coleman v. Thompson*, p. 722.

2. *Federal proceedings—Effect of unexplained state-court denial of habeas on procedural bar.*—A California court's unexplained denial of a habeas petition raising federal claims is not sufficient, for purposes of federal review, to lift a procedural bar imposed on direct appeal. *Ylst v. Nunnemaker*, p. 797.

IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

INDECENCY. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

INDIANA. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

INDIAN TRIBES. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

INHERENT POWERS OF FEDERAL COURTS. See **Federal Courts.**

INSIDER TRADING. See **Securities Acts, 2.**

INTERIM BLACK LUNG BENEFITS REGULATIONS. See **Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.**

JUDGES. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2; Constitutional Law, VIII; Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

JUDGMENTS. See **Equal Access to Justice Act.**

JUDICIAL ELECTIONS. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

JURIES. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2; Criminal Law; Federal Magistrates Act.**

JURISDICTION. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Criminal Law.**

JUSTICIABILITY. See **Case or Controversy, 1.**

LABOR.

National Labor Relations Act—Arbitrability of layoff dispute.—A dispute over layoffs was not arbitrable where it occurred after expiration of a collective-bargaining agreement and did not involve rights that accrued or vested under agreement or carried over after its expiration. *Litton Financial Printing Division v. NLRB*, p. 190.

LAWYERS. See Constitutional Law, IV.

LAYOFFS. See Labor.

LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSES. See Criminal Law.

LIBEL. See Defamation.

LIENS. See Bankruptcy, 2.

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See Equal Access to Justice Act; Securities Acts, 1.

LIQUOR EXCISE TAXES. See Choice of Law.

LITIGANTS' BAD-FAITH CONDUCT. See Federal Courts.

LOUISIANA. See Voting Rights Act of 1965, 1.

MAGISTRATES. See Federal Magistrates Act.

MANDATORY RETIREMENT. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.

MANDATORY SENTENCES. See Constitutional Law, I, 3.

MERGERS. See Securities Acts, 2.

MICHIGAN. See Constitutional Law, I, 3.

MINING. See Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.

MINNESOTA. See Constitutional Law, V.

MIRANDA RIGHTS. See Constitutional Law, VI.

MISSOURI. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.

MITIGATING FACTORS. See Constitutional Law, I, 3.

MORTGAGE LIENS. See Bankruptcy, 2.

MURDER. See Constitutional Law, I, 2; Criminal Law.

NARCOTICS POSSESSION. See Constitutional Law, I, 3.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See Labor.

NEVADA. See Constitutional Law, IV.

NEW MEXICO. See Riparian Rights.

NEWSPAPERS. See Constitutional Law, V.

NUDE DANCING. See Constitutional Law, III.

OHIO. See Constitutional Law, I, 1.

OKLAHOMA. See Riparian Rights.

PESTICIDE REGULATION. See Federal-State Relations.

PRE-EMPTION OF LOCAL LAW BY FEDERAL LAW. See **Federal-State Relations; Stays.**

PREJUDGMENT ATTACHMENT. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

PRETRIAL PUBLICITY. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

PRISONERS' RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 1.**

PRIVATE CAUSES OF ACTION. See **Securities Acts, 3.**

PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCrimINATION. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

PROPERTY. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

PROXY SOLICITATIONS. See **Securities Acts, 3.**

PUBLIC FIGURE LIBEL. See **Defamation.**

PUBLIC INDECENCY LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

RANDOM POLICE SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

REAL ESTATE. See **Constitutional Law, II.**

REORGANIZATION PLANS. See **Bankruptcy.**

RESERVOIRS. See **Riparian Rights.**

RESULTS TEST. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965, 1.**

RETIREMENT. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.**

RETROACTIVITY OF DECISIONS. See **Choice of Law.**

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

RIGHT TO VOTE. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

RIPARIAN RIGHTS.

