

INDEX

ADJUSTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY OR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PAYMENTS. See Social Security Act.

ADMINISTRATION OF ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS TO PRISONERS. See Case or Controversy, 1; Constitutional Law, III, 2.

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

ADMISSIONS TO STATE MENTAL HOSPITALS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

AGENCY DISCLOSURE REGULATIONS AS REVIEWABLE BY OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. See Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES BEARING ON IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See Constitutional Law, II.

AGRICULTURAL WORKERS. See Pre-emption of State Law by Federal Law.

ALIEN'S PROPERTY IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY AS SUBJECT TO SEARCH AND SEIZURE BY UNITED STATES AGENTS. See Constitutional Law, X, 1.

ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS. See Case or Controversy, 1; Constitutional Law, III, 2.

ARREST AREA SWEEPS. See Constitutional Law, X, 2.

ATTORNEY'S FEES. See Case or Controversy, 2; Constitutional Law, III, 1; Standing to Sue.

BACKPAY. See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.

BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1956. See Case or Controversy, 2.

BAR DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST ATTORNEYS. See Standing to Sue.

BENEFIT PAYMENTS. See Social Security Act.

BLACK LUNG BENEFITS ACT OF 1972. See Constitutional Law, III, 1; Standing to Sue.

BREACH OF DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION. See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE RESTRICTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VII, 2.

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Habeas Corpus**, 1, 2.

CASE OR CONTROVERSY. See also **Standing to Sue**.

1. *Mootness—Cessation of involuntary administration of antipsychotic drugs.*—Suit by state prisoner, alleging that State's failure to provide a judicial hearing before beginning involuntary administration of antipsychotic medication violated his due process rights, was not rendered moot by fact that State had ceased such administration, since alleged injury likely would recur but for State Supreme Court's decision requiring a hearing. *Washington v. Harper*, p. 210.

2. *Mootness—Effect of amendments to Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.*—Where appellee out-of-state bank holding company brought suit against Florida, alleging that State's refusal to allow it to establish and operate a bank with deposits insured to extent allowed by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation violated Commerce Clause, and where, during pendency of suit, BHCA—which prohibits out-of-state bank holding companies from owning in-state banks as defined in statute—was amended to expand definition of “bank” to include banks whose deposits are insured by FDIC, litigation was rendered moot; appellee may submit material to court below to show its interest in opening an uninsured bank; and appellee was not a “prevailing party” entitled to attorney's fees under 42 U. S. C. § 1988. *Lewis v. Continental Bank Corp.*, p. 472.

CHANGE OF VENUE. See **Procedure**.

CHEMICALS IN WORKPLACE. See **Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980**.

CHOICE-OF-LAW RULES. See **Procedure**.

CITIZENSHIP FOR DIVERSITY PURPOSES. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1866. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Deprivation of liberty without due process—Admission to state mental hospital.—Respondent's complaint was sufficient to state a claim under 42 U. S. C. § 1983 for violation of his due process rights, where it alleged that petitioner hospital administrators, physicians, and staff violated state law by admitting him as a voluntary patient when they knew or should have known that he was incompetent to give informed consent to his admission, and that their failure to initiate Florida's involuntary placement procedure

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871—Continued.

denied him constitutionally guaranteed procedural safeguards. *Zinermon v. Burch*, p. 113.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964. See also **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

Title VII—Jurisdiction to hear civil actions.—Federal courts do not have exclusive jurisdiction over Title VII employment discrimination actions; thus, respondent met Title VII's 90-day filing requirement when she filed her sex discrimination suit in state court. *Yellow Freight System, Inc. v. Donnelly*, p. 820.

