# INDEX

TO THE

## PRINCIPAL MATTERS CONTAINED IN THIS VOLUME.

The References in this Index are to the Star \*pages.

## ABSENT DEBTOR.

1. Upon an attachment in chancery, under the laws of Virginia, if the record states that "I. T., in open court became security that I. H. (the absent debtor) shall perform the decree of this court, if against him," quære? whether an action of debt will lie against I. T. for the amount decreed against I. H. Thompson v. Jameson.......\*282

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

1. A deed of lands, in Maryland, signed, sealed and delivered on the 30th of May, and acknowledged on the 14th of June, is to be considered as made on the 30th of May; and its acknowledgment, on the 14th of June, will not cause it to be such a deed as is contemplated in the bankrupt act, which came into operation on the 2d of June 1800.

Wood v. Owings. \*239

#### ACT OF GOD.

 What shall be said to be the act of God, and what, inevitable casualty? Hodgson v. Dexter....\*345

## ACTION.

- 1. In Virginia, it is not always necessary to sue the maker of a promissory note, to entitle the holder to an action against the indorser.

  Clarke v. Young....\*181
- 2. If a promissory note of a third person be indorsed by the purchaser of goods, to the vendor, as a conditional payment for the goods, quære? whether the vendor is, in any case, obliged to sue the maker of the note, before he can resort to the purchaser of the goods, on the original contract of sale....Id.

- In Virginia, an action will not lie by the holder against a remote indorser of a promissory note. Mandeville v. Riddle....\*290
- 6. Quære? whether the holder of a promissory note, in Virginia, cannot maintain an action for money had and received, against a remote indorser? Dunlop v. Silver.......\*367
- 8. Letters of administration from the orphans' court in the District of Columbia, are necessary, to enable an administrator to maintain an action in the courts of the district. Forwick v. Sears. \*259

## ADMINISTRATOR.

An administrator, having had letters of administration in Maryland, before the separation of the District of Columbia from the original states, cannot, after that separation, maintain an action in that part of the district ceded by Maryland, by virtue of those letters of administration, but must take out new letters within the District. Fenvick v. Sears.

## ADMIRALTY.

1. Salvage allowed to a ship of war of the United States, for the recapture of a Ham-

| burg vessel out of the hands of the French (France and Hamburg being neutral to each other), on the ground that she was in danger of condemnation under the French arrêt of 18th January 1798. Talbot v. Seeman .*1  2. To support a demand for salvage, the recapture must be lawful, and a meritorious service must be rendered | See |
|---|-----|
| 5. Marine ordinances of foreign countries, promulgated by the executive, by order of the legislature of the United States, may be read in the courts of the United States, with   |     |
| out further authentication or proof. Quære? Whether they may not be read without such promulgation  | 1.  |
| <ul> <li>7. To entitle to salvage, in cases of re-capture, it is not necessary that the means used should be with that sole view</li></ul>  | 2.  |
| capture of a neutral, is founded on the fact that no benefit has been conferredId. 9. To entitle to salvage, it is not necessary that the destruction of the thing would have been inevitable but for the means used to   | 4.  |
| save it; but the danger must be real and imminent   | 5.  |
| <ul> <li>11. France and the United States were in a state of partial war, in the year 1799Id.</li> <li>12. The supreme court of the United States, in cases of appeal, and on writs of error, are</li> </ul>  | 6.  |
| cases of appeal, and on writs of error, are limited to the statement of facts made in the court below   | 7.  |

## AGENT, PUBLIC.

13. A final condemnation in an inferior court

of admiralty, where a right of appeal exists,

and has been claimed, is not a definitive

condemnation, within the meaning of the 4th

article of the convention with France, signed September 30th, 1800. United States v. The

Peggy.....\*103

1. A public agent of government, contracting for the use of government, is not personally liable, although the contract be under his seal. *Hodgson* v. *Dexter*.....\*345

## APPEAL.

No appeal or writ of error lies from the courts of the territory northwest of the river Ohio. Clarke v. Bazadone.....\*212
 Upon appeal in chancery cases, a statement

of facts must accompany the transcript of the record. This provision was revived by the repeal of the judiciary act of 13th February 1801. United States v. Hooe......\*318

See Admiralty, 12, 13: Error, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7:
Jurisdiction, 3, 4, 5: Practice,
12, 13, 14, 15.