Canadian River Compact—Apportionment of stored water among Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas.—Compact imposes a limitation on stored water, not physical reservoir capacity; waters originating in river basin above Conchas Dam, but reaching mainstream of river below said dam, are subject to stored water limitation; Special Master erred in referring to Canadian River Compact Commission issue whether, and to what extent, water in a desilting pool in Ute Reservoir should be exempt from limitation. *Oklahoma v. New Mexico*, p. 221.

RIPENESS. See **Case or Controversy, 1.**

RIVERS. See **Riparian Rights.**

RULE 10b-5. See **Securities Acts, 1.**

SANCTIONS FOR LITIGANTS' BAD-FAITH CONDUCT. See *Federal Courts*.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See *Constitutional Law, VII*.

SECURITIES ACTS.

1. *Securities Exchange Act of 1934—Rule 10b-5—Statute of limitations.*—Litigation instituted under § 10(b) of Act and Rule 10b-5 must be commenced within one year after discovery of facts constituting violation and three years after such violation; limitations period is not subject to doctrine of equitable tolling, and respondents' claims were untimely. Lampf, Pleva, Lipkind, Prupis & Petigrow v. Gilbertson, p. 350.

2. *Securities Exchange Act of 1934—Section 16(b)—Standing.*—A stockholder who has properly instituted a § 16(b) action to recover profits from a corporation's insiders has standing to continue to prosecute that action after a merger results in exchange of stockholder's interest in issuer for stock in issuer's new corporate parent. Gollust v. Mendell, p. 115.

3. *Securities Exchange Act of 1934—Solicitation of proxies by means of materially false or misleading statements—Private causes of action.*—Knowingly false statements to explain directors' reasons for recommending certain corporate action to shareholders may be actionable under § 14(a) of Act as misstatements of material fact within meaning of Security and Exchange Commission's Rule 14(a)-9; however, respondents—minority shareholders whose votes were not required by law or corporate bylaw to authorize corporate action subject to proxy solicitation—could not show causation of damages compensable in a § 14(a) private cause of action. Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg, p. 1083.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See *Constitutional Law, VI*.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES. See *United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines*.

SEPARATION OF POWERS. See *Constitutional Law, VIII*.

SHAREHOLDERS ACTIONS. See *Securities Acts, 3*.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See *Constitutional Law, VI*.

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT. See *Equal Access to Justice Act*.

SOLICITATIONS OF PROXIES. See *Securities Acts, 3*.

SPECIAL TRIAL JUDGES. See *Constitutional Law, VIII, 1*.

STANDING TO SUE. See *Case or Controversy, 2; Securities Acts, 2*.

STATE HABEAS CORPUS. See *Habeas Corpus*.

STATE JUDGES. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2; Voting Rights Act of 1965*.

STATE JUDICIAL ELECTIONS. See *Voting Rights Act of 1965*.

STATE-OF-MIND DETERMINATIONS. See *Constitutional Law, I, 1.*

STATES' IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See *Constitutional Law, IX.*

STATE TAXES. See *Choice of Law.*

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See *Securities Acts, 1.*

STAYS.

Pre-emption of state law by Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.—Application to stay Court of Appeals' judgments—declaring that a Texas tax law is pre-empted by ERISA and enjoining tax's enforcement—is granted, pending applicants' timely filing, and Court's disposition, of a petition for certiorari. *Barnes v. E-Systems, Inc. Group Hospital Medical & Surgical Insurance Plan* (SCALIA, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

STOCKHOLDERS. See *Securities Acts, 2.*

SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD OF MATERIAL PREJUDICE TEST.
See *Constitutional Law, IV.*

SUMMARY JUDGMENT. See *Defamation.*

SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME. See *Equal Access to Justice Act.*

SUPREME COURT.

1. Retirement of Justice Marshall, p. IV.
2. Term statistics, p. 1306.

TAX COURT. See *Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.*

TAXES. See *Choice of Law; Stays.*

TENNESSEE. See *Constitutional Law, I, 2.*

TEXAS. See *Riparian Rights; Stays; Voting Rights Act of 1965, 2.*

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION GUIDELINES.

