

INDEX

ABORTION. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

ADMIRALTY.

Jurisdiction—Claims arising from agency contracts.—There is no *per se* exception of agency contracts from admiralty jurisdiction, and such jurisdiction extends to Exxon's claim regarding its procurement under such a contract of fuel for which it was never paid. *Exxon Corp. v. Central Gulf Lines, Inc.*, p. 603.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

1. *Compulsory arbitration—Securities representatives.*—An ADEA claim by a securities representative on New York Stock Exchange was subject to compulsory arbitration pursuant to arbitration agreement in NYSE registration application. *Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.*, p. 20.

2. *Limitations period—Federal employee's suit.*—Where Stevens gave notice to Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on 176th day after alleged discriminatory action and filed suit several months later, his civil action was timely under 29 U. S. C. § 633a, which requires that notice, not suit, be filed within 180 days of alleged conduct and that suit be filed no earlier than 30 days after notice was given. *Stevens v. Department of Treasury*, p. 1.

AGENCY CONTRACTS. See **Admiralty.**

ANIMAL RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS. See **Standing to Sue.**

ANTITRUST ACTS.

Sherman Act—Jurisdiction—Interstate commerce requirement.—Interstate commerce requirement was satisfied by allegations that petitioners conspired, in violation of § 1 of Act, to exclude Pinhas, a duly licensed and practicing physician and surgeon, from market for ophthalmological services in Los Angeles because he refused to follow an unnecessarily costly surgical procedure. *Summit Health, Ltd. v. Pinhas*, p. 322.

ARBITRATION. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967,**
1.

ARRAIGNMENT. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

ARREST. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

ATTORNEY GENERAL. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

ATTORNEYS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

AUTOMOBILE SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law, VIII.**

BANKRUPTCY.

1. *Chapter 7—Avoidance of debtor's former spouse's homestead.*—Section 522(f) of Bankruptcy Code does not allow a debtor to avoid fixing of a lien on a homestead, where lien is granted to debtor's former spouse under a divorce decree that extinguishes all previous interests parties had in property, and in no event secures more than value of nondebtor spouse's former interest. *Farrey v. Sanderfoot*, p. 291.

2. *Chapter 7—Elimination of judicial liens.*—Judicial liens can be eliminated under Bankruptcy Code § 522(f) even though Code allows States to define what property is exempt and a State, here Florida, has defined exempt property in such a way as specifically to exclude property encumbered by such liens. *Owen v. Owen*, p. 305.

BATSON CLAIMS. See **Constitutional Law, II, 1.**

BIVENS ACTION. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.**

BOUNDARIES BETWEEN STATES.

Common boundary of Illinois and Kentucky.—Boundary between Illinois and Kentucky is low-water mark on northerly side of Ohio River as it was in 1792. *Illinois v. Kentucky*, p. 380.

BURDEN-SHIFTING JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 3.**

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.**

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS. See **Criminal Law, 2.**

CAPITAL MURDER. See **Constitutional Law, I, 1, 3; VI.**

CAR SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law, VIII.**

CHAPTER 7. See **Bankruptcy, 1.**

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Immunity from liability—Indiana prosecuting attorney.—A state prosecuting attorney is absolutely immune from liability for damages under 42 U. S. C. § 1983 for participating in a probable-cause hearing, but not for giving legal advice to police. *Burns v. Reed*, p. 478.

COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

COMMERCE. See **Antitrust Acts.**

COMMON BOUNDARIES. See **Boundaries Between States.**

COMPULSORY ARBITRATION. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 1.**

CONFINEMENT CONDITIONS. See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

CONSPIRACY. See **Antitrust Acts.**

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Criminal Law, 1.**

I. Due Process.

1. *Capital murder—Sentencing process.*—Where State gave notice that it would not seek death penalty in petitioner's case, but trial judge nonetheless imposed death sentence, sentencing process violated Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause because at time of sentencing hearing petitioner and his counsel did not have adequate notice that judge might sentence him to death. *Lankford v. Idaho*, p. 110.

2. *Liberty interest—Bivens action.*—Where Siegert's federal employment was terminated after his employer received a very unfavorable letter of recommendation from his former supervisor, Gilley, allegations made in his damages action under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*, 403 U. S. 388—that Gilley's statements had caused an infringement of his due process "liberty interests"—even if accepted as true, did not state a claim for a violation of a clearly established constitutional right. *Siegert v. Gilley*, p. 226.

3. *Unconstitutional jury instructions—Harmless-error rule.*—Where South Carolina Supreme Court found harmless instructions allowing a jury to apply unconstitutional presumptions in petitioner's capital murder trial, court applied improper harmless-error standard; errors—which allowed jury to presume malice—were not harmless. *Yates v. Evatt*, p. 391.