## APPOINTMENT TO OFFICE.

See Mandamus, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14.

#### ASSIGNMENT.

See CHOSE IN ACTION.

## ASSUMPSIT.

- 1. Assumpsit for money had and received will not lie, by the holder, against a remote indorser of a promissory note, in Virginia.

  Mandeville v. Riddle......\*290
  2. Quære? Dunlop v. Silver....\*367
- 3. Assumpsit will not lie upon a policy of insurance, under the corporate seal, unless a new consideration be averred. Insurance Co. of Alexandria v. Young.....\*332
- 4. Quære? Whether an aggregate corporation can make an express assumpsit, unless specially authorized by statute............. Id.
- Quere? Whether he for whose benefit a promise is made, may maintain an action against the promisor?......Appendix\*429
- 8. A judgment in assumpsit, upon a policy under seal, is a bar to a subsequent action of covenant on the same policy. Insurance Co. of Alexandria v. Young.....\*340
- See Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, 1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23.

## ATTACHMENT.

See ABSENT DEBTOR.

## ATTORNEYS.

4. Counsellors may be admitted to practice as attorneys.....\*xviii.

## BANKRUPT.

## See ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

# BILLS OF EXCHANGE AND PROMISSORY NOTES.

- 1. In Virginia, it is not necessary, in all cases, to sue the maker of a promissory note to entitle the holder to an action against the indorser. Clarke v. Young......\*181
- 2. If a promissory note of a third person be indorsed by the purchaser of goods to the vendor, as a conditional payment for the goods, quære, whether the vendor is, in any case, obliged to sue the maker of the note, before he can resort to the purchaser of the goods, on the original contract of sale?...Id.

- 5. A declaration in debt, upon a foreign protested bill of exchange, for the principal, interest, damages and costs of protest, under the act of assembly of Virginia, must aver the amount of those costs of protest. Wilson v. Lenox.....\*195
- 7. Whether the indorser, after due notice, is discharged by the holder's receipt of part of the money from the drawer?...........Id.

- 10. Whether protest for non-payment of a foreign bill of exchange must be made on the last day of grace? Fenwick v. Sears...\*260
- 11. Whether the reasonableness of notice be matter of fact or matter of law?........Id.
- 12. Whether, on the count for money had and received, notice of non-acceptance and of non-payment be necessary to charge an indorser, who knew, at the time of indorsing, that the drawer had no right to draw?... Id.
- 13. In Virginia, an indorsee of a promissory

- 14. In Maryland, debt will not lie on a promissory note. *Lindo* v. *Gardner*. . . . . . \*343
- 15. Whether an action for money had and received will lie by the holder against a remote indorser of a promissory note in Virginia?

  \*267

  \*267

- 18. When were inland bills and promissory notes first introduced into England?.....Id.

- 23. Are actions upon promissory notes in Pennsylvania, founded on the custom of merchants, or on the statute of Λnne?.. Id. \*459

## BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

1. The court is not bound to give an opinion on an abstract point of law, unless it be so stated as to show its connection with the cause. Hamilton v. Russell.....\*309

#### BILL OF SALE.

- 1. An absolute bill of sale of goods is fraudulent as to creditors, unless possession accompanies and follows the deed. \*\*Hamilton\*\* v. \*\*Russell....\*\*309

## BOND, FORTHCOMING.

1. In Virginia, a forthcoming-bond is an appendage to the original suit, and is a component part of the proceedings. Sluart v. Laird. ....\*309

## CAVEAT.

## See Kentucky, 2.

#### CHANCERY.

See ABSENT DEBTOR: EQUITY.