CIVIL RIGHTS ATTORNEY'S FEES AWARDS ACT. See **Case or Controversy**, 2.**CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT OF 1978.** See **Labor**, 1.**COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.**COLLATERAL REVIEW.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 3.**COLLECTIVE BARGAINING.** See **Labor**.**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Case or Controversy**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, I.**COMPENSATION FOR A TAKING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC USE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.**COMPENSATION SUPPLEMENTING FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES' SALARIES.** See **Conflict of Interest**.**CONFLICT OF INTEREST.**

Supplemental compensation for Federal Government service—Severance payments to private employees.—Title 18 U. S. C. § 209(a)—which makes it a crime for a private party to pay, and a Federal Government employee to receive, supplemental compensation for employee's Government service—does not apply to a severance payment made to encourage payee to accept Government employment before payee becomes a Government employee; thus, petitioners were not liable for civil damages as a result of having made or received such payments. *Crandon v. United States*, p. 152.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Case or Controversy**, 2; **Habeas Corpus**, 2, 3; **Standing to Sue**.**I. Commerce Clause.**

National Trails System Act Amendments of 1983.—Amendments—which authorize Interstate Commerce Commission to preserve for possible future railroad use rights-of-way not currently in service and to allow land's interim use as recreational trails notwithstanding reversionary interests that may exist in such property under state law—are a valid exer-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

cise of Congress' Commerce Clause power. *Preseault v. Interstate Commerce Comm'n*, p. 1.

II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

1. *Death penalty—Mandatory jury instructions at sentencing phase.*—Former California Jury Instructions, Criminal—which listed factors that a jury “shall consider” in determining whether to impose death penalty and stated that a jury “shall impose” a death sentence if aggravating circumstances outweigh mitigating circumstances—did not violate Eighth Amendment by preventing an individualized assessment of death penalty’s appropriateness or precluding jury from considering non-crime-related factors as mitigating evidence. *Boyd v. California*, p. 370.

2. *Death penalty—Right to jury trial—Due process—Weighing of aggravating and mitigating circumstances.*—Under Eighth and Sixth Amendments and Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, it is constitutionally permissible, even in a weighing State, for an appellate court to reweigh aggravating and mitigating evidence to uphold a jury-imposed death sentence that is based in part on an invalid or improperly defined aggravating circumstance; even if weighing of aggravating and mitigating factors was not an appellate, but a jury, function, it would be constitutionally permissible for State Supreme Court to apply harmless-error analysis to jury’s consideration of invalid circumstance. *Clemons v. Mississippi*, p. 738.

3. *Death penalty—State statutory requirements.*—Pennsylvania statute requiring a jury to impose a death sentence if it finds at least one aggravating circumstance and no mitigating circumstances comports with this Court’s Eighth Amendment decisions. *Blystone v. Pennsylvania*, p. 299.

4. *Death penalty—Unanimity requirement.*—State’s capital sentencing scheme, which prevents a jury from considering, when deciding whether to impose death penalty, any mitigating factor that jury does not unanimously find, violates Constitution by preventing sentencer from considering all mitigating evidence. *McKoy v. North Carolina*, p. 433.

III. Due Process.

1. *Attorney’s fees—Black Lung Benefits Act of 1972.*—Department of Labor’s limitation of attorney’s fees under Act, which, *inter alia*, invalidates all contractual fee arrangements with claimants, does not violate due process. *Department of Labor v. Triplett*, p. 715.

2. *Involuntary administration of antipsychotic drugs to state prisoner.*—Due Process Clause permits a State to treat a prison inmate who has a serious mental illness with antipsychotic drugs against his will, if he is dangerous to himself or others and treatment is in his medical interest; administrative hearing procedures in Washington Special Offender Center

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

policy, pursuant to which drugs are administered, comport with procedural due process. *Washington v. Harper*, p. 210.

IV. Eminent Domain.

Just compensation—National Trails System Act Amendments of 1983—Railroad rights-of-way used as recreational trails.—Even if Amendments—which authorize Interstate Commerce Commission to preserve for possible future railroad use rights-of-way not currently in service and to allow land's interim use as recreational trails notwithstanding reversionary interests that may exist in such property under state law—give rise to a taking, compensation is available under Tucker Act, and Fifth Amendment requirements are therefore satisfied. *Preseault v. Interstate Commerce Comm'n*, p. 1.

V. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Michigan Campaign Finance Act—Restrictions on corporate expenditures.—Act's provision prohibiting corporations, excluding media corporations, from using general treasury funds for, *inter alia*, independent expenditures in connection with state candidate elections does not violate Equal Protection Clause, for even under strict scrutiny, Michigan's decision not to regulate unincorporated associations or media corporations does not render that provision unconstitutional. *Austin v. Michigan State Chamber of Commerce*, p. 652.

