

ORDERS FOR OCTOBER 1, 1959 THROUGH
FEBRUARY 29, 1960

DECEMBER 1, 1959

Appeals Dismissed

No. 45-1811. *W. A. W. [unclear] Co., Inc. v. [unclear]* AMERICAN LEATHERS CO. Appeal from 2d Cir. Tex., 1st Dist., dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers wherein the appeal was taken as if proffered for writ of certiorari denied. Reported below 349 P. 2d 202.

No. 45-2486. *[unclear] v. [unclear] et al.* Appeal from Sup. Ct. Ind. dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers wherein the appeal was taken as if proffered for writ of certiorari denied.

REPORTER'S NOTE

The next page is purposely numbered 801. The numbers between 572 and 801 were intentionally omitted, in order to make it possible to publish the orders with *permanent* page numbers, thus making the official citations available upon publication of the preliminary prints of the United States Reports.

No. 45-7345. *[unclear] et al. v. [unclear] et al.* C. A. 5th Cir. Motion of petitioners for leave to proceed in forma pauperis granted. Certiorari granted, judgment vacated, and case remanded for further consideration in light of *Beard v. United Transportation Union*, 422 U. S. 512 (1975). Reported below 349 P. 2d 202.

Miscellaneous Orders

No. ———. *[unclear] v. DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE*, and

As to the second question, the Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment. The Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment. The Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment.

REMARKS

The next page is purposely numbered 381. The numbers between 378 and 381 were intentionally omitted in order to make it possible to publish the order with previous page numbers, thus making the official file more available upon publication of the preliminary forms of the United States Reports.

The Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment. The Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment. The Court's opinion in *Boyd* is not in accord with the majority's opinion in *Boyd* in that it does not deny Boyd's right to the constitutional protection required by the Fifth Amendment.