## CHOSE IN ACTION.

## CITATION.

1. A citation must accompany the writ of error.

\*365

\*\*Bernalder\*\*: \*\*365

2. A writ of error, not accompanied by a citation, must be quashed, unless the defendant in error should appear.

## CITIZEN.

1. To give jurisdiction to the courts of the United States, the pleadings must expressly state the parties to be citizens of different states, or that one of them is an alien. It is not sufficient, to say that they reside in different states. Abercrombie v. Dupuis...\*343

## CLERK.

1. The clerk of this court must reside and keep his office at the seat of government. He cannot practice as attorney or counsel in this court. Rules of Court.....\*xvii.

## COLUMBIA DISTRICT.

2. The acts of congress of 27th February, and 3d March 1801, concerning the District of Columbia, have not changed the laws of Maryland and Virginia, adopted by congress as the laws of that Dstrict, any further than the change of jurisdiction rendered a change of those laws neessary. United States v. Simms. ... \*252

3. Fines, forfeitures and penalties arising from a breach of those laws, are to be sued for, and recovered, in the same manner as before the change of jurisdiction, mutatis mutandis.

Id.

See Administrator.

## COMMISSION.

See Mandamus, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15

## CONGRESS.

2. An act of congress, repugnant to the constitution, cannot become a law............Id.

3. A cause may, by act of congress, be transferred from one inferior tribunal to another.

Stuart v. Laird....\*299

## CONSTITUTION.

 The supreme court of the United States has not power to issue a mandamus to a secretary of state of the United States, it being an exercise of original jurisdiction, not warranted by the constitution, nothwithstanding the act of congress. Marbury v. Madison.\*137

An act of congress repugnant to the constitution cannot become a law..........Id. \*176

 The courts of the United States are bound to take notice of the constitution.....Id. \*178

 Congress may constitutionally impose upon the judges of the supreme court of the United States the burden of holding circuit courts. Id.

## CONSTRUCTION.

See Act of God: Constitution, 4.

#### CONTRACT.

See Admiralty, 10: Agent, Public: Bills of Exchange, 22: Chose in Action.

## CONVENTION WITH FRANCE.

See ADMIRALTY, 13.

#### COPY.

 The keeper of a public record cannot refuse a copy to a person demanding it on the terms prescribed by law. Marbury v. Madison. \*60

### CORPORATION.

1. Quære? Whether an action on a policy of in-

surance will lie against the Marine Insurance Company of Alexandria, in their corporate name, or whether the declaration must be against the president alone? Insurance Company of Alexandria v. Young......\*332

See Assumpsit, 3, 4.

#### COSTS.

 Quære? Whether costs can be awarded against the United States? United States v. Simms, \*259; United States v. Hooe....\*318

#### COVENANT.

See Assumpsit, 8.

## COUNSELLORS.

- 1. Counsellors shall not practise as attorneys.

  Rules of Coart.....\*xvii.

## DEBT.

- 1. An action of debt for 860l. 12s. 1d., founded on a decree in chancery, is not supported by a decree for 860l. 12s. 1d., with interest from a certain day to the day of rendering the decree; but the variance is fatal. Thompson v. Jameson. \*282

- 4. Debt will not lie upon a promissory note, in Maryland. Lindo v. Gardner.....\*343
- 5. Will debt lie upon a promissory note? Appendix. \*464

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 5.

#### DEBTOR.

A debtor may prefer one creditor to another, and convey goods to him in discharge of his debt. Wood v. Owings......\*244

See Absent Debtor: Fraud: Insolvent Debtor.

## DECLARATION.

See Bills of Exchange, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 15, 21: CITIZEN: CORPORATION: DEBT, 3, 4.

#### DEED.

See ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

## HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.

See Mandamus, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

## DEPOSITION.

- 1. Evidence on motion to discharge bail, must be by deposition and not viva voce. Rules of Court. \*xvii

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

See COLUMBIA DISTRICT.

## ENTRY OF LANDS.

See Kentucky, 1, 3.