II. Equal Protection of the Laws.

1. *Jury selection—Discriminatory use of peremptory challenges.*—Court of Appeals' judgment that prosecution did not use peremptory challenges to exclude Latinos from a jury in violation of Equal Protection Clause as interpreted by Court's decision in *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U. S. 79, is affirmed. *Hernandez v. New York*, p. 352.

2. *Jury selection—Discriminatory use of peremptory challenges—Private litigant in civil case.*—A private litigant in a civil case may not use peremptory challenges to exclude jurors on account of race. *Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co.*, p. 614.

III. Freedom of Association.

Public employee labor unions—Use of service fees.—Consistent with First and Fourteenth Amendments, objecting nonunion public employees may be compelled to contribute, as a condition of their employment, to cost

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

of collective-bargaining representative's activities other than negotiation and administration of collective-bargaining agreement, but Court of Appeals' determination that all of representative's activities were permissible is reversed. *Lehnert v. Ferris Faculty Association*, p. 507.

IV. Probable-Cause Determinations.

Promptness.—County failed to provide "prompt" judicial probable-cause determinations in violation of *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U. S. 103, where it combined probable-cause determinations as to persons arrested without warrants with arraignment procedures, which must be conducted within two days of arrest. *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, p. 44.

V. Right to Abortion.

Public Health Service Act—Regulations forbidding abortion counseling.—Regulations prohibiting family-planning projects from engaging in counseling concerning referrals for, and activities advocating, abortion using Act's Title X funds are a permissible construction of Title X and do not violate First Amendment free speech rights of recipients, their staffs, or their patients, or a woman's Fifth Amendment right to choose whether to terminate her pregnancy. *Rust v. Sullivan*, p. 173.

VI. Right to Impartial Jury.

Voir dire—Exposure to news reports.—Where prospective jurors revealed on *voir dire* that they had previously been exposed to news reports about capital murder case but had formed no opinion about case, judge's refusal to question them about reports' specific content did not violate Mu'Min's Sixth Amendment right to impartial jury or his right to due process under Fourteenth Amendment. *Mu'Min v. Virginia*, p. 415.

VII. Right to Present Evidence.

Rape-shield statute—Preclusion of evidence as remedy for noncompliance with notice-and-hearing requirement.—Assuming that Michigan's rape-shield statute authorizes preclusion of evidence of an alleged rape victim's past sexual conduct as a remedy for a defendant's failure to comply with statute's notice-and-hearing requirement, State Court of Appeals erred in adopting a *per se* rule that preclusion of evidence of a rape victim's prior sexual relationship with defendant violates Sixth Amendment. *Michigan v. Lucas*, p. 145.

VIII. Searches and Seizures.

1. *Automobile search—Opening a closed container.*—A criminal suspect's right to be free from unreasonable searches is not violated when he gives police permission to search his car, and they open a closed container found within car that might reasonably hold object of search. *Florida v. Jimeno*, p. 248.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

2. *Warrant requirement—Automobile exception.*—Police, in a search extending only to a container within an automobile, may search container without a warrant where they have probable cause to believe that it holds contraband or evidence. *California v. Acevedo*, p. 565.

IX. Separation of Powers.

Controlled Substances Act—Delegation of legislative power to Attorney General.—Section 201(h) of Act—which authorizes Attorney General to temporarily designate drugs as controlled substances illegal under Act—does not unconstitutionally delegate legislative power to Attorney General; Attorney General, in turn, did not improperly delegate his temporary designation power to Drug Enforcement Agency. *Touby v. United States*, p. 160.

CONTAINER SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

CONTRACTS. See **Admiralty**.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

CREDITORS AND DEBTORS. See **Bankruptcy**.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, I, 1, 3; II, 1; IV; VIII; **United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines**.

1. *Distributing LSD—Determining appropriate sentence.*—Title 21 U. S. C. § 841(b)(1)(B)(v)—which calls for a 5-year mandatory minimum sentence for distribution of more than one gram of “a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of” LSD—requires weight of carrier medium to be included when determining appropriate sentencing for trafficking in LSD; such a statutory construction does not violate due process, nor is statute unconstitutionally vague. *Chapman v. United States*, p. 453.

2. *Hobbs Act—Campaign contribution—Quid pro quo requirement—False tax returns.*—Court of Appeals erred in affirming conviction of petitioner, an elected public official, for extorting property under color of official right in violation of Hobbs Act, because a *quid pro quo*—a payment made in return for an explicit promise or undertaking by official to perform or not to perform an official act—is necessary for a conviction when official receives a campaign contribution, regardless of whether it is a legitimate contribution; court also erred in basing affirmance of conviction for filing a false tax return solely on extortion conviction. *McCormick v. United States*, p. 257.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

DEBTORS AND CREDITORS. See **Bankruptcy**.