VI. Freedom of Religion.

State's prohibition of sacramental peyote use—Denial of unemployment benefits.—Free Exercise Clause permits State to prohibit peyote use for sacramental purposes at Native American Church ceremonies and thus to deny unemployment benefits to persons discharged from their employment for such use. *Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith*, p. 872.

VII. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Grand jury witness—Disclosure of testimony.*—Florida statute prohibiting a witness, *inter alios*, from disclosing testimony given before a grand jury violates First Amendment insofar as it prohibits witness from disclosing his own testimony after grand jury's term has ended. *Butterworth v. Smith*, p. 624.

2. *Michigan Campaign Finance Act—Prohibition on corporations' use of general treasury funds for political purposes.*—Act's provision prohibiting corporations from using general treasury funds for, *inter alia*, independent expenditures in connection with state candidate elections does not violate First Amendment. *Austin v. Michigan State Chamber of Commerce*, p. 652.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VIII. Right to Counsel.**

Assertion of right at arraignment—Admissibility of subsequently obtained statements to impeach.—A statement to police taken in violation of rule of *Michigan v. Jackson*, 475 U. S. 625—which held that once a defendant invokes his Sixth Amendment right to counsel, any waiver of that right is presumed invalid if given in a police-initiated discussion and is inadmissible in prosecution's case in chief—may be used to impeach a defendant's testimony. *Michigan v. Harvey*, p. 344.

IX. Right to Jury Trial.

1. *Backpay from union for breach of duty of fair representation.*—An employee seeking relief in form of backpay for a union's alleged breach of its duty of fair representation is entitled by Seventh Amendment to a jury trial. *Teamsters v. Terry*, p. 558.

2. *Collateral estoppel—Legal claims erroneously dismissed.*—Seventh Amendment precludes according collateral-estoppel effect to a district court's determinations of issues common to equitable and legal claims where court resolved equitable claims first solely because it erroneously dismissed legal claims; where petitioner's employment discrimination claim was filed under both Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U. S. C. § 1981, judgment could not be affirmed on ground that District Court would have directed verdict in respondent's favor even if § 1981 claim had been tried before a jury. *Lytle v. Household Manufacturing, Inc.*, p. 545.

X. Searches and Seizures.

1. *Nonresident alien's property located in a foreign country.*—Fourth Amendment does not apply to search and seizure by United States agents of property owned by a nonresident alien and located in a foreign country. *United States v. Verdugo-Urquidez*, p. 259.

2. *Protective sweep in conjunction with in-home arrest.*—Fourth Amendment permits a properly limited protective sweep in conjunction with an in-home arrest when searching officer possesses a reasonable belief, based on specific and articulable facts, that area to be swept harbors an individual posing a danger to those on arrest scene. *Maryland v. Buie*, p. 325.

3. *Warrantless search—Incident-to-arrest exception.*—A warrantless search providing probable cause for an arrest cannot be justified as a search incident to that arrest. *Smith v. Ohio*, p. 541.

CONTRACTING-OUT OF WORK BY FEDERAL AGENCIES. See **Labor**, 1.

CORPORATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VII, 2.

CRIMINAL LAW. See *Conflict of Interest; Constitutional Law, II; VIII; X; Habeas Corpus.*

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See *Constitutional Law, II.*

DAMAGES. See *Conflict of Interest.*

DEATH PENALTY. See *Constitutional Law, II; Habeas Corpus, 1, 2.*

DEMAND NOTES AS SECURITIES. See *Securities Acts.*

DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871.*

DIRECTED VERDICTS. See *Constitutional Law, IX, 2.*

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST ATTORNEYS. See *Standing to Sue.*

DISCLOSURE OF GRAND JURY TESTIMONY. See *Constitutional Law, VII, 1.*

DISCLOSURE REGULATIONS. See *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.*

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, IX, 2.*

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE. See *Constitutional Law, IX, 2.*

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964.*

DISTRICT COURTS. See *Jurisdiction, 1; Procedure.*

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION. See *Jurisdiction, 2.*

DRUGS. See *Case or Controversy, 1; Constitutional Law, III, 2; VI.*

DUE PROCESS. See *Case or Controversy, 1; Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, II, 2; III; Standing to Sue.*

DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION. See *Constitutional Law, IX, 1.*

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See *Constitutional Law, II; Habeas Corpus, 2.*

ELECTIONS. See *Constitutional Law, V; VII, 2.*

EMINENT DOMAIN. See *Constitutional Law, IV.*

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See *Conflict of Interest; Constitutional Law, IX, 2; Labor; Pre-emption of State Law by Federal Law.*

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, IX, 2.*

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See Constitutional Law, V.