## EQUITY.

## ERROR.

- 3. Quære? Whether, in a case where there is no jury, a judgment ought to be reversed for the rejection of testimony which was admissible in law; or whether the cause, in the appellate court, should be considered as if the testimony had been received?..... Id. \*132
- 4. Writ of error, how to be returned by the clerk below. Rules of Court.....\*xviii.
- 6. Where the writ of error appears to be brought for delay only, the judgment shall

7. A writ of error does not lie from the supreme court of the United States to the general court for the Territory N. W. of the Ohio. Clarke v. Bazadone.....\*212

See CITATION.

## EVIDENCE.

 Proceedings before magistrates in cases of insolvent debtors, under the laws of Virginia, are matters in pais, and may be proved by parol testimony. Turner v. Fendall....\*132

2. A commission is only evidence of an appointment. Marbury v. Madison....\*178

- 5. Evidence on motion to discharge bail, must be by deposition, and not vivâ voce. Rules of Court.....\*xviii.

See Admiralty, 5, 6, 12: Error, 2, 3.

EXCEPTIONS, BILL OF.
See BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

EXCHANGE, BILLS OF. See Bills of Exchange.

## EXECUTION.

1. Money made on a fieri facias does not become the goods and chattels of the plaintiff, until it has been paid over to him; while it remains in the hands of the officer he cannot apply it to the satisfaction of another fieri facias against the former plaintiff. Turner v. Fendall. \*\*117, 136

- 3. In Virginia, on a motion against a sheriff for not paying over moneys by him collected on execution, it is not necessary that the judgment against the sheriff should be rendered at the next term succeeding that to which the execution has been returned... Id.
- 5. Although the creditor should have been dis-

FACTS, STATEMENT OF.
See Admiralty, 11: Appeal, 2.

FIERI FACIAS.

See Execution, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

FINES AND FORFEITURES. See Columbia District, 3.

FORTHCOMING BOND.

See Bond, Forthcoming.

FRANCE.

See Admiralty, 11, 13.

## FRAUD.

2. The want of possession, in such case, is not merely evidence of fraud, but is a circumstance per se, which makes the transaction fraudulent in point of law.......Id

See Debtor.

HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.
See Mandamus, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

INSOLVENT DEBTOR.

See DEBTOR: EVIDENCE, 1: EXECUTION, 5.

INSURANCE COMPANY OF ALEXANDRIA.

See Corporation.

INSURANCE, POLICY OF.
See Assumpsit, 3.

## JEOFAILS.

1. A verdict will not cure a mistake in the nature of the action. Insurance Co. of Alexandria v. Young ......\*332

See Assumpsit, 5.

#### JUDGMENT.

See Assumpsit, 8: Office Judgment.

## JUDICIARY.

- 1. A cause may, by act of congress, be transferred from one inferior tribunal to another.

  Stuart v. Laird. \*299
- 3. The courts of the United States may declare an act of congress to be unconstitutional. Marbury v. Madison.....\*137

## JURISDICTION.

- The supreme court of the United States has not power to issue a mandamus to a secretary of state, it being an exercise of original jurisdiction not warranted by the constitution. Marbury v. Madison......\*137
- 3. It is the essential criterion of appellate jurisdiction, that it revises and corrects the proceedings in a cause already instituted, and does not create that cause........Id. \*175
- 4. To give jurisdiction to the courts of the United States, the pleadings must expressly state the parties to be citizens of different states, or that one of them is an alien. It is not sufficient, to say that they reside in different states. Abercrombie v. Dupuis...\*343
- 5. The plaintiff in error may show by affidavit that the matter in dispute exceeds \$2000 in value. Rules of Court....\*xviii

See Error, 1, 7.

## JUSTICE OF PEACE.

See Columbia District, 1.

#### KENTUCKY.

- 1. Waste and unappropriated land in Kentucky, in the year 1780, could not be lawfully appropriated by survey alone, without a previous legal entry in the book of entries.

  Wilson v. Mason....\*45
- 2. A writ of error upon a caveat lies from the district court of Kentucky district to the supreme court of the United States..... Id.

## LANDS.

See KENTUCKY.

## LAWS, FOREIGN.

See Admiralty, 5, 6.