DEMAND FUTILITY EXCEPTION. See **Investment Company Act of 1940**.

- DERIVATIVE ACTIONS.** See Investment Company Act of 1940.
- DISCRIMINATION IN JURY SELECTION.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF AGE.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF NATIONAL ORIGIN.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2.
- DIVORCE DECREES.** See Bankruptcy, 1.
- DOCKETING FEES.** See Supreme Court, 6.
- DOCTORS.** See Antitrust Acts.
- DRUG ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.** See Constitutional Law, IX.
- DRUG TRAFFICKING.** See Criminal Law, 1.
- DUE PROCESS.** See Constitutional Law, I; VI; Criminal Law, 1.
- ELECTIONS.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.
- EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Constitutional Law, I, 2; III.
- EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.
- EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- EXEMPT PROPERTY.** See Bankruptcy.
- EXPERIMENTS ON ANIMALS.** See Jurisdiction, 2; Standing to Sue.
- EXTORTION.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- EXTRAORDINARY WRITS.** See Supreme Court, 6.
- FAIR TRIAL.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- FAMILY-PLANNING SERVICES.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- FEDERAL EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2; Constitutional Law, I, 2.
- FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.** See Jurisdiction, 2.

FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1007.

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 1017.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 963.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

Amendments to Rules, p. 991.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See *Jurisdiction*, 2.**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 2; II, 2; V; *Criminal Law*, 1.**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, III; V; *Criminal Law*, 1.**FLORIDA.** See *Bankruptcy*, 2; *Constitutional Law*, VIII, 1.**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 1, 3; II, 1; III; VI.**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV; VIII.**FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See *Constitutional Law*, III.**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.**FUNDING FOR ABORTIONS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.**GUILTY PLEAS.** See *United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines*.**HARMLESS-ERROR RULE.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 3.**HOBBS ACT.** See *Criminal Law*, 2.**HOMESTEAD EXEMPTIONS.** See *Bankruptcy*, 1.**HUMANE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS.** See *Jurisdiction*, 2; *Standing to Sue*.**ILLINOIS.** See *Boundaries Between States*.**IMMUNITY.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.**IMPARTIAL JURIES.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.**INCOME TAX.** See *Criminal Law*, 2.**INDIANA.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.**IN FORMA PAUPERIS FILINGS.** See *Supreme Court*, 5, 6.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE REQUIREMENT. See **Antitrust Acts.**

INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940.

Mutual funds—Shareholder's derivative action.—A court entertaining a shareholder's derivative action under ICA—which establishes a scheme to regulate mutual fund services—must apply demand futility exception as defined by law of State of incorporation to determine whether shareholder was required to make a demand on board of directors before instituting suit. *Kamen v. Kemper Financial Services, Inc.*, p. 90.

JUDICIAL LIENS. See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

JURISDICTION. See also **Admiralty; Antitrust Acts.**

1. *Federal magistrates—Prisoner confinement conditions suits.*—Title 28 U. S. C. § 636(b)(1)(B)—which authorizes nonconsensual referral to magistrates for a hearing and recommended findings of prisoner petitions challenging confinement conditions—encompasses both prisoner challenges to ongoing prison conditions and their cases alleging specific episodes of unconstitutional conduct. *McCarthy v. Bronson*, p. 136.

2. *Removal of action from state to federal court by federal agency.*—It was improper for respondent National Institutes of Health to remove to federal court a state-court suit challenging treatment of monkeys used for medical experiments funded by Federal Government, because agencies are excluded from removal power by 28 U. S. C. § 1442(a)(1), which permits removal only by "officer" either of United States or one of its agencies. *International Primate Protection League v. Administrators, Tulane Educational Fund*, p. 72.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law, I, 3.**

JURY SELECTION. See **Constitutional Law, II; VI.**

KENTUCKY. See **Boundaries Between States.**

LABOR UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law, III.**

LATINOS' EXCLUSION FROM JURIES. See **Constitutional Law, II, 1.**

LAWYERS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

LEGISLATIVE POWERS. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

LIBERTY INTEREST. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.**

LIENS. See **Bankruptcy.**

LIMITATIONS PERIODS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.**

LOUISIANA. See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

LSD. See **Criminal Law, 1.**

- MAGISTRATES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCES.** See Criminal Law, 1.
- MEDICAL EXPERIMENTS.** See Jurisdiction, 2; Standing to Sue.
- MICHIGAN.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- MONKEYS.** See Jurisdiction, 2; Standing to Sue.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1, 3.
- MUTUAL FUNDS.** See Investment Company Act of 1940.
- NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- NEW YORK.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 1.
- NONCONSENSUAL REFERRALS TO MAGISTRATES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- NOTICE OF INTENT TO IMPOSE DEATH SENTENCE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.
- OHIO RIVER.** See Boundaries Between States.
- PAYMENT OF DOCKETING FEES.** See Supreme Court, 6.
- PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TO JURORS.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- PHYSICIANS.** See Antitrust Acts.
- PRECLEARANCE OF VOTING CHANGES.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.
- PREGNANCY.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- PRETRIAL PUBLICITY.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- PRISON CONDITIONS.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- PROBABLE CAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, IV; VIII, 2.
- PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Constitutional Law, III.
- PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- QUID PRO QUO REQUIREMENT.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2.