ESTOPPEL. See Constitutional Law, IX, 2.

ETHICS COMMITTEES. See Standing to Sue.

EVIDENCE. See Constitutional Law, II, 1, 4; VIII.

FAIR HEARINGS. See Constitutional Law, III, 2.

FEDERAL COURTS. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION. See Case or Controversy, 2.

FEDERAL EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See Conflict of Interest; Labor, 1.

FEDERAL GIFT TAX. See Jurisdiction, 1.

FEDERAL POSTJUDGMENT INTEREST STATUTE. See Postjudgment Interest.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See Pre-emption of State Law by Federal Law.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, III, 1; IV; Habeas Corpus, 3.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, VI; VII.

FLORIDA. See Case or Controversy, 2; Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, VII, 1.

FOREIGN COUNTRY AS LOCATION WHERE UNITED STATES AGENTS CAN SEARCH AND SEIZE PROPERTY. See Constitutional Law, X, 1.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See Case or Controversy, 1; Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, II; III, 2; V; X, 2.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, X.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See Constitutional Law, VII.

GENERAL PARTNERS' CITIZENSHIP AS FACTOR IN DIVERSITY DETERMINATIONS. See Jurisdiction, 2.

GIFT TAX. See Jurisdiction, 1.

GRAND JURY TESTIMONY. See Constitutional Law, VII, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS.

1. *Capital punishment—Failure to raise claim in state court as a procedural bar.*—Petitioner's case was remanded to Court of Appeals for a

HABEAS CORPUS—Continued.

determination whether his claim under *Penry v. Lynaugh*, 492 U. S. 302, is procedurally barred under Texas law. *Selvage v. Collins*, p. 108.

2. “*New rule*”—*Jury instructions during penalty phase of capital murder trial*.—Respondent’s contention that an instruction delivered during his trial’s penalty phase, telling jury to “avoid any influence of sympathy,” violated Eighth Amendment because it did not permit jury to act upon sympathy they felt after hearing his mitigating evidence urges a “new rule” of federal constitutional law that is inapplicable to cases on collateral review and does not come within either exception under which a new rule is available on collateral review. *Saffle v. Parks*, p. 484.

3. “*New rule*”—*Limitations on police interrogation after Fifth Amendment right to counsel invoked*.—In holding, in *Arizona v. Roberson*, 486 U. S. 675, that Fifth Amendment bars police-initiated interrogation following a suspect’s request for counsel in context of a separate investigation, Court announced a “new rule” that is inapplicable to cases on collateral review and does not come within either exception under which a new rule is available on collateral review. *Butler v. McKellar*, p. 407.

HARMLESS-ERROR ANALYSIS. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 2.

HAZARD COMMUNICATION STANDARD. See *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980*.

HEARINGS. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 2.

HOMES AS ARREST AREAS. See *Constitutional Law*, X, 2.

HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE. See *Constitutional Law*, VIII.

INCIDENT-TO-ARREST EXCEPTION FOR SEARCHES. See *Constitutional Law*, X, 3.

INDIANS. See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURIES. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 1, 4; *Habeas Corpus*, 2.

INTEREST ON JUDGMENTS. See *Postjudgment Interest*.

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. See *Jurisdiction*, 1.

INTERROGATION OF PRISONERS. See *Habeas Corpus*, 3.

INVOLUNTARY ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICATIONS TO STATE PRISONERS. See *Case or Controversy*, 1; *Constitutional Law*, III, 2.

INVOLUNTARY ADMISSIONS TO STATE MENTAL HOSPITALS. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

JUDGMENTS. See **Postjudgment Interest.**

JURIES. See **Constitutional Law, II; VII, 1; IX; Habeas Corpus, 2.**

JURISDICTION. See also **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

1. *District courts—Action for gift tax refund.*—District Court lacked jurisdiction to hear respondent's claim for a refund of overpaid federal gift tax where respondent failed to satisfy Internal Revenue Code provisions conditioning right to bring such suit, including provisions requiring that an administrative refund claim be filed within three years of date return was filed. *United States v. Dalm*, p. 596.