## LETTERS PATENT.

1. Delivery is not necessary to the validity of letters-patent. Marbury v. Madison...\*178

#### LIMITATIONS.

 Quære? Whether the statute of limitations can be given in evidence on the plea of nil debet? Lindo v. Gardner, \*343; App'x. \*463

#### MANDAMUS.

- 1. The supreme court of the United States has not power to issue a mandamus to a secretary of state of the United States (notwithstanding the act of congress), it being an exercise of original jurisdiction, not warranted by the constitution. Marbury v. Madison....\*137
- 2. Congress has not power to give original jurisdiction to the supreme court in other cases than those described in the constitution. . Id.
- 3. An act of congress, repugnant to the constitution, cannot become a law............Id. \*176
- 4. The courts of the United States are bound to take notice of the constitution...Id. \*178

- A mandamus is the proper remedy to compel a secretary of state to deliver a commission to which the party is entitled. . Id. \*137
- 13. Neither the delivery of the commission, nor its transmission to, nor actual receipt

INDEX.

474 . by, the officer, is necessary to the appoint-14. Nor is the acceptance of the officer necessary to the validity of the appoint-15. The possession of the commission is not necessary to authorize the officer to perform 16. When all the requisites have been performed which authorize a recording officer to record any instrument, and an order for that purpose has been given, the instrument is, in law, considered as recorded, although the manual labor of inserting it in a book kept for that purpose may not have been per-17. The keeper of a public record cannot erase therefrom a commission which has been recorded, nor refuse a copy to a person demanding it on the terms prescribed by law....Id. 18. There are certain acts of a secretary of state which are not examinable in the courts 19. He acts in two capacities; 1st. As the mere agent of the president. 2d. As a public ministerial officer of the United States....Id. 20. Where the heads of departments are the political, confidential agents of the executive, merely to execute his will, in cases where he possesses a constitutional or legal discretion, their acts are only politically examina-21. But where a specific duty is assigned by law, and individual rights depend upon the performance of that duty, an injured individual has a right to resort to the laws of his 22. The propriety, or impropriety of issuing a mandamus is to be determined, not by the office of the person to whom the writ is directed, but by the nature of the thing to be 23. It is the essential criterion of appellate jurisdiction that it revises and corrects the proceedings in a cause already instituted, and 24. A mandamus may be directed to inferior 

## MARINE ORDINANCES.

See ADMIRALTY, 5.

## MARYLAND.

See ACKNOWLEDGMENT: BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 14.

#### MONEY.

See EXECUTION, 1, 2, 3, 4.

MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED. See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 12, 15: PRIVITY.

## MOTION.

See EXECUTION, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

## NEUTRAL.

See ADMIRALTY, 1, 8.

## NIL DEBET.

1. Quære? Whether the statute of limitations can be given in evidence on nil debet. Lindo 

## NORTH-WESTERN TERRITORY.

See Error, 7.

## NOTARY PUBLIC.

1. Quære? Whether the acts of a notary-public, who certifies himself to be duly commissioned and sworn, are valid, if he be duly appointed, but not actually sworn in due form? Fenwick v. Sears.....\*260

2. Whether, between contending parties, the certificate of a notary-public that he is duly commissioned and sworn, can be contradict-

## NOTICE.

1. Notice of an illegal act will not make it valid. Wilson v. Mason.....\*45

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 11, 12.

## NUDUM PACTUM.

1. Can there be a nudum pactum in writing? Appendix.....\*443

## OFFICE JUDGMENT.

1. After the first term next following an office judgment in Virginia, it is a matter of mere discretion in the court, whether they will admit a special plea to be filed, to set aside that judgment. Resler v. Shehee .....\*110

## OFFICER.

See Mandamus, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21.

## OHIO.

See ERROR, 7.

296

#### PAYMENT.

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 2, 4.

## PENNSYLVANIA.

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 23.

## PLEADINGS.

See Assumpsit, 8: Bills of Exchange, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 15, 21: Citizen: Corporation:

Debt, 2, 3, 4: Nil Debet.