- RAPE-SHIELD STATUTES.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- REFERRALS TO MAGISTRATES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- REMOVAL OF ACTIONS FROM STATE TO FEDERAL COURT.**
See Jurisdiction, 2.
- RIGHT TO ABORTION.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- RIGHT TO PRESENT EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.
- SECTION 1983.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- SECURITIES REPRESENTATIVES.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 1.
- SENTENCING.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1; United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines.
- SEPARATION OF POWERS.** See Constitutional Law, IX.
- SERVICE FEES.** See Constitutional Law, III.
- SHAREHOLDER'S DERIVATIVE ACTIONS.** See Investment Company Act of 1940.
- SHERMAN ACT.** See Antitrust Acts.
- SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, VI; VII.
- SOUTH CAROLINA.** See Constitutional Law, I, 3.
- STANDING TO SUE.**
Removal of case from state to federal court.—Petitioners, organizations and others seeking humane treatment of animals, have suffered an injury—the lost right to sue in their forum of choice—and thus have standing to challenge federal agency's removal from state to federal court of their suit challenging treatment of monkeys used for medical experiments. *International Primate Protection League v. Administrators, Tulane Educational Fund*, p. 72.
- STATE BOUNDARIES.** See *Boundaries Between States*.
- STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 2.
- SUPREME COURT.**
1. Amendments to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, p. 1007.
 2. Amendments to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, p. 1017.

SUPREME COURT—Continued.

3. Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, p. 963.

4. Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 991.

5. *Amendments to Rules—In forma pauperis proceedings.*—This Court's Rule 39 is amended to add a provision that Court may deny a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* if satisfied that filing on merits is frivolous or malicious. In re Amendment to Rule 39, p. 13.

6. *In forma pauperis filings—Extraordinary writs—Abuse of privilege.*—After making 32 *in forma pauperis* filings in Supreme Court since beginning of October 1988 Term, petitioner is denied leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in his petitions for extraordinary relief and in all future such petitions, and Clerk is instructed to accept no further extraordinary writ petitions unless he pays docketing fees. In re Demos, p. 16.

TAXES. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

TIMELINESS OF CIVIL ACTIONS. See **Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967**, 2.

UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION GUIDELINES.

Section 1.B1.2(a) proviso—Guilty plea—Stipulation establishing more serious offense.—Court below misapplied proviso—which allows court, in case of a guilty plea “containing a stipulation” that “specifically establishes” a more serious offense, to apply Guideline most applicable to stipulated offense rather than sentence most applicable to offense of conviction—by sentencing Braxton, who pleaded guilty to assault and firearm counts, as though he had been convicted of attempting to kill a United States marshal, when his guilty plea did not “specifically establish[h]” such an attempt. *Braxton v. United States*, p. 344.

VIRGINIA. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

VOIR DIRE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

Section 5—Preclearance of voting changes.—District Court erred by not enjoining elections for judgeships in Louisiana where Attorney General interposed valid objections to voting changes sent to him for preclearance under § 5; State's failure to preclear certain earlier voting changes was not cured by Attorney General's preclearance of later, or related, voting changes. *Clark v. Roemer*, p. 646.

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. “A mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of [LSD].” 21 U. S. C. § 841(b)(1)(B). *Chapman v. United States*, p. 453.

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

2. "*Any officer of the United States or any agency thereof.*" 28 U. S. C. § 1442(a)(1). *International Primate Protection League v. Administrators, Tulane Educational Fund*, p. 72.


3. "*Prisoner petitions challenging conditions of confinement.*" 28 U. S. C. § 636(e)(1). *McCarthy v. Bronson*, p. 136.

4. "*The fixing of a lien on an interest of the debtor.*" Bankruptcy Code. 11 U. S. C. § 522(f). *Farrey v. Sanderfoot*, p. 291.

ISBN 0-16-048099-X



9 780160 480997



1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including the names of the authors and the titles of their works. This list is organized in a structured manner, likely serving as a table of contents or a reference list.

2. The second part of the document contains a detailed description of the works listed, providing information about their content, scope, and significance. This section is written in a formal, academic style.

3. The third part of the document is a concluding section, which summarizes the findings of the study and provides a final assessment of the works discussed.