Upward departure from sentencing range—Notice to parties.—Before a district court can depart upward from applicable Guidelines range on a ground not identified as a ground for such departure either in presentence report or in Government's prehearing submission, court must give parties reasonable notice that it is contemplating such a ruling, specifically identifying ground for its departure. *Burns v. United States*, p. 129.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT. See *Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.*

UPWARD DEPARTURE FROM SENTENCING GUIDELINES RANGE. See *United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines.*

VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

VIRGINIA. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

1. *Section 2 violations—1982 amendment—Judicial elections—Louisiana Supreme Court Justices.*—Judicial elections are covered by 1982 amendment to § 2 of Act, which prohibits imposition of certain practices and procedures that result in a denial or abridgment of minorities' right to vote and elect "representatives" of their choice. *Chisom v. Roemer*, p. 380.

2. *Section 2 violations—1982 amendment—Judicial elections—Trial judges.*—Act's coverage encompasses election of executive officers and trial judges whose responsibilities are exercised independently in an area coextensive with districts from which they were elected. *Houston Lawyers' Assn. v. Attorney General of Texas*, p. 419.

WATER RIGHTS. See **Riparian Rights**.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "Additional duties." Federal Magistrates Act, 28 U. S. C. § 636(b)(3). *Peretz v. United States*, p. 923.

2. "All civil actions, brought by any Indian tribe . . . , wherein the matter in controversy arises under [federal law]." 28 U. S. C. § 1362. *Blatchford v. Native Village of Noatak*, p. 775.

3. "Any other proceeding which the chief judge may designate." Internal Revenue Code, 26 U. S. C. § 7443A(b)(4). *Freytag v. Commissioner*, p. 868.

4. "Claim." Bankruptcy Act, 11 U. S. C. § 101(5). *Johnson v. Home State Bank*, p. 78.

5. "Final judgment in the action." Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U. S. C. § 2412(d)(1)(B). *Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, p. 89.

6. "Representatives." § 2(b), Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U. S. C. § 1973(b). *Chisom v. Roemer*, p. 380.

7. "Shall not be more restrictive." Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U. S. C. § 902(f)(2). *Pauley v. BethEnergy Mines, Inc.*, p. 680.

ISBN 0-16-048116-3



9 780160 481161

VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS. *See Constitutional Law, 1, 2.*
VIRGINIA. *See Northern Corps, 1.*

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

1. Section 2 violations—Title enforcement—Federal elections—Individuals. Separate Court hearing—Local election are covered by this amendment for all of them, which prevents separation of various primaries and elections into as many as 12 days or more than 30 days before the election. *Clinton v. Buckley*, p. 400.

2. Section 2 violations—Title enforcement—Federal elections—Title judges—Title coverage determines election of executive officers and title judges which is relevant. Title also controls the opportunity to go and register with changes from when they were elected. *Brennan Lippman*, *U.S. Attorney General of Texas*, p. 415.

WATER RIGHTS. *See Riverine Rights.*

WEEDS AND PIRANHS.

1. "Additional money." *Political Magnification Act*, 29 U. S. C. § 1806(b). *Pepper v. United States*, p. 92.

2. "All civil actions, brought by any Justice in the Supreme Court for recovering certain sums of money." *Political Magnification Act*, 29 U. S. C. § 1806(b). *Bishopford v. Native Village of Novato*, p. 278.

3. "Any other proceeding which the court determines appropriate." *Internal Revenue Code*, 29 U. S. C. § 1806(b)(3). *Pepper v. Commissioner*, p. 900.

4. "Motive." *RedCrossman Act*, 31 U. S. C. § 1806(b). *Johnson v. Home State Bank*, p. 98.

5. "Good Judgment in the action." *Equal Access to Justice Act*, 29 U. S. C. § 1806(b)(5). *Michigan v. Michigan*, p. 96.

6. "Representatives." *See Voting Rights Act of 1965*, 42 U. S. C. § 1973(d). *Clinton v. Buckley*, p. 390.

7. "Should not be used selectively." *United Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969*, 30 U. S. C. § 1906(2)(f). *Pepper v. Anthracite Mine*, p. 930.

Z-AT1640-31-0 9861





