## POLICY OF INSURANCE.

See Assumpsit, 3.

POSSESSION.

See BILL OF SALE.

## PRACTICE.

- 1. In Virginia, after the first term next following an office judgment, it is a matter of mere discretion in the court, whether they will admit a special plea to be filed to set aside that judgment. Resler v. Shehee. . . . . . . \*110
- 2. Money made on a fi. fa. does not become the goods and chattels of the plaintiff, until it has been paid over to him; while it remains in the hands of the officer he cannot apply it to the satisfaction of another fi. fa. against the former plaintiff. Turner v. Fendall \*117, 136
- 4. On a motion, in Virginia, against a sheriff, for not paying over money by him collected on execution, it is not necessary that the judgment against the sheriff should be rendered at the next term succeeding that to which the execution has been returned......Id.
- 6. Although the creditor should have been discharged under the insolvent law of Virginia, yet the motion against the sheriff for not paying over money made on a fi. fa., must be in the name of such creditor.....Id. \*132
- 7. Quære? Whether the statute of limitations can be given in evidence on the plea of nil dcbet? Lindo v. Gardner.....\*343, 465
- 8. The practice in the supreme court of the United States is to be conformable to that of the king's bench and chancery in England.

  \*\*Rules of Court....\*\*xvii
- 9. A statement of the case must be furnished to the court, by the counsel on each side of a cause. Rules of Court.....\*xviii.
- Evidence on motion to discharge bail, must be by deposition and not vivâ voce......Id.

- 11. Subpæna in equity must be served 60 days before the return-day. If the defendant, upon such service, shall not appear, the complainant may proceed ex parte...... Id.
- 13. When the defendant in error fails to appear, the plaintiff may proceed ex parte...Id.

- See Bills of Exchange, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21, 23, 24: Bill of Exceptions: Citation: Citizen: Evidence, 1: Error, 2, 3.

## PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

- 1. A commission is not necessary to the appointment of an officer by the executive.

  Marbury v. Madison.....\*178
- The president cannot authorize a secretary of state to omit the performance of those duties which are enjoined by law... Id. \*160

See MANDAMUS.

## PRIVITY.

1. Is privity necessary to support indebitatus assumpsit for money had and received? Appendix. \*439

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 13, 15.

#### PROBABLE CAUSE.

See ADMIRALTY, 3.

#### PROCESS.

- 1. Process shall be in the name of the President of the United States. Rules of Court....\*xvii.

## PROMISSORY NOTES.

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24.

## PROTEST.

See Bills of Exchange, 5, 7, 10.

PUBLIC AGENT.
See AGENT. Public.

## RECORD.

1. When all the requisites have been performed, which authorize a recording officer to record any instrument, and the order for that purpose has been given, the instrument is, in law, considered as recorded, although the manual labor of inserting it in a book kept for that purpose, may not have been performed. Marbury v. Madison.....\*160

2. The keeper of a public record cannot erase therefrom a commission which has been recorded, nor refuse a copy to a person demanding it, on the terms prescribed by law...Id.

RULES OF COURT.

See Page xvi.

SALE, BILL OF.
See BILL OF SALE.

SALVAGE

See Admiralty, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10.

SECRETARY OF STATE.

See Mandamus, 1, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

## SHERIFF.

See Execution, 1, 3, 5.

STATEMENT OF FACTS.

See Admiralty, 11: Appeal, 2.

SURVEY OF LANDS.

See Kentucky, 1, 3.

VARIANCE.

See Deet, 1.

#### VERDICT.

1. A verdict will not cure a mistake in the nature of the action. Insurance Co. of Alexandria v. Young.........\*332

## VIRGINIA.

See Bills of Exchange, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 15:
Bond, Forthcoming: Absent Debtor:
Office Judgment: Execution, 3, 5:
Evidence, 1.

WITNESS.

See EVIDENCE, 4.

WRIT OF ERROR.

See Admiralty, 12: Appeal, 1, 2: Error, 1, 4 5, 6, 7.