2. *Diversity—Limited partners' citizenship.*—In a suit involving a limited partnership, citizenship of limited, as well as general, partners, must be taken into account to determine whether there is complete diversity among parties. *Carden v. Arkoma Associates*, p. 185.

JURY DETERMINATION OF DEATH PENALTY. See **Constitutional Law, II, 2.**

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law, II, 1, 4; Habeas Corpus, 2.**

JURY TRIALS. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

JUST COMPENSATION CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

LABOR. See also **Constitutional Law, IX, 1.**

1. *Civil Service Reform Act of 1978—Collective-bargaining negotiations—Contracting out work.*—Federal Labor Relations Authority erred in holding that Act—which provides that nothing therein shall affect agency official's authority to make contracting-out determinations in accordance with applicable laws—requires Internal Revenue Service to bargain over National Treasury Employees Union's proposed contract provision subjecting to grievance and arbitration procedures claims that IRS failed to comply with Office of Management and Budget Circular relating to contracting-out work. *Internal Revenue Service v. Federal Labor Relations Authority*, p. 922.

2. *Majority support for collective-bargaining agent—Presumption of replacement opposition.*—In determining whether presumption of majority support for a certified collective-bargaining agent is rebutted, National Labor Relations Board acted within its discretion in refusing to adopt a presumption that striker replacements oppose a union. *NLRB v. Curtin Matheson Scientific, Inc.*, p. 775.

LAWYERS. See **Constitutional Law, III, 1; Standing to Sue.**

LEGAL ETHICS COMMITTEES. See **Standing to Sue.**

LIBERTY RIGHTS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS. See *Jurisdiction*, 1; *Civil Rights Act of 1964*.

LIMITED PARTNERS' CITIZENSHIP AS FACTOR IN DIVERSITY DETERMINATIONS. See *Jurisdiction*, 2.

LIMITED PROTECTIVE SWEEP OF ARREST AREAS. See *Constitutional Law*, X, 2.

MARYLAND. See *Constitutional Law*, X, 2.

MEDICATION INVOLUNTARILY ADMINISTERED TO STATE PRISONERS. See *Case or Controversy*, 1; *Constitutional Law*, III, 2.

MENTAL HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

MICHIGAN. See *Constitutional Law*, V; VII, 2; VIII.

MICHIGAN CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACT. See *Constitutional Law*, V; VII, 2.

MIGRANT AND SEASONAL AGRICULTURAL WORKER PROTECTION ACT. See *Pre-emption of State Law by Federal Law*.

MISSISSIPPI. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 2.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES BEARING ON IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See *Constitutional Law*, II; *Habeas Corpus*, 2.

MOOTNESS. See *Case or Controversy*.

MURDER. See *Constitutional Law*, II; *Habeas Corpus*.

NATIONAL TRAILS SYSTEM ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1983. See *Constitutional Law*, I, IV.

NATIVE AMERICANS. See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

"NETTING" REGULATIONS. See *Social Security Act*.

NEW RULE'S APPLICABILITY TO CASES ON COLLATERAL REVIEW. See *Habeas Corpus*, 2, 3.

NON-CRIME-RELATED FACTORS AS MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES BEARING ON IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 1.

NONRESIDENT ALIEN'S PROPERTY IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY AS SUBJECT TO SEARCH AND SEIZURE BY UNITED STATES AGENTS. See *Constitutional Law*, X, 1.

NORTH CAROLINA. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 4.

NOTES AS SECURITIES. See *Securities Acts*.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET'S AUTHORITY TO REVIEW REGULATIONS. See **Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.**

OHIO. See **Constitutional Law, X, 3.**

OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE. See **Social Security Act.**

OREGON. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS OF SOCIAL SECURITY OR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME BENEFITS. See **Social Security Act.**

OVERPAYMENTS OF TAXES. See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1980.

Office of Management and Budget's authority to review disclosure regulations.—Act does not authorize Office of Management and Budget to review and countermand agency regulations mandating disclosure by regulated entities directly to third parties; thus, OMB lacked authority to disapprove Department of Labor's hazard communications standard, which imposes disclosure requirements on manufacturers aimed at ensuring that their employees are informed of potential hazards posed by chemicals in workplace. *Dole v. Steelworkers*, p. 26.

PARTIES. See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

PARTNERS' CITIZENSHIP AS FACTOR IN DIVERSITY DETERMINATIONS. See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

PAYMENTS TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. See **Conflict of Interest.**

PENNSYLVANIA. See **Constitutional Law, II, 3.**

PEYOTE. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

POLICE-INITIATED INTERROGATION. See **Constitutional Law, VIII; Habeas Corpus, 3.**

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS. See **Constitutional Law, V; VII, 2.**

POSTJUDGMENT INTEREST.

Date from which interest is calculated—Applicable interest rate.—Pursuant to federal postjudgment interest statute, interest properly runs from date of entry of judgment, not date of verdict; respondents are not entitled to postjudgment interest from date of District Court's legally insufficient judgment; amended postjudgment interest statute—which changed interest rate—is not applicable to judgments entered before statute's effective date. *Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp. v. Bonjorno*, p. 827.

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW.

Effect of state workers' compensation laws on actions under Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act.—Exclusivity provisions in state workers' compensation laws do not bar migrant workers from availing themselves of a private right of action under Act, which pre-empts state law to extent that it does not permit States to supplant, rather than to supplement, Act's remedial scheme. *Adams Fruit Co. v. Barrett*, p. 638.

PRESUMPTION OF MAJORITY SUPPORT FOR UNION. See **Labor**, 2.

PREVAILING PARTIES. See **Case or Controversy**, 2.

PRISONS. See **Case or Controversy**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

PRIVATE PROPERTY TAKEN FOR PUBLIC USE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PROBABLE CAUSE FOR ARRESTS. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 3.

PROCEDURAL BARS TO HABEAS CORPUS PETITIONS. See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

PROCEDURE.

District courts—Transfer of venue.—A transferee forum must apply choice-of-law rules prevailing in transferor court, regardless of which party initiated transfer. *Ferens v. John Deere Co.*, p. 516.

PROMISSORY NOTES AS SECURITIES. See **Securities Acts**.

PROPERTY LOCATED IN FOREIGN COUNTRY AS SUBJECT TO SEARCH AND SEIZURE BY UNITED STATES AGENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 1.

PROTECTIVE SWEEP OF ARREST AREA. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 2.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

RAILROAD RIGHTS-OF-WAY. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV.

RAILS-TO-TRAILS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV.

RECOVERY OF SOCIAL SECURITY OR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME OVERPAYMENTS. See **Social Security Act**.

RECREATIONAL TRAILS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV.

REFUND ACTION FOR OVERPAID FEDERAL GIFT TAX. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

REGULATIONS REVIEWABLE BY OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. See *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980*.

REPLACEMENT WORKERS. See *Labor*, 2.

RIGHTS-OF-WAY. See *Constitutional Law*, I; IV.

RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 2.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See *Constitutional Law*, VIII; *Habeas Corpus*, 3.

RIGHT TO JURY DETERMINATION OF DEATH PENALTY. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 2.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See *Constitutional Law*, IX.

SACRAMENTAL PEYOTE USE. See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See *Constitutional Law*, X.

SECTION 1981. See *Constitutional Law*, IX, 2.

SECTION 1983. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

SECURITIES ACTS.

Securities Exchange Act of 1934—*Cooperative's demand notes*.—Uncollateralized and uninsured promissory notes payable on demand by holder that were issued by a farmers cooperative to raise money for its general business operations fall under “note” category of instruments that are “securities.” *Reves v. Ernst & Young*, p. 56.

SEVENTH AMENDMENT. See *Constitutional Law*, IX.

SEVERANCE PAYMENTS. See *Conflict of Interest*.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See *Constitutional Law*, II, 2; VIII.

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.

Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance—Supplemental Security Income—Overpayments and underpayments—“Netting” regulations.—Where Act requires that Social Security and SSI payments be adjusted or recovered whenever a beneficiary receives more or less than a correct payment, and where a beneficiary is overpaid in some months and underpaid in others, regulations allowing errors to be netted by calculating difference between underpayments and overpayments are valid. *Sullivan v. Everhart*, p. 83.

STANDING TO SUE.

Black Lung Benefits Act of 1972—Restriction on attorney's fees—Standing of state bar legal ethics committee and attorney.—Where Committee on Legal Ethics of West Virginia State Bar brought disciplinary proceed-

STANDING TO SUE—Continued.

ings against respondent attorney for collecting fees from black lung benefits claimants in violation of Labor Department rules, and where court denied enforcement of a sanction on ground that Department's implementation of Act's restriction on fee payments violated due process, ethics committee had standing on basis of its classic interest as a government prosecuting agency arguing for validity of a law upon which its prosecution is based, and respondent had third-party standing by virtue of his claim that fee scheme's enforcement against him deprived his clients of a due process right to obtain legal representation. *Department of Labor v. Triplett*, p. 715.

STATE BAR DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST ATTORNEYS. See *Standing to Sue*.**STATE COURTS.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*.**STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.**STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964; Jurisdiction*, 1.**STRIKER REPLACEMENTS.** See *Labor*, 2.**SUPPLEMENTAL COMPENSATION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.** See *Conflict of Interest*.**SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME.** See *Social Security Act*.**SWEEP OF ARREST AREAS BY POLICE.** See *Constitutional Law*, X, 2.**TAKING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC USE.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV.**TAXES.** See *Jurisdiction*, 1.**TESTIMONY BEFORE GRAND JURIES.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII, 1.**TEXAS.** See *Habeas Corpus*, 1.**TIME LIMITATIONS FOR FILING CIVIL ACTIONS.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*.**TITLE VII OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law*, IX, 2.**TOLLING STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*.**TRAILS FOR RECREATION.** See *Constitutional Law*, I; IV.**TRANSFER OF VENUE.** See *Procedure*.

TRIAL BY JURY. See Constitutional Law, IX.

TUCKER ACT. See Constitutional Law, IV.

UNANIMITY REQUIREMENT FOR FINDING OF MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES BEARING ON IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See Constitutional Law, II, 4.

UNCOLLATERALIZED AND UNINSURED PROMISSORY NOTES AS SECURITIES. See Securities Acts.

UNDERPAYMENTS AND OVERPAYMENTS OF SOCIAL SECURITY OR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME BENEFITS. See Social Security Act.

UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

UNIONS. See Constitutional Law, IX, 1; Labor.

VENUE. See Procedure.

VOLUNTARY ADMISSIONS TO STATE MENTAL HOSPITALS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

WAIVER OF RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, VIII.


WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See Constitutional Law, X, 3.

WASHINGTON. See Case or Controversy, 1; Constitutional Law, III, 2.

WEST VIRGINIA. See Standing to Sue.

WITNESSES. See Constitutional Law, VII, 1.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION. See Pre-emption of State Law by Federal Law.



TRIAL BY JURY. See Constitutional Law, IV.

TUITION ACT. See Constitutional Law, IV.

UNANIMITY REQUIREMENT FOR FINDING OF MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES BEARING ON IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See Constitutional Law, II, 4.

UNCOLLATERALIZED AND UNINSURED PROMISSORY NOTES AS SPECIEELS. See Mortgagel-Act.

UNEMPLOYMENT AND OVERPAYMENTS OF SOCIAL SECURITY OR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME BENEFITS. See Social Security Act.

UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

UNIONS. See Constitutional Law, 12, in *Particulars*.

VENUE. See Procedure.

VOLUNTARY ADMISSIONS TO STATE MENTAL HOSPITALS. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

WANTS OF RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, VII.

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. See Constitutional Law, I, 2.

WASHINGTTON. See Law of the District, in Constitutional Law, III, 2.

WEST VIRGINIA. See Standing to Sue.

WITNESSES. See Constitutional Law, VII, 1.

WOMEN'S REPRESENTATION. See Protection of State Law, in Federal Law.

00008 8-322-100-0
00008 8-322-100-0
00008 8-322-100-0
00008 8-322-100-0

ISBN 0-16-043112-3



9 780160 431128



